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# THE EXISTENCE OF OSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS FOR A NONLINEAR ODD ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION 

John W. Heidel, Knoxville<br>(Received Frebruary 7, 1969)

The equation to be considered is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}+q(t) y^{\gamma}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is an odd integer $\geqq 3, q(t) \geqq 0$ and continuous on some half line $[a, \infty)$, and $\gamma$ is the quotient of odd, positive integers. This equation has been considered recently by Kiguradze [2], [3], [4] and Ličкo and Švec [5]. The case $\gamma=1$ has been considered in [2]; the case $n=3$ has been considered in [1] and [6].

We begin with some definitions and lemmas. A solution $y(t)$ of (1) is called oscillatory if it does not have a last zero, i.e., if $y(t)=0$ for some $t$, then there is a $\bar{t}>t$ such that $y(\bar{t})=0$. Otherwise the solution is called nonoscillatory. A solution is called extendable if it exists on some half line $[b, \infty)$. A nontrivial solution of (1) is called singular ([4]) if it is identically zero on some half line $[c, \infty)$. A solution can only be singular if $0<\gamma<1$.

Lemma 1. If $0<\gamma<1$, then all solutions of (1) are extendable. If $1<\gamma$, all nonextendable solutions are oscillatory.

These two facts have been proven for the case $n=3$ in [1]. The generalization to arbitrary $n$ is not difficult. The following lemma shows when an oscillatory solution is not singular.

Lemma 2. If $y(t)$ is an oscillatory solution of (1) and there is a point $t_{0}$ such that $y\left(t_{0}\right)=0, y^{(i)}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, then $y(t)$ is not singular.

Proof. Since $y(t)$ is ocillatory there is a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that $y\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ and $y(t)>0$ in $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$. Since $y^{(n)}(t) \leqq 0$ in $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$, each derivative $y^{(i)}, i=1, \ldots, n-1$ will become negative and stay negative as $t$ varies from $t_{0}$ to $t_{1}$. Since, as $t$ varies from $t_{0}$ to $t_{1}$, the derivatives become negative in descending order of $(i)$, there are points
$r_{1}, r_{2}$ with $t_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<t_{1}$ such that $y^{(n-1)}\left(r_{1}\right)=0, y^{(n-3)}\left(r_{2}\right)=0$ and such that $y^{(n-3)}(t)>0$ on $\left[t_{0}, r_{2}\right)$ while $y^{(n-1)}(t)<0$ on $\left(r_{1}, t_{1}\right]$. Therefore $y^{(n-2)}\left(r_{1}\right)>$ $>y^{(n-2)}(t)$ for $t_{0} \leqq t \leqq r_{1}$.

Now multiply (1) by $y^{(n-3)}(t)$ and integrate between $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ to obtain

$$
\left.y^{(n-1)}(s) y^{(n-3)}(s)\right|_{r_{1}} ^{r_{2}}-\left.\left(\frac{y^{(n-2)}(s)}{2}\right)^{2}\right|_{r_{1}} ^{r_{2}}+\int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} q(s)(y(s))^{y}\left(y^{(n-3)}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0
$$

Therefore

$$
\left(\frac{y^{(n-2)}\left(r_{2}\right)}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{y^{(n-2)}\left(r_{1}\right)}{2}\right)^{2}=\int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} q(s)(y(s))^{y}(y(s))^{(n-3)} \mathrm{d} s \geqq 0
$$

and so

$$
\left|y^{(n-2)}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|>\left|y^{(n-2)}\left(r_{2}\right)\right| \geqq\left|y^{(n-2)}\left(r_{1}\right)\right|-\left|y^{(n-2)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|
$$

Let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ be the increasing sequence of zeros of $y(t)$. It then follows from the above argument (since $-y(t)$ is also a solution of (1)) that $\left|y^{(n-2)}\left(t_{n}\right)\right|$ is increasing in $n$. Therefore $y(t)$ is not singular.

Lemma 3. Suppose that $q(t)>0$ and $q^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0$, for $t \geqq a$. If $y(t)$ is an oscillatory solution satisfying the same hypothesis as in Lemma 2, then $|y(t)|$ is nondecreasing at its relative maxima and minima after $t_{0}$.

Proof. Let $u_{1}$ be the maxima of $y(t)$ between $t_{0}$ and $t_{1}$, and $u_{3}$ the minima of $y(t)$ between $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ where $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are as given in the proof of Lemma 2. Let $u_{2}$ be the first zero of $y^{(n-1)}(t)$ after $u_{1}$. Thus we have $u_{1}<u_{2}<u_{3}$. Now multiply (1) by $y^{\prime}(t) / q(t)$ and integrate between $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{y^{(n-1)}(s) y^{\prime}(s)}{q(s)}\right|_{u_{1}} ^{u_{2}}-\int_{u_{1}}^{u_{2}} \frac{y^{(n-1)}(s) y^{\prime \prime}(s)}{q(s)} \mathrm{d} s+ \\
+ & \int_{u_{1}}^{u_{2}} \frac{y^{(n-1)}(s) y^{\prime}(s) q^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s}{(q(s))^{2}}+\left.\frac{(y(s))^{1+\gamma}}{1+\gamma}\right|_{u_{1}} ^{u_{2}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $y^{n-1}(t) \leqq 0, y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqq 0$, and $y^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0$ on $\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right]$, we see that $\left|y\left(u_{3}\right)\right|>\left|y\left(u_{2}\right)\right|>$ $>\left|y\left(u_{1}\right)\right|$. By repeated application of this argument, the lemma follows.
The proof of the existence of oscillatory solutions depends on the behavior of nonoscillatory solutions. The next three lemmas are concerned with this. The next lemma is merely a restatement of a lemma of Kiguradze [2], and will not be proved here.

Lemma 4. If $f(t)$ is a real valued function defined on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{0}$ such that $f(t), \ldots, f^{(n)}(t)>0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ for $n \geqq 1$ and $f^{(n+1)}(t) \leqq 0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, then there is
a number $K>0$ and a $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that

$$
\frac{f^{(i)}(t)}{f^{(i+1)}(t)} \geqq K t \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

for $t \geqq t_{1}$.
Lemma 5. Let $f(t)$ be a positive real valued function defined on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{0}$ and satisfying $(-1)^{i+1} f^{(i)}(t)>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $f^{(n+1)}(t) \leqq 0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then there is a number $L>0$ and a $t_{1} \geqq t_{0}$ such that

$$
\frac{f(t)}{f^{(n)}(t)} \geqq L t^{n}
$$

for $t \geqq t_{1}$.
Proof. Consider the function $G(t)$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
G(t)=f(t)\left(t-t_{0}\right)-f^{\prime}(t) \frac{\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{2}}{2}+\ldots \\
\ldots+\frac{(-1)^{i} f^{(i)}(t)\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}+\ldots+\frac{f^{(n)}(t)\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $G\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and

$$
G^{\prime}(t)=f(t)-\frac{f^{(n+1)}(t)\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}>0
$$

for $t>t_{0}$. Therefore $G(t)>0$ for $t>t_{0}$ which means that

$$
f(t)\left(t-t_{0}\right)-\frac{f^{(n)}(t)\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \geqq 0
$$

for $t \geqq t_{0}$. Therefore

$$
\frac{f(t)}{f^{(n)}(t)} \geqq \frac{\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{n}}{(n+1)!} \geqq L t^{n}
$$

for $t \geqq t_{1} \equiv 2 t_{0}$ and $L=1 /(n+1)!2^{n}$.
Lemma 6. If $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1) and
a) $\int^{\infty} s^{n-2+\gamma} q(s) \mathrm{d} s=\infty$ if $1<\gamma$
b) $\int^{\infty} s^{(n-1) \gamma} q(s) \mathrm{d} s=\infty$ if $0<\gamma<1$
then $|y(t)|$ is eventually nonincreasing.
Proof. If $1<\gamma$ this lemma has been proved by Kiguradze [3]. However it can also be proved in the same manner as we will prove it for $0<\gamma<1$.

Suppose then that $0<\gamma<1$ and that $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution. By Lemma $1, y(t)$ is extendable and we can suppose that $y(t)>0$ for $t \geqq t_{0}$ (since $-y(t)$ is also a solution). To obtain a contradiction suppose that $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqq t_{1} \geqq t_{0}$. Then $y^{(n)}(t)<0$ for $t \geqq t_{1}$ and thus there is a $t_{2} \geqq t_{1}$ and a $k, 1 \leqq k \leqq n-2$, such that $(-1)^{i} y^{(n-i)}(t)<0$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and $t \geqq t_{2}$ and $y^{(n-i)}(t)>0$ for $i=k+1, \ldots, n$ and $t \geqq t_{2}$. Therefore by Lemmas 4 and 5 we see that there is a $K>0$ and a $t_{3} \geqq t_{2}$ such that

$$
\frac{y(t)}{y^{(n-1)}(t)} \geqq K t^{n-1}
$$

for $t \geqq t_{3}$.
We now multiply (1) by $1 /\left(y^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\gamma}$ and integrate between $t_{3}$ and $t, t_{3} \leqq t$ to obtain

$$
\left.\frac{y^{(n-1)}(s)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}\right|_{t_{1}} ^{t} \leqq-K \int_{t_{1}}^{t} s^{(n-1) \gamma} q(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

but this is a contradiction since the right hand side $\rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and the left hand side is bounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We now are ready to prove the major result of this paper. The case $n=3$ has already been discussed in [1]. See also [4].

Theorem. Suppose that
a) $\int^{\infty} s^{n-2+\gamma} q(s) \mathrm{d} s=\infty$ if $1<\gamma$,
b) $\int^{\infty} s^{(n-1) \gamma} q(s) \mathrm{d} s=\infty$ if $0<\gamma<1$.

Then (1) has a nonsingular oscillatory solution $y_{1}(t)$. If $q(t)>0$ and $q^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0$, then $\left|y_{1}\right|$ is increasing at its successive maxima and minima.

Proof. If $y(t)$ is any solution of (1), we define the functional $F(y(t))$ by

$$
F(y(t))=-y^{(n-1)}(t) y(t)+y^{(n-2)}(t) y^{\prime}(t)+\ldots+(-1)^{(n-1) / 2}\left(y^{(n-1) / 2}(t)\right)^{2} .
$$

Then it is easily verified that

$$
F(y(t))=F\left(y\left(t_{0}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} q(s)(y(s))^{\gamma+1} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

for $t \geqq t_{0}$. Therefore $F(y(t))$ is increasing in $t$ along every solution of (1). Now suppose that $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1) and suppose that $y(t)>0$ for $t \geqq t_{0}$. Suppose there is a $t_{1} \geqq t_{0}$ such that $F\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)>0$. It is asserted that $y^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0$ eventually. Suppose $y^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0$ eventually. Then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(i)}(t)=0, i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and therefore $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} F(y(t))=0$. This contradicts $F\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)>0$ and $F(y(t))$ increasing in $t$.

We define the solution $y_{1}(t)$ by the conditions $y_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)=0, y_{1}^{(i)}\left(t_{2}\right)>0, i=1, \ldots$ $\ldots, n-1$, and $F\left(y\left(t_{2}\right)\right)>0$. Then $y_{1}(t)$ is oscillatory by Lemma 6 and the preceding paragraph and is nonsingular by Lemma 2.

The last statement of the theorem is immediate from Lemma 3.

## References

[1] J. W. Heidel: "Qualitative Behavior of Solutions of a Third Order Nonlinear Differential Equation", Pacific J. Math., (3) 27 (1968), 507-526.
[2] I. T. Kiguradze: "Oscillation Properties of Solutions of Certain Ordinary Differential Equations", (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, (1) 144 (1962), 33-36. (Translated as Soviet Math. Dokl. 3 (1962), 649-652.)
[3] I. T. Kiguradze: "Concerning the Oscillation of Solutions of the Equation $\mathrm{d}^{m} u / \mathrm{d} t^{m}+a(t)$. . $|u|^{n} \operatorname{sign} u=0$ '", (Russian), Mat. Sb., 65 (107) 2 (1964), 172-187.
[4] I. T. Kiguradze: "The Problem of Oscillation of Solutions of Nonlinear Differential Equations", (Russian), Differencial'nye Uravnenija, (1) 8 (1965), 995-1006. (Translated as Differential Equations (8) 1 (1965), 773-782.)
[5] Imrich Ličko and Marko Švec: "Le Caractère Oscillatoire des Solutions de l'Equation $y^{(n)}+$ $+f(x) y^{\alpha}=0, n>1$ ", Czechoslovak Math. J., (88) 13 (1963), 481-491.
[6] Paul Waltman: "Oscillation Criteria for Third Order Nonlinear Differential Equations", Pacific J. Math., (2) 18 (1966), 385--389.

Author's address: The University of Tennessee, Knoxville 37916, Tenn. U.S.A.

