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1. Suppose $X$ is a Banach space and $X^{*}$ is the dual space of $X$. Let $J$ be the interval $-\infty<t<\infty$. A continuous function $f: J \rightarrow X$ is said to be (Bochner or strongly) almost periodic if, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive real number $l=l(\varepsilon)$ such that any interval of the real line of length $l$ contains at least one point $\tau$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in J}\|f(t+\tau)-f(t)\| \leqq \varepsilon \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Maak's criterion for almost periodicity (MaAK [4], pp. 93-96 and 151-153) is as follows:

A continuous function $f: J \rightarrow X$ is almost periodic if and only if, given $\varepsilon>0$, there is a partition $J=E_{1} \cup \ldots \cup E_{m}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(\xi+t)-f(\eta+t)\|<\varepsilon \quad \text { for all } t \in J, \quad \xi, \eta \in E_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that a function $f: J \rightarrow X$ is weakly almost periodic if the scalar-valued function $\left\langle x^{*}, f(t)\right\rangle=x^{*} f(t)$ is almost periodic for each $x^{*} \in X^{*}$.

For $1 \leqq p<\infty$, a function $f \in L_{\mathrm{ioc}}^{p}(J ; X)$ is said to be Stepanov-bounded or $S^{p}$-bounded if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{s^{p}}=\sup _{t \in J}\left[\int_{t}^{t+1}\|f(s)\|^{p} \mathrm{~d} s\right]^{1 / p}<\infty . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $1 \leqq p<\infty$, a function $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}(J ; X)$ is said to be Stepanov almost periodic or $S^{p}$-almost periodic if, given $\varepsilon>0$, there is a positive real number $l=l(\varepsilon)$ such that any interval of the real line of length $l$ contains at least one point $\tau$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in J}\left[\int_{t}^{t+1}\|f(s+\tau)-f(s)\|^{p} \mathrm{~d} s\right]^{1 / p} \leqq \varepsilon \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathscr{L}(X, X)$ the set of all bounded linear operators of $X$ into itself. An operator-valued function $G: J \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(X, X)$ is called a (strongly) continuous group if

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(0)=I=\text { the identity operator of } X ; \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)=G\left(t_{1}\right) G\left(t_{2}\right) \text { for all } t_{1}, t_{2} \in J  \tag{1.6}\\
& \text { for each } x \in X, G(t) x, \quad t \in J \rightarrow X \text { is continuous. } \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The infinitesimal generator $A$ of $G(t)$ is a closed linear operator, with domain $D(A)$ dense in $X$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{G(t) x-x}{t} \text { for all } x \in D(A) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Dunford and Schwartz [3]).
The function $G: J \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(X, X)$ is said to be weakly almost periodic if $G(t) x$, $t \in J \rightarrow X$ is weakly almost periodic for each $x \in X$.

Our main result is as follows (see Theorem 4, Zaidman [6]).
Theorem 1. Let $A$ be the infinitesimal generator of a weakly almost periodic continuous group $G: J \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(X, X)$. Suppose $T \in \mathscr{L}(X, X)$ is a compact operator commuting with $G(t)$ for all $t \in J, T^{-1}$ exists on a dense set in $X$, and the adjoint operator $\left(T^{-1}\right)^{*}$ is defined on a dense set in $X^{*}$. Further, suppose that, for $1 \leqq p<$ $<\infty, f: J \rightarrow X$ is an $S^{p}$-almost periodic continuous function, and that $u: J \rightarrow D(A)$ is $a$ (strong) solution of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t) \quad \text { on } \quad J . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, if $u$ is $S^{p}$-bounded on $J$, it is weakly almost periodic from $J$ to the Banach space $X$.
2. We shall require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=(A+B) u(t)+f(t) \quad \text { on } \quad J \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is a bounded linear operator of $X$ into itself. Any solution of (2.1) admits the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=G(t) u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(t-s)[B u(s)+f(s)] \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { on } \quad J . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By applying the operator $G(t-s)$ to (2.1) (with an arbitrary but fixed $t \in J$ ), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t-s)\left[u^{\prime}(s)-A u(s)\right]=G(t-s)[B u(s)+f(s)] \text { for } s \in J . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}[G(t-s) u(s)]=G(t-s)\left[u^{\prime}(s)-A u(s)\right] . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, integrating (2.3) from 0 to $t$, we obtain the representation (2.2).

Lemma 2. If $g: J \rightarrow X$ is almost periodic, and if $G: J \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(X, X)$ is weakly almost periodic, then $G(t) g(t)$ is weakly almost periodic from $J$ to $X$.
Proof. For an arbitrary but fixed $x^{*} \in X^{*},\left\{x^{*} G(t)\right\}_{t \in J}$ is a family of bounded linear functionals on $X$. Under the assumption made on $G$, for each $x \in X$, the scalarvalued function $x^{*} G(t) x$ is almost periodic, and so is bounded on $J$. Hence, by the uniform boundedness principle,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in J}\left\|x^{*} G(t)\right\|=M<\infty . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g$ is almost periodic, its range $g(J)$ is relatively compact. Consequently, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exist finitely many $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k} \in g(J)$ which form an $(\varepsilon / 4 M)$-net for $g(J)$. Now, by Maak's criterion, we can find a partition $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{m}$ of $J$ such that, for all $t \in J$ and $\xi, \eta \in E_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|g(\xi+t)-g(\eta+t)\|<\varepsilon / 4 M, \quad\left|x^{*} G(\xi+t) y_{j}-x^{*} G(\eta+t) y_{j}\right|<\varepsilon / 4  \tag{2.6}\\
j=1,2, \ldots, k
\end{gather*}
$$

For fixed $t \in J$ and for fixed $\xi, \eta \in E_{i}$ with fixed $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, there is $y_{v}$ in the $(\varepsilon / 4 M)$-net for $g(J)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(\eta+t)-y_{v}\right\|<\varepsilon / 4 M \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by (2.5)-(2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{*} G(\xi+t) g(\xi+t)-x^{*} G(\eta+t) g(\eta+t)\right| \leqq \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leqq\left\|x^{*} G(\xi+t)\right\| \cdot\|g(\xi+t)-g(\eta+t)\|+\left\|x^{*} G(\xi+t)\right\| \cdot\left\|g(\eta+t)-y_{v}\right\|+ \\
+\left|x^{*} G(\xi+t) y_{v}-x^{*} G(\eta+t) y_{v}\right|+\left\|x^{*} G(\eta+t)\right\| \cdot\left\|y_{v}-g(\eta+t)\right\|< \\
<M \cdot(\varepsilon / 4 M)+M \cdot(\varepsilon / 4 M)+\varepsilon / 4+M \cdot(\varepsilon / 4 M)=\varepsilon .
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, we can demonstrate the continuity of $x^{*} G(t) g(t)$. Thus the desired conclusion follows.

Lemma 3. If $h: J \rightarrow X$ is a bounded function such that $x^{*} h(t)$ is almost periodic for a dense set of elements $x^{*}$ in the dual space $X^{*}$, then $h(t)$ is weakly almost periodic from $J$ to $X$.

This result is a consequence of the fact that a uniformly convergent sequence of almost periodic functions has an almost periodic limit.

Lemma 4. Suppose that, for $1 \leqq p<\infty$, a continuous function $\Phi$ is $S^{p}$-almost periodic from $J$ to a reflexive space $Y$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \Phi(s) \mathrm{d} s \text { on } J \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, if $\Phi$ is $S^{p}$-bounded, it is almost periodic from J to $Y$.

Proof. See Note (ii), Rao [5].
3. Proof of Theorem 1. From (2.2) with $B=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=G(t) u(0)+G(t) \int_{0}^{t} G(-s) f(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { on } \quad J \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\delta}(t)=\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{0}^{\delta} f(t+s) \mathrm{d} s \text { for } \delta>0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is $S^{p}$-almost periodic, and hence is $S^{1}$-almost periodic, it follows easily that $f_{\delta}$ is almost periodic for each fixed $\delta>0$. As shown for scalar-valued functions in Besicovitch [2], pp. $80-81$, we can prove that $f_{\delta} \rightarrow f$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in the $S^{1}$ sense, that is,

$$
\sup _{t \in J} \int_{t}^{t+1}\left\|f(s)-f_{\delta}(s)\right\| \mathrm{d} s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \delta \rightarrow 0
$$

Obviously, $G(-s), s \in J \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(X, X)$ is weakly almost periodic. Now, for an arbitrary but fixed $x^{*} \in X^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*} G(-s) f(s)=x^{*} G(-s)\left[f(s)-f_{\delta}(s)\right]+x^{*} G(-s) f_{\delta}(s), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, by (2.5),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{t \in J} \int_{t}^{t+1}\left|x^{*} G(-s)\left[f(s)-f_{\delta}(s)\right]\right| \mathrm{d} s \leqq  \tag{3.4}\\
\leqq M \sup _{t \in J} \int_{t}^{t+1}\left\|f(s)-f_{\delta}(s)\right\| \mathrm{d} s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \delta \rightarrow 0
\end{gather*}
$$

By Lemma 2, the functions $x^{*} G(-s) f_{\delta}(s)$ are almost periodic from $J$ to the scalars. So it follows from (3.3)-(3.4) that $x^{*} G(-s) f(s)$ is $S^{1}$-almost periodic from $J$ to the scalars.

By (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*} G(-t) u(t)=x^{*} u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} x^{*} G(-s) f(s) \mathrm{d} s \text { on } J . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By our assumption, $u$ is $S^{p}$-bounded, and hence is $S^{1}$-bounded. Consequently, by (2.5), $x^{*} G(-t) u(t)$ is $S^{1}$-bounded. Thus, by Lemma $4, x^{*} G(-t) u(t)$ is almost periodic from $J$ to the scalars. Hence it follows that $G(-t) u(t)$ is weakly almost periodic from $J$ to $X$.

From (2.5), again by the uniform boundedness principle, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in J}\|G(t)\|=K<\infty \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $u(t)=G(t)[G(-t) u(t)]$ is bounded on $J$.
Since $T$ is a bounded linear operator of $X$ into itself, $T G(-t) u(t)$ is also weakly almost periodic from $J$ to $X . T$ being a compact operator, the range of $T G(-t) u(t)$ is relatively compact. Therefore, by Theorem 10, p. 45, Amerio and Prouse [1], $T G(-t) u(t)$ is almost periodic from $J$ to $X$. Thus, again by Lemma 2, $G(t) T G(-t)$. . $u(t)=T u(t)$ is weakly almost periodic from $J$ to $X$.

Now, for each $x^{*} \in D\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)^{*}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*} u(t)=x^{*} T^{-1} T u(t)=\left(x^{*} T^{-1}\right)(T u(t))=\left[\left(T^{-1}\right)^{*} x^{*}\right](T u(t)), \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left[\left(T^{-1}\right)^{*} x^{*}\right](T u(t))$ being almost periodic from $J$ to the scalars. So, by Lemma $3, u$ is weakly almost periodic from $J$ to $X$, completing the proof of the theorem.
4. Here we prove the following result.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $G$, Tand $f$ are defined as in Theorem 1. Let $u: J \rightarrow D(A)$ be a solution of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=(A+B) u(t)+f(t) \quad \text { on } \quad J, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is a bounded linear operator of $X$ into itself. Then, if $u$ is $S^{p}$-almost periodic from $J$ to $X$, it is also weakly almost periodic ( $X$ a Banach space).

Proof. From (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=G(t) u(0)+G(t) \int_{0}^{t} G(-s)[B u(s)+f(s)] \mathrm{d} s \text { on } J . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, for an arbitrary but fixed $x^{*} \in X^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*} G(-t) u(t)=x^{*} u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} x^{*} G(-s)[B u(s)+f(s)] \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { on } \quad J . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $B u(t)+f(t), t \in J \rightarrow X$ is $S^{p}$-almost periodic. As shown in the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove that $x^{*} G(-t) u(t)$ and $x^{*} G(-t)[B u(t)+f(t)]$ are $S^{1}$-almost periodic from $J$ to the scalars. By Theorem 8, p. 79, Amerio and Prouse [1], $x^{*} G(-t) u(t)$ is uniformly continuous on $J$. Consequently, by Theorem 7, p. 78, Amerio and Prouse [1], $x^{*} G(-t) u(t)$ is almost periodic from $J$ to the scalars. So it follows that $G(-t) u(t)$ is weakly almost periodic from $J$ to $X$. Now the remaining part of the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1.

Remark 1. We note that, if, for some complex number $\lambda,(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$ is a compact linear operator of $X$, and if the adjoint operator $A^{*}$ is densely defined in $X^{*}$, then we may take $(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$ for $T$ in Theorems 1 and 2 , since

$$
(\lambda I-A)^{-1} G(t)=G(t)(\lambda I-A)^{-1} \quad \text { for all } t \in J .
$$

Remark 2. Theorems 1 and 2 remain valid if $f$ is weakly almost periodic instead of $S^{p}$-almost periodic, with $u$ being bounded on $J$.

Proof. (a) By (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T G(-t) u(t)=T u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(-s)(T f)(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { on } \quad J \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(T f)(t)$ is almost periodic, $G(-t)(T f)(t), t \in J \rightarrow X$ is weakly almost periodic (by Lemma 2).

By our assumption, $u(t)$ is bounded on $J$, and hence $G(-t) u(t)$ and $T G(-t) u(t)$ are bounded on $J$ (by (3.6)).

So, by Bohl-Bohr's theorem, $T G(-t) u(t)$ is weakly almost periodic, and hence is almost periodic. Now the remainder of the proof parallels that of Theorem 1.
(b) By (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T G(-t) u(t)=T u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(-s)[T B u(s)+T f(s)] \mathrm{d} s \text { on } J . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $T f(t)$ is almost periodic and $T B u(t)$ is $S^{p}$-almost periodic. Hence it follows that $T G(-t) u(t)$ is weakly almost periodic. So the remaining part of the proof is again similar to that of Theorem 1.

I would like to express my thanks to Prof. S. Zaidman for his very useful comments as well as for the financial support from his N.R.C. grant during the preparation of this paper.
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