Jan Menu; Aleš Pultr Simply (co)reflective subcategories of the categories determined by poset-valued functors

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 16 (1975), No. 1, 161--172

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105614

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

16,1 (1975)

SIMPLY (CO)REFLECTIVE SUBCATEGORIES OF THE CATEGORIES DETERMINED BY POSET-VALUED FUNCTORS Jan MENU, Antwerpen, and Ales PULTR, Praha

Abstract: By the criteria in [3] and [4] one sees easily that simply reflective and simply coreflective subcategories (i.e., such (co)reflective subcategories that the (co)reflections are carried by identities) of the semi-lattice fiberings \mathcal{U}_F (see 1.3 below, cf. [2]) are again of the form \mathcal{U}_G for a suitable G. In this note we study the relation of this functor G to the original F. We show that in the reflection case (Theorem 3.4) there is a transformation $\varepsilon: F \longrightarrow G$ and a subtransformation (see 1.4) φ such that $\varepsilon \varphi = 1$, so that G can be considered as a nice factorfunctor of F. In the coreflection case (Theorem 3.7) there is a subtransformation $\mathcal{L}: G \longrightarrow F$ such that $\varepsilon \mathcal{A} = 1$. (The φ, \mathcal{A} , resp., are naturally connected with the embedding of \mathcal{U}_G into \mathcal{U}_F .)

Key words: Simply (co)reflective, generalized lattice fiberings, subtransformation.

AMS: 18899

Ref. Ž.: 2.726

§ 1. Subtransformations

1.1. The category of all sets and mappings is denoted by Set, the category of partially ordered sets and order preserving mappings is denoted by Poset. D designates the category of partially ordered sets in which every nonvoid subset has an infimum and of the suprema preserving mappings, CSL is its complete subcategory generated by the

- 161 -

complete lattices.

The symbol

£

is used as a variable with values Poset, \mathcal{O} , CSL. I.e., the appearance of \mathfrak{X} in a definition or in a statement indicates its applicability for any of the mentioned categories.

1.2. <u>Convention</u>: The partial orderings will be always denoted by the symbol \leq . Furthermore, if A, B are partially ordered sets and f, g: A \longrightarrow B mappings, we write

```
fég
```

if $f(a) \neq g(a)$ for every $a \in A$.

1.3. Let F: Set $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a functor. In accordance with [2] we denote by

the category the objects of which are couples (X,a) with X a set and a \in F(X), the morphisms from (X,a) into (Y,b) being all the triples (a,f,b) with f: X \longrightarrow Y such that F(f)(a) \leq b.

 \mathcal{U}_{F} will be considered as a concrete category endowed by the forgetful functor sending (a,f,b) to f.

1.4. Let F , G: Set $\longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be functors. A subtransformation

$\tau: F \xrightarrow{\epsilon} G$

is a collection of morphisms $\tau = (\tau^{X} : F(X) \longrightarrow G(X)_{X \in objSet}$

- 162 -

such that for every $f: X \longrightarrow Y$

 $G(f) \cdot \tau^{X} \in \tau^{Y} \cdot F(f)$.

Subtransformations \mathcal{Z} : $\mathbf{F} \stackrel{\boldsymbol{\leftarrow}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{G}$ and \mathcal{D} : $\mathbf{G} \stackrel{\boldsymbol{\leftarrow}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{H}$ compose in an obvious way. The obtained illegitimate category will be denoted by

subtr [Set, ℃] ·

1.5. Consider the categories \mathcal{U}_F with F: Set $\longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and the functors $\Phi: \mathcal{U}_F \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_G$ such that $V \cdot \Phi = U$, where U, V are the natural forgetful functors (see 1.3). The obtained illegitimate category will be denoted by

1.6. Let $\tau: F \xrightarrow{\epsilon} G$ be a subtransformation. Define

(1)

$$[\tau]: \mathcal{U}_{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{G} \quad \text{by} [\tau](X,a) = (X, \tau^{X}(a)) \text{ and}$$

$$[\tau](a,f,b) = (\tau^{X}(a),f, \tau^{Y}(b)) \text{ for } f: X \longrightarrow Y.$$

(The definition is correct: $G(f) \stackrel{\chi}{\tau}^{\chi}(a) \leq \stackrel{\varphi}{\tau}^{Y} F(f)(a) \leq \stackrel{\varphi}{\tau}^{Y}(b)$.) Further, let us observe that the category \mathcal{U}_{F} determines the functor F, since $F(X) = \{a \mid (X,a) \in obj \mathcal{U}_{F}\}$ and $F(f)(a) = \min \{b \mid (a, f, b) \in morph \mathcal{U}_{F}\}$. For a functor Φ : : $\mathcal{U}_{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{G}$ such that $V \circ \Phi = U$ define

(2)
$$\langle \bar{\Phi} \rangle$$
 : $F \stackrel{\checkmark}{\longrightarrow} G$ by $(X, \langle \bar{\Phi} \rangle^{X}(a)) = \bar{\Phi}(X, a)$.

(It is a subtransformation: $\mathcal{G} = (e, f, F(f)(a))$ is a morphism, hence $\overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{G}) = (\langle \overline{\Phi} \rangle^{X}(a), f, \langle \overline{\Phi} \rangle^{Y} F(f)(a))$ is a morphism,

- 163 -

so that $G(f)\langle \Phi \rangle^{X}(a) \leq \langle \Phi \rangle^{Y} F(f)(a)$.) After an easy checking the equations

we obtain

<u>Statement</u>: The formulas (1) and (2) establish an isomorphism between \mathcal{U}_{∞} and "subtr [Set, \mathfrak{E}].

1.7. <u>Remark</u>: As a consequence of 1.6 we obtain that \mathcal{U}_F and \mathcal{U}_G are equally carried (i.e. there is an isofunctor $\Phi: \mathcal{U}_F \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_G$ with V. $\Phi = U$, cf. 0.2 in [4]) iff F is naturally equivalent to G. (The only point to be checked is that an invertible subtransformation is a transformation and hence a natural equivalence: But if $\tau = \gamma^{p-1}$, we have for f: $X \longrightarrow Y$

 $\boldsymbol{\varkappa}^{\mathsf{Y}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{f}) \; = \; \boldsymbol{\varkappa}^{\mathsf{Y}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{f}) \; \boldsymbol{\mathscr{Y}}^{\mathsf{X}} \boldsymbol{\varkappa}^{\mathsf{X}} \; \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\mathsf{X}} \; \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\mathsf{Y}} \; \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\mathsf{Y}} \; \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{f}) \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\mathsf{X}} \; = \; \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{f}) \, \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\mathsf{X}} \; .)$

§ 2. Concretely adjoint functors

2.1. Let (\mathcal{A}, U) , (\mathcal{B}, V) be concrete categories, L: $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, R: $\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ functors such that $V \circ L = U$ and $U \circ R = V$. L (R resp.) is said to be a concretely left (right, resp.) adjoint of R (of L, resp.) if there is a natural equivalence

 $\mathfrak{R}^{\times \mathscr{Y}}: \mathfrak{B}(L(x)), \mathbf{y}) \cong \mathfrak{Q}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{y}))$

such that $U(\mathscr{H}^{\times}(\varphi)) = V(\varphi)$ for every $\varphi : L(x) \longrightarrow y$.

- 164 -

<u>Remark</u>: The condition of $U(\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{G})) = V(\mathscr{G})$ for every \mathscr{G} : $L(x) \longrightarrow y$ is equivalent to a formally weaker one,

$$U(\boldsymbol{s}^{\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{L}(\boldsymbol{x})}(\boldsymbol{1}_{\boldsymbol{L}(\boldsymbol{x})}) = \boldsymbol{1}_{\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{x})} \text{ for every } \boldsymbol{x} .$$

Really, we have for $\psi: x \longrightarrow x'$, $\varphi: y' \longrightarrow y$ and $\infty:$: $L(x') \longrightarrow y'$

 $\mathcal{H}^{\times \mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{G} \circ \alpha \cdot \mathcal{L}(\psi)) = \mathbb{R}(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\times \mathcal{Y}}(\alpha) \cdot \psi$

so that for $\psi = l_x$, y' = L(x) and $\alpha = l_{L(x)}$,

$$\mathfrak{H}^{\mathsf{x}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{R}(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot \mathfrak{H}^{\mathsf{x}}(\mathfrak{x})(\mathbb{1}_{L(\mathfrak{x})})$$

2.3. <u>Proposition</u>: L: $\mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{F}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{G}}$ is a concretely left adjoint of R: $\mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{G}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{F}}$ iff

$$\langle L \rangle \cdot \langle R \rangle \leq 1$$
 and $\langle R \rangle \cdot \langle L \rangle \geq 1$.

<u>Proof</u>: Put $\lambda = \langle L \rangle$, $\varphi = \langle R \rangle$. Let L be a concretely left adjoint of R. Since $l_{R(X,a)} = (\varphi^{\chi}(a), l_{\chi}, \varphi^{\chi}(a))$ is a morphism, $\mathfrak{E}^{-1}(l_{R(X,a)}) = (\lambda^{\chi} \varphi^{\chi}(a), l_{\chi}, a)$ is a morphism, and hence $\lambda^{\chi} \varphi^{\chi}(a) \leq a$. Similarly, using $l_{L(X,b)}$, $b \leq \varphi^{\chi} \lambda^{\chi}(b)$. On the other hand, let $\lambda \varphi \leq 1$ and $l \leq \varphi \lambda$. Take an f:

: $X \rightarrow Y$. If $G(f) \lambda^{X}(a) \leq b$, we have $F(f)(a) \leq \leq F(f) \circ \lambda^{X}(a) \leq o^{Y}G(f) \lambda^{X}(a) \leq o^{Y}(b)$; if $F(f)(a) \leq \circ o^{Y}(b)$, we have $G(f) \lambda^{X}(a) \leq \lambda^{Y}F(f)(a) \leq \lambda^{Y}o^{Y}(b) \leq b$. Thus, f carries a morphism $L(X,a) \longrightarrow (Y,b)$ iff it carries a morphism $(X,a) \longrightarrow R(Y,b)$.

- 165 -

2.3. <u>Remark</u>: Let us have collections of morphisms $(\lambda^{\chi} : G(\chi) \longrightarrow F(\chi))_{\chi}$ and $(\varphi^{\chi} : F(\chi) \longrightarrow G(\chi))_{\chi}$ such that always

$$\lambda^{X} \varphi^{X} \leq 1$$
 and $\varphi^{X} \lambda^{X} \geq 1$.

Then 1) If (\mathcal{A}^X) is a transformation, then (\mathcal{P}^X) is a subtraneformation,

2) If (ϕ^X) is a transformation and (Λ^X) is a subtransformation, then (Λ^X) is a transformation.

Really, in the first case we have

$$F(f) \overset{X}{\varphi} \overset{Y}{=} \overset{Y}{\varphi} \overset{Y}{=} F(f) \overset{X}{\varphi} \overset{X}{=} \overset{Y}{\varphi} G(f) \overset{X}{=} \overset{X}{\varphi} \overset{Y}{=} G(f) ,$$

in the second one,

$$\lambda^{Y} F(f) \neq \lambda^{Y} F(f) \varphi^{X} \lambda^{X} = \lambda^{Y} \varphi^{Y} G(f) \lambda^{X} \neq G(f) \lambda^{X}$$

2.4. Following [1], a subcategory \mathfrak{B} of a concrete category (\mathfrak{Q} ,U) is said to be simply reflective (coreflective, resp.) if the embedding (\mathfrak{B} ,U | \mathfrak{B}) \subset (\mathfrak{Q} ,U) has a concretely left (right, resp.) adjoint. (In other words, if it is (co)reflective and the (co)reflection morphisms are identity carried.)

2.5. Lemma: Every coretraction in $\mathcal{Ol}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a full ambedding.

<u>Proof</u>: Let $V \cdot \hat{\Phi} = U$, $U \cdot \Psi = V$ and $\Psi \tilde{\Phi} = 1$. Let $\varphi : \tilde{\Phi}(a) \longrightarrow \tilde{\Phi}(b)$ be a morphism. We have $\psi = \Psi(\varphi)$: : $a \longrightarrow b$ and $V \tilde{\Phi} \Psi(\varphi) = U \Psi(\varphi) = V(\varphi)$. Since the

- 166 -

forgetful functors are faithful, $\phi(\psi) = \phi$.

2.6. By 2.5 and 1.6 we obtain immediately:

<u>Corollary</u>: Let $\tilde{\Phi}$: $\mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{G}} \to \mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{F}}$ be such that $\mathbb{V} \cdot \tilde{\Phi} = \mathbb{I}$. If there is a subtransformation \mathcal{A} (\mathcal{O} , resp.) such that $\mathcal{A} \cdot \langle \tilde{\Phi} \rangle = \mathbb{I}$ and $\langle \tilde{\Phi} \rangle \mathcal{A} \ge \mathbb{I}$ ($\tilde{\Phi} \cdot \langle \tilde{\Phi} \rangle = \mathbb{I}$ and $\langle \tilde{\Phi} \rangle \cdot \mathfrak{o} \le \mathbb{I}$, resp.) then $\tilde{\Phi}$ is an isomorphism onto a simply reflective (coreflective, resp.) subcategory of \mathcal{U}_{F} , equally carried with \mathcal{U}_{C} .

§ 3. Simply (co)reflective subcategories of CL_ .

3.1. In this paragraph we will show that there are no other simply (co)reflective subcategories of an \mathcal{U}_F but those embedded as in 2.6. First, let us make a few observations, actually trivial restatements of the definitions combined with an introduction of a notation which will be used in the sequel.

Let $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ be a simply reflective subcategory of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{F}}$. Then, for every a \mathbf{c} F(X) we have an $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ \mathbf{c} F(X) such that

l) a≰a,

2) $(X,\overline{x}) \in \text{obj} \mathcal{R}$,

3) If $(Y,b) \in obj \mathcal{R}$ and if $F(f)(a) \in b$, then $F(f)(\overline{a}) \leq b$.

Similarly, if & is a concretely coreflective subcategory of \mathcal{U}_F , then for every a \in F(X) we have an <u>a</u> \in F(X) such that

l^c <u>a</u> ≤ a ,

2^c) (X,<u>a</u>) c obj & ,

3^c) If $(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbf{obj} \otimes$ and if $F(f)(\mathbf{b}) \leq \mathbf{a}$, then $F(f)(\mathbf{b}) \leq \underline{\mathbf{a}}$.

3.2. By an easy reasoning we obtain

Lemma: a) $\overline{a} = \min \{b \mid (X,b) \in obj \& \& a \neq b \}$. In particular, $\overline{a} = a$ for $(X,a) \in obj \&$.

b) $a \neq b \implies \overline{a} \neq \overline{b}$.

c) $F(f)(\overline{a}) \leq \overline{F(f)(a)} = \overline{F(f)(\overline{a})}$.

3.3. Let を be simply reflective. Put G(X) = = {a | (X,a) c obj お } .

We obtain easily

Lemma: a) If a_i (i \in J) are in G(X) and if there is an infimum a of $\{a_i\}$ in F(X), then a \in G(X).

b) If a is a supremum of $\{a_i\}$ in F(X), then \overline{a} is a supremum of $\{\overline{a}_i\}$ in G(X).

3.4. <u>Theorem</u>: Let \mathcal{K} be a simply reflective subcategory of $\mathcal{O}L_F$, F: Set $\longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$. Then there is a functor G: : Set $\longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$, a transformation \mathcal{A} : F \longrightarrow G and a subtransformation \mathcal{G} : G \longrightarrow F such that

(i) $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}L_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $[\mathcal{O}] = (\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}L_{\mathcal{F}})$,

(ii) $\lambda g = 1$ and $g \lambda \geq 1$.

Proof: Put

(*) $G(X) = \{a \mid (X,a) \in obj \ \delta_{2} \}, \ G(f)(a) = \overline{F(f)(a)}$.

- 168 -

We have

$$a \leq b \implies G(f)(a) \leq G(f)(b)$$

by 3.2 b). By 3.2 a), $G(1)(a) = \overline{a} = a$. By 3.2 c) we have $G(g)G(f)(a) = \overline{F(g)(F(f)(a))} = \overline{F(g)F(f)(a)} = \overline{F(gf)(a)} = G(gf)(a) .$

Thus, the formulas (*) define a functor G: Set \longrightarrow Poset. Now, let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{O}$ or $\mathcal{X} = CSL$. Then obviously, by 3.3 a), every G(X) is in obj \mathcal{O} or obj CSL. In any case, every subset with an upper bound has a supremum. Now, let a be a supremum of $\{a_i\}$ in G(X). Thus, $\{a_i\}$ has a supremum b in F(X) and we have by 3.3 b) and 3.2 c), and by 3.3 b) again,

$$G(f)(a) = \overline{F(f)(b)} = \overline{\sup_{F(X)}F(f)(a_i)} = \sup_{O(X)} \overline{F(f)(a_i)} =$$
$$= \sup_{G(X)}G(f)(a_i).$$

We have

$$F(f) \ \varphi^{X}(a) = F(f)(a) \leq \overline{F(f)(a)} = G(f)(a) = \varphi^{Y} G(f)(a) ,$$

$$G(f) \ \lambda^{X}(a) = G(f)(\overline{a}) = \overline{F(f)(\overline{a})} = \overline{P(f)(a)} = \lambda^{Y} F(f)(a) ,$$

and

$$\lambda^{X} \mathfrak{S}^{X}(a) = a$$
 (by 3.2 a)), $\mathfrak{S}^{X} \lambda^{X}(a) = \overline{a} \ge a$.

If $\varphi = (a, f, b)$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}$, we have $G(f)(a) \leq b$. Hence, $F(f)(a) \leq \overline{F(f)(a)} \leq b$, so that φ is in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Thus, $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Since obviously $obj \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}} = obj \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and since, by 2.5, [φ] is a full

- 169 -

embedding, we obtain $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{K}$.

3.5. For the a (see 3.1) we obtain easily

<u>Lemma</u>: a) $\underline{a} = \max \{ b \mid (X, b) \in obj \mathcal{F} \& b \leq a \}$. In particular, $\underline{a} = a$ for $(X, a) \in obj \mathcal{F}$.

b) $a \leq b \implies a \leq b$.

c) $F(f)(\underline{a}) \leq F(f)(\underline{a})$.

3.6. Let & be simply coreflective. Put G(X) = = {a | (X,a) ∈ obj & } .

We obtain easily

Lemma: a) If a_i (i \in J) are in G(X), and if there is a supremum a of $\{a_i\}$ in F(X) (in G(X), resp.), then a \in G(X) (then a is also a supremum of $\{a_i\}$ in F(X), resp.).

b) If a is an infimum of $\{a_i\}$ in F(X), then <u>a</u> is an infimum of $\{\underline{a}_i\}$ in G(X).

3.7. <u>Theorem</u>: Let & be a simply coreflective subcategory of \mathcal{U}_F , F: Set $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. Then there is a functor G: : Set $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$, a subtransformation \mathfrak{g} : $F \xrightarrow{\pounds} G$ and a transformation \mathcal{A} : $G \longrightarrow F$ such that

(i) $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $[\lambda] = (\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}})$,

(ii) $\lambda \phi \leq 1$ and $\phi \lambda = 1$.

<u>Proof</u>: Since $F(f)(a) \neq F(f)(a)$, we have by 3.5 c) for (X,a) ϵ obj $\not \sim F(f)(a) \neq \underline{F(f)(a)}$, and hence (using also 1^{c}) from 3.1),

- 170 -

for $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{a}) \in \operatorname{obj} \mathcal{K}$ and any $f: \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}$,

(Y,F(f)(a)) € obj & .

Thus, we may define a functor G: Set --- Poset putting

(*) G(X) = {a | (X,a) \in obj & }, G(f)(a) = F(f)(a).

Now, let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{O}$ or $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{CSL}$. Then, by 3.6 b), every G(X) is in obj \mathfrak{K} by 3.6 a) every G(f) preserves suprema. Thus, G may be regarded as a functor Set $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{K}$.

Define φ : $F \xrightarrow{d} G$ and λ : $G \longrightarrow F$ putting $\varphi^{X}(a) = \underline{a}$ and $\lambda^{X}(a) = a$. (We have, by 3.5 c), $G(f) \ \varphi^{X}(a) = G(f)(\underline{a}) = F(f)(\underline{a}) \leq \underline{F(f)(a)} = \varphi^{Y}F(f)(a)$, and obviously $F(f) \ \lambda^{X}(a) = F(f)(a) = \lambda^{Y}G(f)(a)$. We have, by 3.5 a), $\varphi^{X} \lambda^{X}(a) = \underline{\lambda}^{X}(\underline{a}) = a$ and $\lambda^{X} \varphi^{X}(a) = \underline{a} \leq a$.

If $\mathcal{G} = (a,f,b)$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}$, we have $F(f)(a) = G(f)(a) \neq b$. Thus, $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Since obviously obj $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}} = obj \, \delta c$ and since, by 2.5, [A] is a full embedding, we obtain $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}} = \delta c$.

3.8. <u>Remark</u>: By 2.6, 3.4 and 3.7 we see that whenever for subtransformations \mathfrak{S} , λ holds $\lambda \mathfrak{S} = 1$ and $\mathfrak{S} \lambda \geq 1$ (or, $\lambda \mathfrak{S} \leq 1$ and $\mathfrak{S} \lambda = 1$), then λ is a transformation. This, of course, follows easily directly: in the first case we have $\lambda^{Y} F(f) \leq \lambda^{Y} F(f) \mathfrak{S}^{X} \lambda^{X} \leq \lambda^{Y} \mathfrak{S}^{Y} G(f) \lambda^{X} =$ $= G(f) \lambda^{X}$, in the second one, $\lambda^{Y} G(f) = \lambda^{Y} G(f) \mathfrak{S}^{X} \lambda^{X} \leq$ $\leq \lambda^{Y} \mathfrak{S}^{Y} F(f) \lambda^{X} \leq F(f) \lambda^{X}$.

References

[1] J.F. KENNISON: Reflective functors in general topology

- 171 -

and elsewhere, Transactions of the AMS 118 (1965), 303-315.

[2] E.G. MANES: A pullback theorem for triples in a lattice fibering with applications to algebra and analysis, Algebra Univ.2(1972),7-17.

[3] E.G. MANES: A triple miscellany: some aspects of the theory of algebras over a triple, dissertation, Wesleyan University, 1967.

[4] J. MENU and A. PULTR: On categories determined by posetand set-valued functors, Comment.Math.Univ. Carolinae 15(1974),665-678.

Rijksuniversitair Centrum	Matematicko-fyzikální
Facultet der Wetenschappen	fakulta, Karlova universita
Middelheimlaan 1, Antwerpen	Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8
Belgium	Československo

(Oblatum 10.12.1974)