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# EQUATION $Z^{\prime}=A(t)-Z^{2}$ <br> COEFFICIENT OF WHICH HAS A SMALL MODULUS 

Miloš Ráb, Brno

(Received January 9, 1970)

In the paper [1] asymptotic properties of solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}=A(t)-Z^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

were studied, $A(t)$ being a continuous complex-valued function defined on the interval $I=\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Sufficient conditions were derived under which the trajectories of this equation behave like those of the equation

$$
Z^{\prime}=A-Z^{2}, \quad A=\text { const } \neq 0
$$

near $t=\infty$.
In this note we will study the excluded case, that means the case when the function $A(t)$ has a small modulus. We will use the same method as in [1] in our investigations.

In what follows let $R$ denote the set of all real numbers and $K$ the set of all complex ones. If $Z=u+i v \in K$, we denote $\operatorname{Re} Z=u, \operatorname{Im} Z=v, \bar{Z}=u-i v,|Z|=\sqrt{ }(Z \bar{Z})$. A curve $Z=Z(t)$ in the argand plane $(u, v)$ is called the trajectory of the equation (1) on an interval $i$ if and only if the function $Z(t)$ satisfies this equation on $i$.

Let a family of circles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{\Lambda \bar{Z}+\bar{\Lambda} Z}{Z \bar{Z}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be given where $\Lambda \in K, \Lambda \neq 0$ and $\gamma$ is a real parameter, $\gamma \in(-\infty, \infty)$. This equation can be written in the form $|\gamma Z-\Lambda|=|\Lambda|$ and represents a parabolic pencil of circles with the radical axis $\Lambda \bar{Z}+\bar{\Lambda} Z=0$ corresponding to $\gamma=0$. The circle $K_{\gamma}$ corresponding to the value $\gamma \neq 0$ has the centre $\Lambda / \gamma$ and the radius $r=|\Lambda| / \gamma$. For $\gamma \rightarrow \pm \infty$ the radius of $K_{\gamma}$ converges to zero. The differential equation of the pencil (2) is of the form

$$
\operatorname{Re} \Lambda \bar{Z}^{2} Z^{\prime}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}=i v \bar{\Lambda} Z^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v \neq 0$ is a real constant. If $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=0, v \operatorname{Im} \Lambda=-1$, then the equation (3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}=-Z^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These considerations imply the following
Lemma 1. The trajectories of the equation (4) form a parabolic pencil of circles having the real axis for the radical one and cutting all curves (2) at the same angle $\varphi$ for which

$$
\cos \varphi=\operatorname{Im} \Lambda \||\Lambda|, \quad \sin \varphi=\operatorname{Re} \Lambda /|\Lambda|
$$

(See Fig. 1)


Fig. 1.
Lemma 2. Let $A(t)$ be continuous on $I$ and let $Z=Z(t)$ be a trajectory of (1). Suppose that there is $a \Lambda \in K, \operatorname{Re} \Lambda \geqq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \bar{A}(t)+\bar{\Lambda} A(t)>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in I$ and denote $M(\Lambda)=\{Z \in K: \Lambda \bar{Z}+\bar{\Lambda} Z>0\}$. If there is a time $t=T$ such that $Z(T) \in M(\Lambda)$, then $Z(t) \in M(\Lambda)$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that at every time $T$ for which $H(T)=\Lambda \bar{Z}(T)+$ $+\bar{\Lambda} Z(T)=0$ it holds $H^{\prime}(T)>0$. The relation $H(T)=0$ implies $\operatorname{Re}[\Lambda \bar{Z}(T)]=0$ and $\Lambda^{2} \bar{Z}^{2}(T)=\bar{\Lambda}^{2} Z^{2}(T)=-(\operatorname{Im}[\Lambda \bar{Z}(T)])^{2}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(T)= & \Lambda \bar{Z}^{\prime}(T)+\bar{\Lambda} Z^{\prime}(T)=\Lambda\left[\bar{A}(T)-\bar{Z}^{2}(T)\right]+\bar{\Lambda}\left[A(T)-Z^{2}(T)\right]= \\
& =\Lambda \bar{A}(T)+\bar{\Lambda} A(T)-\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}} \Lambda^{2} \bar{Z}^{2}(T)-\frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}} \bar{\Lambda}^{2} Z^{2}(T)= \\
& =\Lambda \bar{A}(T)+\bar{\Lambda} A(T)+\frac{2}{\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}}(\operatorname{Im}[\Lambda \bar{Z}(T)])^{2} \operatorname{Re} \Lambda>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.
Theorem 1. Let $A(t)$ be a continuous complex-valued function of the real variable $t \in I$ with bounded modulus. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in I}|A(t)|=\delta \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that there exists a $\Lambda \in K, \operatorname{Re} \Lambda>0$ satisfying (5). Let $\varrho \in R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho>\sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda| \delta}{\operatorname{Re} \Lambda}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $Z=Z(t)$ is a trajectory of (1) satisfying at a time $t_{1} \geqq t_{0}$ the condition $Z\left(t_{1}\right) \in$ $\in M(\Lambda)$, then $Z(t) \in M(\Lambda)$ for all $t \geqq t_{1}$ and

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}|Z(t)|<\varrho .
$$

Proof. Every trajectory $Z=Z(t)$ of (1) satisfying at $t=t_{1}$ the condition $Z\left(t_{1}\right) \in$ $\in M(\Lambda)$, remains in the halfplane

$$
\Lambda \bar{Z}+\bar{\Lambda} Z>0
$$

This is the consequence of Lemma 1. The circle of the pencil (2) passing through the point $Z(t)$ corresponds to the value $\gamma(t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(t)=\frac{\Lambda \bar{Z}(t)+\bar{\Lambda} Z(t)}{Z(t) \bar{Z}(t)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiation yields

$$
\gamma^{\prime}(t)=-2 \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Lambda \bar{Z}^{2}(t)\left[A(t)-Z^{2}(t)\right]}{Z^{2}(t) \bar{Z}^{2}(t)},
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\prime}(t)=\operatorname{Re} \Lambda-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\Lambda A(t)}{Z^{2}(t)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contradiction to the assertion of Lemma 1 suppose $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf |Z(t)| \geqq \varrho$. Choose an $\varepsilon>0$ in such a way that $\varrho-\varepsilon>(|\Lambda| \delta / \operatorname{Re} \Lambda)$. Then there is a $T \in I$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Z(t)|>\varrho-\varepsilon \text { for all } t \geqq T \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (6), and (9) we have the following inequality:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\prime}(t)>\operatorname{Re} \Lambda-\frac{|\Lambda| \delta}{(\varrho-\varepsilon)^{2}}>0 .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\gamma(t)>\gamma(T)+2\left[\operatorname{Re} \Lambda-\frac{|\Lambda| \delta}{(\varrho-\varepsilon)^{2}}\right] t \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { for } \quad t \rightarrow \infty
$$

and this means that the radius of the circle $K_{\gamma(t)}$ of the pencil (2) converges to zero. From this fact the existence of a time $t_{1} \geqq T$ follows such that $\left|Z\left(t_{1}\right)\right|=\varrho-\varepsilon$, which contradicts to (10).

The proof is complete.
Consequence. Let $A(t)$ be a continuous function on $I, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t)=0$. Suppose that there exists a $\Lambda \in K, \operatorname{Re} \Lambda>0$ such that (5) holds. Let $Z=Z(t)$ be trajectory of (1) satisfying the condition $Z\left(t_{1}\right) \in M(\Lambda)$ at a time $t_{1} \geqq t_{0}$. Then $Z(t) \in M(\Lambda)$ for all $t>t_{1}$ and

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}|Z(t)|=0
$$

Theorem 2. Let $A(t)$ be continuous on I. Let linearly independent $\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2} \in K$ exist in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \Lambda_{i}>0, \quad \Lambda_{i} A(t)+\bar{\Lambda}_{i} A(t)>0, \quad i=1,2 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\Lambda=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}\right), M=M\left(\Lambda_{1}\right) \cap M\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$. If $Z=Z(t)$ is any trajectory of $(1)$ satisfying at $t_{1} \geqq t_{0}$ the condition $Z\left(t_{1}\right) \in M$, then $Z(t) \in M$ for all $t \geqq t_{1}$.

Let the modulus of $A(t)$ be bounded on I.
Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta=\sup _{t \geqq t_{1}}|A(t)|, \quad L=\max _{i=1,2} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{i}\right|^{2}}{\left(\Lambda_{i} \bar{\Lambda}+\bar{\Lambda}_{i} \Lambda\right)^{2}}, \\
\gamma_{0} \in R, \quad 0<\gamma_{0}<\sqrt{ }\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re} \Lambda}{L|\Lambda| \delta}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then there exists a time $t_{2} \geqq t_{1}$ such that the point $\mathrm{Z}(t)$ remains in the interior of the circle $K_{\gamma_{0}}$ of the pencil (2) for all $t>t_{2}$.

Proof. Let $Z=Z(t)$ be any trajectory of (1) satisfying at $t=t_{1}$ the condition $Z\left(t_{1}\right) \in M$. Then $Z(t) \in M$ for all $t>t_{1}$ in view of Lemma 2. The circle of the pencil (2) passing through the point $Z(t)$ corresponds to the value $\gamma(t)$ given by means of (8) and its derivative is (9).

Let

$$
R(t)=\left|\operatorname{Re} \frac{\Lambda A(t)}{Z^{2}(t)}\right|
$$

Then we have

$$
R(t) \leqq \gamma^{2}(t)|\Lambda A(t)|\left|\frac{Z(t) \Lambda}{\bar{Z}(t)+\bar{\Lambda} Z(t)}\right|^{2} .
$$

The function

$$
f(Z)=\left|\frac{Z}{\Lambda \bar{Z}+\bar{\Lambda} Z}\right|^{2}
$$

assumes the constant value

$$
\left.f(Z)\right|_{H Z+H Z=0}=\left|\frac{H \bar{H} Z}{H \bar{H} \Lambda \bar{Z}+H \bar{H} \bar{\Lambda} Z}\right|^{2}=\left|\frac{H}{H \bar{\Lambda}-\bar{H} \Lambda}\right|^{2}=F(H)
$$

on the line $H \bar{Z}+\bar{H} Z=0, H \in K, H \neq 0$.
Consider the values of the function $F(H)$ on the circle $|H|=|\Lambda|$. Here, the function $F(H)$ is defined for all $H \neq \pm \Lambda$, is positive, reaches its minimum $\frac{1}{4}|\Lambda|^{-2}$ for $H=$ $= \pm i \Lambda$ and $F(H) \rightarrow \infty$ for $H \rightarrow \pm \Lambda$. Then, in the domain $M$, the function $f(Z)$ reaches its greatest value on one of the lines $\Lambda_{i} \bar{Z}+\bar{\Lambda}_{i} Z=0, i=1$, 2. Thus, at every point $Z(t) \in M$, the following inequality holds:

$$
R(t) \leqq L|\Lambda||A(t)| \gamma^{2}(t)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \Lambda-L|\Lambda A(t)| \gamma^{2}(t) \leqq \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\prime}(t) \leqq \operatorname{Re} \Lambda+L|\Lambda A(t)| \gamma^{2}(t) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Gamma_{0}=2\left[\operatorname{Re} \Lambda-L|\Lambda| \delta \gamma_{0}^{2}\right]$. According to (12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\prime}(t) \geqq \Gamma_{0}>0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\gamma<\gamma_{0}$.
If $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right) \geqq \gamma_{0}$, then $\gamma(t)>\gamma_{0}$ for all $t>t_{1}$; this is a consequence of the fact that $\gamma(t)=\gamma_{0}$ implies $\gamma^{\prime}(t)>0$ with respect to (14). If $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right)<\gamma_{0}$, we proceed as follows:
assuming $\gamma(t)<\gamma_{0}$ for all $t>t_{0}$ and integrating (14) from $t_{1}$ to $t$ we get

$$
\gamma(t)>m+\Gamma_{0} t
$$

where $m=\gamma\left(t_{1}\right)-\Gamma_{0} t_{1}$, so that $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ which contradicts to the fact that $\gamma(t)<\gamma_{0}$. Consequently, there is a $t_{2}>t_{1}$ such that $\gamma\left(t_{2}\right)=\gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma(t)>\gamma_{0}$ for all $t-t_{2}$.

Consequence. Let $A(t)$ be continuous on $I$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t)=0$. Suppose that linearly independent constants $\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2} \in K$ exist satisfying (11). Let $\Lambda$ and $M$ have the same meaning as in the preceding Theorem.

Then every trajectory $Z=Z(t)$ of the equation (1) satisfying at a time $t_{1} \geqq t_{0}$ the condition $Z\left(t_{1}\right) \in M$ converges to the origin in such a way that it remains in $M$ for all $t>t_{1}$.

Note. If for example $A(t)=a(t)+i b(t), \Lambda=\lambda+i \mu$ and $a(t) \geqq 0, b(t) \geqq 0$, $a^{2}(t)+b^{2}(t)>0$, then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \bar{A}(t)+\bar{\Lambda} A(t)=\lambda a(t)+\mu b(t)>0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\lambda>0, \mu>0$. That means: every trajectory $Z=Z(t)$ of the equation (1) starting at a point $Z$ of the domain $M=\{Z \in K: \operatorname{Re} Z>0$ or $\operatorname{Im} Z>0\}$ remains for $t \rightarrow \infty$ in $M$.

Theorem 3. Assume $A(t)$ to be continuous on $I$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}|A(t)| \mathrm{d} t<\infty \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let linearly independent $\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2} \in K$ exist satisfying (11). Let $\Lambda$ and $M$ preserve their meaning from Theorem 1. Then every trajectory of (1) satisfying at a time $t_{1} \geqq t_{0}$ the condition $Z\left(t_{1}\right) \in M$ remains in $M$ for all $t>t_{1}$ and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t)=0
$$

Proof. Let $Z=Z(t)$ be a trajectory of (1) starting at a point of $M$. In view of Lemma $2, Z(t) \in M$ for all $t>t_{1}$. The circle of the pencil (2) passing through the point $Z(t)$ corresponds to the value $\gamma(t)$ for which the inequalities (13) hold.

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
-L|\Lambda A(t)| \leqq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma^{\prime}(t)}{\gamma^{2}(t)}-\frac{\operatorname{Re} \Lambda}{\gamma^{2}(t)} \leqq L|\Lambda A(t)| . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating these inequalities over $\left\langle t_{1}, t\right\rangle$ we see, according to (16), that the assumption $\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{d} t / \gamma^{2}(t)\right)=\infty$ would imply

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{\gamma^{\prime}(s)}{\gamma^{2}(s)} \mathrm{d} s=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[\gamma^{-1}\left(t_{1}\right)-\gamma^{-1}(t)\right]=\infty
$$

which contradicts to the fact that $\gamma(t)>0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\gamma^{2}(t)}<\infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from (17) we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{\gamma^{\prime}(t)}{\gamma^{2}(t)}\right| \leqq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \Lambda}{\gamma^{2}(t)}+L|\Lambda A(t)|
$$

Hence and from (18) we have

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\gamma^{\prime}(t)}{\gamma^{2}(t)}\right| \mathrm{d} t<\infty .
$$

This implies the existence of a finite limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{-1}(t)$ and with respect to (18) it holds $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \infty$. But this means $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t)=0$ and the proof is complete.
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