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# THE DISTANCE BETWEEN A GRAPH AND ITS COMPLEMENT 

Bohdan Zelinka, Liberec

(Received July 22, 1985)

In [3] the distance between isomorphism classes of graphs was introduced. Here we shall investigate this distance between a graph and its complement.

An isomorphism class of graphs is the class of all graphs which are isomorphic to a given graph.

Now let $n$ be a positive integer and let $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ be the set of all isomorphism classes of graphs with $n$ vertices. Let $\mathscr{G}_{1} \in \mathscr{G}_{n}, \mathscr{G}_{2} \in \mathscr{G}_{n}$. Let $p$ be the maximum number of vertices of a graph which is isomorphic simultaneously to an induced subgraph of a graph $G_{1} \in \mathfrak{G}_{1}$ and to an induced subgraph of a graph $G_{2} \in \mathfrak{G}_{2}$. We put $\delta\left(\mathfrak{W}_{1}, \mathfrak{G}_{2}\right)=$ $=n-p$ and call this number the distance between the isomorphism classes $\mathfrak{W}_{1}, \mathfrak{W}_{2}$.

For the sake of brevity we shall (not quite accurately) speak about the distance between graphs instead of the distance between isomorphism classes of graphs. By the distance $\delta\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ of the graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ (with the same number of vertices) we mean the distance $\delta\left(\mathfrak{G}_{1}, \mathfrak{W}_{2}\right)$ of the isomorphism classes $\mathscr{W}_{1}, \mathfrak{G}_{2}$ such that $G_{1} \in \mathfrak{G}_{1}$, $G_{2} \in \mathfrak{F}_{2}$. By a common induced subgraph of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ we shall mean a graph which is isomorphic simultaneously to an induced subgraph of $G_{1}$ and to a an induced subgraph of $\boldsymbol{G}_{2}$.

In this paper we shall study the distance $\delta(G, \bar{G})$ between a graph $G$ and its complement $\bar{G}$. As the complement $\bar{G}$ is uniquely determined by the graph $G$, the distance $\delta(G, \bar{G})$ is a numerical invariant of $G$; we denote it by $\bar{\delta}(G)$.

We shall consider only finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges.
Obviously $\bar{\delta}(G)=0$ if and only if $G$ is a self-complementary graph, i.e. a graph isomorphic to its own complement. These graphs were studied by G. Ringel [1] and H. Sachs [2]; these authors have (mutually independently) proved that a selfcomplementary graph with $n$ vertices exists if and only if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ or $n \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 4)$.

Theorem 1. Let $n$ be an integer, $n \geqq 2$. If $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ or $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then for any graph $G$ with $n$ vertices

$$
0 \leqq \delta(G) \leqq n-1
$$

holds and for any integer $d$ such that $0 \leqq d \leqq n-1$ there exists a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices such that $\bar{\delta}(G)=d$. If $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ or $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then for any graph
$G$ with $n$ vertices

$$
1 \leqq \bar{\delta}(G) \leqq n-1
$$

holds and for any integer $d$ such that $1 \leqq d \leqq n-1$ there exists a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices such that $\bar{\delta}(G)=d$.

Proof. As it was mentioned above, for $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and for $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ there exist self-complementary graphs with $n$ vertices, i.e. graphs $G$ for which $\bar{\delta}(G)=$ $=0$. For $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and for $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ such graphs do not exist, but in [4] it was proved that there exist almost self-complementary graphs with $n$ vertices. An almost self-complementary graph is a graph $G$ with the property that it can be transformed into a graph isomorphic to $\bar{G}$ by adding or deleting one edge. Thus consider such an almost self-complementary graph $G$ with $n$ vertices. Let $e$ be the edge by whose adding or deleting from $G$ a graph isomorphic to $\bar{G}$ is obtained, let $u$ be one of its end vertices. Then the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting $u$ is an induced subgraph of a graph isomorphic to $\bar{G}$ and thus $\bar{\delta}(G)=\delta(G, \bar{G})=1$. This gives the lower bound. Any non-empty graph contains a subgraph consisting of one isolated vertex, hence $\bar{\delta}(G) \leqq n-1$.

Now let an integer $d$ be given, $0 \leqq d \leqq n-1$. The case $d=0$ was yet considered; thus suppose $1 \leqq d \leqq n-1$. If $n-d \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ or $n-d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, we take sets $V, V_{0}$ of vertices such that $V_{0} \subset V,\left|V_{0}\right|=n-d,|V|=n$. We construct a self-complementary graph $G_{0}$ on $V_{0}$. Now the graph $G$ is the graph obtained from $G_{0}$ by adding the vertices of $V-V_{0}$ as isolated vertices. The subgraphs of $G$ and $\bar{G}$ induced by $V_{0}$ are both isomorphic to $G_{0}$. Any subgraph of $G$ having more than $n-d$ vertices contains at least one isolated vertex, while such a subgraph of $\bar{G}$ has not. Therefore $\delta(G, \bar{G})=n-(n-d)=d$. If $n-d \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, then we take the vertex sets $V_{0}, V$ such that $V_{0} \subset V,\left|V_{0}\right|=n-d+1,|V|=n$, construct an almost self-complementary graph $G_{0}$ on $V_{0}$ and proceed further as in the preceding case. If $n-d \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then we take again $V_{0}$ and $V$ so that $V_{0} \subset V,\left|V_{0}\right|=n-d+1$, $|V|=n$, construct a self-complementary graph on $V_{0}$ and add an edge to it to obtain $G_{0}$; then we proceed as in the preceding case.

Now we shall investigate graphs with the property that all of their connected components are cliques. Their complements are the so-called complete multipartite graphs.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices having $q$ connected components, all of which are cliques, let $r$ be the maximum number of vertices of a connected component of $G$. Then

$$
\bar{\delta}(G)=n-\min \{q, r\} .
$$

Proof. Denote $s=\min \{q, r\}$. First suppose $s=q$. Then $s \leqq r$ and both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ contain subgraphs which are complete graphs with $s$ vertices. Now consider a subgraph $H$ of $G$ with more than $s$ vertices. All connected components of $H$ are complete graphs and at least one of them has more than one vertex. If $H$ is a complete graph, then no induced subgraph of $\bar{G}$ is isomorphic to $H$, because the largest
clique in $\bar{G}$ has $s$ vertices. If $H$ contains at least two connected components, then also no induced subgraph of $\bar{G}$ is isomorphic to it, because each disconnected induced subgraph of $\bar{G}$ consists of isolated vertices. Hence $\bar{\delta}(G)=n-s$. Now let $s=r$. Then $s \leqq q$ and both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ contain induced subgraphs consisting of $s$ isolated vertices. Now consider a subgraph $H$ of $G$ with more than $s$ vertices. Then this graph is disconnected. If it contains an edge, it is isomorphic to no induced subgraph of $\bar{G}$ as it was mentioned above. If $H$ consists of isolated vertices, it is also isomorphic to no induced subgraph of $\bar{G}$, because the maximum number of vertices of an independent set in $\bar{G}$ is $s$. Again $\bar{\delta}(G)=n-s$.

Theorem 3. For a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices $\bar{\delta}(G)=n-1$ if and only if $G$ is a complete graph or consists of isolated vertices.

Proof. The sufficiency follows from Theorem 2, where $q=1, r=n$ or $q=n$, $r=1$. The necessity follows from the fact that any graph which neither is complete, nor consists of isolated vertices contains both possible types of two-vertex subgraphs.

Theorem 4. For a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices $\bar{\delta}(G)=n-2$ if and only if $G$ is a graph of someone of the following types:
(a) complete bipartite graph;
(b) graph consisting of two connected components being cliques;
(c) graph consisting of connected components being cliques at which the maximum number of vertices of a clique is 2 ;
(d) the complement of a graph of the type (c).

Proof. The graphs of the types (b) and (c) are graphs described in Theorem 2 for $q=2$ or $r=2$, the graphs of the types (a) and (d) are their complements. This implies the sufficiency. Now let $G$ be a graph which does not belong to the types (a), (b), (c), (d); then evidently $\bar{G}$ also does not belong to them. Suppose that all connected components of $G$ are cliques. If each of them consists of one vertex or there exists only one connected component, then Theorem 3 holds for $G$. Otherwise there are at least three connected components and at least one of them has at least three vertices. Then both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ contain triangles and $\bar{\delta}(G) \leqq n-3$. If all connected components of $\bar{G}$ are cliques, the proof is analogous. Finally, if both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ contain a connected component which is not a complete graph, then they both contain an induced subgraph being a path of the length 2 and again $\bar{\delta}(G) \leqq n-3$.

At the end we shall study paths and circuits. By $P_{n}$ we denote the path of the length $n$, i.e. with $n$ edges and $n+1$ vertices. By $C_{n}$ we denote the circuit of the length $n$.

## Theorem 5. For the paths there is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\delta}\left(P_{1}\right)=1 \\
& \bar{\delta}\left(P_{2}\right)=1 \\
& \bar{\delta}\left(P_{3}\right)=0, \\
& \bar{\delta}\left(P_{n}\right)=n-4 \text { for } n \geqq 4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The assertions for $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are evident. The path $P_{3}$ is a self-complementary graph. If $n \geqq 4$, then $P_{n}$ contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to $P_{3}$; the subgraph induced by the same vertex set in $\bar{P}_{n}$ is also isomorphic to $P_{3}$. The graph $P_{3}$ has four vertices and thus $\bar{\delta}\left(P_{n}\right) \leqq n-4$. On the other hand, each induced subgraph of $P_{n}$ with at least five vertices contains an independent set with three vertices; hence the subgraph of $\bar{P}_{n}$ induced by the same set contains a triangle, while $P_{n}$ contains no triangle. This implies $\bar{\delta}\left(P_{n}\right)=n-4$.

Theorem 6. For the circuits there is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\delta}\left(C_{3}\right)=1, \\
& \bar{\delta}\left(C_{4}\right)=2, \\
& \bar{\delta}\left(C_{5}\right)=0, \\
& \bar{\delta}\left(C_{n}\right)=n-4 \quad \text { for } n \geqq 6 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The assertions for $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ follow from Theorem 2. The circuit $C_{5}$ is a self-complementary graph. The assertion for $n \geqq 6$ can be proved in the same way as the assertion for $n \geqq 4$ in Theorem 5 .

## References

[1] Ringel, G.: Selbstkomplementäre Graphen. Arch. Math. Basel 14 (1963), 354-358.
[2] Sachs, H.: Über selbstkomplementäre Graphen. Publ. Math. Debrecen 9 (1962), 270--288.
[3] Zelinka, B.: On a certain distance between isomorphism classes of graphs. Časop. pěst. mat. 100 (1975), 371-373.
[4] Zelinka, B.: Edge-distance between isomorphism classes of graphs. Časop. pěst. mat. (to appear).

Author's address: 46117 Liberec 1, Studentská 1292, Czechoslovakia (katedra tvárení a plastủ VŠST).

