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# OPTIMAL OSCILLATORY TIME FOR A CLASS OF SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR DISSIPATIVE ODE 

Leopold Herrmann

(Received December 21, 1991)

Summary. The oscillatory properties of the equation

$$
\ddot{u}+g(t, \dot{u})+f(t, u)=0
$$

are investigated. The result is applicable to some second order in time evolution eqations.
Keywords: oscillatory time, second order nonlinear ODE
AMS classification: $34 \mathrm{C} 15,34 \mathrm{C} 10$

## 1. InTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper standard notation is used. In particular, $u^{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$, $\boldsymbol{u}^{-}=\max \{-u, 0\}$ for any $u \in \mathbf{R}$, and similarly for functions. If $J$ is an interval in $\mathbf{R}$ with end points $t_{1}, t_{2}$ then $|J|$ denotes its length $t_{2}-t_{1}$. The dot . stands for the derivative $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} t$.

In Sec. 2 we introduce the set $\mathscr{O}$ of couples $(q, p) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ for which, roughly speaking, solutions of the inequality

$$
\ddot{u}+2 p \dot{u}^{+}+q u \leqslant 0, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}
$$

and/or

$$
\ddot{u}+2 p|\dot{u}|+q u \leqslant 0, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}
$$

admit positive local maxima (the "maximum principle" is not valid on sufficiently large intervals). Then the so-called summit function $\vartheta$ is introduced which to any $(q, p) \in \mathscr{O}$ assigns the first positive point of maximum of a solution of the equation
$\ddot{u}+2 p \dot{u}^{+}+q u=0$ satisfying $u(0)=0, \dot{u}(0)=c>0$. This correspondence is independent of $c$. For $c=1$ such a solution considered for $t \in[0, \vartheta(q, p)]$ is found explicitly as the restriction of the function $A$, an auxiliary function recalled in Sec. 3, which stems from the linearly damped oscillation theory, as expected. In Sec. 4, this solution suitably extended beyond the end point $\vartheta(q, p)$ (as the solution of the equation $\ddot{u}+2 n \dot{u}^{-}+q u=0$ ) yields the universal comparison function $C$. It is this function that makes it possible to establish, in Sec. 6, the non-existence on large intervals of positive (respectively, negative) solutions of a class of nonlinear inequalities of the type

$$
\ddot{u}+g(t, \dot{u})+f(t, u) \leqslant 0 \quad(\geqslant 0),
$$

with appropriate assumptions on $f$ and $g$ surveyed in Secs. 5 and 6. Optimal estimates of the length of such intervals are given (in terms of the function $\vartheta$ ). In Sec. 7 the results are applied to the corresponding equation

$$
\ddot{u}+g(t, \dot{u})+f(t, u)=0 .
$$

As a consequence we obtain in Sec. 8 a criterion for this equation to be oscillatory at $+\infty$ together with the optimal (in a sense to be specified) oscillatory time. As a special case we get results of the paper Zuazua (1990) which inspired the present investigation.

## 2. The summit function $\vartheta$

Let us denote

$$
\mathscr{O}=\left\{(q, p) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid q>0, p>-\sqrt{q}\right\} .
$$

On the region $\mathscr{O}$ we define the summit function

$$
\vartheta(q, p)= \begin{cases}\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{q-p^{2}}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{q-p^{2}}} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{q-p^{2}}}{p}, & -\sqrt{q}<p<0 \\ \frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{q}}, & p=0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{q-p^{2}}} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{q-p^{2}}}{p}, & p>0, p \neq \sqrt{q} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}, & p=\sqrt{q}\end{cases}
$$

Owing to the relation

$$
\operatorname{arctanh} z=-\mathrm{i} \arctan \mathrm{i} z, \quad z \in \mathbf{R},
$$

where i is the imaginary unit, an equivalent expression for $\vartheta$ if $p>\sqrt{q}$ is

$$
\vartheta(q, p)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p^{2}-q}} \operatorname{arctanh} \frac{\sqrt{p^{2}-q}}{p}
$$

Let us mention some properties of the summit function.

- $\quad \vartheta$ is a real positive continuous function on $\mathscr{O}$;
- $\lim _{(q, p) \rightarrow \partial \varnothing} \vartheta(q, p)=+\infty$;
- for any $q>0, \vartheta(q, \cdot)$ is decreasing on $(-\sqrt{q},+\infty)$ and $\lim _{p \rightarrow+\infty} \vartheta(q, p)=0$;
- for any $p \in \mathbf{R}, \vartheta(\cdot, p)$ is decreasing on $\left(\left(p^{-}\right)^{2},+\infty\right)$ and $\lim _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \vartheta(q, p)=0$.

We shall frequently use the notation

$$
\vartheta(q, p)=\vartheta_{p}^{q}
$$

## 3. An auxiliary function $A$

For $(t, q, p) \in \mathbf{R} \times O$ we define

$$
A(t, q, p)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\sqrt{q-p^{2}}} \exp (-p t) \sin \left(\sqrt{q-p^{2}} t\right), & p>-\sqrt{q}, p \neq \sqrt{q} \\ t \exp (-\sqrt{q} t), & p=\sqrt{q}\end{cases}
$$

We may alternately define

$$
A(t, q, p)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p^{2}-q}} \exp (-p t) \sinh \left(\sqrt{p^{2}-q} t\right), \quad p>\sqrt{q}
$$

which is due to the well-known relation

$$
\sinh z=-\mathrm{i} \sin \mathrm{i} z, \quad z \in \mathbf{R}
$$

The function $A$ is a real continous function on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathscr{O}$. For any $(q, p) \in \mathscr{O}$ the function

$$
a(t)=A(t, q, p)
$$

is the unique solution of the initial-value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{a}+2 p \dot{a}+q a & =0, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, \\
a(0)=0, \quad \dot{a}(0) & =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Moreover,

a) $\quad a(t)>0, \quad t \in\left(0, \vartheta_{p}^{q}\right]$,
b) $\quad \dot{a}(t)>0, \quad t \in\left[0, \vartheta_{p}^{q}\right), \quad \dot{a}\left(\vartheta_{p}^{q}\right)=0$,
c) $\quad a \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$.

## 4. The universal comparison function $C$

Let $(q, p) \in \mathscr{O}$ and $(q, n) \in \mathscr{O}$. We define

$$
C(t, q, p, n)= \begin{cases}A(t, q, p), & t \in\left[0, \vartheta_{p}^{q}\right] \\ \exp \left(-p \vartheta_{p}^{q}+n \vartheta_{n}^{q}\right) A\left(\vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}-t, q, n\right), & t \in\left(\vartheta_{p}^{q}, \vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right]\end{cases}
$$

For $q, p, n$ fixed we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(t)=C(t, q, p, n) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
c \in C^{2}\left[0, \vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right],  \tag{4.2}\\
\ddot{c}+2\left(p \dot{c}^{+}+n \dot{c}^{-}\right)+q c=0, \quad t \in\left[0, \vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right],  \tag{4.3}\\
c(0)=c\left(\vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right)=0, \quad c(t)>0, \quad t \in\left(0, \vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right),  \tag{4.4}\\
\dot{c}(0)=1, \quad \dot{c}(t)>0, \quad t \in\left[0, \vartheta_{p}^{q}\right), \quad \dot{c}\left(\vartheta_{p}^{q}\right)=0, \\
\dot{c}(t)<0, \quad t \in\left(\vartheta_{p}^{q}, \vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right], \quad \dot{c}\left(\vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right)=-\exp \left(-p \vartheta_{p}^{q}+n \vartheta_{n}^{q}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Three particular cases of special interest. If $n=p, n=0, n=-p$, then the function $c$ provides a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{c}+2 p|\dot{c}|+q c & =0  \tag{4.6}\\
\ddot{c}+2 p \dot{c}^{+}+q c & =0  \tag{4.7}\\
\ddot{c}+2 p \dot{c}+q c & =0 \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively.

The function $c$ is symmetric with respect to $t=\vartheta_{p}^{q}$ if and only if $n=p$. A very special case $p=n=0$ yields

$$
c(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sin (\sqrt{q} t), \quad t \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{q}}\right]
$$

a solution of the equation $\ddot{c}+q c=0$. In general, $c$ is not concave on $\left[0, \vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right]$ unless simultaneously $p \geqslant 0$ and $n \geqslant 0$.

Due to the fact that Eq. (4.3) is autonomous any shift of the function $c$,

$$
\left(\mathscr{S}_{h} c\right)(t)=c(t-h), \quad h \in \mathbf{R},
$$

satisfies the same equation.

## 5. Auxiliary results on nonlinear equations

Let us recall some notions and results concerning locally absolutely continuous ( $W_{1, \text { loc }}^{1}$ ) solutions of systems of first order nonlinear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{U}=F(t, U) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(t_{0}\right)=U^{0} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply them to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+g(t, \dot{u})+f(t, u)=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0}, \quad \dot{u}\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{1} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter equation (together with the corresponding inequalities) will be the subject of our further investigation.

Let $\tau_{0} \subset \mathbf{R}$ be an open interval and $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ a region. A function $F: \tau_{0} \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is said to satisfy the Carathéodory conditions if

- $\quad F(t, \cdot): \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous for (almost) every $t \in \tau_{0}$;
- $\quad F(\cdot, U): \tau_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is measurable for every $U \in \Omega$;
- for each compact set $G \subset \Omega$ there exists a function $M \in L_{1, \text { loc }}\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\|F(t, U)\| \leqslant M(t), \quad U \in G, t \in \tau_{0}
$$

A function $F: \tau_{0} \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is said to satisfy the local Lipschitz condition with respect to $U$ if

- for each compact set $G \subset \Omega$ there exists a function $\lambda \in L_{1, l o c}\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|F\left(t, U^{1}\right)-F\left(t, U^{2}\right)\right\| \leqslant \lambda(t)\left\|U^{1}-U^{2}\right\|, \quad U^{1}, U^{2} \in G, t \in \tau_{0}
$$

Let $F: \tau_{0} \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ satisfy the Carathéodory conditions and the local Lipschitz condition with respect to $U$ (or have any other "uniqueness property" guaranteeing the uniqueness of the solution of the initial-value problem (5.1), (5.2)). Then for any $\left(t_{0}, U^{0}\right) \in \tau_{0} \times \Omega$ there exists a unique solution $U: \tilde{\tau}_{0} \longrightarrow \Omega, U \in W_{1, l o c}^{1}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{0} ; \Omega\right)$ defined for a maximal time interval $\tilde{\tau}_{0}=\tilde{\tau}_{0}\left(t_{0}, U^{0}\right)$. This (maximal existence) interval is open and $U$ is called the maximal solution of (5.1), (5.2). The solution is global, which means that $\tilde{\tau}_{0}=\tau_{0}$ if, for example,

- $\quad \Omega=\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and there exist functions $M, N \in L_{1, l o c}\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\|F(t, U)\| \leqslant M(t)\|U\|+N(t), \quad U \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, t \in \tau_{0}
$$

Now, let $f$ and $g$ be two functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f: J_{0} \times I_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}, \\
& g: J_{0} \times I_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J_{0}, I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$ are open intervals in $\mathbf{R}$. Let
$f$ and $g$ satisfy the Carathéodory conditions, $f$ and $g$ satisfy the local Lipschitz condition with respect to the second variable.

Before applying the above mentioned results we introduce the following notation for convenience in writing. If $J \subset \mathbf{R}$ is a compact interval we denote

$$
\mathscr{A}(J)=\left\{u \mid u \in W_{1}^{1}\left(J ; I_{0}\right), \dot{u} \in W_{1}^{1}\left(J ; I_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

For any interval $J_{0} \subset \mathbf{R}$ we define

$$
\mathscr{A}\left(J_{0}\right)=\bigcap_{\substack{J \subset J_{0} \\ J \text { compact }}} \mathscr{A}(J) .
$$

For any $t_{0} \in J_{0}, u_{0} \in I_{0}, u_{1} \in I_{1}$ there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathscr{A}\left(\tilde{J}_{0}\right)$ of the initial-value problem (5.3), (5.4) defined for a maximal time duration in $J_{0}$.

## 6. Conjugacy of inequalities

Let $f$ and $g$ be two functions satisfying hypotheses (5.5), (5.6) (this will be assumed tacitly throughout the rest of the paper).

We shall assume that $u \in \mathscr{A}(J)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+g(t, \dot{u})+f(t, u) \leqslant 0 \quad \text { on } J \quad\left(\subset J_{0}\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
f(t, u(t)) \geqslant q u(t)^{+}, & t \in J, \\
g(t, \dot{u}(t)) \geqslant 2\left(p \dot{u}(t)^{+}+n \dot{u}(t)^{-}\right), & t \in J
\end{array}
$$

for some $q \geqslant 0$ and $p, n \in \mathbf{R}$.

To verify these assumptions in practice we introduce a convenient notation. For any couple $(p, n) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{p, n}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid y \geqslant p x^{+}+n x^{-}\right\}, \\
& V_{p,-\infty}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{R}} V_{p, n}, \quad V_{-\infty, n}=\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{R}} V_{p, n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The assumptions (6.2), (6.3) are fulfilled if the following uniform inclusions of graphs of functions $f$ and $g$ are valid:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathscr{G}(f(t, \cdot)) \subset V_{q,-\infty}, & t \in J \\
\mathscr{G}(g(t, \cdot)) \subset V_{2 p, 2 n}, & t \in J . \tag{6.5}
\end{array}
$$

In other terms,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{q}=\operatorname{essinf}\left\{\left.\frac{f(t, u)}{u} \right\rvert\, t \in J, u \in I_{0} \cap\{u \geqslant 0\}\right\} \geqslant 0 \\
2 p=\operatorname{essinf}\left\{\left.\frac{g(t, v)}{v} \right\rvert\, t \in J, v \in I_{1} \cap\{v \geqslant 0\}\right\} \in \mathbf{R} \\
2 n=-\operatorname{esssup}\left\{\left.\frac{g(t, v)}{v} \right\rvert\, t \in J, v \in I_{1} \cap\{v \leqslant 0\}\right\} \in \mathbf{R} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 6.1. Let $t_{0} \in J$. If $u \geqslant 0$ on $J$ and $u\left(t_{0}\right)=\dot{u}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ then $u \equiv 0$ on $J$. Proof. Denote

$$
\mathscr{M}=\left\{t^{\prime} \in J \mid u(t)=\dot{u}(t)=0, t \in\left[t_{0}, t^{\prime}\right]\right\}
$$

The set $\mathscr{M}$ is not empty and let $\tilde{t}=\sup \mathscr{M}$. Assume that $\tilde{t}$ is less than the right end point of the interval $J$. By (6.2) and (6.3), $f(\tilde{t}, u(\tilde{t})) \geqslant 0, g(\tilde{t}, \dot{u}(\tilde{t})) \geqslant 0$ and by $(6.1), \ddot{u}(\tilde{t}) \leqslant 0$. Hence there exists a neighbourhood of $\tilde{t}$ such that the graph of $u$ lies below or on the tangent at $\tilde{t}$. By assumption, $u \geqslant 0$, hence $u \equiv 0$ in this neighbourhood and this is a contradiction with the definition of $\tilde{t}$. A similar reasoning yields that $\inf \left\{t^{\prime} \in J \mid u(t)=\dot{u}(t)=0, t \in\left[t^{\prime}, t_{0}\right]\right\}$ equals to the left end point of $J$ and the assertion follows.

Lemma 6.2. Let $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in J$.
a) If $u \geqslant 0$ on $J$ and $\dot{u}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0$ then $\dot{u} \geqslant 0$ on $J \cap\left\{t \leqslant t^{\prime}\right\}$.
b) If $u \geqslant 0$ on $J$ and $\dot{u}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant 0$ then $\dot{u} \leqslant 0$ on $J \cap\left\{t \geqslant t^{\prime \prime}\right\}$.

Proof. In view of (6.2), (6.3) we have by (6.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+g(t, \dot{u}) \leqslant 0 \quad \text { on } J \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (6.6) by $\dot{u}^{-}$(an absolutely continuous function) and using (6.3) we get

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left|\dot{u}^{-}\right|^{2} \geqslant 4 n\left|\dot{u}^{-}\right|^{2} \quad \text { on } J
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|\dot{u}^{-}(t)\right|^{2} \leqslant\left|\dot{u}^{-}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \exp \left(-4 n\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)\right), \quad t \in J \cap\left\{t \leqslant t^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Since $\dot{u}^{-}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=0$ by assumption we get $\dot{u}^{-} \equiv 0$ on $J \cap\left\{t \leqslant t^{\prime}\right\}$ and the proof of a) is complete.

Multiplying (6.6) by $\dot{u}^{+}$we obtain in a similar way

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left|\dot{u}^{+}\right|^{2} \leqslant 4 p\left|\dot{u}^{+}\right|^{2} \quad \text { on } J
$$

and

$$
\left|\dot{u}^{+}(t)\right|^{2} \leqslant\left|\dot{u}^{+}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{2} \exp \left(-4 p\left(t-t^{\prime \prime}\right)\right), \quad t \in J \cap\left\{t \geqslant t^{\prime \prime}\right\} .
$$

Hence, $\dot{u}^{+} \equiv 0$ on $J \cap\left\{t \geqslant t^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ and the proof of b$)$ follows.
Remark 6.1. The function $u$ cannot attain a non-negative minimum at an interior point of the interval $J$ unless $u \equiv 0$ (or $u \equiv M, M$ an arbitrary non-negative constant, if $q=0$ ).

Now, let us assume more specifically

$$
(q, p) \in \mathscr{O}, \quad(q, n) \in \mathscr{O}
$$

Lemma 6.3. a) If for some $t_{0} \in J, J^{+}=\left[t_{0}-\vartheta_{p}^{q}, t_{0}\right] \subset J$ and $u\left(t_{0}\right)>0, \dot{u}\left(t_{0}\right) \geqslant 0$ then there exists $t^{*} \in\left[t_{0}-\vartheta_{p}^{q}, t_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
u\left(t^{*}\right)=0, \quad \dot{u}\left(t^{*}\right)>0 .
$$

b) If for some $t_{0} \in J, J^{-}=\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right] \subset J$ and $u\left(t_{0}\right)>0, \dot{u}\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant 0$ then there exists $t^{* *} \in\left(t_{0}, t_{0}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right]$ such that

$$
u\left(t^{* *}\right)=0, \quad \dot{u}\left(t^{* *}\right)<0
$$

Proof of a). Let us denote $\mathscr{M}=\left\{t \in J^{+} \mid u(t) \leqslant 0\right\}$. We prove, by contradiction, that the set $\mathscr{M}$ is not empty. So, let $u>0$ on $J^{+}$. By Lemma 6.2 we know that $\dot{u} \geqslant 0$ on $J^{+}$. Now, we shall define the comparison function $\gamma$ as a suitable shift of the universal comparison function $c$ given by (4.1), namely,

$$
\gamma=\mathscr{S}_{t_{0}-\vartheta_{p}^{q}} c .
$$

For our purposes it is enough to consider this function only for $t \in J^{+}$. The function $\gamma$ satisfies Eq. (4.3) and has the following properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma\left(t_{0}-\vartheta_{p}^{q}\right)=0, \quad \gamma(t)>0, \quad t \in\left(t_{0}-\vartheta_{p}^{q}, t_{0}\right] \\
& \dot{\gamma}(t)>0, \quad t \in\left[t_{0}-\vartheta_{p}^{q}, t_{0}\right), \quad \dot{\gamma}\left(t_{0}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, taking into account (6.2), (6.3) we arrive at the inequality

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(\dot{\gamma} u-\gamma \dot{u}) \geqslant-2 p(\dot{\gamma} u-\gamma \dot{u}) \quad \text { on } \quad J^{+}
$$

Consequently,

$$
(\dot{\gamma} u-\gamma \dot{u})(t) \leqslant(\dot{\gamma} u-\gamma \dot{u})\left(t_{0}\right) \exp \left(2 p\left(t_{0}-t\right)\right) \leqslant 0, \quad t \in J^{+}
$$

In particular, for $t=t_{0}-\vartheta_{p}^{q}$ we get

$$
\dot{\gamma}\left(t_{0}-\vartheta_{p}^{q}\right) u\left(t_{0}-\vartheta_{p}^{q}\right) \leqslant 0,
$$

a contradiction. Let us define $t^{*}=\sup \mathscr{M}$. Clearly, $u\left(t^{*}\right)=0$ and $\dot{u}\left(t^{*}\right) \geqslant 0$. The case $\dot{u}\left(t^{*}\right)=0$ is excluded by Lemma 6.1. The proof of a) is complete.

To prove b) we use again the properties of the function $\gamma$ considered now on the interval $J^{-}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma(t)>0, \quad t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right), \quad \gamma\left(t_{0}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right)=0, \\
& \dot{\gamma}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \quad \dot{\gamma}(t)<0, \quad t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{0}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We arrive analogously at the conclusion $t^{* *}=\inf \left\{t \mid t \in J^{-}, u(t) \leqslant 0\right\}$.
Theorem 6.1. Let

- $(q, p) \in \mathscr{O},(q, n) \in \mathscr{O}$,

$$
\mathscr{G}(f(t, \cdot)) \subset V_{q,-\infty}, \quad \mathscr{G}(g(t, \cdot)) \subset V_{2 p, 2 n}, \quad t \in J
$$

- $u \in \mathscr{A}(J), \quad \ddot{u}+g(t, \dot{u})+f(t, u) \leqslant 0$ on $J$,
- $u \geqslant 0$ on $J$.

Then

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
\text { either } & |J| & \leqslant \vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q} \\
\text { or } & u & \equiv 0 \text { on } J .
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Proof. Let }|J|>\vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q} \text {. Let } J \supset\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right], t_{2}-t_{1}>\vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q} \text { and } \\
\mathscr{M}=\left\{t^{\prime} \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \mid \dot{u}(t) \leqslant 0, t \in\left[t^{\prime}, t_{2}\right]\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemmas 6.3 a ) and 6.2 the set $\mathscr{M}$ is not empty and inf $\mathscr{M} \leqslant t_{1}+\vartheta_{p}^{q}$. Due to the fact that $u \geqslant 0$ we have $u\left(t_{1}+\vartheta_{p}^{q}\right)=0$ and consequently $\dot{u}\left(t_{1}+\vartheta_{p}^{q}\right)=0$. By Lemma $6.1, u \equiv 0$ on $J$.

Remark 6.2. The inequality (6.1) is said to be conditionally conjugate in an interval $J$ if for every $u \in \mathscr{A}(J)$ satisfying (6.1) and $u \geqslant 0$ there exist $t^{*}, t^{* *} \in J$, $t^{*} \neq t^{* *}$ such that $u\left(t^{*}\right)=u\left(t^{* *}\right)=0$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 6.1 the inequality (6.1) is conditionally conjugate on every interval ( $\subset J_{0}$ ) the length of which is greater than $\vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}$. This number is optimal for the considered class of inequalities in the sense that on any interval of length less than or equal to $\vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}$ we can always find functions $f$ and $g$ obeying the assumptions of the theorem and a non-trivial solution of the corresponding inequality which is non-negative on this interval. In fact, the functions $f$ and $g$ can be chosen in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u)=q u, \quad g(t, v)=2\left(p v^{+}+n v^{-}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the following theorem shows.
Theorem 6.2. Let $(q, p) \in \mathscr{O},(q, n) \in \mathscr{O}, u \in \mathscr{A}(J)$. Then the statement

$$
u \geqslant 0 \text { on } J, \ddot{u}+2\left(p \dot{u}^{+}+n \dot{u}^{-}\right)+q u \leqslant 0 \text { on } J \Longrightarrow u \equiv 0 \text { on } J
$$

holds true if and only if

$$
|J|>\vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q} .
$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 6.1 it suffices to show that the implication is not valid if $|J| \leqslant \vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}$. To this end, we take an appropriate shift of the universal comparison function (4.1) which represents a non-trivial non-negative solution on the interval $\left[0, \vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}\right]$.

Analogous lemmas and theorems can be proved for the reversed inequality and its non-positive solutions. For example, putting $z=-u, G(t, w)=-\boldsymbol{g}(t,-w)$, $F(t, z)=-f(t,-z)$ we can use the results of Theorem 6.1 to obtain

## Theorem 6.3. Let

- $(-Q,-P) \in \mathscr{O},(-Q,-N) \in \mathscr{O}$,

$$
\mathscr{G}(-f(t, \cdot)) \subset V_{-\infty,-Q}, \quad \mathscr{G}(-g(t, \cdot)) \subset V_{-2 P,-2 N}, \quad t \in J
$$

- $\quad u \in \mathscr{A}(J), \quad \ddot{u}+g(t, \dot{u})+f(t, u) \geqslant 0 \quad$ on $J$,
- $u \leqslant 0$ on $J$.

Then
either $\quad|J| \leqslant \vartheta(-Q,-P)+\vartheta(-Q,-N)$
or $\quad u \equiv 0$ on $J$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 6.1 to the inequality

$$
\ddot{z}+G(t, \dot{z})+F(t, z) \leqslant 0
$$

with $p=-N, n=-P, q=-Q$.

## 7. Conjugacy of equations

Combining Theorems 6.1 and 6.3 we get results on the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+g(t, \dot{u})+f(t, u)=0 . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 7.1. Let us assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q, p),(q, n),(-Q,-P),(-Q,-N) \in \boldsymbol{O} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
q u \leqslant f(t, u), & t \in J, u \in I_{0} \cap\{u \geqslant 0\}, \\
f(t, u) \leqslant-Q u, & t \in J, u \in I_{0} \cap\{u \leqslant 0\}, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

(7.33) $2\left(p v^{+}+n v^{-}\right) \leqslant g(t, v) \leqslant 2\left(P v^{+}+N v^{-}\right), \quad t \in J, v \in I_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in \mathscr{A}(J) \text { satisfies Eq. (7.1) on } J \text {, } \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \geqslant 0 \quad(\text { or } u \leqslant 0) \quad \text { on } J . \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
either

$$
|J| \leqslant \vartheta(q, p)+\vartheta(q, n) \quad(\text { or }|J| \leqslant \vartheta(-Q,-P)+\vartheta(-Q,-N))
$$

$$
\text { or } \quad u \equiv 0 \quad \text { on } J .
$$

Special cases (cf. Zuazua (1990)). If

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-\quad u f(t, u) \geqslant q u^{2}, & t \in J, u \in I_{0}, \\
- & |g(t, v)| \leqslant 2 P|v|, \\
\quad t \in J, v \in I_{1},
\end{array}
$$

then $p=n=-P=-N, q=-Q$ with $P<\sqrt{q}$. If, moreover, $g$ satisfies the sign condition

$$
v g(t, v) \geqslant 0, \quad t \in J, v \in I_{1},
$$

then $p \geqslant 0, N \leqslant 0, n=-P$ and we can choose, in general, at least $p=0, N=0$. In particular cases a better choice (that is, leading to smaller values of $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{p}^{q}+\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{n}^{q}$ and $\vartheta(-Q,-P)+\vartheta(-Q,-N))$ may be possible. For example, for the nonlinearity

$$
g(t, v)=2(d+\varepsilon \sin v) v, \quad d>0, \quad|\varepsilon|<d \quad(v \in \mathbf{R})
$$

the best choice is $P=-n=d+\varepsilon$ and $p=-N=d-\varepsilon$.

Remark 7.1. The equation (7.1) is called conditionally conjugate on $J$ if any non-trivial solution $u \in \mathscr{A}(J)$ vanishes at two distinct points of $J$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 7.1 Eq. (7.1) is conditionally conjugate on every interval the length of which is greater than $\max \{\vartheta(q, p)+\vartheta(q, n), \vartheta(-Q,-P)+\vartheta(-Q,-N)\}$.

## 8. Oscillatory properties of equations

Let

$$
J_{0}=[a,+\infty) \text { for some } a \in \mathbf{R}
$$

If $u \in \mathscr{A}\left(J_{0}\right)$ is a solution of Eq. (7.1) then Theorem 7.1 yields that Eq. (7.1) is conditionally conjugate on every interval $[c,+\infty]$ with $c>a$. This is usually expressed in terms of the oscillation theory.

A measurable function $u: J_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called oscillatory (at $+\infty$ ) if there exists (the so-called oscillatory time) $\Theta>0$ such that

$$
u \geqslant 0 \quad(u \leqslant 0) \text { on } J \subset J_{0} \Longrightarrow \begin{cases}\text { either } & u \equiv 0 \text { on } J_{0} \\ \text { or } & |J| \leqslant \Theta .\end{cases}
$$

In other words, if $u$ is non-trivial on $J_{0}, J \subset J_{0},|J|>\Theta$, then $\boldsymbol{u}$ changes the sign on $J$, more precisely, meas $\{t \mid t \in J, u(t)>0\}>0$ and meas $\{t \mid t \in J, u(t)<0\}>0$.

Theorem 8.1. Let $J_{0}=[a,+\infty)$ for some $a \in \mathbf{R}$. Let the hypotheses (7.2) and (7.3) be fulfilled with $J=J_{0}$. Then any solution $u \in \mathscr{A}\left(J_{0}\right)$ of Eq. (7.1) is oscillatory and

$$
\Theta=\max \{\vartheta(q, p)+\vartheta(q, n), \vartheta(-Q,-P)+\vartheta(-Q,-N)\}
$$

As a consequence, we state the final result concerning the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+2\left(p \dot{u}^{+}+n \dot{u}^{-}\right)+q u=0 . \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.2. Let $(q, p),(q, n) \in \mathscr{O}$. Then any solution $u \in \mathscr{A}(\mathbf{R})$ of Eq. (8.1) is oscillatory and

$$
\Theta=\vartheta_{p}^{q}+\vartheta_{n}^{q}
$$

Remark 8.1. The oscillatory time $\Theta$ in the above theorems is optimal in the sense that for any $\Theta_{1}<\theta$ there exists an interval $J \subset J_{0},|J| \geqslant \Theta_{1}$ and a solution of Eq. (7.1) with suitable $f$ and $g$, for example of the form (6.7), that does not change the sign on $J$.

In the end, we specify the results for each of the particular equations (4.6) through (4.8). Namely, any solution $u \in \mathscr{A}(\mathbf{R})$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+2 p \beta(\dot{u}) \dot{u}+q u=0, \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(q, p) \in \mathscr{O}, \beta=\operatorname{sgn}$ function or $\beta=$ Heaviside function, is oscillatory and the oscillatory time is

$$
\Theta=2 \vartheta_{p}^{q}
$$

and

$$
\Theta=\vartheta_{p}^{q}+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{q}},
$$

respectively. A well-known result from the linear oscillation theory is obtained for $\beta \equiv 1$ : if $|p|<q$ then any solution is oscillatory and the oscillatory time is

$$
\Theta=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{q-p^{2}}}
$$
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