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# CONVEX DIRECTED SUBGROUPS OF RIGHT ORDERED TREE GROUPS 

Jikí Rachůnek, Olomouc

(Received February 16, 1990)

A partially ordered set $(T, \leqq)$ is called a tree if

1. $\forall a, b \in T \exists c \in T ; a, b \leqq c$;
2. $\exists a, b \in T ; a \| b$;
3. $\forall a, x, y \in T ; a \leqq x, y \Rightarrow x \leqq y$ or $y \leqq x$.

By a right partially ordered group we mean such a system $G=(G, \cdot, \leqq)$, where $(G, \cdot)$ is a group, $(G, \leqq)$ is a partially ordered set, and $a \leqq b$ implies $a c \leqq b c$ for all $a, b, c \in G$. As usual, $P(G)=\{x \in G ; e \leqq x\}$ will denote the set of all positive elements of $G$.
If $G$ is a right partially ordered group such that $(G, \leqq)$ is a tree, then $G$ is called a tr-group. A strong tr-group (str-group) is any tr-group $G$ such that $a \leqq b$ implies $c a \leqq c b$ for all $a, b \in G$ and $c \in P(G)$. A right partially ordered group $G$ is called a right o-group (ro-group), if ( $G, \leqq$ ) is a linearly ordered set.

Remark. It is evident that a right partially ud-ordered group $G$ is a tr-group if and only if there exist two non-comparable elements in $G$, and $P(G)$ is a chain.

Right o-group are studied e.g. in Kopytov's book [3], where one can find all necessary results from the theory of partially ordered groups.
In 1903, Frege (in the book [2]) asked a question which may be translated into modern terms as the problem whether there exists a tr-group not being an ro-group. In 1987, Adeleke, Dummett and Neumann (in the paper [1]) answered this question in the affirmative by giving a tr-order on a free group of rank 2 which is not an ro--order. Further, in [4], Varaksin proved that every free n-solvable group of rank $\geqq 2$, for any $n \geqq 2$, admits such a right partial order that the system obtained is a tr-group but not an ro-group. Moreover, right partial orders obtained in both papers are str-orders.

In this paper some structure properties of tr-groups and str-groups are studied.
Proposition 1. Let $A, B, C$ be partially ordered sets such that $C=A \times \rightarrow B$ (i.e., $C$ is a lexicographic product of $A$ and $B$ ) and let $A$ be a tree and $B$ a linearly ordered set. Then $C$ is a tree.

Proof. 1. Let $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \in C,\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \|\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)$.
a) If $a_{1} \| a_{2}$, then there exists $a_{3} \in A$ with $a_{1}, a_{2}<a_{3}$. It is clear that for each $b \in B$ we have $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)<\left(a_{3}, b\right)$.
b) If $a_{1}=a_{2}$, then $b_{1} \| b_{2}$, a contradiction.
2. Because there exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$ with $a_{1} \| a_{2}$, we have $\left(a_{1}, b\right) \|\left(a_{2}, b\right)$ for each $b \in B$.
3. Let $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right),\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right) \in C,\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)<\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right),\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)$.
a) Let $a_{1}<a_{2}, a_{3}$. Then $a_{2} \leqq a_{3}$ or $a_{3} \leqq a_{2}$. In the case $a_{2}<a_{3}$, we have $\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)<\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)$. Similarly for $a_{3}<a_{2}$. Let $a_{2}=a_{3}$. Then the linearity of $B$ implies $\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \leqq\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)$ or $\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right) \leqq\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)$.
b) For $a_{1}=a_{3}<a_{2}$, we have $\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)<\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)$.
c) If $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}$, then $b_{1}<b_{2}, b_{3}$, and the assertion follows from the linearity of $B$.

Proposition 2. Let $A, B$ be partially ordered sets. If $A \times \vec{B}$ is a tree, then either $|A|=1$ and $B$ is a tree or $A$ is a tree and $B$ is linearly ordered.
Proof. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}$ be distinct elements of $A$. Since $A \times \rightarrow B$ is a tree, there exist $a_{3} \in A, b^{\prime} \in B$ such that $\left(a_{1}, b\right) \leqq\left(a_{3}, b^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(a_{2}, b\right) \leqq\left(a_{3}, b^{\prime}\right)$. Then $a_{1}, a_{2} \leqq a_{3}$, so $A$ satisfies the first of the axioms for a tree.

This implies that there exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$ with $a_{1}<a_{2}$. If $b_{0} \in B$, then $\left(a_{1} ; b_{0}\right)<$ $<\left(a_{2}, b\right)$ for all $b \in B$. Thus, by the third axiom for a tree, $\left\{a_{2}\right\} \times B$ is linearly ordered, and so the ordering of $B$ is linear.
Now, let $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \|\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)$. Then we can have none of $a_{1}<a_{2}, a_{2}<a_{1}, a_{1}=a_{2}$, and so $a_{1} \| a_{2}$. Thus $A$ satisfies the second axiom.

Finally, if $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in A$ and $a_{1} \leqq a_{2}, a_{3}$, then, for any $b \in B$, we have $\left(a_{1}, b\right) \leqq$ $\leqq\left(a_{2}, b\right),\left(a_{3}, b\right)$. Thus $\left(a_{2} . b\right) \leqq\left(a_{3}, b\right)$ or $\left(a_{3}, b\right) \leqq\left(a_{2}, b\right)$, and so $a_{2} \leqq a_{3}$ or $a_{3} \leqq a_{2}$.

Hence $A$ is a tree.
Let $G=(G, \cdot, \leqq)$ be a right partially ordered group, $N$ a normal convex subgroup of $G$. We can define a partial order " $\leqq$ " on $G / N$ as:

$$
\forall x, y \in G ; \quad N x \leqq N y \Leftrightarrow_{\mathrm{df}} \exists a \in N ; \quad x \leqq a y .
$$

Let us verify that the relation " $\leqq$ " is a partial order on $G / N$. The reflexivity is evident. Further, let $x, y \in G$ and let $N x \leqq N y, N y \leqq N x$, i.e. there exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in N$ such that $x \leqq a_{1} y, y \leqq a_{2} x$. We have $a_{2} x=x a_{3}$, where $a_{3} \in N$, hence $y a_{3}^{-1} \leqq x$. From this we obtain $y a_{3}^{-1} \leqq x \leqq a_{1} y$, hence $a_{4} y \leqq x \leqq a_{1} y$, where $a_{4} \in N$. Therefore $a_{4} \leqq$ $\leqq x y^{-1} \leqq a_{1}$, and since $N$ is convex, $x y^{-1} \in N$, and so $N x=N y$. Hence, " $\leqq$ " is antisymmetric. To prove the transitivity suppose that $x, y, z \in G$ abd $N x \leqq N y$, $N y \leqq N z$. Then there exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in N$ such that $x \leqq a_{1} y, y \leqq a_{2} z$. Let $a_{1} y=y a_{3}$, where $a_{3} \in N$. Then $x a_{3}^{-1} \leqq y$ and $y \leqq a_{2} z=z a_{4}$, where $a_{4} \in N$. Hence $x \leqq z a_{4} a_{3}$, and so $N x \leqq N z$.

Now, it is evident that $G / N$ with the partial order " $\leqq$ " is a right partially ordered group.

If for each $g \in G, N g>N$ implies $a g>e$ for all $a \in N$, then $G$ is called a lexextension of the right partially ordered group $N$ by means of the right partially ordered group $\bar{G}=G / N$.

Since the lex-extension $G$ of a right partially ordered group $N$ by means of $\bar{G}$ is (as a partially ordered set) isomorphic to the lexicographic product of the partially ordered sets $\bar{G}$ and $N$, the following theorem is true.

Theorem 3. If $G$ is a right partially ordered group which is the lex-extension of a right partially ordered group $N$ by means of a right partially ordered group $\bar{G}$, then $G$ is a tr-group if and only if $N$ is an ro-group and $\bar{G}$ is a tr-group.

A subgroup $H$ of a right partially ordered group $G$ is called a $u d$-subgroup of $G$, if $H$ is up-directed (i.e. if $\forall a, b \in H \exists c \in H ; a, b \leqq c$ ). Note that, contrary to (twosided) partially ordered groups, a ud-subgroup need not be down-directed. A convex ud-subgroup of $G$ will be called a cud-subgroup of $G$.

Lemma 4. Let $H$ be a subgroup of a tr-group $G$ and let there exist $g \in G$ such that $a g>e$ for each $a \in H$. Then $H$ is an ro-subgroup of $G$.

Proof. If $a g>e$ for each $a \in H$, then $a>g^{-1}$ for each $a \in H$, and this means $H$ is a chain.

Lemma 5. Let $H$ be a normal ud-subgroup of a tr-group $G$, let $g \in G$ and let $g>b$ for each $b \in P(H)$. Then ag $>e$.for each $a \in H$.

Proof. Let $g>b$ for each $b \in P(H)$. Since $H$ is a ud-subgroup, for any $a \in H$ there exists $b \in P(H)$ such that $a \leqq b$. Hence $g>a$ for each $a \in H$. But this means $g a>e$ for each $a \in H$. From the normality of $H$ we obtain $a g>e$ for each $a \in H$.

Theorem 6. Let $H$ be a normal cud-subgroup of a tr-group $G$, and let there exist $g \in G, g<e$, such that $g \notin P(H)^{-1}$. Then $H$ is an ro-subgroup of $G$.

Proof. Let $g<e, g \notin P(H)^{-1}$. Then $g^{-1}>e$, and since $H$ is convex, $g^{-1}>b$ for each $b \in P(H)$. By Lemmas 4 and 5, we obtain that $H$ is an ro-subgroup of $G$.

In [1] it is shown that every tr-group $G$ is generated by its subset of positive elements $P(G)$. Moreover, $G=P(G)^{-1} \cdot P(G)$. Because $P(G)$ is a chain, the set of all normal cud-subgroups of $G$ is linearly ordered by inclusion. And, since every of these subgroups is an ro-subgroup, all subgroups belong to just one chain in $\boldsymbol{G}$.

Corollary 1. Every tr-group contains a greatest proper normal cud-subgroup (which is an ro-group).

Proof. Let us denote by $H$ the union of all proper normal cud-subgroups of $G$. It is evident that $H$ is a convex ro-subgroup of $G$, hence $H \neq G$.

Proposition 7. If an ro-group $G$ is an str-group, then $G$ is a linearly ordered group (o-group).

Proof. Let $a, b \in G, a \leqq b, x \in P(G)^{-1}$. Let $x a>x b$. Since $x^{-1} \in P(G)$, we have $x^{-1} x a>x^{-1} x b$, a contradiction. Therefore $x a \leqq x b$.

As a consequence we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 8. If $G$ is an str-group and $H$ is a normal cud-subgroup of $G$, and if there exists $g \in G, g<e$, such that $g \notin P(H)^{-1}$, then $H$ is an o-subgroup of $G$.

Corollary 2. The greatest proper normal cud-subgroup of every str-group is an o-subgroup.

Theorem 9. Let $G$ be a tr-group, $N$ a normal cud-subgroup of G.Then $G$ is the lex-extension of $N$ by means of $G / N$.

Proof. Let $x \in G, x N>N$. Then there exists $c \in N$ such that $x c>e$, i.e. $x>c^{-1}$. From the $u$-directedness of $N$ we obtain the existence of $b \in P(N)$ such that $c^{-1} \leqq b$. Since $x$ and $b$ are comparable, we have $x<b$ or $b<x$. In the first case, $x \in N$, a contradiction. Hence $b<x$, and since $N$ is convex, $x>a$ for each $a \in N$.

Let $G$ be a group. A system $S(G)$ of subgroups of $G$ which is linearly ordered by inclusion is called full, if $e, G \in S(G)$, and if $S(G)$ contains the union and the intersection of every set of subgroups of $S(G)$. A jump $A \prec B$ in a full system $S(G)$ is any pair $A, B \in S(G)$ such that $A \subset B$ and $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$ imply $A=C$ or $B=C$ for each $C \in S(G)$. If $g \in G, g \neq e$, then $g$ defines a jump $A \prec B$, where $A$ is the union of all subgroups of $S(G)$ not containing $g$ and $B$ is the intersection of all subgroups of $S(G)$ containing $g$.

A system $S(G)$ is called subnormal, if for each $g \in G, g \neq e$, in the jump $A \prec B$ defined by $g, A$ is a normal subgroup of $B$. A system $S(G)$ is called normal, if all subgroups from $S(G)$ are normal in $G$. A subnormal system $S(G)$ is called solvable, if the factor group $B / A$ is abelian for every jump $A \prec B$.

Let now $G$ be a tr-group. We will denote the system of all normal cud-subgroups of $G$ by $\bar{C}(G)$. By Theorem 6 it is clear that $\bar{C}(G)$ is a full system of subgroups of $G$.

Theorem 10. If $G$ is a tr-group such that the normal system $\bar{C}(G)$ is solvable, then $G$ is an ro-group.

Proof. Let $H$ be the greatest proper normal cud-subgroup of $G$. By the assumption, $G / H$ is abelian, and by Theorem $9, G$ is the lex-extension of $H$ by means of $G / H$. This means, by Theorem 3, that $G / H$ is a tr-group. But $G / H$ is abelian and so it is an o-group. So we have that $G$ is the lex-extension of an ro-group by means of an o-group, hence $G$ is an ro-group.

Corollary 3. If the assumptions of Theorem 10 are satisfied, then the convex subgroups of $G$ form a full system of subgroups of $G$.

Theorem 11. If $G$ is an str-group such that the system $\bar{C}(G)$ is solvable, then $G$ is an o-group.

Note. V. M. Kopytov has informed the author that N. L. Petrova showed that
any tr-group is a torsion-free group. But this fact is not proved directly, and her proof uses a representation of a tr-group in terms of automorphisms of the group.

Here we will show that this proposition can be proved directly from the definition of a tr-group. Namely, let $G$ be a tr-group and $x \in G$. Suppose that $x$ has finite order $n$. Since $\langle x\rangle$ is finite, there exists $y \in G$ such that $y \geqq x^{i}$ for all $i$ and, multiplying on the right by suitable powers of $x$, we have $y x^{i} \geqq e$ for all $i$. Therefore $\left\{y, y x, \ldots, y x^{n-1}\right\}$ is linearly ordered. The map $y x^{i} \mapsto y x^{i+1}$ is an order automorphism and therefore it must be trivial. Thus $x=e$ and $G$ is torsion-free.
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