# Osvald Demuth Remarks on the structure of tt-degrees based on constructive measure theory

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 29 (1988), No. 2, 233--247

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106631

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 29,2 (1988)

### REMARKS ON THE STRUCTURE OF tt-DEGREES BASED ON CONSTRUCTIVE MEASURE THEORY

#### **Osvald DEMUTH**

Abstract: Based on some results in constructive measure theory, classes of sets of natural numbers being of some interest from the point of view of both constructive mathematics and recursion theory are introduced and possibility of mutual tt-reducibility of their members is studied and, moreover, an arithmetization of the Lebesgue measurability of sets of reals is proposed.

Key words: Recursion theory, constructive mathematics, measure theory, tt-reducibility, T-reducibility, constructive function of a real variable, Lebesgue measurability, B-measurability.

Classification: 03D30, 03F65

In [22] we showed that tt-reducibility of sets of natural numbers (NNs) can be studied (thanks to the well-known correspondence between sets of NNs and reals from [0,1]) with the help of  $\emptyset$ -uniformly continuous constructive functions of a real variable. In [7],[9],[10] and [12] constructive theory of the Lebesgue integral and Lebesgue measurability was created (for a summary and bibliography see [11]). Later, this theory was relativized and the results were used in the study of properties of Dini derivatives of constructive functions of a real variable. Some classes of reals interesting in this connection were introduced in [16]. Classes of sets of NNs corresponding to them turned out to be of some interest from the point of view of recursion theory, too. Some results on one of these classes (the class of NAP-sets studied by Kučera and Demuth) and the corresponding bibliography can be found in [20] and [21]. Other classes of such kind are introduced here. We use constructive measure theory to get a few results on mutual tt-reducibility and T-reducibility of members of these classes.

The Lebesgue measure on the class of all sets of NNs introduced by Sacks [6] is called the classical measure here. We introduce a hierarchy of relativizations of the constructive Lebesgue measure being equivalent to the classical measure. We already introduced the notion "a class of sets of NNs of B-measure zero" for any set B of NNs in [22].

We use the notation and terminology of [22]. In particular, the symbols U and V are variables for words in the alphabet  $\Xi$ ; s, t, u, v, w, x, y and z (also with subscripts) are variables for natural numbers (NNs), i and j for integers, a, b and c for rational numbers (RtNs),  $\phi$ , 6 and  $\tau$  for strings (of 0's and 1's), A, B and C for sets of NNs, X and Y for reals and, finally, S and T for Ø-constructive real numbers (Ø-CRNs). The set of all words in  $\Xi$  being NNs (or, as the case may be, RtNs, binary rational numbers, strings or  $\emptyset^{(x)}$ -CRNs) is denoted by N (or Q, Q<sup>b</sup>, St, D<sup>L×1</sup>, respectively). We introduce several new notions. Let  $\mathfrak{D}_{x} \Longrightarrow y < x$  holds for any NNs x and y. For any set E of NNs (or, of strings) let Card(E) be its cardinality.

Let k be an NN, 24k.  $A_{x_1}x_2...x_k \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_k \rangle_k$  denotes a primitive recursive one-to-one mapping of the set of all k-tuples of NNs onto N introduced in [5], p. 64, and  $\pi_1^k$ ,  $\pi_2^k$ ,..., $\pi_k^k$  primitive recursive functions of one variable such that  $\langle \pi_1^k(z), \pi_2^k(z), ..., \pi_k^k(z) \rangle_k = z$  and  $\pi_1^k(z) \langle z \rangle_k$  wherever possible. We denote by  $\varphi_x$  the partial recursive function of one variable with index x, by  $W_x$  its domain and by  $W_x^S$  the finite subset of  $W_x$  enumerated after s steps. Analogously, for any set A of NNs,  $\varphi_x^A$  denotes the partial A-recursive function of one variable with A-index x and  $W_x^A$  the domain of  $\varphi_x^A$ . The notation  $\varphi_x^{\tau}$  has the usual meaning (see, e.g., [201).  $W_x^{A,S}$  is defined in [22]. We denote  $\varphi_x(\langle y_1, y_2, ..., y_k \rangle_k)$  by  $\varphi_x(y_1, y_2, ..., y_k)$  and, analogously,  $\varphi_x^A(\langle y_1, y_2, ..., y_k \rangle_k)$  by  $\varphi_x(y_1, y_2, ..., y_k)$  for any set A of NNs. For any NNs m and n,  $1 \leq m, n, s_n^m$  denotes a recursive function of m+1 variables being an "s-m-n-function" for our indexing of partial recursive functions and for that of relativized partial recursive functions, i.e. fulfilling the conditional equality

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_{\mathsf{x}}^{\mathsf{A}}(\mathsf{v}_{1},\mathsf{v}_{2},\ldots,\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{m}},\mathsf{y}_{1},\mathsf{y}_{2},\ldots,\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{n}}) \cong \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_{\mathsf{n}}^{\mathsf{A}} \overset{(\mathsf{y}_{1},\mathsf{y}_{2},\ldots,\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{n}})}{\overset{(\mathsf{y}_{1},\mathsf{y}_{2},\ldots,\mathsf{y}_{\mathsf{n}})}$$

and the analogical equality without superscripts A at  $\varphi$  for any NNs x,v<sub>1</sub>,... ...,v<sub>m</sub>,y<sub>1</sub>,...,y<sub>n</sub> and any set A of NNs. Note that  $\simeq$  means: both sides are defined and equal, or both are undefined.

For any sets or classes of sets  ${\rm E}_1$  and  ${\rm E}_2$   ${\rm E}_1{\it 4}$   ${\rm E}_2$  denotes the symmetric difference of them.

Note that  $(\mathbf{\mathfrak{E}})$  denotes classical measure of  $\mathbf{\mathfrak{E}}$  for any classically measurable class  $\mathbf{\mathfrak{E}}$  of sets of NNs. There are an  $\emptyset$ -algorithm  $\mu_0$  of the type

 $(N \longrightarrow Q^{b})$ , a recursive function sd ("symmetric difference") of two variables and an NN en ("enumeration") such that  $\mu_{0}(x) = \mu(\blacktriangleleft \mathfrak{D}_{x} \nearrow^{E}), \blacktriangleleft \mathfrak{D}_{sd(x,y)} \nearrow$  is a set of mutually incomparable (with respect to  $\subseteq$ ) strings,

 $A \in \langle \mathcal{D}_{sd(x,x)} \rangle^{E} \iff A \in \langle \langle \mathcal{D}_{x} \rangle^{E} \Delta \langle \mathcal{D}_{y} \rangle^{E} \rangle, \ | \varphi_{en}^{B}(x,y) \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_{g}^{B}(x,y) = W_{x}^{B,y}$ 

hold for any NNs x and y, any bi-infinite set A and any set B of NNs.

Definition 1. Let A be a set of NNs. A real X is said to be

a) A-computable if it is A-recursive (i.e.  $Set(X) \neq_T A$  holds);

b) <u>weakly</u> A<u>-computable</u> if there is a (fundamental) A-sequence of RtNs converging to X;

c) <u>monotonically weakly</u> A<u>-computable</u> if there is a monotone A-sequence of RtNs converging to X.

Remark 2. Let A be a set of NNs.

(i) A real is A-computable if and only if there is a canonically fundamental (or, an A-fundamental) A-sequence of Ø-CRNs (in particular, of RtNs) converging to it.

(ii) According to the relativized Limit Lemma [4], a real is weakly A-computable if and only if it is A'-computable (i.e. A'-recursive). Any A-computable real is, naturally, monotonically weakly A-computable. Moreover, a real X is A-computable if and only if there exist a non-decreasing A-sequence of Ø-CRNs and a non-increasing A-sequence of Ø-CRNs both converging to X.

(iii) We can easily construct a recursive function <u>nds</u> such that, for any set B of NNs,  $\mathbf{L} \varphi_{\underline{nds}(x)}^{B} \mathbf{1}$  is a bounded non-decreasing B-sequence of RtNs for any NN x and, in addition, a real X is monotonically weakly B-computable if and only if there is an NN y such that the B-sequence  $\mathbf{L} \varphi_{\underline{nds}(y)}^{B} \mathbf{1}$  converges either to X or to (- X). Thus, the class {C:r<sub>C</sub> is a sum of a finite number of monotonically weakly B-computable reals} is, obviously, of B'-measure zero.

Sacks noted in [6] that, for any set M of reals from [0,1] and any real X, M is Lebesgue measurable and X is measure of M if and only if the class  $\{A:r_A \notin M\}$  of sets of NNs is classically measurable and X is its measure. We use this fact in the following definition.

Definition 3. Let B be a set of NNs.

1) A class **77** of sets of NNs is said to be (Lebesgue) B<u>-measurable</u> and a real X is called B<u>-measure</u> of **77** if there are a B-recursive function g and a class **\mathcal{E}** of sets of NNs of B-measure zero such that **\mathcal{F}** is B<u>-measurable by</u> g and  $\mathcal{E}$ , i.e.  $\forall xy(\mu_0(\underline{sd}(g(x),g(x+y))) \leq 2^{-x})$  and  $\mathcal{W} \Delta(\bigcup_{v=0}^{+\infty} \bigcap_{w=v}^{+\infty} \langle \mathcal{D}_{g(w)} \rangle^E \leq \mathcal{E}$ hold, and the canonically fundamental B-sequence  $\{\mu_0(g(x))\}_x^B$  of RtNs converges to X.

2) A set M of reals from [0,1] is said to be (Lebesgue) B<u>-measurable</u> and a real X is called B<u>-measure</u> of M if the class  $A:r_A \in M$  is B-measurable and X is its B-measure.

Remark 4. Let B be a set of NNs. It is easy to show the following.

1) Any B-measurable class  $\mathcal{M}$  of sets of NNs is, naturally, classically measurable and  $\mu(\mathcal{M})$  is a B-computable real being a B-measure of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Relativizing (to B) [12] we get results on B-measurability.

2) For any NN v, the bounded monotone B-sequence  $\{\mu_0(\varphi_{en}^B(v,y))\}_y^B$ of RtNs converges (and, thus, B'-converges) to classical measure of the (evidently classically measurable) class  $\langle W_v^B \rangle^E$  of sets of NNs. Consequently, the real  $\mu(\langle W_v^B \rangle^E)$  is at least B'-computable and the class  $\langle W_v^B \rangle^E$  is B'-measurable. It is B-measurable if and only if its classical measure is a B-computable real. These results hold uniformly (effectively) in B and v and in B, v and a B-index of a canonically fundamental B-sequence of Ø-CRNs (in particular, of RtNs) converging to  $\mu(\langle W_v^B \rangle^E)$ , respectively.

On the basis of relativized Specker's example we can construct an NN  $v_0$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}(\blacktriangleleft w_{v_0}^B \triangleright^E) = r_B$  and, thus,  $\lt w_{v_0}^B \triangleright^E$  is not B-measurable.

3) Let **20** be a classically measurable class of sets of NNs. According to well-known results on Lebesgue measurability of sets of reals and on sets of reals of the type  $G_{\sigma'}$  (see, e.g., [1, p. 66]) there is a set C of NNs fulfilling  $\mu(\langle (C)_{2x} \rangle^E) \le 2^{-x}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A} \in \langle (C)_{2x+1} \rangle^E \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \cup \langle (C)_{2x} \rangle^E$  and, consequently,  $\mu(\langle \mathfrak{M} \rangle) \le \mu(\langle (C)_{2x+1} \rangle^E) \le \mu(\langle \mathfrak{M} \rangle) + 2^{-x}$  for any NN x, where, for each NN z, (C)<sub>z</sub>  $\Longrightarrow$  [y: $\langle z, y \rangle \in C$ ]. Using 2), we can easily show that  $\mathfrak{A} t$  is C'-measurable.

In Remark 4 we proved the following statement.

**Theorem 5.** The class **77** of sets of NNs is classically measurable (i.e. Lebesgue measurable) and a real X is its classical measure if and only if there is a set C of NNs such that **77** is (Lebesgue) C-measurable and X is its C-measure.

Relativizing results from [10] and [12] we get the following.

**Theorem 6.** Let B be a set of NNs and **201** a B-measurable class of sets of NNs. Then there are two recursive functions  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  of one variable and two B-recursive functions  $\vec{p}$  of one variable and  $\vec{k}$  of two variables such that, for any NN v,

(a)  $\mathbb{L} \mathcal{G}_{g_1(v)}^B \mathbb{I}$  is a canonically fundamental B-sequence of RtNs converging to  $\mu(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{g_n(v)}^B) \mathbb{P}^E$  which is less than  $2^{-v}$ ;

(b) for any set A of NNs fulfilling  $A \notin \blacktriangleleft W^B_{g_0(v)} > E$ , A  $\epsilon$  77  $t \leftarrow \bullet$ 

 $\iff A \in \mathfrak{O}_{\vec{p}(v)} > ^{E}$  holds and, moreover, A is a point of density for  $\mathfrak{M}$ , if A  $\in \mathfrak{M}$  holds, or a point of dispersion for  $\mathfrak{M}$ , if A  $\notin \mathfrak{M}$ , and, in both cases, the B-recursive function  $\mathfrak{A} \times (\vec{k}(v,x))$  is a corresponding modulus.

On the basis of this theorem we can get a strengthening of [22, Theorem 4].

**Theorem 7.** For any string  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ , any sets B and C of NNs and any B-measurable class  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}$  of sets of NNs fulfilling  $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}) < \boldsymbol{\mu}(\{\boldsymbol{\tau}\}^E)$  there is a set A of NNs such that  $A \in (\{\boldsymbol{\tau}\}^E \setminus \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}), A \neq_T (B \oplus C)$  and  $C \neq_T (B \oplus A)$  hold (in fact, we have  $C \equiv_{B-t+A}$ ).

**Remark 8.** Let B be a set of NNs. According to Remark 2 and Theorem 7 the class  $\{A:A \neq_T B\}$  of sets of NNs is of B´-measure zero, but any B-measurable class containing it has necessarily B-measure 1. Consequently, by Remark 4,  $\{A:A \neq_T B\}$  cannot be B-measurable.

If B is non-recursive then the class  $\{C:B \leq_T C\}$  is of  $(B \oplus \emptyset')$ -measure zero [22] and, consequently, by Remark 4 we get Sacks result [6]: the class of all sets of NNs T-comparable with B is of classical measure zero.

Thus, any class of sets of NNs of classical measure zero can contain all sets T-comparable with a given non-recursive set, but if we have, in addition, information that the given class is of C-measure zero we can construct C-recursive sets which are not its elements.

We introduce some notation. Let Tot=  $\{v: \forall x(! \varphi_v(x))\}$  and let Lim be a partial  $\emptyset$  -recursive function such that  $\text{Lim}(v) \simeq \lim_{x \to +\infty} \varphi_v(x)$  holds for any  $x \to +\infty$ 

v Tot. Note that  $v \in Tot \Rightarrow s_1^m(v, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in Tot$  is valid for any NNs  $m \ge 1$ ,

 $v, y_1, \dots, y_m$ .

Let v be an NN such that

(1) v ∈ Tot & ∀x(!Lim(s<sup>1</sup><sub>1</sub>(v,x)))

- 237 -

and

(2) 
$$\forall x (\mu(4 W_{\text{Lim}(s_1^1(v,x))})^{E}) \le 2^{-x})$$

hold. Then, according to Remarks 2 and 4, for any NN x, the classes

Let, for any NNs v and w,  $\hat{S}_0(v)$  denote the conjunction of (1) and (2) and let  $\hat{S}(w,v)$  denote: w,v  $\in$  Tot,

$$\forall x(\operatorname{Card}({}_{y}: \mathcal{G}_{v}(x,y) \neq \mathcal{G}_{v}(x,y+1){}_{z}) \leq \mathcal{G}_{w}(x)) \text{ and } (2) \text{ hold. Notice that } \\ \widehat{S}(w,v) \Rightarrow \widehat{S}_{0}(v) \text{ is valid.}$$

Definition 9. Let z be an NN. A set A of NNs is called

(a) an <u>AP-set</u> if there is a recursive function f such that  $A \in \langle W_{f(x)} \rangle^{E}$ and  $\mu (\langle W_{f(x)} \rangle^{E}) \leq 2^{-x}$  hold for any NN x (the term "effectively approximable by  $\sum_{1}^{0}$  classes in measure" was introduced by Kučera in [2]);

(b) an <u>NAP-set</u> if it is not an AP-set;

(c) a <u>z-WAP-set</u> (z-weakly approximable ...) if there is an NN v such that  $\hat{S}(z,v)$  & A  $\in \mathcal{T}_{v}$ ;

- (d) a <u>WAP-set</u> if it is a y-WAP-set for some NN y;
- (e) an <u>NWAP-set</u> if it is not a WAP-set.

Let us notice that classes of arithmetical reals corresponding to these types of sets were introduced in [16]. Importance of these concepts for theory of differentiability of constructive functions of a real variable was demonstrated in [14],[15],[18] and [19]. Here, being in a situation quite analogical to that described in [16], we shall remember a few results and introduce some notation used already in [16] and [17].

**Remark 10.** 1) In [20, p. 74] and [21, p. 92] a characterization of the recursive function e is given. The  $\Pi_2^0$  class  $\bigwedge_{x=0}^{\infty} \langle W_{e(x)} \rangle^E$  (of  $\emptyset$  -measure zero) is just the class of all AP-sets. For any NN  $x, \langle W_{e(x)} \rangle$  is a proper covering and  $\iota(\langle W_{e(x)} \rangle^E) \langle 2^{-x}$  holds. By definition, any class of  $\emptyset$ -measure zero contains AP-sets only.

2) There are NNs 4g and 4g such that  $\hat{S}(4g,4f)$  holds and, for any set A

of NNs, A fulfils the formula (1) from [22, Theorem 2] if and only if A 🛊

+  $\hat{J}_{\mu}$  holds (we use notation from [17, Theorem 6] here). 3) a) There are recursive functions  $\hat{\lambda}_0$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_1$  such that for any NN z sTot we have  $\hat{S}(\hat{\lambda}_0(z), \hat{\lambda}_1(z))$  and any z-WAP-set is contained in the class 7 1 (7) of Ø'-measure zero (16, Remark 8 and p. 460].

 $\tilde{\mathfrak{o})}$  There is an NN 44 such that  $\hat{S}_{\mathsf{n}}(44$ ) is valid and any WAP-set is contained in the class 🔏 of Ø -measure zero [16, Remark 8]. Thus, Ø -almost any set of NNs is an NWAP-set. According to [16] and [17] the class of all WAP-sets is both a  $\Sigma_3^{0,\emptyset}$  class and a  $\Sigma_4^0$  class being neither a  $\Pi_4^0$  nor a  $\pi^{0, \, {\mathfrak{g}}^{(x)}}_{\ast}$  class for any NN x. This class and its complement are everywhere dense. According to 2) and to Theorem 2 from [22] A 🛥 (A 🗿 Ø´) holds for any NWAP-set A.

**Theorem 11.** Let f be a recursive function fulfilling  $\varphi_{f(y)}(x) \simeq \varphi_{y}(2x)$ for any NNs v and x. Let z be an NN and A and B sets of NNs such that A is both an NAP-set and a z-WAP-set and  $A \leftarrow_T B$  holds. Then B is an f(z)-WAP-set.

Proof. It is sufficient to use Theorem 18 from [21].

**Theorem 12.** 1) For any index y of the recursive function  $\lambda x(2^{x+1})$ , any set A fulfilling Ǿ**≤**⊤A is a y-WAP-set.

2) For any set A of NNs fulfilling Ø  $4_TA$  there are an NAP-set B and an NWAP-set C such that  $C' =_T B =_T A$ .

Proof. a) There is an NAP-set L from an r.e. tt-degree for which r, is a monotonically weakly Ø-computable real [20, Remark 20]. L is, obviously, a v-WAP-set for any index v of the recursive function  $\lambda x(2^{X})$ . By Theorem 11, part 1) of our theorem is valid.

b) Let A be a set of NNs, Ø 💪 A. By Kučera [2, Theorem 7], there is an NAP-set B such that B 🕿 <sub>T</sub>A. To the class (from the part 3b) of Remark 10), the empty string and the set A we apply [22, Theorem 4]. We get an NWAPset C fulfilling C´ ∰<sub>T</sub>(C ⊕ Ø´) (Remark 10), C ≤<sub>T</sub>(A ⊕ Ø´), A ≤<sub>T</sub>(C ⊕ Ø´) and, consequently, C´**m**\_A.

In the sequel, we shall use constructive concepts and notation introduced in [22] frequently. The following statement gives us some information about connections between Ø-ucf-reducibility and (much stronger) mf-reducibility.

Theorem 13. Let F be an Ø-uniformly continuous c-function and let, for any real Y,

(3) .  $J_{Y}^{4}, J_{Y}^{4}, J_{Y}^{*}, J_{Y}^{2}, J_{Y}^{2}$ 

be classes of sets of NNs, where, for any sign  $\lambda$  from the list  $\langle , \leq , = , \rangle$  $\geq , \rangle , J_{V}^{\lambda} \rightleftharpoons \{A: R[F](r_{\lambda}) \land Y\}.$ 

1) Let Y be a real. The classes  $J_{Y}^{4}$  and  $J_{Y}^{2}$  are of the type  $\{W_{t}^{Set(Y)}\}^{E}$ and, consequently, (Set(Y))'-measurable. Thus, any of the classes (3) is (Set(Y))'-measurable and  $\mu(J_{Y}^{-})^{-} \mu(J_{Y}^{4}) - \mu(J_{Y}^{4})$  holds. If  $\mu(J_{Y}^{4}) = \mu(J_{Y}^{4})$ is valid then the classes (3) are even Set(Y)-measurable and the class  $J_{Y}^{-}$  is of Set(Y)-measure zero.

2) There are  $\emptyset$ -CRNs  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  being respectively the infimum and the supremum of the values reached by F on  $\emptyset$ -CRNs from  $0 \triangle 1$  and a non-decreasing (and, thus,  $\emptyset$ -uniformly continuous) c-function G for which G(0)=0, G(1)=1 and  $\forall XZ(0 \triangleq X \triangleq 1 \And U_0 \triangleq Z \triangleq U_1 \Longrightarrow (RIG3(X)=Z \iff \iota(J_Z^{<}) \triangleq X \triangleq \iota(J_Z^{<})))$  hold. Thus, for any real Y from  $U_0 \triangle U_1$  and any set B of NNs, we have  $(Set(Y) \triangleq_{\emptyset-ucf} B \text{ via } F) \iff B \in J_Y^{-}$ ,  $(Set(Y) \triangleq_{mf} B \text{ via } G) \iff \iota(J_Y^{<}) \triangleq r_B \triangleq \iota(J_Y^{<})$ 

and, consequently, if  $(4(J_Y^{=}) > 0$  holds then Y is an Ø-computable real and there is a rational segment  $a \Delta b$  such that  $\forall X(X \in a \Delta b \implies R[G](X)=Y)$ .

3) Let Y be a real,  $Y \in U_0 \Delta U_1$ .

a) Let Y be Ø-computable. Then  $J_Y^{\Xi}$  is a non-empty  $\,\Pi^0_1\,$  class.

If  $\mu(J_{\gamma}^{=})=0$  holds then the class  $J_{\gamma}^{=}$  is of Ø-measure zero and, consequently, it contains AP-sets only.

If  $\mu(J_{\gamma}^{=}) > 0$  then the class  $J_{\gamma}^{=}$  contains  $\emptyset$  -recursive NWAP-sets and sets from r.e. tt-degrees being both NAP-sets and WAP-sets.

b) Let Y be not Ø-computable. Then  $\mu (J_{Y}^{=})=0$  holds, the set A, where A  $\Rightarrow$  Set( $\mu (J_{Y}^{<})$ ), is non-recursive, Set(Y)  $\Rightarrow TA$ ,

(A is an AP-set)  $\iff$  ( $J_{y}^{=}$  contains AP-sets only),

 $\forall z((A \text{ is a } z-WAP-set) \iff (J_v^{=} \text{ contains } z-WAP-sets \text{ only}))$  and

(Set(Y) is weakly 1-generic)  $\rightarrow$  ( $J_Y^{=}$  is of Ø-measure zero).

The following remark will help us to prove this theorem.

**Remark 14.** 1) Let comp be a recursive function of two variables such that, for any NNs t and s,  $\langle \mathfrak{D}_{comp}(t,s) \rangle$  is a set of mutually incomparable (with respect to **G**) strings which are incomparable with strings from  $\langle W_t^s \rangle$  and, in addition, the class  $\langle \mathfrak{D}_{comp}(t,s) \rangle^E \cup \langle W_t^s \rangle^E$  contains any set of NNs.

- 240 -

2) Let H be an  $\emptyset$ -uniformly continuous c-function. There is a recursive function f such that  $\forall xzab(f(x) \neq h(\sigma_z)\&a, b \in Seg(\sigma_z) \rightarrow |H(b)-H(a)| \leq 1$ 

 $\not \leq 2^{-x}$ ) holds. We use [22, Remark 6] and the s-m-n-theorem and construct recursive functions  $\vec{n}_{H}$ ,  $\vec{n}_{H}$ ,  $\vec{p}_{H}$  and  $\vec{q}_{H}$  of one variable and a recursive predicate  $P_{H}$  of three variables such that, for any NNs t, s and z, we have the following:

a) 
$$\mathbb{W}_{\overline{m}_{H}(t)} = \{ x: \mathbb{R}[H](Seg(\mathscr{I}_{x})) \subseteq \mathscr{G}^{0}(t) \}, \mathbb{W}_{\overline{n}_{H}(t)} = \bigcup_{y \in \mathbb{W}_{t}} \mathbb{W}_{\overline{m}_{H}(y)}, y \in \mathbb{W}_{t}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{W}_{\vec{\mathsf{P}}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathsf{t})}^{=} \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{X}}: \mathbf{J}_{\mathsf{y}}(\mathsf{y} \in \mathsf{W}_{\mathbf{i}\vec{\mathsf{n}}(\mathsf{t})}^{\circ} \mathbf{\xi}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathsf{E}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\mathscr{G}}(\mathsf{y}))) < \mathsf{E}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\mathscr{G}}(\mathsf{x})) < \mathsf{E}_{r}(\boldsymbol{\mathscr{G}}(\mathsf{x})) < \mathsf{H}(\mathsf{E}_{r}(\boldsymbol{\mathscr{G}}(\mathsf{y})))) \}, \\ & \text{(for $in$ see [22]), $$ $\mathsf{W}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathsf{t})}^{\circ} = \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{X}}: \mathbf{J}_{\mathsf{Y}} \forall \mathsf{v}(\mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{comp}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{y})} \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{R}[\mathsf{H}](\boldsymbol{\mathscr{G}}_{\mathsf{v}}) \land \boldsymbol{\mathscr{G}}(\mathsf{x}) = \emptyset) \} \\ & \text{and, consequently,} \end{split}$$

(i)  $A \in \langle W_{\overline{m}_{H}(t)} \rangle^{E} \iff R[H](r_{A}) \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(t)$  and  $A \in \langle W_{n_{H}(t)} \rangle^{E} \iff R[H](r_{A}) \in [W_{t}]$  hold for any set A of NNs (for [ $W_{t}$ ] see [22]);

(ii) if H is non-decreasing then  $\{B:R[H](r_B)=X\} \subseteq [W_{\widehat{In}(t)}] \iff X \in [W_{\overrightarrow{P}_H(t)}]$ holds for any real  $X \in H(0) \bigtriangleup H(1)$  and, thus,  $\{W_{\overrightarrow{R}_U(\overrightarrow{P}_U(t))}\} \stackrel{E}{=} \subseteq \{W_+\} \stackrel{E}{=}$  is valid;

(iii) if  $\langle W_t \rangle$  is a proper covering then, for any real X from H(0) $_{\Delta}$  H(1), fB:R[H](r<sub>B</sub>)=X}S \langle W\_t \rangle \stackrel{E}{\longleftrightarrow} X \langle W\_{\vec{q}\_{H}(t)} holds and, thus,  $\langle W_{\vec{q}_{L},(\vec{q}_{L},(t))} \rangle \stackrel{E}{=} \langle W_t \rangle \stackrel{E}{\to}$  is fulfilled;

b)  $P_{H}$  is used as a selector, namely,  $P_{H}(t,s,z)$  implies

(4)  $f(s) \in f^{0}(t) \& \ln(\sigma_{z}) = f(x_{0}),$ 

where

(5) 
$$x_0 \rightleftharpoons (x(2^{-X} \lt min(E_r(\mathscr{B}(t))-E_r(\mathscr{B}(s)),E_1(\mathscr{B}(s))-E_1(\mathscr{B}(t))));$$
  
if (4) and (5) hold, then we have:  $P_H(t,s,z) \Longrightarrow R[H1(\mathscr{O}_z) \subseteq \mathscr{B}^0(t),$ 

 $\mathbf{\gamma} P_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{s},\mathsf{z}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{RLH}(\sigma_{\mathsf{z}}) \wedge \mathscr{G}(\mathsf{s}) = \emptyset$  and, consequently,

$$\underbrace{ \langle \mathsf{W}_{\mathfrak{m}_{H}(s)} \rangle}_{\mathsf{H}}^{\mathsf{E}} \underbrace{ \langle \{ z: \mathsf{P}_{H}(t,s,z) \} \rangle}_{\mathsf{H}}^{\mathsf{E}} \underbrace{ \langle \mathsf{W}_{\mathfrak{m}_{H}(t)} \rangle}_{\mathsf{H}}^{\mathsf{E}} \text{ is valid.}$$

Proof of Theorem 13. Part 1) of the theorem follows immediately from Remarks 2, 4 and 14. According to [8] and [13, Lemma 1], there are Ø-CRNs U<sub>0</sub> and U<sub>1</sub>, being respectively the infimum and the supremum of values reached by F on (Ø-CRNs from) 0 1, and two Ø-sequences  $\{V_y\}_y^{\emptyset}$  and  $\{M_y\}_y^{\emptyset}$  of Ø-CRNs such that the first of them is everywhere dense and, for any NN x, the class  $J_{V_x}^{<}$  is Ø-measurable and  $M_x$  is its Ø-measure. Because of monotonicity of measure and of Ø-uniform continuity of F we have  $V_x \leq V_y \Rightarrow M_x \leq M_y$ ,  $V_x \leq U_0 \Rightarrow M_x = 0$ ,  $U_1 \leq V_x \Rightarrow M_x = 1$ ,  $U_0 \leq V_x \leq V_y \leq U_1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq M_x \leq M_y \leq 1$  for any NNs x and y.

We construct an  $\emptyset$ -sequence  $\{G_x\}_x^{\emptyset}$  of non-decreasing polygonal c-functions such that, for any NN x, there is an increasing finite sequence

According to 2) and Remark 14

(6) 
$$\mu(\mathbf{A} \mathbb{W}_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{x}))^{\mathsf{E}} = \mu(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r}}}^{\mathsf{C}}(\mathbf{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathbf{x})))^{\mathsf{E}} \, \mu(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{E}_{1}}^{\mathsf{C}}(\mathbf{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathbf{x})))^{\mathsf{E}} \, \mu(\mathbf{A} \mathbb{W}_{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{x}))^{\mathsf{E}})$$

holds for any NN x and, thus,

(7)  $(\mathcal{A} (\mathcal{A} W_{\Pi_{F}}(t) \triangleright^{E}) = (\mathcal{A} (\mathcal{A} W_{\Pi_{G}}(t) \triangleright^{E}),$ (8)  $(\mathcal{A} (\mathcal{A} W_{\Pi_{F}}(\overline{\rho}_{G}(t)) \triangleright^{E}) \leq (\mathcal{A} (\mathcal{A} W_{t} \succ^{E}))$ and (9) Set $(\mathcal{A} (J_{Y}^{\mathcal{A}})) \in \mathcal{A} W_{t} \flat^{E} \rightarrow J_{Y}^{=} \in \mathcal{A} W_{\Pi_{F}}(\overline{\rho}_{G}(t)) \flat^{E}$ are valid for any NN t and any non-Ø-computable real Y from  $U_{\Omega} \Delta U_{1}$ .

Let Y be a real from  $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$ . By 1),  $J_Y^{=}$  is a non-empty  $\Pi_1^{0,\operatorname{Set}(Y)}$  class,  $\mu(J_Y^{=})=1- \mu(\triangleleft W_k^{\operatorname{Set}(Y)})^E$ , where k is an NN such that  $J_Y^{<} \cup J_Y^{>} = \triangleleft W_k^{\operatorname{Set}(Y)})^E$ .

a) Let Y be Ø-computable.

If  $\mu(J_Y^{=})=0$  then the  $\Pi_1^0$  class  $J_Y^{=}$  is of  $\emptyset$ -measure zero.

Let  $(\mathcal{A}(J_{\gamma}^{\Xi}) > 0 \text{ hold. There are NNs i and j fulfilling } (\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_{k}^{\operatorname{Set}(Y)})^{E}) < < 1-2^{-i+1}$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_{j})^{E} = (\mathcal{A}_{e(i)})^{E} (\mathcal{A}_{k}^{\operatorname{Set}(Y)})^{E}$ . By Remark 10,  $(\mathcal{A}_{j})^{E}$  is a proper covering containing all AP-sets. We apply (i) Theorem 7 to the empty string, the  $\emptyset$  -measurable class  $(\mathcal{A}_{j})^{E} (\mathcal{A}_{k})^{E}$  of  $\emptyset$  -measure less than 1 and any  $\emptyset$  -recursive set (see Remark 10) and (ii) Theorem 33 from [20] to  $(\mathcal{A}_{j})^{E}$  and any T-complete  $\emptyset$ -r.e. set (see Theorem 12). The proof of part 3a) is finished.

- 242 -

b) Let Y be non-Ø-computable and let A  $\Rightarrow$  Set( $((J_{q}^{\checkmark}))$ ). Then  $\emptyset <_{T}$ Set(Y),  $U_{0} < U_{1}$ , R[G]( $r_{A}$ )=Y and, hence, by [22, Theorem 15], Set(Y)  $\Rightarrow T^{A}$ . On account of (8) and (9) we can limit ourselves to the following: (i) Let  $J_{\overline{q}}^{\overline{\tau}}$  contain AP-sets only. Then, by Remarks 10 and 14 and by (7), for any NN x, we have  $J_{\overline{q}}^{\overline{\tau}} \leq W_{e(x)} \stackrel{E}{\rightarrow} A \leq W_{\overline{n}_{G}}(\overline{q}_{F}(e(x))) \stackrel{E}{\rightarrow} and ((W_{\overline{n}_{G}}(\overline{q}_{F}(e(x)))) \stackrel{E}{\rightarrow} ((W_{e(x)}) \stackrel{E}{\rightarrow}) < (2^{-x})$ . Thus, by definition, A is an AP-set.

(ii) Let Set(Y) be weakly 1-generic [3]. We construct an Ø-sequence  $\{T_X\}_X^{\mathcal{J}}$  of Ø-CRNs contained and dense in  $U_0 \nabla U_1$  and such that no  $T_X$  is equal to a value of the c-function G in a rational point. For any NNs x and y,  $(\mathfrak{u}(J_T^{<}) = \mathfrak{u}(J_T^{<}))$  and, hence, we can construct NNs  $s_{x,y}$ ,  $t_{x,y}$  and  $v_{x,y}$  fulfilling  $T_x \in \mathscr{B}^0(s_{x,y})$ ,  $\mathscr{L}(s_{x,y}) \subseteq \mathscr{L}^0(t_{x,y})$ ,  $(\mathfrak{u}(\P_{\overline{m}_G}(t_{x,y})) \not\models^E) < 2^{-x-y-1}$  and

Thus, the open set  $[\{z: \exists x(z=s_{x,y})\}]$  of reals is dense in  $U_0 \bigtriangledown U_1$ , consequently, it contains Y (because of weak 1-genericity of Set(Y)), and the  $\emptyset$ -measurable set  $\bigvee_{z=0}^{+\infty} \triangleleft \mathfrak{D}_{v_{x,y}} \succ^E$  of  $\emptyset$ -measure less than  $2^{-y}$  contains  $J_Y^{=}$  for any NN y.

Hence,  $J_v^{=}$  is of Ø-measure zero and the proof is finished.

**Remark 15.** 1) Let C be an SBI-set and let  $\emptyset <_{tt}M \leq_{tt}C$ . Then according to [22, Theorem 9] and Theorem 13 (parts 2 and 3b, where  $Y \rightleftharpoons r_M$ ) there is a set A of NNs fulfilling  $M \leq_{mf} A$  (and, thus,  $M \leq_{tt} A$ , if M is an SBI-set),  $M \cong_T A$ , (A is an AP-set)  $\Longrightarrow$  (C is an AP-set),  $\forall z$ ((A is a z-WAP-set))  $\Longrightarrow$  (C is a z-WAP-set)), (M is weakly 1-generic)  $\Longrightarrow$  (C is contained in a class of  $\emptyset$ -measure zero (and, thus, C is an AP-set)).

2) According to [22] any NAP-set and any bi-infinite (in particular, non-recursive) set of the type B ③ B are SBI-sets.

Theorem 16. 1) No weakly 1-generic set is tt-reducible to an NAP-set.

2) If a non-recursive set B is tt-reducible to an NAP-set C then there is an NAP-set A such that  $B \leq_{tt} A \leq_T B$  and  $\forall z((A \text{ is a } z-WAP-set) \implies (C \text{ is a } z-WAP-set))$  hold.

Proof. It is sufficient to use Remark 15 and [22, Remark 8].

Definition 17. A property of sets of NNs is said to be valid for B-almost any set (or, equivalently, B-almost everywhere) if there is a class **37**  of sets of NNs of B-measure zero such that any set A of NNs fulfilling A  $\clubsuit$  20% has the property.

**Theorem 18.** For  $\emptyset$  -almost any set A of NNs we can construct an (A  $\bigoplus \emptyset$ )-recursive set B being both an NAP-set and a q-WAP-set, where q is any NN such that

(10) 
$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{q} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{x}(3^{2x})$$

is valid, and fulfilling  $A \neq_{\beta-ucf} B$  and  $A \neq_{++} B$ .

Note that  $\emptyset$  -almost any set of NNs is an NWAP-set (Remark 10).

Proof. Let  $M_x$  denote the set  $\{z:1 \le z \le 3^X\}$  and L[x,y] the segment

 $(y-1).3^{-x} \Delta y.3^{-x}$  for any NNs x and y.

1) We construct a partial recursive function  $\vec{n}$  of two variables and an  $\emptyset$ -uniformly continuous c-function F such that, for any NN x, (i) the set  $M_{2x}$  is the domain and  $M_x$  the range of the function  $\lambda y \pi(x,y), \pi(1,3.(v-1)+t) \simeq v$  and

 $\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\pi}(x+1,3^{2x}.(3.(v-1)+t-1)+y) \boldsymbol{\simeq}(3^{x}.(v-2^{-1}.(1-(-1)^{t}))-(-1)^{t}.\overline{\pi}(x,y)+2^{-1}.(1+(-1)^{t})) \\ &\text{ and, consequently, Card(} \{z:\overline{\pi}(x,z) \boldsymbol{\simeq} w\})=3^{x} \text{ hold for any } v \text{ and } t \text{ from } M_{1}, \text{ any } y \boldsymbol{\leq} M_{2x} \text{ and any } w \boldsymbol{\leq} M_{x}; \end{aligned}$ 

(ii) F(0)=0, F(1)=1, 0  $\pm$  F  $\pm$ 1 hold and F maps the segment L[2x,z] onto L[x, $\vec{n}(x,z)$ ] for any  $z \in M_{2v}$ .

For any NNs x and s, where  $\mathfrak{D}_{s} \leq M_{2x}$ , we denote by I [x,s] the union  $\bigcup$  L[x, $\overline{n}(x,w)$ ], i.e. the F-image of  $\bigcup$  L[2x,w]. we $\mathfrak{D}_{s}$  we $\mathfrak{D}_{s}$ 

2) For any NN k, let  $\{a_{k,t} \Delta b_{k,t}\}_{t}^{\emptyset}$  be an  $\emptyset$ -sequence of mutually non-o-verlapping rational segments with binary rational end points enumerating the set  $\{Seg(d_{t}):t \in W_{nn1} | e(2k+4)\}$  (see [22] and Remark 10).

The predicate v4w &  $\sum_{t=v}^{W} |a_{u,t}\Delta b_{u,t} \wedge L(2x,y)| 43^{-z} |L(2x,y)|$  of variab-

les u,v,w,x,y, and z is denoted by P<sub>0</sub>, the predicate  $\forall s(v \leq s \implies P_0(u,v,s, x,y,z))$  of variables u,v,x,y and z by P and the predicate  $(\forall t)_{t \leq v} \exists ij(a_{u,t}=i.3^{-2x}\&b_{u,t}=j.3^{-2x})$  of variables u, v and x by R. Let us note that P(k,0,0,1,k+2) holds for any NN k.

We construct a recursive function  $g_0$  of six variables and an  $\emptyset$  -recursive function g of five variables such that, for any NNs k,u,v,x,z and t, where  $14 \vee 43^{2u}$  & u 4x holds, we have

- 244 -

(i)  $Card(\mathfrak{D}_{g_0(*,t)}) \neq 3^{x-u}$ ,  $Card(\{s:g_0(*,s) \neq g_0(*,s+1)\}) \neq 3^{2.(x-u)}$  and

$$t \in \mathfrak{O}_{g(\mathbf{x})} \iff (\exists w)_{w \in M_{\chi}} (t \simeq \alpha y(\overline{n}(x, y) \simeq w \& L[2x, y] \leq L[2u, v] \& P(k, 0, x, y, z));$$
(ii) {L[x,  $\overline{n}(x, w)$ ]:  $w \in \mathfrak{D}_{g_0}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ } is a set of non-overlapping segments

and, thus,  $\mu(I[x,g_0(*,t)])=3^{-x}.Card(\mathfrak{D}_{g_0(*,t)});$ 

(iii) if p and r are NNs fulfilling  $p \notin z\&P(k,0,u,v,p)\&P(k,r+1,u,v,2z)\&$ &R(k,r,x) then Card( $\mathfrak{D}_{g(x)}) \geq 3^{x-u}$ .(1-3<sup>-p+1</sup>) and, hence,  $\mu(I[x,g(x)]) \geq (1-3^{-p+1})$ . |L[u, $\overline{n}(u,v)$ ] hold.

3) We suppose to have a fixed enumeration of all finite sequences of NNs such that any index of a finite sequence of NNs majorizes all members of the sequence. We shall construct a recursive function h of two variables. Let x be an NN. We shall distinguish two cases.

a) There are an NN m and two increasing finite sequences  $\{n_i\}_{i=0}^{2m+2}$  and  $\{x_j\}_{j=0}^m$  of NNs such that  $x_m = x$  and, for any NN j,  $0 \le j \le m$ , the sequence  $\{n_i\}_{i=0}^{2j+2}$  has index  $x_j$  and  $R(n_0, n_{2j+1}, n_{2j+2}) \And (j \le m \implies x_j \le n_{2j+4})$  holds. For any NN t, we construct a finite sequence  $\{s_{t,j}\}_{j=0}^m$  of NNs fulfilling  $s_{t,0} = =g_0(n_0, 0, 1, x_0, n_0 + 3, t)$  and

$$\mathfrak{D}_{s_{t,j+1}} = \bigcup_{w \in \mathfrak{D}_{s_{t,j}}} \mathfrak{D}_{g_0(n_0,x_j,w,x_{j+1},n_0+j+4,t)}$$

for any NN j,  $0 \le j \le m$ , and we put  $h(x,t) = s_{t,m}$ .

b) In the other case we define h(x,t)=0 for any NN t  $(\mathfrak{D}_{n}=\emptyset)$ .

Thus,  $Card(\{t:h(x,t) \neq h(x,t+1)\}) \leq 3^{2x}$  holds for any NN x. Let

H ⇒ A x(lim h(x,t)). Then H is an Ø -recursive function and according to t→+∞
 2) μ( ∪ L[2x,w]) ≤ 3<sup>-X</sup> holds for any NN x. Hence, for any NN q and any w∈ 𝔅<sub>H(x)</sub>

set B of NNs such that (10) is valid and the set  ${x:r_B \cdot e \cup L[2x,w]}$  is infinite, B is, by definition, a q-WAP-set.

4) Let k be an NN. We construct increasing  $\emptyset'$ -sequences  $\{n_{k,1}, t\}_{t}^{\emptyset'}$  and and  $\{x_{k,1}\}_{t}^{\emptyset'}$  of NNs such that  $n_{k,0}$ =k and  $n_{k,1}$ = $\mu w(k < w A P(k,w+1,0,1,2(k+3)))$ is valid, and, for any NN s,  $n_{k,2s+2}$ = $\mu z(n_{k,2s+1} < z R(k,n_{k,2s+1},z))$  holds, the finite sequence  $\{n_{k,1}, t\}_{t=0}^{2s+2}$  has index  $x_{k,s}$  and  $n_{k,2s+3}$ = $\mu w(x_{k,s} < w$  $\& \forall y(y \le \mathfrak{D}_{H(x_{k,s})}) \implies P(k,w+1,x_{k,s},y,2(k+s+4)))$  is valid. Then, according to 2) and 1) for any NN s

2) and 3), for any NN s,

(11) 
$$\forall y(y \in \mathfrak{D}_{H(x_{k,s})} \Rightarrow P(k,0,x_{k,s},y,k+s+3))$$

holds, I [ $x_{k,0}$ , H( $x_{k,0}$ )] is contained in 0 $\Delta$ 1 and its measure is at least (1-3<sup>-k-1</sup>), I [ $x_{k,s+1}$ , H( $x_{k,s+1}$ )]  $\subseteq$  I [ $x_{k,s}$ , H( $x_{k,s}$ )] and  $\mu(I [x_{k,s+1}, H(x_{k,s+1})]) \geq (1-3^{-k-s-2})$ .  $\mu(I [x_{k,s}, H(x_{k,s})])$  hold. Consequently, the class

(12)  $\bigcap_{s=0}^{+\infty} I[x_{k,s}, H(x_{k,s})]$ 

of reals being of the type  $0 \leq 1 \setminus [W_t^{\emptyset}]$  is contained in  $0 \leq 1$ , its measure is at least  $(1-3^{-k})$  and  $\emptyset$  -computable and, hence, (12) is  $\emptyset$  -measurable.

5) Let k be an NN and A a non-recursive SBI-set such that  $r_A$  is in (12). Then, according to 2), for any NN s there is just one NN w<sub>s</sub> fulfilling

(13) 
$$W_{s} \in \mathcal{D}_{H(x_{k,s})} \& r_{A} \in L[x_{k,s}, \overline{n}(x_{k,s}, w_{s})]$$

and, thus,  $L[2x_{k,s+1}, w_{s+1}] \leq L[2x_{k,s}, w_s]$  holds for any NN s. Moreover,  $\lambda s(w_s)$ is, obviously, an (A  $\bigoplus \emptyset$ )-recursive function. Hence, there is an (A  $\bigoplus \emptyset$ )recursive set B fulfilling  $r_B \leq L[2x_{k,s}, w_s]$  for any NN s. This together with 3) and validity of (11) and (13) for any NN s gives us: B is a q-WAP-set, where (10) holds,  $A \leq_{\emptyset-ucf} B$  by F and  $B \notin \langle W_{e(2k+4)} \rangle^E$  hold. To finish the proof it is sufficient to use Remark 10, [22, Theorem 9] and to notice that  $\emptyset$ '-almost any set is a non-recursive SBI-set (Remark 8 and [22, Remark 8]).

#### References

- [1] HALMOS P.R.: Measure Theory, London, 1966.
- [2] KUČERA A.: Measure, TT<sub>1</sub>-classes and complete extensions of PA, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1141, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1985, 245-259.
- [3] KURTZ S.A.: Notions of weak genericity, J. Symbolic Logic 48(1983), 764-770.
- [4] LERMAN M.: Degrees of Unsolvability, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

- [5] ROGERS H. Jr.: Theory of Recursive Functions and Effective Computability, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967.
- [6] SACKS G.E.: Degrees of unsolvability, Annals of Mathematics Studies 55, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1963.
- [7] ŠANIN N.A.: Constructive Real Numbers and Function Spaces, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov 67(1962), 15-294; English transl., Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 21, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968.
- [8] ZASLAVSKIJ I.D.: Some properties of constructive real numbers and constructive functions, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov 67(1962), 385-457; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2)57(1966), 1-84.
- [9] DEMUTH O.: On Lebesgue integration in constructive analysis, Thesis, Moscow State University, 1964 (Russian).
- [10] DEMUTH 0.: The Lebesgue integral and the concept of function measurability in constructive mathematics, Docent Thesis, Charles University, Prague, 1967 (Russian).
- [11] DEMUTH D., KUČERA A.: Remarks on constructive mathematical analysis, Logic Colloquium '78 (Boffa, van Dalen, McAloon ed.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 81-129.
- [12] DEMUTH O.: The Lebesgue measurability of sets in constructive mathematics, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 10(1969), 463-492 (Russian).
- [13] DEMUTH D.: Constructive analogue of the connection between the Lebesgue measurability of sets and of functions, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 14(1973), 377-396 (Russian).
- [14] DEMUTH O.: The constructive analogue of the Denjoy-Young theorem on derived numbers, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 17(1976), 111-126.
- [15] DEMUTH O.: A constructive analogue of Garg's theorem on Dini derivatives, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 21(1980), 457-472 (Russian).
- [16] DEMUTH O.: On some classes of arithmetical real numbers, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 23(1982), 453-465(Russian).
- [17] DEMUTH O.: On Borel types of some classes of arithmetical real numbers, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 23(1982). 593-606 (Russian).
- [18] DEMUTH 0.: On arithmetical complexity of differentiation in constructive mathematics, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 24(1983), 301-316 (Russian).
- [19] DEMUTH O.: On pseudo-differentiability of pseudouniformly continuous constructive functions with respect to functions of the same type, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 24(1983), 391-406 (Russian).
- [20] DEMUTH O.: A notion of semigenericity, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 28 (1987), 71-84.
- [21] DEMUTH O., KUČERA A.: Remarks on 1-genericity, semigenericity and related concepts, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 28(1987), 85-94.
- [22] DEMUTH D.: Reducibilities of sets based on constructive functions of a real variable, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 29(1988), 143–156.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta, Karlova Univerzita, Malostranské nám. 25, 118 00 Praha 1, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 23.12. 1987)

- 247 -