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# THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE THIRD ORDER 

MIROSLAV BARTUŠEK<br>(Received April 18, 1983)

Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$, defined on $D=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right): t \in[0, \infty),\left|x_{i}\right|<\infty\right\}$ satisfies the local Carathéodory-conditions and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) x_{1} \leqq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a solution of (1) we shall mean a function $y$ which, along with its derivatives of the first, second order, is absolutely continuous on each segment of the interval $[0, \infty)$ and satisfies (1) for almost all $t$.

Put $N=\{1,2, \ldots\}$. Let $L\left(t_{0}, \infty-\right)$ be the set of all functions that are summable on each finite segment of $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

In the present paper the behaviour of solutions of (1), (2) will be studied. This problem of oscillatory solutions was investigated in [1]. Many authors deal with the problem of the existence of solutions of (1), (2), see e. g. [2].

Definition 1. The solution $y$ of (1), defined on $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right) \in[0, \infty)$ is called noncontinuable if either $t_{1}=\infty$ or $t_{1}<\infty$ and $\limsup _{t \rightarrow t_{1-}} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left|y^{(i-1)}(t)\right|=\infty$ holds.

Definition 2. The solution $y$ defined on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ is called proper if $\sup _{\tau \leq r<\infty}|y(t)|>0$ for an arbitrary number $\tau \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Definition 3. The solution $y$ defined on $\left[t_{0}, b\right)$ is called oscillatory if there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ of zeros of $y$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=b$.

Definition 4. The equation (1) has the property $A_{0}$ if every proper solution $y$ is either oscillatory or $\left|y^{(i)}(t)\right| \downarrow 0$ when $t \uparrow \infty, i=0,1,2$. The equation (1) has the
property $A_{i}, i=1,2$ if every proper solution $y$ is either oscillatory or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(k)}(t)=0$. $k=i, \ldots, 2$.

The sufficient conditions for (1) which should have the property $A_{i}$ are given in [2].

When investigating (1) we meet solutions of the types:
I. The solution $y$ defined on $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right), t_{1} \leqq \infty$ is strongly oscillatory to the left: There exist sequence $\left\{t_{k}^{i}\right\}, i=0,1,2, k \in N$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}^{i}=t_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{(i)}\left(t_{k}^{i}\right)=0, \quad t_{k}^{0}<t_{k}^{2}<t_{k}^{1}<t_{k+1}^{0}, \\
y^{(i)}(t) y(t)>0 \quad \text { on } \quad\left(t_{k}^{0}, t_{k}^{i}\right), \quad i=0,1,2  \tag{3}\\
y^{(i)}(t) y(t)<0 \quad \text { on } \quad\left(t_{k}^{i}, t_{k+1}^{0}\right) \quad \text { for } i=0,1, y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) y() \leqq 0 \\
\text { on }\left[t_{k}^{i}, t_{k+1}^{0}\right), k \in N \text { holds. }
\end{gather*}
$$

II. The solution $y$ defined on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ is different from zero on $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and there exists a number $\tau \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) y^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0, \quad y(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqq 0, \quad y^{\prime \prime} \operatorname{sgn} y \text { is nonincreasing on }[\tau, \infty) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

III. The solution $y$, defined on $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right), t_{2} \leqq \infty$ is monotone and $(-1)^{i} y(t) y^{(i)}(t)>$ $>0, \lim _{t \rightarrow t_{2}} y^{(i)}(t)=0$ for $i=1,2, \lim _{t \rightarrow t_{2}} y(t)=C$. Moreover, if $t_{2}<\infty$ then $C \geqq 0$.
IV. The solution $y$, defined on $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right], 0 \leqq t_{1}$ is strongly oscillatory to the right, there exist sequences $\left\{t_{k}^{i}\right\}, i=0,1,2, k=-1,-2, \ldots$ such that $\lim t_{k}^{i}=t_{0}$, (4) and $\lim y^{(i)}(t)=0, i=0,1,2$ hold.
$\left.\mathrm{V} . \begin{array}{l}t \rightarrow t_{0} \\ y(t)\end{array}\right) \pm\left(c_{1}+c_{2} t\right)^{2}, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are suitable constants, $\left|c_{1}\right|+\left|c_{2}\right| \neq 0$, $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right), t_{1} \leqq \infty$.
VI. $y(t) \equiv 0$ on $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right), t_{1} \leqq \infty$.

The following lemma can be proved directly from (2).
Lemma 1. Let $y$ be the solution of (1), (2) defined on $\left[t_{0}, b\right)$. Then the function $y^{\prime \prime} \operatorname{sgn} y$ is nonincreasing on $\left[t_{0}, b\right), t \neq t^{*}$ where $t^{*}$ is a zero of $y$.

The following function is of great importance

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=y^{\prime 2}(t)-2 y(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. Let the solution $y$ be defined on $\left[t_{0}, b\right)$. Then the function (5) is nondecreasing and
(6) $F(t)=0$ on $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right], t_{0} \leqq t_{1}<t_{2} \leftrightarrow y$ is of the type V or VI on $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$.

Proof. By virtue of (2)

$$
F\left(t_{2}\right)-F\left(1_{1}\right)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} F^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t=-\int_{i_{1}}^{t_{2}} 2 y() y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t \geqq 0, \quad t_{1} \leqq t_{2}
$$

holds and thus $F$ is nondecreasing. The validity of the relation $\Leftarrow$ in (6) is trivial. Suppose that $F \equiv 0$ on $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ and let $y$ be not trivial. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{i} y(t)>0,(-1)^{j} y^{\prime}(t)>0 \text { on } J=\left(t_{3}, t_{4}\right) \subset\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right], i, j=1,2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the assumption

$$
F(t)=y^{\prime 2}(t)-2 y(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text { on } J
$$

we get by integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=(-1)^{i}\left[(-1)^{i+j} \sqrt{\left|y\left(t_{5}\right)\right|}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{y^{\prime 2}\left(t_{5}\right)}{\left|y\left(t_{5}\right)\right|}}\left(t-t_{5}\right)\right]^{2} . \quad t_{5} \in J \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this and from (7) if $y\left(t_{k}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=0$, then

$$
y\left(t_{k}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=0, \quad y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{i} y^{\prime 2}\left(t_{5}\right)}{2\left|y\left(t_{5}\right)\right|} \neq 0
$$

$k=3,4$.
From this and as according to (8) $y$ is not oscillatory we can conclude that (8) is valid on the whole interval $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. Thereby $t_{5} \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), y\left(t_{5}\right) \neq 0, i, j$ must be taken from (7) for $t=t_{5}$ and if $y^{\prime}\left(t_{5}\right)=0$, then $j=0$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 3. Let y be a non-continuable solution of (1), (2), defined on $\left[t_{0}, b\right)$ and let $F\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. Then $y$ is of the type $I$ on $\left[t_{0}, b\right)$ or there exists only a finite number of zeros $t_{k}, k=1, \ldots, N$ of $y$ such that $y$ is of the type II on $\left[t_{N}, \infty\right)$.

Proof. Let $y$ be the solution, defined by the Cauchy initial conditions $\left[t_{0}, y_{0}, y_{0}^{\prime}, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right]:$ We shall investigate all possibilities which may occur, whereby we shall consider in each case the first possibility, the second one can be proved similarly.
$1^{\circ}$

$$
y_{0} \geqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime} \geqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}>0 \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0} \leqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime} \leqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}<0 .
$$

If $y$ is such that

$$
y(t)>0, y^{\prime}(t)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqq 0, \quad y^{\prime \prime} \text { nonincreasing, } t \in\left(t_{0}, b\right)
$$

then with respect to the $y$ being non-continuable $b=\infty$ must be valid and $y$ is of the type II on [ $t_{0}, \infty$ ).

Suppose that $y$ is not of the type II. Then there exists number $\boldsymbol{t}^{2}$ such that $y^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{2}\right)=0, y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ on $\left[t_{0}, t^{2}\right)$. Moreover, according to $1^{\circ} y(t)>0, y^{\prime}(t)>0$ on $\left(t_{0}, t^{2}\right]$.

Suppose the zero of $y^{\prime}$ does not exist on $\left(t^{2}, b\right)$. In this case according to $y$ being non-continuable $b=\infty$ and (see Lemma 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) y^{\prime}(t)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqq 0, \quad y^{\prime \prime} \text { nonincreasing, } t \in\left(t^{2}, \infty\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $y$ is not of the type II it follows from (9) that there exist numbers $t_{1} \in\left(t^{2}, \infty\right)$ and $K>0$ such that

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)<-K, \quad t \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)
$$

Then the relation

$$
-y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \leqq y^{\prime}(t)-y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=y^{\prime \prime}(\eta)_{0}\left(t-t_{1}\right)<-K\left(t-t_{1}\right), \quad \eta \in\left(t_{1}, t\right)
$$

gives us the contradiction for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus our assumption is not true and there exists a zero $t^{1} \in\left(t^{2}, b\right)$ of the function $y^{\prime}$ and $y(t)>0, y^{\prime}(t)>0, y^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{1}\right)<0$. $t \in\left(t^{2}, t^{1}\right)$ and the zero $t^{1}$ is simple. The existence of zero $t^{0}, t^{0} \in\left(t^{1}, b\right)$ of $y$ can be proved similarly as for $t^{1}$. We can see that in $t^{0} y\left(t_{0}\right)=0, y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)<0, y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)<0$ holds and we have the same situation as at $t_{0}$. By repetition of this way we can conclude that $y$ is of the type $I$. on some interval $\left[t_{0}, b_{1}\right), b_{1} \leqq b$. We prove by the indirect proof that $b_{1}=b$. Let $b_{1}<b$. As $y$ is strongly oscillatory the solution can exist in $b_{1}$ only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow b_{1-}} y^{\prime}(t)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

But by virtue of (5) and of Lemma 2

$$
F\left(t_{k}^{0}\right)=y^{\prime 2}\left(t_{k}^{0}\right) \geqq F\left(t_{0}\right)>0,
$$

where $\left\{t_{k}^{0}\right\}, \lim _{\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}^{0}=b_{1}$ is the sequence of zeros of $y$ that contradicts (10). The emma is proved in this case.
$2^{\circ}$

$$
y_{0} \geqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime}>0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \leqq 0 \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0} \leqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime}<0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \geqq 0 .
$$

According to the fact, that in some right neighbourhood of $t_{0}$ the same conditions as in $1^{\circ}$ for $t \in\left(t^{2}, t^{1}\right)$ are fulfilled, the behaviour of $y$ is similar.
$3^{\circ}$

$$
y_{0} \leqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime} \leqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}<0 \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0} \leqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime} \geqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}>0 .
$$

The conditions $y^{\prime}(t)<0, y^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ hold in some right neighbourhood of $t_{0}$ and this situation was investigated in $1^{\circ}, t \in\left(t^{1}, t^{0}\right)$ or $t \in\left(t_{0}, t^{2}\right)$.

According to the assumption of lemma $F\left(t_{0}\right)>0$, the last possible case is
$4^{\circ}$

$$
y_{0}>0, y_{0}^{\prime}<0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \geqq 0 \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0} \leqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime}>0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime} \leqq 0 .
$$

First suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)<0 \quad \text { on }\left[t_{0}, b\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is clear that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{\prime}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{\prime \prime}(t)=0, b=\infty$ (as $y$ is non-continuable), But the relation

$$
0<F\left(t_{0}\right) \leqq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[y^{\prime 2}(t)-2 y(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right]=0
$$

gives us the contradiction. Thus (11) is not correct and there exists a number $\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{1}}$ such that $y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$. According to the assumption $F\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ and Lemma 2 $y\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)<0$ holds. Thus we have

$$
y\left(t_{1}\right)>0, y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0, y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)<0, \quad \text { or } y\left(t_{1}\right)<0, y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0, y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)>0
$$

and there are given the same conditions at $t_{1}$ as in $3^{\circ}$ for $t=t^{\circ}$.
The lemma is proved.
Theorem 1. Let $y$ be a non-continuable solution of (1) and (2), defined on $\left[t_{0}, b\right)$, $b \leqq \infty$. Then $y$ is successively of the types III, VI, IV on the intervals $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$. $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right),\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right]$ and either of the type I on $\left[t_{3}, b\right)$ or of the type II on $\left[t_{3}, \infty\right)$. respectively. Here $t_{0} \leqq t_{1} \leqq t_{2} \leqq t_{3}$ are suitable numbers. Some parts of y may be missing, the numbers $t_{4} \in\left[t_{0}, t_{3}\right], t_{5} \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ may exists such that $t_{4}=t_{0}$ or $t_{5}=b=\infty$.

Proof. Let $y$ be given by Cauchy initial conditions [ $\left.t_{0}, y_{0}, y_{0}^{\prime}, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right]$. The structure of $y$ for $F\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ was investigated in Lemma 3. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(t_{0}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $F(t)=0, t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right)$, then according to Lemma $2 y$ is of the type VI or II on $\left[t_{0}, b\right)$. In the opposite case the number $t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, b\right)$ exists such that $F\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, $F(t)>0$ on $\left(t_{1}, b\right)$. The properties of $y$ on $\left(t_{1}, b\right)$ were investigated in Lemma 3. If there exists a seequence $\left\{t_{k}^{0}\right\}$ of zeros of $y, k=-1,-2, \ldots$ such that $\lim _{T \rightarrow-\infty} t_{k}^{0}=t_{1}$, then (4) must be valid and with respect to the fact, that $y^{(i)}$ is continuous at $t_{1}$ for $i=0,1,2$ we can conclude that $y$ is of the type IV on some interval $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \subset$ $\subset\left(t_{1}, b\right)$. In the opposite case $y^{(i)}(t) \neq 0$ in some right neighbourhood of $t_{1}$, $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right), i=0,1,2, \sum_{i=0}^{2}\left|y^{(i)}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \neq 0$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(t_{0}\right)<0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, consider the case

$$
1^{\circ} \quad y_{0}>0, y_{0}^{\prime} \geqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}>0 \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0}<0, y_{0}^{\prime} \leqq 0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}<0 .
$$

Put $y_{0}>0$ without the loss of generality. If there exists a number $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in\left(t_{0}, b\right)$ such that $F(\xi)=0$ holds, then the behaviour of $y$ was studied above. Thereby $y^{(1)}>0$ on $\left(t_{0}, \xi\right), i=0,1,2$. In the opposite case $y$ is of the type II.

In virtue of (13) we must still see the case
$2^{\circ}$

$$
y_{0}>0, y_{0}^{\prime}<0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}>0 \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0}<0, y_{0}^{\prime}>0, y_{0}^{\prime \prime}<0 .
$$

The situation $F\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ for a number $t_{1} \in\left(t_{0}, b\right)$ was studied previously. Thus suppose that $F(t)<0, t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right)$. Then according to (5)

$$
y(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0, \quad t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right)
$$

If $y$ is not of the type III, then exists a number $t_{2} \in\left(t_{0}, b\right)$ such that $y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=0$, But this situation was met in $1^{\circ}$.
The theorem is proved.
Remark 1. Let $y$ be given on $\left[t_{0}, b\right)$ and $y\left(t_{0}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0, y\left(t_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$, $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime 2}\left(t_{0}\right)>2 y\left(t_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$. Then, according to the proof of Lemma 3 there exists a number $t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, b\right)$ such that $y$ is of the type I on $\left[t_{1}, b\right)$ or of the type II on $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$.

Remark 2. According to the Definition 4 and the Remark 1 if (1) has the property $A_{0}$, then it has the strongly oscillatory solution of the type $I$.

Definition 5. Denote: $D\left(K, K_{1}\right)=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right): \frac{t^{2}}{K_{1}} \leqq\left|x_{1}\right| \leqq K_{1} t^{2}, K \leqq\right.$ $\left.\leqq\left|x_{1}\right|, \frac{t}{K_{1}} \leqq\left|x_{2}\right| \leqq K_{1} t, K \leqq\left|x_{2}\right|,\left|x_{3}\right| \leqq K_{1}\right\}, D_{1}\left(K, K_{1}\right)=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):\right.$ $\left.\left|x_{1}\right| \geqq K, \frac{1}{K_{1}} t \leqq\left|x_{1}\right| \leqq K_{1} t^{2}, \frac{1}{K_{1}} \leqq\left|x_{2}\right| \leqq K_{1} t,\left|x_{3}\right| \leqq 1 / K\right\}$.

Theorem 2. Let the constants $K>0, \alpha, \beta$ exist such that for an arbitrary $C>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f\left(t, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right| & \geqq a_{c}(t)\left|x_{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|x_{2}\right|^{\beta} \quad \text { on } D(K, C),  \tag{14}\\
& \int_{0}^{\infty} a_{c}(t) t^{2 \alpha+\beta} \mathrm{d} t=\infty \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

holds where $a_{c} \in L(0, \infty-)$.
Then for the solution $y$ of the type II

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{\prime \prime}(t)=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds and differential equation has the property $A_{2}$.
Proof. The property (16) will be proved by the indirect proof. Thus suppose that $\lim \left|y^{n}(t)\right|=K_{2}>0$. As $y$ is of the type II there exists a number $\tau \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that $y y^{(i)}>0$ on $[\tau, \infty), i=1,2$ holds. As the function $y^{\prime \prime} \operatorname{sgn} y$ is nonincreasing the following estimations hold for a suitable $t_{2} \geqq \tau$

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{1} t \leqq K_{2}(t-\tau) \leqq\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqq\left|y^{\prime}(\tau)\right|+\left|y^{\prime \prime}(\tau)\right|(t-\tau) \leqq C_{2} t  \tag{17}\\
C_{1} t^{2} \leqq \frac{K_{2}}{2}(t-\tau)^{2} \leqq|y(t)| \leqq|y(\tau)|+\left|y^{\prime}(\tau)\right|(t-\tau)+ \\
+\frac{\left|y^{\prime \prime}(\tau)\right|}{2}(t-\tau)^{2} \leqq C_{2} t^{2} \\
t \in\left[t_{2}, \infty\right), \quad C_{1}=\frac{K_{2}}{4}, \quad C_{2}=2\left|y^{\prime \prime}(\tau)\right| .
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $t_{3} \in\left[t_{2}, \infty\right)$ be such that $|y(t)| \geqq K,\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \geqq K, t \in\left[t_{3}, \infty\right)$ hold and let $C=\max \left(C_{2}, \frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)$. Then according to (14), (15) and (17) we have the following estimations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{3}\right)\right| \geqq \int_{t_{3}}^{\infty}\left|y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \geqq \int_{t_{3}}^{\infty} a_{c}(t)|y(t)|^{\alpha}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\beta} \mathrm{d} t \geqq \\
\geqq K_{3} \int_{t_{3}}^{\infty} a_{c}(t) t^{2 \alpha+\beta} \mathrm{d} t=\infty,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $K_{3}>0$ is a constant. The obtained contradiction proves the theorem.
Remark 3. Kiguradze [2] proved the following result: The differential equation (1) has the property $A_{k}, k=1,2$ if

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \operatorname{sgn} x_{1} \leqq-a(t) x_{1}^{\lambda_{0}} \prod_{j=1}^{k+1}\left(1+\left|x_{j}\right|\right)^{\lambda_{j}} \quad \text { on } D, \\
\lambda_{0}>0, \quad \lambda_{j} \in R, \quad j=1,2, \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \lambda_{j}>1, \quad a \in L(0, \infty-), \quad a \geqq 0, \\
\int_{0}^{\infty} a(t) t^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} t=\infty, \quad \gamma_{k}=2+k\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{k}(k+1-j) \lambda_{j} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $k=2$ the Theorem 2. generalizes this result.
Theorem 3. Let exist constants $K>0, \alpha, \beta$ such that for an arbitrary $C>0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mid f\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2},\left.x_{3}\left|\geqq a_{c}(t)\right| x_{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left(1+\left|x_{2}\right|\right)^{\beta} \quad \text { on } D_{1}(K, C),\right. \\
\int_{0}^{\infty} a_{c}(t) t^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} t=\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

holds where $\gamma$ is defined by one of the following possibilities

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1^{0} & \gamma=\frac{1}{2}\{[3-\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha+\varepsilon)](\alpha+\varepsilon)+[1-\operatorname{sgn}(\beta-2 \varepsilon)](\beta-2 \varepsilon)\},  \tag{0}\\
2^{0} & \gamma=\frac{1}{2}[1-\operatorname{sgn}(2 \alpha+\beta)](2 \alpha+\beta) \quad \text { for } \alpha>-1, \\
3^{0} & \gamma=\frac{1}{2}[3-\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha+\beta / 2)(\alpha+\beta / 2) \quad \text { for } \beta<2 .
\end{array}
$$

Here $\varepsilon \in[0,1), a_{c} \in L(0, \infty-)$ is a non-negative function. Then the differential equation (1) has the property $A_{1}$.

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 1 we must prove that the solution $y$ of (1), (2) of the type II does not exist, its derivative is not equal to zero identically in some neighbourhood of $\infty$. Thus suppose on the contrary that such a solution, defined on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ exists and let $y>0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ for simplicity.

It follows from the definition of $y$ and Theorem 2 and its proof that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)>0, y^{\prime} \text { non-decreasing on }\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{\prime \prime}(t)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leqq y^{\prime}(t) \leqq y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)+y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right) \leqq 2 y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) t \\
& \frac{y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)}{2} t \leqq y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right) \leqq y(t) \leqq y\left(t_{0}\right)+y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) t^{2} \leqq 2 y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) t^{2} \quad t \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{1} \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ is a suitable constant with the property $y(t) \geqq K$ for $t \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$, $y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqq 1 / K$.

First we prove that there exists a constant $t_{2} \geqq t_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=y^{\prime 2}(t)-2 y(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0 \quad \text { on }\left[t_{2}, \infty\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose on the contrary that $F(t) \leqq 0$ on $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$. As

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{y(t)}{y^{\prime 2}(t)}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{F(t)}{y^{\prime 3}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
y(t) \leqq M y^{\prime 2}(t), \quad M=y\left(t_{1}\right)\left[y^{\prime 2}\left(t_{1}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \geqq F(t)=y^{\prime 2}(t)-2 y(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqq y^{\prime 2}(t)\left[1-2 M y^{\prime \prime}\right] \geqq \\
\geqq y^{\prime 2}\left(t_{1}\right)\left[1-2 M y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and we get the contradiction to (18) for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus (20) is valid and according to (21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) \geqq M_{1} y^{\prime 2}(t), \quad t \in\left[t_{2}, \infty\right), \quad M_{1}=y\left(t_{2}\right)\left[y^{\prime 2}\left(t_{2}\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $C=2 \max \left(y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right),\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)^{-1}\right)$. Then according to the assumptions of the theorem

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{2}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} & =-\int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{y^{\prime \prime}(t)^{z}} \mathrm{~d} t \geqq \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{a_{c}(t) y(t)^{\alpha} y^{\prime}(t)^{\beta}}{y^{\prime \prime}(t)^{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d} t \geqq  \tag{23}\\
& \geqq 2^{2} \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} a_{c}(t) y(t)^{\alpha+z} y^{\prime}(t)^{\beta-2 \varepsilon} \mathrm{~d} t=J .
\end{align*}
$$

If $\gamma$ is defined according to $1^{\circ}$, then by use of (19) and (23) we get the contradiction:

$$
y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{2}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}=J \geqq 2^{\varepsilon} \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} a_{c}(t) t^{y}=\infty .
$$

If $2^{\circ}$ is valid, then put $\varepsilon=0$ for $\alpha \geqq 0, \varepsilon=|\alpha|$ for $0>\alpha>-1$ and according to (22), (19) and (23)

$$
y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{2}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} \geqq J \geqq 2^{\varepsilon} M_{1}^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} a_{c}(t) y^{\prime}(t)^{2 \alpha+\beta} \mathrm{d} t=M_{2} \int_{i_{2}}^{\infty} a_{c}(t) t^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} t=\infty
$$

$M_{2}$ is a constant. This contradiction proves the theorem in this case.

Let $3^{\circ}$ be valid. Put $\varepsilon=0$ for $\beta \leqq 0$ and $\varepsilon=\beta / 2$ for $0<\beta<2$. If follows from (23), (22) and (19) that

$$
y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{2}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} \geqq J \geqq 2^{\varepsilon} M_{1}^{\beta / 2-\varepsilon} \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} a_{c}(t) y^{\alpha+\beta / 2} \mathrm{~d} t=M_{2} \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} a_{c}(t) t^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} t=\infty .
$$

$M_{2}$ is a constant. This contradiction proves the theorem.
Remark 4. The theorem 3 generalizes the results obtained by Kiguradze [2], see Remark 3. For some special $\alpha$ and $\beta$ the results by Kiguradze are more suitable.

Theorem 4. Let the differential equation (1) have the property $A_{1}$ and let the constants $M, t_{1}$ and functions $a \in L\left(t_{1}, \infty-\right), g \in C_{0}\left(D_{2}\right)$ exist such that $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)>0$ for $x_{1}>0, a \geqq 0,\left|f\left(t_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right| \geqq a(t) g\left(\left|x_{1}\right|,\left|x_{2}\right|,\left|x_{3}\right|\right)$ on $D_{2}, D_{2}=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right): t_{1} \leqq t, 0 \leqq x_{1}, 0 \leqq x_{i} \leqq M, i=2,3\right\}$ and

$$
\int_{i_{1}}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} a(t) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} \tau=\infty
$$

hold. Then (1) has the property $A_{0}$.
Proof. According to the Theorem 1 and the definition of the properties $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ we must prove that for the solution $y$ with properties: $y^{(j)}$ monotone, $j=0,1,2$ and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(i)}(t)=0, \quad i=1,2, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}|y(t)|=C
$$

the relation $C=0$ holds. Suppose on the contrary that $C \neq 0$. Let $t_{2} \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$ be a number with the property

$$
\left|y^{(i)}(t)\right| \leqq M, \quad C / 2 \leqq y(t) \leqq 2 C, \quad i=1,2
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|y\left(t_{2}\right)\right|-C=\int_{t_{2}}^{\infty}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t=\int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{\infty}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} \tau=\int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty}\left|y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} \tau \geqq \\
\geqq \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} a(t) g\left(|y(t)|,\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|,\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} \tau \geqq \\
\geqq K \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} a(t) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} \tau=\infty, \\
K=\min g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)>0,
\end{gathered}
$$

where the minimum is taken for $C / 2 \leqq x_{1} \leqq C,\left|x_{i}\right| \leqq M, i=2,3$.
The gained contradiction proves the theorem.
Theorem 5. Let $y$ be an oscillatory solution of the $I$ type on $\left[t_{0}, b\right)$ and let constant $M>0$ exist such that for $t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right),\left|x_{1}\right| \leqq M, x_{2} \in R$

$$
g_{1}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|,\left|x_{2}\right|,\left|x_{3}\right|\right) \leqq\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right|, \quad x_{3} \in R
$$

and

$$
\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right| \leqq g_{2}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|,\left|x_{2}\right|,\left|x_{3}\right|\right),\left|x_{3}\right| \leqq M
$$

hold where $g_{i}$ are continuous, $g_{1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)>0$ for $s_{1}>0$. Then $\lim _{t \rightarrow b-} \sup \left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \approx \infty$.
Proof. We shall prove the statement of the theorem by the indirect proof,
Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqq K<\infty, \quad t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (5), (24) and Lemma $2 F$ is non-decreasing and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<F\left(t_{k}^{i}\right)=y^{\prime 2}\left(t_{k}^{i}\right) \leqq K^{2}, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow b-} F(t)=K_{1} \leqq K^{2}, \quad i=0,2 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we investigate the case when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow b-} y(t)=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid. Let $k>k_{0}$, where $k_{0}$ is an integer with the property $|y(t)| \leqq M$ on $\left(t_{k_{0}}^{0}, b\right)$.

Put $\xi_{k} \in\left(t_{k}^{2}, t_{k}^{1}\right)$ such number that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right|=\frac{M}{K}\left|y^{\prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right| \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3) such a number exists, by use of (25)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leqq M \quad \text { on } \quad\left[t_{k}^{2}, \xi_{k}\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds and with respect to the fact that $y^{\prime} \operatorname{sgn} y$ is concave on $\left[t_{k}^{2}, \xi_{k}\right]$ we have

$$
\left|y\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right|-\left|y\left(t_{k}^{2}\right)\right|=\int_{t^{2}}^{\xi_{k}}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \geqq \frac{\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{2}\right)\right|}{2}\left(\xi_{k}-t_{k}^{2}\right)
$$

From this and from (26), (25)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty}\left(\xi_{k}-t_{k}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{2}\right)\right|-\left|y^{\prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right| & =\int_{t^{2} k}^{\xi_{k}}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \leqq\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right| \cdot\left(\xi_{k}-t_{k}^{2}\right) \leqq \\
& \leqq M\left(\xi_{k}-t_{k}^{2}\right) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by virtue of (25) and (27)

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|y^{\prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right|=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{2}\right)\right|=\sqrt{K_{1}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right|=\frac{M}{\sqrt{K_{1}}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right| \mid} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{g_{3}(s)} & =\int_{t^{2} k}^{\xi_{k}} \frac{\left|y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t}{g_{3}\left(\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|\right)} \leqq \int_{t^{2} k}^{s_{k}} \frac{\left|y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t}{g_{2}\left(|y(t)|,\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|,\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|\right)} \leqq \xi_{k}-t_{k}^{2}, \\
g_{3}(s) & =\max \left\{g_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s\right): 0 \leqq s_{1} \leqq M, 0 \leqq s_{2} \leqq K\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

that contradicts (29) and (30). Thus (26) is not correct and there exists an infinite set $N_{1} \subset N$ and $K_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y\left(t_{k}^{1}\right)\right| \geqq K_{2}, \quad k \in N_{1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be such that $\varepsilon \leqq K_{2}, \varepsilon \leqq M$. Then according to (4) the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}, k \in N_{1}$ exists such that

$$
\left|y\left(\alpha_{k}\right)\right|=\varepsilon, \quad \alpha_{k} \in\left(t_{k}^{0}, t_{k}^{1}\right]
$$

and by use of (31), (25) and (3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
|y(t)| \leqq \varepsilon \quad \text { on } \quad\left[t_{k}^{0}, \alpha_{k}\right] \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leqq\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{1}\right)\right|=\frac{F\left(t_{k}^{1}\right)}{2\left|y\left(t_{k}^{1}\right)\right|} \leqq \frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}, \quad t \in\left[t_{k}^{2}, t_{k}^{1}\right] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Define the sequence $\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}, k \in N_{1}$ in the following way

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta_{k} \in\left[t_{k}^{0}, t_{k}^{2}\right), \\
\left|y^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k}\right)\right|=\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left(\beta_{k}\right)\right| \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $\beta_{k}=t_{k}^{0}$ if (34) has no solution $\beta_{k}$. As by virtue of (25) and (4)

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \rightarrow\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{2}\right)\right|-\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{0}\right)\right| \geqq\left|y^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k}\right)\right|-\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{0}\right)\right|=\int_{t^{0}}^{\beta_{k}}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \geqq \\
\geqq\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left(\beta_{k}\right)\right|\left(\beta_{k}-t_{k}^{0}\right) \geqq\left|y^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k}\right)\right|\left(\beta_{k}-t_{k}^{0}\right) \geqq \int_{t_{0}}^{\beta_{k}}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t=\left|y\left(\beta_{k}\right)\right|, \\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|y\left(\beta_{k}\right)\right|=0, \quad k \in N_{1} . \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

From this and from (3), (34), (33), (32) and (24) there exists on infinite set $\boldsymbol{N}_{2} \subset N_{1}$ such that

$$
\left|y\left(\beta_{k}\right)\right| \leqq|y(t)| \leqq \varepsilon, \quad\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leqq K_{3} \quad \text { on }\left[\beta_{k}, \alpha_{k}\right], \quad k \in N_{2}
$$

where $K_{3}=\max \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}, M\right)$.
From this, finally,

$$
F\left(\alpha_{k}\right)-F\left(\beta_{k}\right)=-\int_{\beta k}^{\alpha k} 2 y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) y(t) \mathrm{d} t \geqq 2 \int_{\beta k}^{\alpha k} g_{1}\left(|y(t)|,\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|,\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|\right) \times
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\times|y(t)| \mathrm{d} t \geqq \frac{2}{K} \int_{\beta k}^{a k} g_{4}(|y(t)|)\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \geqq \frac{2}{K} \int_{\left|y\left(\beta_{k}\right)\right|}^{2} g_{4}(s) s \mathrm{~d} s, \\
g_{4}(s)=\min g_{1}\left(s, x_{2}, x_{3}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where the minimum is taken for $0 \leqq x_{2} \leqq M, 0 \leqq x_{3} \leqq K_{3}$ holds that contradicts (25) and (35). The theorem is proved.

Remarks 5. The Theorem 5 generalizes the similar result of [1].
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