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# ON REPRESENTATIONS OF TOLERANCE ORDERED COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUPS 

Bedrílch Pondělíčéek, Praha

(Received Dezember 7, 1979)

In this paper we shall give an algebraic representation and a categorial representation of tolerance ordered commutative semigroups. This investigation was started by V. Trnková [1] and [2] who considered the representations of non-ordered commutative semigroups. In [3] J. Adámek and V. Koubek studied the representations of ordered commutative semigroups.

By a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\langle S,+, \leqq, \sim\rangle$ we mean an ordered commutative semigroup $\langle S,+, \leqq\rangle$ on which there exists a tolerance (i.e., reflexive and symmetric) relation $\sim$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) If $x \sim u$ ano $y \sim v$, then $x+y \sim u+v$.
(2) If $x \sim y, x \leqq u$ and $y \leqq v$, then $u \sim v$.

Let $\mathscr{S}=\langle S,+, \leqq, \sim\rangle, \mathscr{P}=\langle P,+, \leqq, \approx\rangle$ be two tolerance ordered commutative semigroups. A mapping $h: S \rightarrow P$ is said to be an isomorphic mapping of $\mathscr{S}$ into $\mathscr{P}$ if $h$ is an injective homomorphism of the semigroup $\langle S,+\rangle$ into the semigroup $\langle P,+\rangle$ satisfying the following conditions for $x, y \in S$ :
(3) $x \leqq y$ if and only if $h(x) \leqq h(y)$;
(4) $x \sim y$ if and only if $h(x) \approx h(y)$.

We shall say that $\mathscr{S}$ is a tolerance ordered subsemigroup of $\mathscr{P}$ (write $\mathscr{S} \subseteq \mathscr{P}$ ) if $S \cong P$ and the embedding of $S$ into $P$ is an isomorphic mapping of $\mathscr{S}$ into $\mathscr{P}$.

Proposition 1. Let $a, b$ be two elements of a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}=\langle S,+, \leqq, \sim\rangle$ such that $a \sim b$. Then there exists a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{P}=\langle P,+, \leqq, \approx\rangle$ with $\mathscr{S} \cong \mathscr{P}$ and card $P=\aleph_{0}$.card $S$ such that $z \leqq a, z \leqq b$ for some $z \in P$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{S}=\langle S,+, \leqq, \sim\rangle$ be a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup and let $a, b \in S$ and $a \sim b$. By $N$ we denote the additive semigroup of non-negative integers. We can suppose that $0 \in N \backslash S$. Put $Z=S \cup\{0\}$ with $x+0=x=0+x$ for all $x \in Z$. Define $0 \leqq 0$ and $0 \sim 0$ and suppose that there exists no element $x$ of $S$ such that either $0 \leqq x$ or $x \leqq 0$ or $0 \sim x$. It is easy to show that $\langle Z,+, \leqq, \sim\rangle$
is a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup. Put $P=Z \times N$. Evidently, card $P=$ $=\aleph_{0}$. card $S$.
Define an operation + in $P:(s, m)+(t, n)=(s+t, m+n)$ for $s, t \in Z$ and $m, n \in N$. It is clear that $\langle P,+\rangle$ is a commutative semigroup. For any $s \in S$ we put $\varphi(s)=(s, 0)$. Then $\varphi$ is an isomorphic mapping of the semigroup $\langle S,+\rangle$ into the semigroup $\langle P,+\rangle$.

Define a relation $\leqq$ on $P:(s, m) \leqq(t, n)$ for $s, t \in Z$ and $m, n \in N$ if and only if $m=m_{1}+m_{2}+n$ and $s+m_{1} a+m_{2} b \leqq t$ for some $m_{1}, m_{2} \in N$. (Notice that $0 x=0$ and $k x=(k-1) x+x$ for $x \in Z$ and $k-1 \in N$.) It is clear that the relation $\leqq$ is reflexive. We shall show that $\leqq$ is transitive. Let $s, t, u \in Z, m, n, p \in N$, $(s, m) \leqq(t, n)$ and $(t, n) \leqq(u, p)$. Then $m=m_{1}+m_{2}+n, s+m_{1} a+m_{2} b \leqq t$, $n=n_{1}+n_{2}+p$ and $t+n_{1} a+n_{2} b \leqq u$ for some $m_{1}, m_{2}, n_{1}, n_{2} \in N$. Hence we have $m=\left(m_{1}+n_{1}\right)+\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right)+p, s+\left(m_{1}+n_{1}\right) a+\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right) b \leqq u$ and so $(s, m) \leqq(u, p)$. Now we shall prove that the relation $\leqq$ is antisymmetric. Suppose that $(s, m) \leqq(t, n)$ and $(t, n) \leqq(s, m)$, where $s, t \in Z$ and $m, n \in N$. Then $m=m_{1}+m_{2}+n, s+m_{1} a+m_{2} b \leqq t, n=n_{1}+n_{2}+m$ and $t+n_{1} a+n_{2} b \leqq$ $\leqq s$ for some $m_{1}, m_{2}, n_{1}, n_{2} \in N$. Hence we have $m_{1}=m_{2}=n_{1}=n_{2}=0$ and so $m=n, s=t$. Therefore, $(s, m)=(t, n)$. Finally, we shall show that the order $\leqq$ is compatible with + . Let $(s, m),(t, n),(u, p) \in P$ and $(s, m) \leqq(t, n)$. Then $m=m_{1}+$ $+m_{2}+n$ and $s+m_{1} a+m_{2} b \leqq t$ for some $m_{1}, m_{2} \in N$. Hence we have $m+p=$ $=m_{1}+m_{2}+(n+p),(s+u)+m_{1} a+m_{2} b \leqq t+u$ and so $(s, m)+(u, p) \leqq$ $\leqq(t, n)+(u, p)$. Thus $\langle P,+, \leqq\rangle$ is an ordered commutative semigroup. It is easy to show that for $s, t \in S$ we have $s \leqq t$ if and only if $\varphi(s)=(s, 0) \leqq(t, 0)=$ $=\varphi(t)$. This implies that $\varphi$ is an isomorphic mapping of the ordered semigroup $\langle S,+, \leqq\rangle$ into the ordered semigroup $\langle P,+, \leqq\rangle$.

Define a relation $\approx$ on $P:(s, m) \approx(t, n)$ for $s, t \in Z$ and $m, n \in N$ if and only if there exist $\left(s_{1}, p\right),\left(t_{1} p\right) \in P$ such that $\left(s_{1}, p\right) \leqq(s, m),\left(t_{1}, p\right) \leqq(t, n)$ and $s_{1} \sim t_{1}$. Clearly, $\approx$ is a tolerance relation on $P$. We shall show that $\approx$ is compatible with + (i.e., $\approx$ satisfies $(1))$. Let $(s, m),(t, n),(u, p),(v, r) \in P$ and $(s, m) \approx(t, n),(u, p) \approx$ $\approx(v, r)$. Then there exist $\left(s_{1}, k\right),\left(t_{1}, k\right),\left(u_{1}, l\right),\left(v_{1}, l\right) \in P$ such that $\left(s_{1}, k\right) \leqq(s, m)$, $\left(t_{1}, k\right) \leqq(t, n),\left(u_{1}, l\right) \leqq(u, p),\left(v_{1}, l\right) \leqq(v, r), s_{1} \sim t_{1}$ and $u_{1} \sim v_{1}$. Hence we have $\left(s_{1}+u_{1}, k+l\right) \supseteqq(s+u, m+p),\left(t_{1}+v_{1}, k+l\right) \leqq(t+v, n+r), s_{1}+u_{1} \sim$ $\sim t_{1}+v_{1}$ and so $(s, m)+(u, p) \approx(t, n)+(v, r)$. It is easy to show that the relation $\approx$ satisfies (2) nad so $\langle P,+, \leqq, \approx\rangle$ is a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup. Now we shall prove that for $s, t \in S$ we have $s \sim t$ if and only if $(s, 0) \approx(t, 0)$. Evidently, $s \sim t$ implies that $(s, 0) \approx(t, 0)$. Suppose that $(s, 0) \approx(t, 0)$. Then there exist $\left(s_{1}, k\right),\left(t_{1}, k\right) \in P$ such that $\left(s_{1}, k\right) \leqq(s, 0),\left(t_{1}, k\right) \leqq(t, 0)$ and $s_{1} \sim t_{1}$. This implies that $k=k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}$ for some $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3} \in N$ such that either

$$
x=s_{1}+k_{1} a+k_{2} a+k_{3} b \leqq s, \quad y=t_{1}+k_{1} a+k_{2} b+k_{3} b \leqq t
$$

or

$$
x=s_{1}+k_{1} a+k_{2} b+k_{3} b \leqq s, \quad y=t_{1}+k_{1} a+k_{2} a+k_{3} b \leqq t .
$$

Since by hypothesis $a \sim b$, we have $x \sim y$ and so $s \sim t$. Hence $\varphi$ is an isomorphic mapping of the tolerance ordered semigroup $S$ into the tolerance ordered semigroup $P$. We put $z=(0,1)$. It is clear that $z \leqq(a, 0)=\varphi(a)$ and $z \leqq(b, 0)=\varphi(b)$. This concludes the proof.

Let $\langle Q,+, \leqq\rangle$ be an arbitrairy ordered commutative semigroup. We can define a compatible tolerance $\approx$ on $Q$ in a natural way. For $x, y \in Q$ we put $x \approx y$ if and only if there exists $z \in Q$ such that $z \leqq x$ and $z \leqq y$. Clearly, $\langle Q,+, \leqq, \approx\rangle$ is a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup. We shall write $\approx=\tau(\leqq)$.

Proposition 2. For every tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}=\langle S,+\leqq, \sim\rangle$ there exists a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{Q}=\langle Q,+, \leqq, \tau(\leqq)\rangle$ such that $\mathscr{S} \subseteq \mathscr{Q}$ and $\operatorname{card} Q=\aleph_{0}$. card $S$.

The proof is a simple adaptation of the proof of Theorem 1.3 [3] and proceeds in two steps by iterating Proposition 1.
(I). For $\mathscr{S}$ there exists a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}^{*}=$ $=\left\langle S^{*},+, \leqq, \approx\right\rangle$ with $\mathscr{S} \cong \mathscr{S}^{*}$, card $S^{*}=\aleph_{0}$. card $S$ and whenever $x \sim y$ in $S(!)$, then exists $z$ in $\mathscr{S}^{*}$ such that $z \leqq x$ and $z \leqq y$.

Proof. By $C$ we denote the set of all couples $(x, y)$ in $\mathscr{S}$ with $x \sim y$ (i.e., $C=\sim$ on $S$ ) and we choose a bijective mapping $m: \alpha \rightarrow C$, where $\alpha=\operatorname{card} C$. Define a chain of semigroups $\mathscr{S}_{i}=\left\langle S_{i},+, \leqq, \sim\right\rangle$, where $i$ is an ordinal $<\alpha$, i.e., $i \in \alpha$. Put $\mathscr{S}_{0}=\mathscr{S}$. Given $\mathscr{S}_{i}$, then according to Proposition 1 there exists a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}_{i+1}$ with respect to the couple $m(i)=(x, y)$ in $S$ such that $\mathscr{S}_{i} \leqq \mathscr{S}_{i+1}$, card $S_{i+1}=\aleph_{0}$. card $S_{i}$ and $z \leqq x, z \leqq y$ for some $z \in S_{i+1}$. Given $\mathscr{S}_{j}, j<i$, for a limit ordinal $i$, we put $S_{i}=\bigcup_{j<i} S_{j}$. This is a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}_{i} ;+, \leqq$ and $\sim$ are defined in the obvious inductive way. The tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}^{*}$ with $S^{*}=\bigcup_{i<\alpha} S_{i}$ satisfies the condition (I).
(II). Using the symbol ${ }^{*}$ as in (I) we define a sequence of tolerance ordered commutative semigroups $\mathscr{2}_{n}=\left\langle Q_{n},+, \leqq, \sim\right\rangle$ such that $\mathscr{Q}_{0}=\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{Q}_{n+1}=\left(\mathscr{Q}_{n}\right)^{*}$ for any $n \in N$. We can prove by an alogous argument as in (I) that $\mathscr{Q}=\langle Q,+$, $\leqq, \approx>$ with $Q=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{n}$ is a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup, $\mathscr{S} \cong \mathscr{Q}$ and card $Q=\aleph_{0}$. card $S$. We shall show that $\approx=\tau(\leqq)$. It follows from (2) that $\tau(\leqq) \subseteq \approx$. Let $x \approx y$ in $\mathscr{2}$. Then $x \sim y$ in $\mathscr{Q}_{n}$ for some $n \in N$ and so there exists $z$ in $\mathscr{Q}_{n+1}$ such that $z \leqq x$ and $z \leqq y$. Therefore $x \tau(\leqq) y$ in $\mathscr{Q}$ and thus we have $\mathscr{2}=$ $=\langle Q,+, \leqq, \tau(\leqq)\rangle$.
Now, we shall prove an algebraic representational result. Let $\alpha$ be an arbitrary cardinal. Denote by $N^{\alpha}$ the additive semigroup of all functions $f: \alpha \rightarrow N$, and by $\exp N^{\alpha}$ the set of all non-void subsets of $N^{\alpha}$. For $A, B \in \exp N^{\alpha}$ we put $A+B=$ $=\{f+g ; f \in A$ and $g \in B\}$. Then $\left\langle\exp N^{\alpha},+, \cong, \tau(\cong)\right\rangle=\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$ is a tolerance
ordered commutative semigroup (via inclusion). It is clear that $A \tau(\cong) B$ if and only if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 1. ( $\mathscr{N}_{\alpha}$ are universal tolerance ordered commutative semigroups.) For every tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}=\langle S,+, \leqq, \sim\rangle$ there exists an isomorphic mapping $h$ of $\mathscr{S}$ into $\mathscr{N}_{\alpha}$, where $\alpha=\aleph_{0}$. card $S$.

Proof. Given $\mathscr{S}$, then according to Proposition 2 there exists a tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{2}=\langle Q,+, \leqq, \tau(\leqq)\rangle$ such that $\mathscr{S} \subseteq \mathscr{2}$. It follows from the theorems of 1.3 [3] that $\langle Q,+, \leqq\rangle$ is an ordered subsemigroup of an ordered semigroup $\langle R,+, \leqq\rangle$. There exists an injective homomorphism $h$ of $\langle R,+\rangle$ into $\left\langle\exp N^{\alpha},+\right\rangle$, where $\alpha=\aleph_{0}$. card $Q=\aleph_{0}$. card $S$, such that $x \leqq y$, if and only if $h(x) \cong h(y)$ for any $x, y \in R$. If $x \tau(\leqq) y$ in $R$, then there is $z \in R$ such that $z \leqq x$ and $z \leqq y$ and so $h(z) \cong h(x)$ and $h(z) \cong h(y)$. Then $h(z) \cong h(x) \cap h(y) \neq \emptyset$ and so $h(x) \tau(\cong) h(y)$ in $\exp N^{\alpha}$. Conversely, if $h(x) \cap h(y) \neq \emptyset$ then it follows from the construction of $h$ in the second theorem of 1.3 [3] that there is $z \in R$ such that $h(z) \leqq h(x) \cap h(y)$. Then $z \leqq x$ and $z \leqq y$. Putting $\mathscr{R}=\langle R,+, \leqq, \tau(\leqq)\rangle$ we see that $h$ is an isomorphic mapping of $\mathscr{R}$ into $\mathscr{N}_{\alpha}$. To prove our theorem, it suffices to show that $\mathscr{S} \cong \mathscr{R}$.

It is clear that $\mathscr{2} \subseteq \mathscr{R}$ if and only if $\tau(\leqq) \cap(Q \times Q) \subseteq \tau(\leqq)$. By way of contradiction, we assume that there exist $a, b \in Q$ such that $a \tau(\leqq) b$ and $a$ non $\tau(\leqq) b$. Putting $W=\{w \in R ; w \leqq a$ and $w \leqq b\}$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \neq \emptyset=W \cap Q . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from part (II) of the first theorem of 1.3 [3] that $R=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_{n}$, where $R_{0}=Q$ and $R_{n} \subseteq R_{n+1}$ for any $n \in N$. According to (5) there exists $m \in N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \cap R_{m+1} \neq \emptyset=R_{m} \cap W . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By part (I) of the first theorem of 1.3[3] we have $R_{m+1}=\bigcup_{i<\alpha} Q_{i}$ for a certain ordinal $\alpha$, where $Q_{0}=R_{m}$ and $Q_{i} \subseteq Q_{j}$ for arbitrary ordinals $i \leqq j<\alpha$. It follows from (6) that there exists an ordinal $\beta$ such that $0<\beta<\alpha, W \cap Q_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ and $W \cap Q_{i}=\emptyset$ for any ordinal $i<\beta$. If $\beta$ is a limit number, then it follows from (I) of 1.3 [3] that $Q_{\beta}=\bigcup_{i<\beta} Q_{i}$ and so $W \cap Q_{j} \neq \emptyset$ for some $j<\beta$, which is a contradiction. If $\beta$ is an isolated number, then there exists an ordinal $\gamma$ such that $\beta=\gamma+1$. It is clear that $a, b \in Q_{\gamma}$. Since $W \cap Q_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, we have $z \leqq a, z \leqq b$ for some $z \in Q_{\beta}$. It follows from (c) of 1.2 [3] that $x \leqq a, x \leqq b$ for some $x \in Q_{\gamma}$ and so $W \cap Q_{\gamma} \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, $\mathscr{2} \cong \mathscr{R}$. Since $\mathscr{S} \cong \mathscr{Q}$, we have $\mathscr{S} \cong \mathscr{R}$.

Note 1. Putting $\sim=\tau(\leqq)$ in Theorem 1 we obtain Adámek-Koubek's Theorem (see [3]):

For every ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}=\langle S,+, \leqq\rangle$ there exists an
injective homomorphism $h$ of $\langle S,+\rangle$ into $\left\langle\exp N^{\alpha} .+\right\rangle\left(\alpha=\aleph_{0} . \operatorname{card} S\right)$ such that $x \leqq y$ if and only if $h(x) \leqq h(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

By a tolerance commutative semigroup $\langle S,+, \sim\rangle$ we mean a commutative semigroup $\langle S,+\rangle$ on which there exists a tolerance relation $\sim$ satisfying the condition (1).

Corollary 1. For every tolerance commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}=\langle S,+, \sim\rangle$ there exists an injective homomorphism $h$ of $\langle S,+\rangle$ into $\left\langle\exp N^{\alpha},+\right\rangle\left(\alpha=\aleph_{0}\right.$. . card S) such that $x \sim y$ if and only if $h(x) \cap h(y) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x, y \in S$.

The proof follows from Theorem 1 when we put $\leqq=\mathrm{id}_{S}$.
Note 2. It is clear that $\mathrm{id}_{S}=\tau\left(\mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$ and so Theorem 1 implies Trnková's Theorem (see [1]):

For every commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}$ there exists an injective homomorphism $h$ of $\mathscr{S}$ into $\left\langle\exp N^{\alpha},+\right\rangle\left(\alpha=\mathfrak{N}_{0}\right.$. card $\left.S\right)$ such that $x \neq y$ if and only if $h(x) \cap$ $\cap h(y)=\emptyset$.
Finally, we shall show a categorial representation of tolerance ordered commutative semigroups.

Let $\mathscr{K}$ be a category. Denote by $\coprod$ (or $\vee$ ) the sum and by $\Pi$ (or $\times$ ) the product of objects in $\mathscr{K}$. We write $A \cong B$ if $A, B$ are isomorphic objects. An object $A$ is said to be a summand of an object $B$ if $A \vee X \cong B$ holds for an object $X$. We shall say that objects $A$ and $B$ have a common nontrivial summand if there exist objects $C, X$ and $Y$ such that $A \cong C \vee X, B \cong C \vee Y$ and $C$ is not isomorphic to a sum of the empty collection.

A category $\mathscr{K}$ is said to be distributive if it has all sums and finite products and if any collections $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{B_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ of objects satisfy

$$
\left(\coprod_{i \in I} A_{i}\right) \times\left(\coprod_{j \in J} B_{j}\right) \cong \coprod_{(i, j) \in I \times J} A_{i} \times B_{j} .
$$

(See [2].)
Let $A$ be an object in a distributive category. By $A^{0}$ we mean a product of the empty collection. Put $A^{n+1}=A^{n} \times A$ for any $n \in N$. A collection $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of objects in a distributive category $\mathscr{K}$ is said to be t-independent if the following implication holds.

Let $f_{j} \in N^{I}(j \in J)$ and $g_{k} \in N^{I}(k \in K)$. If the objects $\coprod_{j \in J} \prod_{i \in I} A_{i}^{f_{j}(i)}, \coprod_{k \in K} \prod_{i \in I} A_{i}^{g_{k}(i)}$ have a common nontrivial summand, then $f_{a}=g_{b}$ for some $a \in J$ and some $b \in K$.

Theorem 2. If a distributive category $\mathscr{K}$ with products has arbitrarily large $t$-independent collections of objects, then for every tolerance ordered commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}=\langle S,+, \leqq, \sim\rangle$ there exists a collection $\left\{T_{s}\right\}(s \in S)$ of $S$-indexed objects in $\mathscr{K}$ such that for $x, y \in S$ we have
(i) $T_{x} \not \not T_{y}$ if $x \neq y$;
(ii) $T_{x} \times T_{y} \cong T_{x+y}$;
(iii) $T_{x}$ is a summand of $T_{y}$ if and only if $x \leqq y$;
(iv) $T_{x}, T_{y}$ have a common nontrivial summand if and only if $x \sim y$.

Proof. Put $\alpha=\aleph_{0}$. card $S$. Then there exists a t-independent collection $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of objects in $\mathscr{K}$, where $\alpha \leqq$ card $I$. It follows from Theorem 1 that there exists an isomorphic mapping of $\mathscr{S}$ into $\mathscr{N}_{\alpha}$. It is easy to show that there exists an isomorphic mapping of $\mathscr{N}_{\alpha}$ into $\mathscr{N}_{I}=\left\langle\exp N^{I},+, \subseteq, \tau(\cong)\right\rangle$ and so there exists an isomorphic mapping $h$ of $\mathscr{S}$ into $\mathscr{N}_{I}$. We can see that every t-independent collection of objects is independent in the sense of [3] and so it follows from Theorem 2.4 [3] that there exists a collection $\left\{T_{s}\right\}(s \in S)$ of $S$-indexed objects in $\mathscr{K}$ satisfying the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and
(iv') if $x \sim y$ for $x, y \in S$, then $T_{x}$ and $T_{y}$ have a common nontrivial summand.
To prove our theorem it suffices to show that the following implication holds:
(iv") If $T_{x}$ and $T_{y}$ have a common nontrivial summand, then $x \sim y$ in $\mathscr{S}$.
Suppose that $T_{x}$ and $T_{y}$ have a common nontrivial summand. According to the proof of Theorem 2.4 [3] we have

$$
T_{x}=\coprod_{\gamma} \coprod_{f \in X} \prod_{i \in I} A_{i}^{f(i)}, \quad T_{y}=\coprod \coprod_{\gamma} \coprod_{g \in Y} \prod_{i \in I} A_{i}^{g(i)},
$$

where $X=h(x), Y=h(y), \gamma=\operatorname{card} N^{I}$ and the symbol $\coprod_{\gamma} A$ means the sum of $\gamma$ copies of $A$. Since the collection $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is t-independent, we have $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and so $h(x) \tau(\cong) h(y)$ in $\mathscr{N}_{I}$. Hence, by (4), we have $x \sim y$ in $\mathscr{S}$.

Corollary 2. If a distributive category $\mathscr{K}$ with products has arbitrarily large $t$-independent collections of objects, then for every tolerance commutative semigroup $\mathscr{S}=\langle S,+, \sim\rangle$ there exists a collection $\left\{T_{s}\right\}(s \in S)$ of $S$-indexed objects in $\mathscr{K}$ such that (i), (ii) and (iv) from Theorem 2 hold for $x, y \in S$.

Note 3. The following categories are distributive with products and have arbitrarily large t-independent collections of objects: completely regular topological spaces, universal algebras with two unary operations (see [2]), posets, symmetric graphs (see [4]) and some others.
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