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# SPECTRAL REPRESENTATION OF LOCAL SEMIGROUPS IN LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES 

Werner Ricker, Bedford Park

(Received June 21, 1983)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A classical problem in the theory of semigroups of continuous linear operators acting in a Hilbert space is to determine when the operators have a joint spectral integral representation. Devinatz [2] and Nussbaum [10], [11] extended the notion of semigroup to include certain one-parameter families of unbounded (symmetric or self-adjoint) operators acting in a Hilbert space, such as the Riesz potential operators in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ [11], which have the semigroup property and are weakly continuous on a suitable subspace. Their results yield various integral representations of such a one-parameter family; see also the recent paper [7].

Examples of one-parameter families of unbounded linear operators which have the semigroup property are also encountered in spaces other than Hilbert space. A classical example is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral in $L^{p}((0, \infty)), 1<p<\infty$, [5]. Accordingly, criteria which yield integral representations of more general oneparameter families of operators are of interest. Such a criterion was recently established by Kantorovitz and Hughes [6] for one-parameter families acting in a reflexive Banach space.

The purpose of this note is to reformulate the criterion of Kantorovitz and Hughes so that it applies to one-parameter families of operators acting in more general spaces. This so extended criterion is based on a characterization of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of vector measures analogous to the well known Bochner-Schoenberg test.

More precisely, let $X$ be a locally convex space. If $D$ is a dense subpsace of $X$, denote by $\Pi(D)$ the algebra of all linear transformations with domain $D$ and range contained in $D$. Let $\Delta=[0, \alpha)$, where $0<\alpha \leqq \infty$. The system $\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ is called a local semigroup on $\Delta$ if $T: \Delta \rightarrow \Pi(D)$ is a map such that $T(0)$ is the identity operator on $D, T(s+t)=T(s) T(t)$ whenever $s, t, s+t \in \Delta$, and $T(\cdot)(x)$ is a weakly continuous, $X$-valued function on $\Delta$, for each $x \in D$. This is essentially the definition given in [6].

A characterization will be presented of those local semigroups $\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ for which there exists an equicontinuous spectral measure $P$, defined on the Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathscr{B}$
of the real line $\mathbb{R}$, such that for each $x \in D$, the $X$-valued measure $E \mapsto P(E)(x)$, $E \in \mathscr{B}$, has compact support and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(t)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-t s} \mathrm{~d} P^{\prime}(s)(x), \quad t \in \Delta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The author wishes to thank Professor I. Kluvánek for valuable discussions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

Throughout this note $X$ will denote a quasi-complete, locally convex Hausdorff space. If $A$ is a subset of $X$, then $\operatorname{bco}(A)$ denotes the convex, balanced hull of $A$. Its closure is denoted by $\overline{\mathrm{bco}}(A)$. The space of all continuous linear functionals on $X$ is denoted by $X^{\prime}$.

Let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the complex number field. An entire function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is said to be of exponential type if there exists $\beta>0$ such that for every $\varepsilon>0$ the set

$$
\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-(\beta+\varepsilon)|z|} f(z) ; z \in \mathbb{C}\right\},
$$

is bounded. If $\Delta=[0, \alpha)$, where $0<\alpha \leqq \infty$, then a function $f: \Delta \rightarrow X$ is said to be entire of exponential type if it can be extended to an $X$-valued, entire function of exponential type.

By a vector measure in $X$ is meant a $\sigma$-additive map $\mu: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow X$. For each $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, the complex-valued measure $E \mapsto\left\langle\mu(E), x^{\prime}\right\rangle, E \in \mathscr{B}$, is denoted by $\left\langle\mu, x^{\prime}\right\rangle$.

A complex-valued, $\mathscr{B}$-measurable function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is said to be $\mu$-integrable if it is integrable with respect to every measure $\left\langle\mu, x^{\prime}\right\rangle, x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, and if, for every $E \in \mathscr{B}$, there exists an element $\int_{E} f \mathrm{~d} \mu$ of $X$ such that

$$
\left\langle\int_{E} f \mathrm{~d} \mu, x^{\prime}\right\rangle=\int_{E} f \mathrm{~d}\left\langle\mu, x^{\prime}\right\rangle,
$$

for each $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. Bounded measurable functions are always $\mu$-integrable [9; II Lemma 3.1]. Hence, the Fourier-Stieltjes transform, $\hat{\mu}$, of any vector measure $\mu: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow X$ can be defined by

$$
\hat{\mu}^{\prime}(s)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp (-\mathrm{i} s t) \mathrm{d} \mu(t), \quad s \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Let $\mathscr{M}_{d}$ denote the linear space of all complex-valued measures on $\mathscr{B}$ with finite supports. The set of all measures $\omega \in \mathscr{M}_{d}$ such that $\|\hat{\omega}\|_{\infty} \leqq 1$ is denoted by $\Omega$ $\left(\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right.$ denotes the supremum norm).

The following result is a vector version of the Bochner-Schoenberg test. It is well known for Banach spaces [8]; its extension to more general spaces presents no difficulties.

Bochner-Schoenberg Criterion. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ be a bounded, weakly continuous function. Then there exists a (unique) vector measure $\mu: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow X$ such that $f=\hat{\mu}$,
if and only if,

$$
\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mathrm{d} \omega(t) ; \omega \in \Omega\right\}
$$

is a relatively weakly compact subset of $X$.
Let $L(X)$ denote the space of all continuous linear operators on $X$, equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. The space $L(X)$ may not be quasi-complete. The identity operator is denoted by $I$.

A map $P: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow L(X)$ is called a spectral measure if it is $\sigma$-additive, multiplicative and $P(\mathbb{R})=I$. Of course, the multiplicativity of $P$ means that $P(E \cap F)=P(E) P(F)$, for every $E \in \mathscr{B}$ and $F \in \mathscr{B}$. The spectral measure $P$ is said to be equicontinuous if its range $P(\mathscr{B})=\{P(E) ; E \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is an equicontinuous part of $L(X)$. For such spectral measures, every bounded measurable function is $P$-integrable [13]. For each $x \in X$, denote by $P(\cdot)(x)$ the $X$-valued measure $E \mapsto P(E)(x), E \in \mathscr{B}$.

Let $\Lambda=\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ be a local semigroup. Then $\Lambda$ is said to be spectral if there exists an equicontinuous spectral measure $P: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow L(X)$ such that for each $x \in D$, each of the functions $\mathrm{e}^{-t(\cdot)}, t \in \Delta$, is $P(\cdot)(x)$-integrable and the identity (1) is valid. If, in addition, each measure $P(\cdot)(x), x \in D$, has compact support, then $\Lambda$ is said to be of bounded type. This is equivalent to the existence of an increasing sequence of bounded Borel sets $E_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, with $E_{k} \uparrow \mathbb{R}$, such that $D \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(E_{k}\right)(X)$.

Let $P: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow L(X)$ be an equicontinuous spectral measure and $\Delta=[0, \alpha)$, where $0<\alpha \leqq \infty$. Then $D_{0}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P([-k, k])(X)$ is a dense subspace of $X$ such that for each $x \in D_{0}$, each of the functions $\mathrm{e}^{-t(\cdot)}, t \in \Delta$, is $P(\cdot)(x)$-integrable. Accordingly, for each $t \in \Delta$, an element $T(t)$ of $\Pi\left(D_{0}\right)$ can be defined by the formula (1). In fact, for any dense subspace $D$ of $X$, contained in $D_{0}$, which is invariant for each of the operators $T(t), t \in \Delta$, the so constructed system $\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ is a spectral local semigroup of bounded type. It will be said to correspond to $(P, D, \Delta)$.

## 3. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let $\Lambda=\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ be a local semigroup. Let $\mathscr{N}$ be a family of continuous seminorms determining the topology of $X$. If $c$ is a positive number belonging to $\Delta$, then define for each $x \in D$ and $q \in \mathscr{N}$ the quantities

$$
r_{k}(x, q, c)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left([T(c / k)-I]^{n}(x)\right)^{1 / n}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

An element $x \in D$ is said to be a binomial vector for $\Lambda$ with respect to $c$, if there exists a positive integer $k(x, c)$ such that

$$
r_{k}(x, q, c)<1, \quad q \in \mathscr{N}, \quad k \geqq k(x, c) .
$$

It is tacitly assumed that $k(x, c)$ is the minimal positive integer specified by these inequalities.

If $\omega \in \mathscr{M}_{\boldsymbol{d}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\sum_{k=1}^{N} c_{k} \delta_{t_{k}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$, for each $k=1,2, \ldots, N$, and $\delta_{t}$ denotes the Dirac point mass at $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$
\omega_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j}\binom{\mathrm{i} t_{j}}{n},
$$

for each $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, where $\mathrm{i}=\sqrt{ }-1$.
Let $x \in D$ be a binomial vector for $\Lambda$ with respect to $c$. Since $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\binom{z}{n}\right|^{1 / n} \leqq 1$ for each complex number $z$, the series

$$
b(x, c, \omega, k)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n}[T(c \mid k)-I]^{n}(x),
$$

is absolutely convergent for each $\omega \in \mathscr{M}_{d}$ and each $k \geqq k(x, c)$. Accordingly, a subset $B(x, c)$ of $X$ can be defined by

$$
B(x, c)=\{b(x, c, \omega, k) ; \omega \in \Omega, k \geqq k(x, c)\} .
$$

The main result can now be stated. It will be proved, along with the other results of this section, in $\S 4$.

Theorem 1. A local semigroup $\Lambda=\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ is spectral and of bounded type if and only if for each $x \in D$, the function $T(\cdot)(x)$ is entire of exponential type and there exists a positive rational number $c \in \Delta$ such that the following conditions are satisfied.
(i) Every $x \in D$ is a binomial vector for $\Lambda$ with respect to $c$.
(ii) For each $x \in D$, the set $B(x, c)$ is relatively weakly compact.
(iii) For each $q \in \mathscr{N}$ there exists a positive number $\alpha=\alpha(q)$ and seminorms $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{r}$ in $\mathscr{N}$ such that for each $x \in D$,

$$
q(\xi) \leqq \alpha \max \left\{q_{j}(x) ; 1 \leqq j \leqq r\right\}, \quad \xi \in B(x, c)
$$

If the space $X$ in Theorem 1 is a Banach space, then the hypothesis that $T(\cdot)(x)$ is entire of exponential type for each $x \in D$, can be omitted. This follows already from the conditions (i)-(iii) of the theorem (see the proof of Theorem 2). However, for non-normable spaces this is no longer the case. For example, let $X$ denote the space of all complex sequences $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. Let $\Delta=[0, \infty)$ and $D=X$. For each $t \in \Delta$, define a continuous linear operator $T(t)$ by $T(t)(x)=y, x \in X$, where $y_{n}=\mathrm{e}^{-t n} x_{n}$, for each $n=1,2, \ldots$. Then $\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ is a local semigroup such that for any $c>0$ the conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 1 are satisfied. However, there exist vectors $x \in D$ for which $T(\cdot)(x)$ has no entire extension of exponential type.

Theorem 2. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\Lambda=\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ a local semigroup. Then $\Lambda$ is spectral and of bounded type if and only if there exists a positive rational number $c \in \Delta$, for which the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 are satisfied, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(T)=\sup \{\|\xi\| ; \xi \in B(x, c), x \in D,\|x\| \leqq 1\}<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a reflexive Banach space a set is relatively weakly compact if and only if it is bounded. Hence, for reflexive spaces, the relative weak compactness of the sets in condition (ii) of Theorem 1 can be replaced by their boundedness. But, the boundedness of each of the sets $B(x, c), x \in D$, follows from (3). Hence, Theorem 2 implies the following result due to Kantorovitz and Hughes [6; Theorem 3.3].

Corollary. Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space and $\Lambda=\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ a local semigroup. Then $\Lambda$ is spectral and of bounded type if and only if there exists a positive rational number $c \in \Delta$ such that each $x \in D$ is a binomial vector for $\Lambda$ with respect to $c$ and (3) holds.

There is a class of spaces, including many non-normable ones, for which the conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 1 suffice to guarantee that a given local semigroup in such a space is spectral, but not necessarily of bounded type.

A locally convex space $X$ is said to be weakly $\Sigma$-complete if every sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of its elements such that $\left\{\left\langle x_{n}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is absolutely summable for each $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, is itself summable to an element of $X$. In [9], such a space is said to have the B-P property. Weakly sequentially complete spaces, in particular reflexive spaces, are weakly $\Sigma$-complete. According to a theorem of Tumarkin [12], generalizing the well known result of Bessaga and Pelczyński, a space is weakly $\Sigma$-complete if and only if it does not contain an isomorphic copy of the space $c_{0}$.

Theorem 3. Let $X$ be a weakly $\Sigma$-complete space and $\Lambda=\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ be a local semigroup. If there exists a positive rational number $c \in \Delta$ for which the conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 1 are satisfied, then $\Lambda$ is a spectral local semigroup.

## 4. PROOFS OF RESULTS

To prove the necessity of the conditions in Theorem 1, let $\left.P: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow L^{\prime} X\right)$ be an equicontinuous spectral measure and $\Lambda=\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ be a spectral local semigroup of bounded type corresponding to ( $P, D, \Delta$ ).

Let $x \in D$. Then there exists a positive integer $m=m(x)$ such that $x \in$ $\in P([-m, m])(X)$. Define an entire function with values in $X$ by

$$
z \mapsto \int_{-m}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{-z s} \mathrm{~d} P(s)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-z s} \mathrm{~d} P(s)(x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

This function agrees with $T(\cdot)(x)$ on $\Delta($ cf. (1)). It is again denoted by $T(\cdot)(x)$. It
follows that for each $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ the inequalities

$$
\left|\mathrm{e}^{-(m+\varepsilon)|z|}\left\langle T(z)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| \leqq \mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon|z|}\left|\left\langle P(\cdot)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|([-m, m]), \quad z \in \mathbb{C},
$$

are valid. This shows that $T(\cdot)(x)$ is entire of exponential type.
Let $c$ be any positive number in $\Delta$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{\mathrm{i} t}{n}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-c v / k}-1\right)^{n}, \quad|v| \leqq m . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows, from the ratio test for example, that if $k(x, c)$ is chosen to be the smallest integer $k$ satisfying $k>c m / \ln 2$, then the series (4) is absolutely convergent for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $k \geqq k(x, c)$, to the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \mapsto\left[1+\left(\mathrm{e}^{-c v / k}-1\right)\right]^{\mathrm{it}}=\exp (-\mathrm{i} c t v / k), \quad|v| \leqq m . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q \in \mathscr{N}$. If $U_{q}^{0}$ denotes the polar of $q^{-1}([0,1])$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(y)=\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle y, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| ; x^{\prime} \in U_{q}^{0}\right\}, \quad y \in X . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.[T(c / k)-I]^{n}(x)=\int_{-m}^{m}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-c v / k}-1\right)^{n} \mathrm{~d} P^{\prime} v\right)(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for each $k=1,2, \ldots$, and $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, it follows from (6) and (7) that for each $k=1,2, \ldots$, the inequality

$$
q\left([T(c / k)-I]^{n}(x)\right) \leqq \gamma(x, q)\left(\mathrm{e}^{c m / k}-1\right)^{n},
$$

is valid, where $\gamma(x, q)=\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle P^{\prime}(\cdot)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|(\mathbb{R}) ; x^{\prime} \in U_{q}^{0}\right\}$ is finite [9; II Lemma 1.1]. Accordingly,

$$
r_{k}(x, q, c)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} q\left(\left[T^{\prime}(c / k)-I\right]^{n}(x)\right)^{1 / n} \leqq\left(\mathrm{e}^{c m / k}-1\right)<1
$$

for all $k \geqq k(x, c)$. Since $q \in \mathscr{N}$ was arbitrary, this shows that $x$ is a binomial vector for $\Lambda$ with respect to $c$.

If $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then it follows for each $k \geqq k(x, c)$, the partial sums of the series (4) are uniformly bounded. Accordingly, if $\omega \in \Omega$ is given by (2), then the identities (4) and (5) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem for vector measures [9; II Theorem 4.2] imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{-m}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{\mathrm{i} t_{j}}{n}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-c v / k}-1\right)^{n} \mathrm{~d} P^{\prime}(v)(x)=\int_{-m}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j} \exp \left(-\mathrm{i} c v t_{j} / k\right) \mathrm{d} P^{\prime} v\right)(x), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k \geqq k(x, c)$. However, for each $k=1,2, \ldots$, we also have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{\mathrm{i} t_{j}}{n}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-c v / k}-1\right)^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-c v / k}-1\right)^{n}, \quad|v| \leqq m
$$

Again by the Dominated Convergence Theorem and (7) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-m}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{\mathrm{i} t_{j}}{n}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-c v / k}-1\right)^{n} \mathrm{~d} P(v)(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n}[T(c / k)-I]^{n}(x), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \geqq k(x, c)$. The identities (8) and (9) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x, c, \omega, k)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\chi_{[-m, m]}(v) \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j} \exp \left(-\mathrm{i} c v t_{j} \mid k\right)\right) \mathrm{d} P(v)(x), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \geqq k(x, c)$. Furthermore, the inequality $\|\hat{\omega}\|_{\infty} \leqq 1$ implies that the supremum norm of the integrand in (10) does not exceed 1. It follows [9; IV Lemma 6.1] that

$$
B(x, c) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{bco}}(P(\cdot)(x))(\mathscr{B}),
$$

and hence, that $B(x, c)$ is relatively weakly compact [9; IV Theorem 6.1].
To verify condition (iii) in Theorem 1, let

$$
\mathscr{A}=\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \mathrm{~d} P ;\|f\|_{\infty} \leqq 1, f \text { measurable }\right\}
$$

Then $\mathscr{A}$ is an equicontinuous part of $L(X),[13$; Proposition 2.1]. Hence, if $q \in \mathscr{N}$, then there exists $\alpha=\alpha(q)>0$ and seminorms $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{r}$ in $\mathscr{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(S(x)) \leqq \alpha \max \left\{q_{j}(x) ; 1 \leqq j \leqq r\right\}, \quad x \in X \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $S \in \mathscr{A}$. Fix $x \in D$. If $\xi \in B(x, c)$, then it was noted (cf. (10)) that there exists a measurable function $f$ with $\|f\|_{\infty} \leqq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(v) \mathrm{d} P(v)(x)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \mathrm{~d} P\right)(x) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (11), (12) and the definition of $\mathscr{A}$ that $q(\xi) \leqq \alpha \max \left\{q_{j}(x) ; 1 \leqq\right.$ $\leqq j \leqq r\}$. Hence, condition (iii) is verified. This completes the proof of necessity.

The proof of the sufficiency of the conditions in Theorem 1 is based on the following lemma. Its proof is a combination of the Bochner-Schoenberg Criterion and the proof of Lemma 3.6 of [6]. Even though some of the arguments and calculations are identical to those in the proof of Lemma 3.6 in [6], they are included for completeness and ease of reading. Firstly however, some notation.

If $\Lambda=\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ is a local semigroup and $c$ is a positive rational number in $\Delta$, then for each binomial vector $x$ of $\Lambda$ with respect to $c$ we can define an entire, $X$ valued function $T_{k}(\cdot)(x), k \geqq k(x, c)$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}(z)(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{z}{n}[T(c / k)-I]^{n}(x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $k \geqq k(x, c)$ is fixed, then for each $q \in \mathscr{N}$ there exists a positive
number $\beta=\beta(q)$ such that for each $\varepsilon>0$ the set of numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-(\beta+\varepsilon)|z|} q\left(T_{k}(z)(x)\right) ; z \in \mathbb{C}\right\}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded. However, there may not exist a single number $\beta>0$ such that (14) is bounded for all $q \in \mathscr{N}$ (cf. example in § 3). Accordingly, $T_{k}(\cdot)(x)$ may not be of exponential type, unless $X$ is a Banach space.

Lemma 1. Let $\Lambda=\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ be a local semigroup and $c$ a positive rational number in $\Delta$. If $x \in D$ is a binomial vector for $\Lambda$ with respect to $c$ such that the set $B(x, c)$ is relatively weakly compact, then the function $T(\cdot)(x)$ has an entire extension and there exists a unique vector measure $\mu_{x}: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow X$, such that each measure $\left\langle\mu_{x}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle, x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, has compact support and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T(z)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-z s} \mathrm{~d}\left\langle\mu_{x}(s), x^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$.
Proof. Let $c=d / e$. It will be shown that for each $k \geqq k(x, c)$, the function $z \mapsto$ $\mapsto T_{k d}(e k z)(x), z \in \mathbb{C}$, is independent of $k$. Accordingly, if $T(\cdot)(x)$ is defined on $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)(x)=T_{k d}(e k z)(x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $k \geqq k(x, c)$, then $T(\cdot)(x)$ is entire and has the desired properties.
Let $\omega \in \Omega$ be given by (2). Then it follows from (13) that for each $k \geqq k(x, c)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} T_{k}(\mathrm{iv})(x) \mathrm{d} \omega(v)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j} T_{k}\left(\mathrm{i} t_{j}\right)(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n}[T(c / k)-I]^{n}(x) \in B(x, c) .
$$

Accordingly, for each $k \geqq k(x, c)$ the set $\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} T_{k}(\mathrm{i} v)(x) \mathrm{d} \omega(v) ; \omega \in \Omega\right\}$ is relatively weakly compact. Furthermore, since the function $v \mapsto T_{k}(v i)(x), v \in \mathbb{R}$, is bounded and weakly continuous it follows from the Bochner-Schoenberg Criterion that there exists a unique measure $v_{x}(k): \mathscr{B} \rightarrow X$, with range contained in bco $B(x, c)$, such that

$$
T_{k}(\mathrm{is})(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} s v} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{x}(k)(v), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},
$$

for each $k \geqq k(x, c)$. Furthermore, for each $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime},\left\langle\mu_{x}(k)(\cdot), x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is the unique Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{k}(\mathrm{i} s)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} s v} \mathrm{~d}\left\langle\mu_{x}(k)(v), x^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k \geqq k(x, c)$.
Since the function $\left\langle T_{k}\left(\mathrm{i}^{\cdot}\right)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is entire of exponential type (cf. (14)) and is bounded on the real line, the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem [3; Ch. 6, Theorem 5] implies that its Fourier transform (which is $2 \pi\left\langle\mu_{x}(k), x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ by (17)) has compact support. The bilateral Laplace transform

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-z v} \mathrm{~d}\left\langle\mu_{x}(k)(v), x^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},
$$

is therefore well defined, entire and coincides with $\left\langle T_{k}(\cdot)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ on the imaginary axis (by (17)). Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{k}(z)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-z v} \mathrm{~d}\left\langle\mu_{x}(k)(v), x^{\prime}\right\rangle, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
If $N$ is a positive integer, then for each $k \geqq k(x, c)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}(N)(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{N}\binom{N}{n}[T(c / k)-I]^{n}(x)=T(c / k)^{N}(x) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c=d / e$ and $1 / e$ belong to $\Delta$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k d}(e k N)(x)=T(1 / e k)^{e k N}(x)=\left[T(1 / e k)^{k}\right]^{e N}(x)=[T(1 / e)]^{e N}(x) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k \geqq k(x, c)$ and each positive integer $N$.
Fix $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ and $k, l \geqq k(x, c)$. The function

$$
f(z)=\left\langle T_{k d}(e k z)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle-\left\langle T_{l d}\left(e I_{z}\right)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},
$$

is a Laplace-Stieltjes transform (by (18)) which vanishes for positive integral values of $z$ (cf. (20)). It follows from Lerch's theorem that $f(z)=0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}[4$; Theorem 6.2.2]. Accordingly, $\left.T^{\prime} \cdot\right)(x)$ is well defined by (16) and is entire.

If $r \in \Delta$ is a positive rational number, we may write $r=f / k$, where $f$ and $k$ are positive integers and $k \geqq k(x, c)$. Since eflek $=r \in \Delta$, it follows from (19) that

$$
T_{k d}(e f)(x)=T(1 / e k)^{e f}(x)=T(r)(x)
$$

The weak continuity of $T(\cdot)(x)$ on $\Delta$ then implies that it agrees with (16) on $\Delta$. Hence, $T(\cdot)(x)$ has an entire extension.

Since $T_{k d}(e k z)(x)=T_{l d}(e l z)(x)$, for all $k, l \geqq k(x, c)$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, it follows from the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k d}(e k \mathrm{i} s)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} s e k v} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{x}(k d)(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} s \xi} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{x}(k d)(\xi / e k), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for all $s \in \mathbb{P}$ and $k \geqq k(x, c)$, and the uniqueness of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms that we may define a vector measure $\mu_{x}: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\mu_{x}(E)=\mu_{x}(k d)(E / k e), \quad E \in \mathscr{B},
$$

for any $k \geqq k(x, c)$. It is cleat from (16) and (21) that (15) is satisfied. This completes the proof of the lemma.

We now prove the sufficiency of the conditions in Theorem 1. So, let $\Lambda=\{T ; D ; \Delta\}$ be a local semigroup and $c$ be a positive rational number in $\Delta$ for which the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied.

For each $x \in D$, let $\left.T_{( }^{\prime} \cdot\right)(x)$ be the entire function and $\mu_{x}$ the $X$-valued measure
as constructed in Lemma 1. Then for each $E \in \mathscr{B}$, define a map $P(E): D \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(E)(x)=\mu_{x}(E), \quad x \in D \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for each complex number $z$ the map $x \mapsto T(z)(x), x \in D$, is linear (cf. (16)), it follows from (15) and the uniqueness of Laplace-Stieltjes transforms that the map $P(E)$ is linear.

Let $q \in \mathscr{N}$. Let $\alpha=\alpha(q)>0$ and $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{r} \in \mathscr{N}$ be as given by condition (iii). For each $E \in \mathscr{B}$ it follows that

$$
q(P(E)(x))=q\left(\mu_{x}(E)\right) \leqq \alpha \max \left\{q_{j}(x) ; 1 \leqq j \leqq r\right\}, \quad x \in D,
$$

since $\mu_{x}(E) \in \operatorname{bco} B(x, c)$. Hence, each operator $P(E), E \in \mathscr{B}$, is continuous on $D$ and so can be extended uniquely to a continuous operator on all of $X$, still denoted by $P(E)$, which satisfies

$$
q(P(E)(x)) \leqq \alpha \max \left\{q_{j}(x) ; 1 \leqq j \leqq r\right\}, \quad x \in X
$$

Accordingly, $P(\mathscr{B})=\{P(E) ; E \in \mathscr{B}\}$ is an equicontinuous part of $L(X)$. Since $P(\cdot)(x)$ is $\sigma$-additive for each $x$ in a dense subspace of $X$, it follows that $E \mapsto P(E), E \in \mathscr{B}$, is an $\left.L^{( } X\right)$-valued measure.

If $x \in D$, then it follows from (15) and (22) that

$$
\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle T(0)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle P(\mathbb{R})(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime} .
$$

Accordingly, $P(\mathbb{R})=I$. The next step is to show that $P$ is multiplicative. Since $\left\|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} s(\cdot)}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq 1$ for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows from (15) that for each $x \in D$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T(\mathrm{is})(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} s v} \mathrm{~d} P(v)(x) \in \overline{\mathrm{bco}}\left(P^{\prime} \cdot\right)(x)\right)(\mathscr{B}) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{bco}} B(x, c) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if $q \in \mathscr{N}$, then by condition (iii) there is $\alpha>0$ and seminorms $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{r}$ in $\mathscr{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(T(\text { is })(x)) \leqq \alpha \max \left\{q_{j}(x) ; 1 \leqq j \leqq r\right\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \in D$. Since $D$ is dense in $X$, each operator $T($ is $), s \in \mathbb{R}$, has a unique continuous extension to all of $X$, again denoted by $T$ (is), such that (24) is valid for all $x \in X$. Hence, $\{T(\mathrm{is}) ; s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is an equicontinuous part of $L(X)$ and it follows from (23) and the uniqueness of continuous extension that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(i s)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} s v} \mathrm{~d} P^{\prime}(v)(x), \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \in X$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [6] it follows that $T(\mathrm{i} \cdot)$ : $\left.\mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^{( } X\right)$ is an equicontinuous group. It then follows from the group property and (25) that $P$ is necessarily multiplicative.

It remains to show that for each $x \in D$, the measure $P(\cdot)(x)=\mu_{x}$ has compact support. Fix $x \in D$. By hypothesis, the entire extension of $T(\cdot)(x)$ (as constructed in Lemma 1), is of exponential type. Hence, there exists a positive number $\beta=\beta(x)$
such that for each $\varepsilon>0$ and $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ there is a number $M=M\left(x, \varepsilon, x^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle T(z)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| \leqq M e^{(\beta+\varepsilon)|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for each $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, the function $\left\langle T(i \cdot)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is entire of exponential type and is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, it follows from (26) and the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem that its Fourier transform, which is $2 \pi\left\langle\mu_{x}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ by (15), has support contained in $[-\beta, \beta]$. Since $\beta$ is independent of $x^{\prime}$, it follows that $\mu_{x}$ has compact support. Hence, $\Lambda$ is a spectral local semigroup of bounded type. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $\Lambda$ is a spectral local semigroup of bounded type corresponding to $(P, D, \Delta)$, where $P: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow L(X)$ is a spectral measure. Let $c$ be an arbitrary positive number in $\Delta$. Theorem 1 implies that the conditions (i)-(iii) are satisfied. Furthermore, by condition (iii) there is $\alpha>0$ such that for each $x \in D$,

$$
\|\xi\| \leqq \alpha\|\xi\|, \quad \xi \in B(x, c) .
$$

It follows easily that $b(T) \leqq \alpha<\infty$. Hence, (3) is valid.
Conversely, suppose that there is a positive rational number $c \in \Delta$ for which the stated requirements of Theorem 2 are satisfied. If $x \in D$, then it is easily verified that for each $\beta>0$,

$$
r_{k}(\beta x,\|\cdot\|, c)=r_{k}(x,\|\cdot\|, c), \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

and $k(\beta x, c)=k(x, c)$. It follows that if $\xi \in B(x, c)$, then $\beta \xi \in B(\beta x, c)$. Hence, fix $x \in D$. If $\xi \in B(x, c)$, then $\xi /\|x\|$ belongs to $B(x /\|x\|, c)$. It follows from (3) that $\|\xi\| /\|x\| \leqq b(T)$. That is, for each $x \in D$,

$$
\|\xi\| \leqq b(T)\|x\|, \quad \xi \in B(x, c)
$$

Hence, conditions (i) -(iii) of Theorem 1 are satisfied.
It follows (cf. proof of Theorem 1) that there exists a spectral measure $P: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow L(X)$ such that for each $x \in D$ and $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ the measure $\left\langle P(\cdot)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ has compact support and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T(t)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-t s} \mathrm{~d}\left\langle P(s)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad t \in \Delta \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $x \in D$. It follows from Rybakov's theorem [9; VI Theorem 3.2] (or from a well known result of W . Bade $\left[1\right.$; Theorem 3.1]) that there exists $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ such that $P(\cdot)(x)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\left\langle P(\cdot)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Hence, $P(\cdot)(x)$ has compact support. Then each of the functions $\mathrm{e}^{-t(\cdot)}, t \in \Delta$, is $P(\cdot)(x)$-integrable and it follows from (27) that (1) is valid. Hence, $\Lambda$ is a spectral local semigroup of bounded type.

Proof of Theorem 3. As noted above, it follows from the conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 1 that there exists an equicontinuous spectral measure $P: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow L(X)$ such that for each $x \in D$ and $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ the measure $\left\langle P(\cdot)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ has compact support and satisfies (27). That is, if $x \in D$, then for each $t \in \Delta$ the function $\mathrm{e}^{-t(\cdot)}$ is integrable
with respect to each of the measures $\left\langle P(\cdot)(x), x^{\prime}\right\rangle, x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. Hence, each function $\mathrm{e}^{-t(\cdot)}, t \in \Delta$, is actually $P(\cdot)(x)$-integrable [9; II Theorem 5.1]. It then follows from (27) that the identity (1) is valid for each $x \in D$ and $t \in \Delta$, that is, $\Lambda$ is spectral.
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