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PROBABILISTIC RECONSTRUCTION FROM SUBGRAPHS
Vladimir MüLLER, Praha

Abstract: In particular, it is proved that Ulam conjecture is true with probability 1.
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Introduction: It is proved that, given $E>0$, asymptotically the most graphs with $n$ vertices have all its subgraphs with at least $\frac{n}{2}(1+\varepsilon)$ vertices asymmetric (see [l]) and mutually non-isomorphic. Particularly, from this follows that the Ulam's conjecture [4] is true with probability 1. The line analog of this result was proved in [2]. Moreover, the following stronger result holds: For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $n_{0}$ such that for every $n>n_{0}$ the most graphs with $n$ vertices can be uniquely reconstructed from its $\frac{m}{2}(I+\varepsilon)$-vertex subgraphs. On the other hand, V. Nydl (Prague, Charles University) exhibitěd in his thesis an example of two nonisomorphic graphs $G, H$ with $2 n$ vertices with the same collection of ( $n-1$ )-vertex subgraphs.

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops
and multiple edges. The set of vertices and the set of edges of a graph $G$ are denoted $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively.

A bijection $f: V(G) \longrightarrow V(H)$ is called isomorphism from graph $G$ to graph $H$ if $\{x, y\} \in E(G) \Longrightarrow\{f(x), f(y)\} \in$ $\in E(H)$.

An isomorphism $f: G \longrightarrow G$ is called automorphism of $G$. In the usual sense, the term type of an automorphism is used.

A graph with $n$ vertices will be shortly denoted n-graph. For natural numbers $p, k, n, p \geq 2, k p \leqslant n$, we shall denote $S_{k, p}(n)$ the number of all n-graphs having some automorphism of the type $(\underbrace{p, p, \ldots, p}_{k-\text { times }}, 1,1, \ldots, 1)$.

A graph having a non-trivial automorphism is called symmetric, a graph which is not symmetric is asymmetric. Further denote $S(n)$ the number of all symmetric n-graphs and $G(n)=2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ the number of all n-graphs.

Two statements are obvious:

1) $S(n) \leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1, n \geq 2 \\ k p \in m}} S_{k, p}(n)$
2) $S_{k, p}(n) \leq\binom{ n}{p}\binom{n-\uparrow 2}{p} \ldots\binom{n-k p+p)}{p} \frac{1}{k!} \cdot[(p-1)!]^{k}$.
 $k \geq 1, p \geq 2, k p \leq n$.

Let $p \geq 2,(1+1) p \leq n$. It is
$\frac{R_{k+1, p}(n)}{R_{k, p}(n)}=\frac{n-k p}{p(k+1)} \cdot(p-1)!\cdot 2^{-A}$, where
$A=n p-k p^{2}-n-\frac{n^{2}}{2}+k p$.
Lemma 1: Let $p \in N, p \geq 1$. Then $p l \leq 2^{\frac{p^{2}-1}{2}}$.
Proof: Lemma 1 can be easily proved by induction on $p$.
Lemma 2: Let $\mathrm{p} \geq 2, \mathrm{k} \geq 1, \mathrm{n}=(\mathrm{k}+1) \cdot \mathrm{p}$. Then $\frac{R_{R_{k+1,12}}(n)}{R_{k, \uparrow 2}(n)} \leq 1$.

Proof: It is $\frac{\mathrm{R}_{k+1,12}(n)}{R_{k, k_{2}}(n)}=\frac{1}{k+1} \cdot \frac{(n-1)!}{2^{\frac{k^{2}}{2}-k}} \leqslant \frac{1}{k+1} \leqslant 1$.
Remark: It holds for $p=2, k \geq 1, n=2(k+1)+1$

$$
\frac{R_{k+1, p}(n)}{R_{k, p}(n)}=\frac{3}{2(k+1)} \leqslant p
$$

Lemma 3: Let either $\mathrm{p} \geq 3, \mathrm{n} \geq(\mathrm{k}+1)$. p or $\mathrm{p}=2$, $n \geq 2 k+3$.

Then $\frac{R_{k+1, k}(n+1)}{R_{k, \uparrow}(n+1)} \leq \frac{R_{k+1, m}(n)}{R_{k, n}(m)}$.
Proof: It is $\frac{R_{k+1, n}(n+1)}{R_{k, p 2}(n+1)} \cdot \frac{R_{k, p_{2}}(n)}{R_{k+1, n}(n)}=\frac{m+1-k k_{2}}{n-k p-p+1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ If $p \geq 3, n \geq(k+1) \cdot p$ then $\frac{m+1-k p}{m-k \not p-p+1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \leq \frac{p+1}{2^{k-1}} \leq 1$. If $\mathrm{p}=2, \mathrm{n} \geq 2 k+3$ then $\frac{n+1-k p}{m-k p-k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \leqslant \frac{p+2}{2 \cdot 2^{k-1}}=1$.

Corollary: Let $p \geq 2, k \geq 1, n \geq(k+1)$.p. Then $\frac{B_{m+1, n}(n)}{R_{n, 1}(n)} \leqslant 1$.

Proof: Follows immediately from the previous lemmas.
Proposition 1: Let $p, k, s, n$ be natural numbers, $p \geq 2$, $k \geq s \geq 1, n \geq k p$. Then $R_{k, p}(n) \leqslant R_{s, p}(n)$.

Putting $k=1$ in the definition of $R_{k, p}(n)$, we get $R_{1, p}(n)=\binom{n}{p}(p-1)!\cdot 2^{\left(m_{2}-\uparrow\right)} \cdot 2^{n-\uparrow} \cdot 2^{\frac{\mu_{2}}{k}}$ and $\frac{R_{1, n+1}(n)}{R_{1, p}(n)}=\frac{n-1}{p+1} \cdot p \cdot 2^{-m+p+\frac{1}{2}} \quad$ for $n \geq p+1$.

Lemma 4: Let $p$ 2, $n=p+1$. Then $\frac{R_{1, \uparrow+1}(n)}{R_{1, \uparrow 2}(n)} \leqslant 1$.
Proof: It is $\frac{R_{1, p+1}(p+1)}{R_{1, p}(p+1)}=\frac{p}{p+1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq 1$.
Lemme 5: Let $\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{n} \mathrm{p}+1$. Then

$$
\frac{R_{1, n+1}(n+1)}{R_{1,12}(n+1)} \leq \frac{R_{1, n+1}(n)}{R_{1, \uparrow}(n)}
$$

Proof: It is $\frac{R_{1, n+1}(n+1)}{R_{1, n}(n+1)} \cdot \frac{R_{1, n}(n)}{R_{1, n+1}(n)}=\frac{n+1-\uparrow}{n-12} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \leqslant 1$.
Proposition 2: Let $p \geq q \geq 2, n \geq p$. Then $R_{1, p}(n) \leq$ $\leqslant R_{1, q}(n)$.

Proof: Follows easily from the lemmas 4, 5.
Using the propositions 1,2 , we get the following bound: $S(n) \leq \sum_{\substack{k=2 \\ k \neq 2 \leq n}} S_{k, p}(n) \leq \sum_{\substack{n \geq \geq 2 \\ k \neq 1}} R_{k, p}(n) \leq R_{1,2}(n)+$ $+\sum_{n=2}^{n} R_{k, 2}(n)+\sum_{\substack{t i m \\ n \neq 2}} R_{k, p}(n) \leqslant R_{1,2}(n)+n \cdot R_{2,2}(n)+$ $+n^{2} \cdot R_{1,3}(n)=\binom{n \not n \leq n}{2} 2^{\left(\begin{array}{c}n-2) \\ 2\end{array}\right.} \cdot 2^{n-2} \cdot 2+\frac{n}{2}\binom{n}{2}\binom{n-2}{2}$. $2^{(n-4)} 2^{2 n-8} \cdot 4 \cdot 4+n^{2}\binom{n}{3} \cdot 2 \cdot 2^{(n-3)} 2^{n-3} 2^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq$ $2 n^{2} \cdot 2^{\frac{n^{2}-3 m}{2}}+12 \cdot n^{5} 2^{\frac{m^{2}-5 m}{2}}$.

Remark: It is clear that the number of graphs with an automorphism of the type $(2,1, \ldots, 1)$ is bounded by the first term, the second term bounds the number of all other
symmetric graphs. Obviously the first term is greater than the second one for $n$ sufficiently large.

Lemma 6: Let $n \in N, a<\frac{1}{n}$. Then $(1-a)^{n} \geq 1-n a$.
Proof: This is a well-known inequality. (It is also easy to prove by binomic development of ( $1-a)^{n}$.)

Lemma 7: Iet $k \in N, k \geq 2$. Then $\frac{(k+1)^{k^{k+1}(k-1)^{k-1}}}{k^{2 k}}>1$.
Proof: It is $\frac{(k+1)^{k+1}(k-1)^{k-1}}{k^{2 k}}=\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{k+1}\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{k-1}=$ $=\left(1-\frac{1}{k^{2}}\right)^{k-1} \cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2} \geq\left(1-\frac{k-1}{k^{2}}\right) \cdot\left(1+\frac{2}{k}\right) \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)\left(1+\frac{2}{k}\right) \geq 1$.

Lemma 8: Let $\varepsilon>0, r \in N$. Then
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{n}\binom{n}{\left[\frac{m}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]} \cdot 2^{-n}=0$.
Proof: It is enough to take $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}$ and to prove
$\lim \frac{n^{n}}{2^{n}} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 k n^{\prime}+x \\ {\left[\frac{n}{2}\right.} & \left.\frac{f_{n}-1}{n}\right]\end{array}\right)=0 \quad$ for every $z=0,1, \ldots$
$\ldots, 2 k-1, n=2 n^{\prime}+2$. It is
$\left[\frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{k-1}{k}\right]=n^{\prime}(k-1)+\left[\frac{x(k-1)}{2^{k}}\right]=n^{\prime}(k-1)+x^{\prime}$.
Denote $A_{n^{\prime}}=\left(2 \mathrm{k} n^{\prime}+z\right)^{x} \cdot\binom{2 \operatorname{se} n^{\prime}+x}{n^{\prime}(\operatorname{ke}-1)+x^{\prime}} \cdot 2^{-\left(2 \operatorname{se} n^{\prime}+z\right)}$
Let us count the limit $\lim _{n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_{n^{\prime}+1}}{A_{n^{\prime}}}=\lim _{n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2 k}}$.
$\cdot \frac{\left(2 m^{\prime} k+2 k+x\right) \ldots\left(2 n^{\prime} k+x+1\right)}{\left(n^{\prime}(k-1)+x^{\prime}\right) \ldots\left(n^{\prime}(k-1)+x^{\prime}+k-1\right)\left(m^{\prime}(k+1)+x-x^{\prime}+1\right) \ldots\left(m^{\prime}(k+1)+x-x^{\prime}+k+1\right)}=$
$=\frac{1}{2^{2 k}} \frac{(2 k)^{2 k}}{(k-1)^{k-1}(k+1)^{k+1}}<1$,
by the lemma 7 and by the d'Alambert's convergence criterion there is $\lim _{m^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} 4_{n^{\prime}}=0$.
This proves the lemma 8.
Notation: Let $G=\langle V(G), E(G)\rangle$ be a graph. Denote $s(G)=\min \{|M|, M \subset V(G)$ and $G|V(G)-M|$ is symmetric $\}$. (I.e. $s(G)$ is the minimal number of vertices of $G$, the deletimg of which makes the graph symmetric.)
For $r \leq n$ denote further $S^{r}(n)$ the number of all $n$-graphs G satisfying $s(G)=r$.

Theorem 1: Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then
$\lim \frac{1}{G(n)} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]} S^{n}(n)=0$.
(i.e. the most of graphs have all its subgraphs with at least $\frac{m}{2}(1+\varepsilon)$ vertices asymmetric).

Proof: Denote $S^{\prime r}(n)$ the number of all $n$-graphs $G=\langle V(G), E(G)\rangle$ which satisfies $s(G)=r$ and there exists a set $M \subset V(G),|M|=r$ such that the graph $\left.G\right|_{V(G)-M}$ has an automorphism of the type $(2,1, \ldots, 1)$. Denote $S^{n r}(n)=S^{r}(n)-S^{r r}(n)$. It holds
$S^{\prime r}(n) \leq\binom{ n}{r} 2(n-r)^{2} 2^{\frac{(n-r)^{2}-3(n-r)}{2}} 2^{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} 2^{r(n-r-1)}$.
The first two terms bound the number of ( $n-r$ )-graphs having an automorphism of the type $(2,1, \ldots, 1)$ and the last two terms bound the number of all possible completions to an n-graph. In the last exponent we use the fact that $s(G)$ is exactly equal to $r$ and not $s(G)<r$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Further it holds } \\
& S^{\prime \prime \mu}(n) \leqslant\binom{ n}{r} 12(n-r)^{5} 2^{\frac{(n-r)^{2}-5(n-r)}{2}} 2^{\binom{r}{2}} 2^{r(n-r)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\frac{1}{G(n)} \sum_{\pi=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]} S^{r}(n)=\frac{1}{G(n)} \sum_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]} S^{\prime n}(n)+\frac{1}{G(n)}{ }_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]} S^{1 n n}(n)$. We have $\frac{1}{G(n)} \sum_{x=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]} S^{1 u n}(n) \leqslant 12 n^{5} \sum_{x=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]} 2^{-n+2 \pi} \leq 12 n^{6} 2^{-n \varepsilon}$.

The last formula is $o(1)$. At the same time we have $\frac{1}{G(n)} \cdot\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]} S^{\prime n}(n) \leq 2 n^{2} \sum^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]}\binom{n}{n} \cdot 2^{-n} \leqslant 2 m^{3}\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]\right) \cdot \overline{2}^{m} \rightarrow 0\right.$
for $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see the previous lemma 8).
This proves the theorem 1.
Theorem I cannot be improved as follows by the following proposition.

Proposition 3: Let $G=\langle V(G), E(G)\rangle$ be a graph, $|\nabla(G)|=n=2 k+1(k \in N)$. Then there exists a symmetric subgraph of $G$ with at least $k+1$ vertices. If $n=2 k$ then there exists a symmetric subgraph of $G$ with at least $k+1$ vertices.

Proof: Let $G=\langle\nabla(G), E(G)\rangle$ be a graph, $|\nabla(G)|=$ $=n=2 k+1$. For $x, y \in V(G)$ let us denote $d_{G}(x)=$ $=|\{z \in V(G),\{x, z\} \in E(G)\}|$ the degree of $x$ in $G$, $d_{G}(x, y)=\mid\{z \in V(G),\{x, z\} \in E(G)$ and $\{y, z\} \in E(G)\} \mid$, $\bar{d}_{G}(x)=d_{K_{n}-G}(x), \bar{d}_{G}(x, y)=d_{K_{n}-G}(x, y)$. It holds $\sum_{x \in V(G)}\binom{d_{6}(x)}{2}+\sum_{x \in V(G)}\binom{d_{6}(x)}{2}=\sum_{\substack{x, y \in V(G) \\ x \neq y}} d_{6}(x, y) \cdot+\sum_{\substack{x, y \in \in(G) \\ x \neq y}} \bar{d}_{6}(x, y)$. As there is $d_{G}(x)+\bar{d}_{G}(x)=n-1$ for every $x \in V(G)$, it must be $\sum_{x \in V(G)}\left(d_{G}(x)\right)+\sum_{x \in V(G)}\left(d_{G}(x)\right) \geq 2 m\binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2}$ and there exist two points $x, y \in \nabla(G), x \neq y$ such that $d_{G}(x, y)+\bar{d}_{G}(x, y) \geq$ $\geq \frac{2 m\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)}{\binom{m}{2}}=\frac{m-3}{2}$.

It means that graph $G$ has the symmetric subgraph with $\frac{m+1}{2}$ vertices induced by the set $\{x, y\} \cup\{z \in V(G)$, $\{z, x\} \in E(G)$ and $\{z, y\} \in E(G)\} \cup\{z \in V(G),\{z, x\} \in E(G)$ and $\{z, y\} \in E(G)\}$.

This subgraph has the non-trivial automorphism exchanging the points $x$ and $y$.

Analogously, for $n$ even there can be proved the existence of a symmetric subgraph with $\frac{\pi}{2}+I$ vertices.
Let $\varepsilon>0, r, n \in N, k=\left[\frac{m}{2}(1+E)\right], 2 k-n \leq r \leq$
$\leq k-1$. Denote by $K_{P}(n)$ the number of $n$-graphs $G$ satisfying

1) there exist two different iscmorphic k-subgraphs of $G$ having precisely $r$ common vertices
2) all subgraphs of $G$ with at least $\frac{m}{2}(1+\varepsilon)$ vertices are asymmetric.

Theorem 2: $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=2 k-n}^{n-1} K_{n}(n)}{G(n)}=0$.
Proof: Put $K(n)=\sum_{n=2 k-n}^{k-1} \frac{K_{n}(n)}{G(n)} \quad$ and
$k^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{c}n \\ 2\end{array}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right] \quad$ (we write shortly $k^{\circ}$ instead of
$k^{\prime}(n)$ as well as $k$ instead of $k(n)$ ). Obviously it is
$K(n)=K^{\prime}(n)+K^{n}(n)+K_{k-1}(n)$, where $K^{\prime}(n)=$
$=\sum_{n=2}^{n^{\prime}} \frac{K_{r-m}(n)}{G(n)}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}(n)=\sum_{\pi=k^{\prime}+1}^{k-2} \frac{K_{r}(n)}{G(n)}$.
We divide the proof into three cases:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { I. Let } 2 k-n \leq r \leq k^{\prime} \text {. It holds (even for every r) } \\
K_{\pi}(m) \leq\binom{ m}{k}\binom{k}{r}\binom{m-k}{k-r} \cdot 2^{\binom{k}{2}} \cdot k!\cdot 2^{(n-2 k+r)} 2^{(2 k-k)(n-2 k+k)} 2^{(k-r)(k-r)}
\end{array}
$$

 $\frac{\bar{K}_{r+1}(n)}{\bar{K}_{r}(n)}=\frac{(\mu-r)^{2}}{(n+1)(m-2 \mu+r+1)} \cdot 2^{r} \geq \frac{1}{n^{2}} \cdot 2^{2 n-m} \geq \frac{1}{m^{2}} 2^{m \varepsilon-2}$, hence $\bar{K}_{n}(n) \leqslant \bar{X}_{\mathbf{k}},(n)$ for every sufficiently large $n$ and for every $r$ satisfying the conditions of the case $I$. Hence for sufficiently large $n$ there is
$K^{\prime}(n) \leq m \bar{K}_{k^{\prime}}(n)=m \cdot \frac{\binom{n}{k}\left(\begin{array}{l}k \\ k_{k}^{\prime} \\ k^{\prime}\end{array}\right)\binom{n-k}{k-k^{\prime}}}{2^{\left(k_{2}\right)-\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}} \leq$
$\leq \frac{m \cdot n!k!}{k^{\prime}!\left(k-k^{\prime}\right)!\left(m-2 k+k^{\prime}\right)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{m^{2}\left(\frac{k}{3}+\frac{3 x}{32}\right)-m\left(1+\frac{88}{3}\right)+1}}$
Obviously for sufficiently large $n$ it is
$K^{\prime}(n) \leq \frac{m \cdot n!\cdot k!}{2^{\frac{m^{2} \varepsilon}{16}}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.
II. Let $k^{0}+1 \leq r \leq k-2$. We suppose that cil aubgraphs with at least $\frac{m}{2}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$ vertices are asymmetric. Hence
$K_{r}(n) \leq\binom{ n}{k}\binom{k}{x}\binom{n-h}{k-r} \cdot 2^{\binom{k}{2}} \cdot(k-r)!\binom{k}{r}$. $.2^{(n-2 g n+x)} 2^{(n-2 x+x)(2 n-x)} 2^{(x-x)(k-x)}$

Analogously as in case I we can derive
$\frac{\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{x+1}^{\prime}(m)}{\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{x}^{\prime}(n)}=\frac{(k-r)}{(x+1)(n-2 k+x+1)} \cdot 2^{n} \geq \frac{1}{m^{2}} \cdot 2^{\frac{m}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}$
The last number is greater than 1 for every sufficiently large $n$ and for every $r$ satisfying the conditions of the
case II. Thus for sufficiently large $n$ it is $\mathbb{K}_{f}(n) \leq$ $\leq K_{k-2}(n)$ and
$K^{\prime \prime}(n) \leqslant m \cdot \bar{K}_{k-2}^{\prime}(n)=n \cdot \frac{\binom{m}{k_{k}}\binom{k}{2}^{2}\left(n_{2}-k\right) \cdot 2}{2^{\left(k_{2}\right)-\left(k_{2}-2\right)}} \leqslant \frac{n^{7}}{2^{2 k-n}} \leqslant \frac{n^{7}}{2^{m_{2} \varepsilon-2}}$.
The last term tends to 0 for $n \longrightarrow \infty$.
III. Let us notice that the number of all k-graphs $G$ satisfying
(i) all subgraphs of $G$ with at least $k-2$ points are asymmetric,
(ii) there exist two different isomorphic ( $k-1$ )-subgraphs of $G$
by $k \cdot 2^{\left(k_{2}-1\right)} \cdot(k-1) \cdot(k-1) \cdot 2 \leqslant 2 \cdot k^{3} 2^{\left(k_{2}-1\right)}$.
Further let us notice that there is no asymmetric ( $k+1$ )graph $G$ which satisfies:
(i) there exist two different copies of some k-graph $G_{I}$ as subgraphs of $G$,
(ii) all ( $k-1$ )-subgrapha cif $G_{1}$ are asymmetric and non-isomorphic to one another.

From these facts it follows
$K_{k-1}(n) \leq\binom{ n}{k} \cdot k \cdot(n-k) \cdot 2 \cdot k^{3} 2^{\left(p_{k}-1\right)} \cdot 2 k \cdot 2^{\left(n-k_{2}-1\right)} \cdot$ - $2^{(n-k-1)(k+1)} \quad$ and $\frac{K_{k-1}(n)}{G(n)} \leqslant \frac{\binom{n}{k_{n}} \cdot n^{6}}{2^{2 k}}$.

However, the last term tends to 0 for $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see Lemma 8). This proves the theorem 2.

From Theorems 1, 2 it follows easily:
Corollary 1: Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then the most of n-graphs
(in the sense of limit) have all its subgraphs with at least $\frac{\pi}{2}(1+\varepsilon)$ vertices asymmetric and non-isomorphic to one
another.
Corollary 2: Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then the most of $n$-graphs (in the sense of limit $n \longrightarrow \infty$ ) are uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) by the family of its subgraphs with $\left[\frac{m}{2}(1+\varepsilon)\right]$ Vertices.

Proof: Erery graph which has all its subgraphs on $\left[\frac{m}{2}(1+\varepsilon)\right]$ vertices asymmetric and non-isomorphic to one another, has the described property.

From Corollary 2 it easily follows that the Ulam 's hypothesis is true with probability 1.
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