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# OSCILLATION OF SOLUTIONS OF A NON-LINEAR DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE FOURTH ORDER 

PAVEL ŚOLTÉS, Košice

(Received March 30, 1977)

The papers [3], [4] and [5] investigate the properties of solutions of third and fourth order differential equations without argument delay. Certain results of these papers were extended and generalized in [1] and [2]; the latter papers are concerned with investigating the properties of solutions of the non-linear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varrho(x) y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(x) y^{\prime \prime}+q(x) y^{\prime}+r(x) y+y(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i}(x) F_{i}\left(y\left(h_{i}(x)\right)\right)=g(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varrho(x) \equiv 1$.
The present paper contains results which are an extension and generalization of certain results of these papers, especially [2] and [6].

We shall assume throughout that the functions $\varrho(x)>0, \varrho^{\prime}(x) \geqq 0, \varrho^{\prime \prime}(x) \leqq 0$, $p(x), q(x) \geqq 0, r(x), g(x), Q_{i}(x)$ and $h_{i}(x)(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ are from $C_{0}(J)$ where $J=$ $=\left\langle x_{0}, \infty\right), n$ is a natural number.

Suppose further that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\inf _{x \in J}\left[x-h_{i}(x)\right] \geqq d>0, \quad h_{i}(x) \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \\
F_{i}(z) \in C_{0}(-\infty, \infty), \quad F_{i}(z) \geqq 0 \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{gathered}
$$

We can now define the initial problem: let $\Phi(x)$ be defined and continuous on the initial set

$$
E_{x_{0}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_{x_{0}}^{i}, \quad E_{x_{0}}^{i}=\left\langle\inf h_{i}(x), x_{0}\right\rangle
$$

and let $y_{0}^{(k)}, k=1,2,3$ be arbitrary real numbers. We want to find a solution $y(x)$ of (1) defined on $J$ satisfying the initial conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y\left(x_{0}\right)=\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}, \quad y^{(k)}\left(x_{0}+0\right)=y_{0}^{(k)}, \quad k=1,2,3  \tag{2}\\
y(x)=\Phi(x) \quad \text { for } x \in E_{x_{0}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

We have the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Suppose that $q(x) \in C_{1}(J)$ and that for every $x \in J$

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \varrho(x)-|p(x)| \geqq 0, \quad 2 r(x)-|p(x)|-q^{\prime}(x)-|g(x)| \geqq 0, \\
Q_{i}(x) \geqq 0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{gathered}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{q(s)}{\varrho(s)} \mathrm{d} s=+\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then any solution $y(x)$ satisfying (2) and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(y\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{0}}^{\infty}|g(s)| \mathrm{d} s \leqq K_{0} \leqq 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(y(x))=\varrho(x) y(x) y^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)-\varrho(x) y^{\prime}(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} \varrho^{\prime}(x) y^{\prime 2}(x)+\frac{1}{2} q(x) y^{2}(x)$ is oscillatory on $J$.

Proof. Let $y(x)$ be a solution of (1) and (2) satisfying (4) which is not oscillatory. This means that e.g. $y(x)>0$ for all $x \geqq x_{1} \geqq x_{0}$.

Multiplying (1) by $y(x)$ and integrating from $x_{0}$ to $x \geqq x_{0}$, we get after some manipulations

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(y(x))+\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left[\varrho(s)-\frac{1}{2}|p(s)|\right] y^{\prime \prime 2}(s) \mathrm{d} s+  \tag{5}\\
+\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left[r(s)-\frac{1}{2}|p(s)|-\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(s)\right] y^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s- \\
-\frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \varrho^{\prime \prime}(s) y^{\prime 2}(s) \mathrm{d} s+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} y^{2}(s) Q_{i}(s) F_{i}\left(y\left(h_{i}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s \leqq \\
\leqq H\left(y\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x}|g(s)||y(s)| \mathrm{d} s
\end{gather*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
H(y(x))+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} & {\left[r(s)-\frac{1}{2}|p(s)|-\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(s)-\frac{1}{2}|g(s)|\right] y^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s \leqq }  \tag{6}\\
& \leqq H\left(y\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{0}}^{x}|g(s)| \mathrm{d} s \leqq K_{0} \leqq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

For $x \geqq x_{1}$, from (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\left[\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(x)}{y(x)}\right] \leqq-\frac{1}{2} \frac{q(x)}{\varrho(x)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore, owing to (3), $\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(x)}{y(x)} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ so that there exists a number $x_{2} \geqq x_{1}$ such that for every $x \geqq x_{2}$ is $y^{\prime \prime}(x)<0$ and therefore $y^{\prime}(x)$ decreases on $\left\langle x_{2}, \infty\right)$. Therefore one of the following statements must hold:

1. $y^{\prime}(x) \geqq 0$ for all $x \geqq x_{2}$.
2. There exists $x_{3} \geqq x_{2}$ such that $y^{\prime}(x)<0$ for all $x \geqq x_{3}$.

Evidently 2 contradicts the assumption that $y(x) \geqq 0$ for $x>x_{1}$.
Suppose therefore that $y(x)>0, y^{\prime}(x)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(x)<0$. Then, owing to (7)

$$
\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(x)}{y\left(x_{2}\right)} \leqq \frac{y^{\prime \prime}(x)}{y(x)} \leqq \frac{y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}\right)}{y\left(x_{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{2}}^{x} \frac{q(s)}{\varrho(s)} \mathrm{d} s
$$

and thus $y^{\prime \prime}(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ which contradicts the assumption $y^{\prime}(x) \geqq 0$.
If we assume $y(x)<0$, the proof is analogous.
Remark 1. Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorem 3 of [2] and Theorem 3 of [6]. It is evident from the proof of Theorem 1 of [2] that it is not enough to assume that $F_{i}(z), i=1,2, \ldots, n$ are increasing functions. Some additional hypothesis is needed, e.g. that for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{i}(z) \text { decreases on }(-\infty, 0) \text { and increases on }(0, \infty) \text { or for all } i=1,2, \ldots, n,  \tag{8}\\
& \inf _{\delta<|z|<\infty} F_{i}(z)=F_{i \delta}>0 \quad \text { for every } \delta>0 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

In that case it is possible to generalize Theorem 1 of [2]. We obtain the following two theorems:

Theorem 2. The hypotheses of this theorem are the same as those of Theorem 1 with (3) replaced by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varrho(s)} \mathrm{d} s=+\infty, \quad \int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} Q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s=+\infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true at least for one $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
In addition, suppose that for $x \in J p(x) \geqq 0, r(x) \geqq 0$ and that $F_{i}(z)$ satisfy the condition (8). Then every solution $y(x)$ of (1) and (2) satisfying (4) with $K_{0}<0$ is oscillatory on J.

Proof. Suppose that a solution $y(x)$ of (1) satisfies (2) and (4) and that e.g. $y(x)>0$ for every $x \geqq x_{1} \geqq x_{0}$. From (7) we see that $\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(x)}{y(x)}$ is nonincreasing on $\left\langle x_{1}, \infty\right)$ and therefore one of the following statements must hold:

1. $y^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ for all $x>x_{1}$.
2. There exists $x_{2} \geqq x_{1}$ such that for every $x \geqq x_{2}$ holds $y^{\prime \prime}(x)<0$.

Suppose that 1 . holds. Then $y^{\prime}(x)$ is nondecreasing and therefore one of the following statements must hold:
a) $y^{\prime}(x) \leqq 0$ for all $x \geqq x_{1}$.
$\beta$ ) There exists $x_{2} \geqq x_{1}$ such that for all $x \geqq x_{2} y^{\prime}(x)>0$.
For the case $\alpha$ ) we can prove from (6) that

$$
\varrho(x)\left(y(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)-y^{\prime}(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right) \leqq K_{0}<0
$$

and therefore

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) \leqq \frac{K_{0}}{\varrho(x) y(x)} \leqq \frac{K_{0}}{y\left(x_{1}\right) \varrho(x)}
$$

so that $y^{\prime \prime}(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $y(x)>0, y^{\prime}(x)>$ $>0, y^{\prime \prime}(x) \geqq 0$ for each $x \geqq x_{2}$. Considering the hypotheses, we derive from (1)

$$
\left(\varrho(x) y^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}+y\left(x_{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}\left(y\left(x_{2}\right)\right) Q_{i}(x) \leqq g(x) \quad \text { for } x \geqq \bar{x}_{2},
$$

where $\bar{x}_{2} \geqq x_{2}$ is large enough, and thus $\varrho(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow-\infty$, again a contradiction.

Suppose now that statement $2^{\circ}$ holds. Then necessarily $y(x)>0, y^{\prime}(x) \geqq 0$, $y^{\prime \prime}(x)<0$. Owing to (7), we have therefore

$$
\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(x)}{y\left(x_{2}\right)} \leqq \frac{y^{\prime \prime}(x)}{y(x)} \leqq \frac{y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}\right)}{y\left(x_{2}\right)}
$$

and therefore $y^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction.
The proof is analogous if we assume that $y(x)<0$.
Analogously we can prove
Theorem 3. The hypotheses are the same as' those of Theorem 2 with (8) replaced by (9). Then every solution $y(x)$ of (1) satisfying (4) with $K_{0}<0$ is oscillatory on $J$.

Theorem 4. The hypotheses are the same as those of Theorem 1 with (3) replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{\varrho(s)}=\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} r(s) \mathrm{d} s=+\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose in addition that $p(x) \geqq 0$ and $r(x) \geqq 0$ on J. Then any solution $y(x)$ of (1) satisfying (2) and (4) with $K_{0}<0$ is oscillatory on $J$.

Proof. Suppose that $y(x)$ satisfies (1), (2) and (4) and is not oscillatory. It is evident from the proofs of previous theorems that it is sufficient to investigate the case

$$
y(x)>0, \quad y^{\prime}(x)>0, \quad y^{\prime \prime}(x) \geqq 0 .
$$

From (1) we get for $x \geqq x_{2}$

$$
\left(\varrho(x) y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}+y\left(x_{2}\right) r(x) \leqq g(x)
$$

and from this we derive a contradiction analogously as in the proof of Theorem 2,
The following theorem is evident
Theorem 5. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 hold except for (3) which is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{\varrho(s)}=\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty}\left[r(s)-q^{\prime}(s)\right] \mathrm{d} s=+\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $p(x) \geqq 0, r(x)-q^{\prime}(x) \geqq 0$ for all $x \in J$. Then every solution $y(x)$ of (1) satisfying (2) and (4) with $K_{0}<0$ is oscillatory on J.

Remark 2. This theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2 in [2].
Evidently for any real number $a>0, b$ and any real $x$

$$
a x^{2}+b x \geqq-\frac{b^{2}}{4 a}
$$

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 we get from (5)

$$
H(y(x)) \leqq H\left(y\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{g^{2}(s)}{2 r(s)-|p(s)|-q^{\prime}(s)} \mathrm{d} s
$$

provided

$$
2 r(x)-|p(x)|-q^{\prime}(x)>0, \quad x \in J
$$

If instead of assuming the convergence of the integral $\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty}|g(s)| \mathrm{d} s$ which is evident from (4) we assume the convergence of the integral

$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{g^{2}(s)}{2 r(s)-|p(s)|-q^{\prime}(s)} \mathrm{d} s
$$

where $2 r(x)-|p(x)|-q^{\prime}(x)>0$ for all $x \in J$, we can easily formulate the following theorems:

Theorem 6. Suppose that $q \in C_{1}(J)$ and that for all $x \in J$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \varrho(x)-|p(x)| \geqq 0, \quad 2 r(x)-|p(x)|-q^{\prime}(x)>0, \quad Q_{i}(x) \geqq 0, \\
& \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

If (3) holds, then any solution $y(x)$ of (1) which satisfies (2) and such that

$$
H\left(y\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{g^{2}(s)}{2 r(s)-|p(s)|-q^{\prime}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \leqq K_{0}^{*} \leqq 0
$$

is oscillatory on J.
Theorem 7. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 6 hold except for (3) which is replaced by (10). Suppose further that $p(x)>0, r(x)>0$ and that $F_{i}(z)$ satisfy (8) or (9). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty}|g(s)| \mathrm{d} s<\infty \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then any solution $y(x)$ of (1) satisfying (2) and (4') with $K_{0}^{*}<0$ is oscillatory on $J$.
Theorem 8. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 6 hold except for (3) which is replaced by (11). Suppose further that $p(x) \geqq 0, r(x) \geqq 0$.

If (13) holds, then every solution $y(x)$ of (1) satisfying (2) and (4') with $K^{*}<0$ is oscillatory on J.

Theorem 9. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 6 hold except for (3) which is replaced by (12) and that $p(x) \geqq 0, r(x)-q^{\prime}(x) \geqq 0$ for all $x \in J$. If (13) holds, then any solution $y(x)$ of (1) satisfying (2) and (4) with $K_{0}^{*}<0$ is oscillatory on $J$.
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