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# THE NATURAL OPERATORS LIFTING VECTOR FIELDS TO GENERALIZED HIGHER ORDER TANGENT BUNDLES 

WŁODZIMIERZ M. MIKULSKI


#### Abstract

For natural numbers $r$ and $n$ and a real number $a$ we construct a natural vector bundle $T^{(r), a}$ over $n$-manifolds such that $T^{(r), 0}$ is the (classical) vector tangent bundle $T^{(r)}$ of order $r$. For integers $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$ and a real number $a<0$ we classify all natural operators $T_{\mid \mathcal{M}_{n}} \leadsto T T^{(r), a}$ lifting vector fields from $n$-manifolds to $T^{(r), a}$.


0. Let $n$ and $r$ be natural numbers and $a$ be a real number. Consider the linear action $G L(n, \mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $(B, x) \rightarrow|\operatorname{det}(B)|^{a} x$. According to the theory of natural bundles, see e.g. [3], this action defines a natural vector bundle over $n$ manifolds. We will denote this natural bundle by $T^{(0,0), a}$. Given an $n$-manifold $M$ let $T^{r *, a} M=\left\{j_{x}^{r} \sigma \mid \sigma\right.$ is a local section of $\left.T^{(0,0), a} M, \sigma(x)=0, x \in M\right\}$ be the set of all $r$-jets of local sections of $T^{(0,0), a} M$ with target 0 . It is a vector bundle over $M$ with respect to the source projection. Let $T^{r), a} M=\left(T^{r *, a} M\right)^{*}$ be the dual vector bundle. Every embedding $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ of $n$-manifolds can be extended functorially to a vector bundle mapping $T^{r *, a} \varphi: T^{r *, a} M \rightarrow T^{r *, a} N$, $j_{x}^{r} \sigma \rightarrow j_{\varphi(x)}^{r}\left(T^{(0,0), a} \varphi \circ \sigma \circ \varphi^{-1}\right)$, and (next) it can be extended to a vector bundle mapping $T^{(r), a} \varphi=\left(\left(T^{r *, a} \varphi\right)^{*}\right)^{-1}: T^{(r), a} M \rightarrow T^{(r), a} N$ over $\varphi$, and we obtain a natural vector bundle $T^{(r), a}$ over $n$-manifolds. $T^{(r), 0}$ is the (classical) vector tangent bundle $T^{(r)}$ of order $r$ over $n$-manifolds.

In this short note, we study the problem how a vector field $X$ on an $n$-manifold $M$ induces canonically a vector field $A(X)$ on $T^{(r), a} M$ for a natural number $r$ and a real number $a<0$. This problem is reflected in the concept of natural operators $A: T_{\mid \mathcal{M}_{n}} \rightsquigarrow T T^{(r), a}$ in the sense of Kolář, Michor and Slovák [3]. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If $n \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$ are integers and $a<0$ is a negative real number, then the complete lifting $T^{(r), a}$ of vector fields to $T^{(r), a}$ and the Liouville vector

[^0]field $L$ on $T^{(r), a}$ form the basis (over $\mathbf{R}$ ) in the vector space of all natural operators $A: T_{\mid \mathcal{M}_{n}} \rightsquigarrow T T^{(r), a}$.

For $a=0$ the classification is different. The main result of [4] says that if $n \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$ are integers, then the vector space of all natural operators $A: T_{\mid \mathcal{M}_{n}} \rightsquigarrow T T^{(r)}$ is $(r+2)$-dimensional. (For $r=1$ or $r=2$ this fact was firstly proved in [5] or [1].) By the proof of Theorem 1 we reobtain the result of [4] for $n \geq 3$.

In this note the usual coordinates on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ are denoted by $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$ and $\partial_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$, $i=1, \ldots, n$.

All manifolds and maps are assumed to be of class $C^{\infty}$.

1. At first we study natural transformations $C: T^{(r), a} \rightarrow T^{(r), a}$ for $a \leq 0$ in the sense of [3].

Proposition 1. If $n \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$ are integers and $a \leq 0$ is a real number, then any natural transformation $C: T^{(r), a} \rightarrow T^{(r), a}$ over $n$-manifolds is proportional (by a real number) to the identity natural transformation.

Proof. From now on the set of all $\alpha \in(\mathbf{N} \cup\{0\})^{n}$ with $1 \leq|\alpha| \leq r$ will be denoted by $P(r, n)$.

Clearly, sections of $T^{(0,0), a} \mathbf{R}^{n} \tilde{=} \mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}$ are real valued functions on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ satisfying respective transformation rules. Then any element from the fibre $T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ of $T^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ over 0 is a linear combination of the $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$ for all $\alpha \in P(r, n)$, where the $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$ form the basis dual to the basis $j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha} \in T_{0}^{r *, a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$.

Of course, any natural transformation $C$ is (fully) determined by the contractions $\left\langle C(u), j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right\rangle \in \mathbf{R}$ for $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $\alpha \in P(r, n), j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha} \in T_{0}^{r *, a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$.

If $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in P(r, n)$ with $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n-1} \geq 1$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$, then the diffeomorphism $\varphi_{\alpha, \tau}=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n-1}, x^{n}-\tau\left(x^{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha_{n-1}}\right)$ sends $j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{n}\right)^{\alpha_{n}+1}\right) \in T_{0}^{r *, a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ into $j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{n}+\tau\left(x^{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha_{n-1}}\right)^{\alpha_{n}+1}\right)\left(\right.$ as $\varphi_{\alpha, \tau}^{-1}=$ $\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n-1}, x^{n}+\tau\left(x^{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \cdot\left(x^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha_{n-1}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Jac}_{0}\left(\tau_{-\varphi_{\alpha, \tau}(y)} \circ \varphi_{\alpha, \tau} \circ \tau_{y}\right)\right)=1$ for any $y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, where $\tau_{y}: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is the translation by $y$ ). Then by the naturality of $C$ with respect to the diffeomorphisms $\varphi_{\alpha, \tau}$, the values $\left\langle C(u), j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{n}+\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\tau\left(x^{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha_{n-1}}\right)^{\alpha_{n}+1}\right)\right\rangle$ for $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ are determined by the values $\left\langle C(u), j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{n}\right)^{\alpha_{n}+1}\right)\right\rangle$ for $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$. On the other hand, given $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ the value $\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}+1}\left\langle C(u), j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right\rangle$ is the coefficient on $\tau$ of the polynomial $\left\langle C(u), j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{n}+\tau\left(x^{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \cdot\left(x^{n-1}\right)^{\alpha_{n-1}}\right)^{\alpha_{n}+1}\right)\right\rangle$ with respect to $\tau$. Therefore the values $\left\langle C(u), j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right\rangle$ for $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ are determined by the values $\left\langle C(u), j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{n}\right)^{\alpha_{n}+1}\right)\right\rangle$ for $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Then $C$ is fully determined by the values $\left\langle C(u), j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{n}\right)^{i}\right)\right\rangle$ for $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $i=1, \ldots, r$.

For $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ the diffeomorphism $\varphi_{i}=\left(x^{1}-\left(x^{n}\right)^{i}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ sends $j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{1}\right) \in T_{0}^{r *, a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ into $j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{1}+\left(x^{n}\right)^{i}\right)$ (as $\varphi_{i}^{-1}=\left(x^{1}+\left(x^{n}\right)^{i}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Jac}_{0}\left(\tau_{-\varphi_{i}(y)} \circ \varphi_{i} \circ \tau_{y}\right)\right)=1$ for any $\left.y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Then by the naturality of $C$ with respect to $\varphi_{i}$, the values $\left\langle C(u), j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{n}\right)^{i}\right)\right\rangle$ for $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ are determined
by the values $\left\langle C(u), j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{1}\right)\right\rangle$ for $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Then $C$ is determined by the values $\left\langle C(u), j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{1}\right)\right\rangle \in \mathbf{R}$ for $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}, j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{1}\right) \in T_{0}^{r *, a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$.

So, we will study the real valued function $F$ given by $F\left(\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in P(r, n)}\right)$ := $\left\langle C\left(\sum_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}\right), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle, \mu_{\alpha} \in \mathbf{R}, \alpha \in P(r, n), j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{1}\right) \in T_{0}^{r *, a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$

For any $t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$and any $\alpha \in P(r, n)$ the homothety $a_{t}=\left(t x^{1}, \ldots, t x^{n}\right)$ sends $j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha} \in T_{0}^{r *, a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ into $t^{n a-|\alpha|} j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}$, i.e. $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$ into $t^{|\alpha|-n a} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$. Then by the naturality of $C$ with respect to the homotheties $a_{t}$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$we obtain the homogeneity condition $F\left(t^{|\alpha|-n a} \mu_{\alpha}\right)=t^{1-n a} F\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)$. Then (since $n a \leq 0$ ) by the homogeneous function theorem, see [3], $F\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)$ is the linear combination of the $\mu_{\alpha}$ for $|\alpha|=1$. Similarly, by the naturality of $C$ with respect to the homotheties $b_{t}=$ $\left(x^{1}, t x^{2}, \ldots, t x^{n}\right)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$we obtain $F\left(t^{\alpha_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}-(n-1) a} \mu_{\alpha}\right)=t^{-(n-1) a} F\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)$. Then $F\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)$ is proportional to $\mu_{(1,0, \ldots, 0)}$.

Hence the vector space of all natural transformations $C: T^{(r), a} \rightarrow T^{(r), a}$ over $n$-manifolds has dimension $\leq 1$. This ends the proof of the proposition.
2. We are now in position to prove Theorem 1. Let $A: T_{\mid \mathcal{M}_{n}} \rightsquigarrow T T^{(r), a}$ be a natural operator, where $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ are integers and $a \leq 0$. (We assume $a \leq 0$ because we want to reobtain the result of [4].)

At first we prove that there exists a number $\lambda_{A} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $A-\lambda_{A} T^{(r), a}$ : $T_{\mid \mathcal{M}_{n}} \rightsquigarrow T T^{(r), a}$ is a vertical operator.

If $a=0$, the $G_{n}^{r+1}$-space $S=T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ corresponding to $T^{(r), a}$ is naturally contractible to $q=0 \in S$ in the sense of Definition 1 in [2], and we can apply Proposition 1 in [2]. If $a<0$, then the $G_{n}^{r+1}$-space $S=T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ can not be naturally contractible, and we can not apply Proposition 1 in [2]. (For example, the curve $\gamma_{\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right)^{*}}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow S, \gamma_{\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right)^{*}}(t)=T^{(r), a}\left(\operatorname{tid}_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\right)\left(\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right)^{*}\right)=t|t|^{-n a} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right)^{*}$ is not smooth at $t=0$ for many $a<0$, e.g. $-n a=\frac{1}{2}$. Hence the property (ii) of Definition 1 in [2] is not satisfied.) In this case we modify the proof of Proposition 1 in [2] as follows. We define $h: \mathbf{R} \times S \rightarrow T_{0} \mathbf{R}^{n}=\mathbf{R}^{n}$ by $h(\lambda, u)=T \pi \circ A\left(\lambda \partial_{1}\right)(u)$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}, u \in S$, where $\pi: T^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is the bundle projection. Since $A$ is natural, $h$ is equivariant with respect to the homotheties $a_{t}=t i d_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}, t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$. Then we obtain the homogeneity condition $h\left(t \lambda, \sum_{\alpha} t^{|\alpha|-n a} \mu_{\alpha} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}\right)=t h\left(\lambda, \sum_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}\right.$. $\left.\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}\right), \mu_{\alpha} \in \mathbf{R}, \alpha \in P(r, n)$. Then, since $|\alpha|-n a>1$ for any $\alpha \in P(r, n)$, the homogeneous function theorem imply $h(\lambda, u)=h(\lambda, 0)=\lambda v$ for some $v \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Next, by the naturality of $A$ with respect to the $b_{t}=\left(x^{1}, t x^{2}, \ldots, t x^{n}\right)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$ (all $b_{t}$ preserve $\partial_{1}$ ), we obtain that $h(1, u)=h(1,0)=\lambda_{A} \partial_{1 \mid 0}$ for some real number $\lambda_{A}$. Then $\left(A-\lambda_{A} T^{(r), a}\right)\left(\partial_{1}\right)$ is vertical over 0 . Hence $A-\lambda_{A} T^{(r), a}$ is a vertical operator.

Define a natural transformation $C_{A}:=p r_{2} \circ\left(A-\lambda_{A} T^{(r), a}\right)(0): T^{(r), a} M \rightarrow$ $T^{(r), a} M$ for any $n$-manifold $M$, where 0 is the zero vector field on $M$ and $p r_{2}$ : $V T^{(r), a} M \tilde{=} T^{(r), a} M \times_{M} T^{(r), a} M \rightarrow T^{(r), a} M$ is the projection onto second factor.
By Proposition 1, there exists $\mu_{A} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $C_{A}=\mu_{A} i d$.
Denote $B:=A-\lambda_{A} T^{(r), a}-\mu_{A} L$. Then $B$ is vertical and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(0)=0 \in \mathcal{X}\left(T^{(r), a} M\right) \text { for any } n \text {-manifold } M \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to prove that if $n \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$ are integers and $a<0$ (or $a=0$ ), then the vector space of all natural operators $B: T_{\mid \mathcal{M}_{n}} \rightsquigarrow T T^{(r), a}$ of vertical type satisfying the condition (2.1) has dimension 0 (or $\leq r$ ).

Let $B: T_{\mid \mathcal{M}_{n}} \rightsquigarrow T T^{(r), a}$ be a vertical natural operator satisfying the condition (2.1). Assume $n \geq 3, r \geq 1$ and $a \leq 0$.

Define $\tilde{B}: \mathbf{R} \times T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}, \tilde{B}(\lambda, u)=p r_{2} \circ B\left(\lambda \partial_{1}\right)(u), \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$, where $p r_{2}$ is as above. It is well-known that $B$ is uniquely determined by $\tilde{B}(1,)=.p r_{2} \circ B\left(\partial_{1}\right)_{\mid T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}}$. So, we will study $\tilde{B}$.

For $\alpha \in P(r, n)$ we define $\tilde{B}_{\alpha}: \mathbf{R} \times T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $\tilde{B}=\sum_{\alpha \in P(r, n)} \tilde{B}_{\alpha}$. $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$. By the naturality of $B$ with respect to the homotheties $a_{t}=t i d_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$we have the homogeneity condition $\tilde{B}_{\alpha}\left(t \lambda, \sum_{\beta} t^{|\beta|-n a} \mu_{\beta} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}\right)=$ $t^{|\alpha|-n a} \tilde{B}_{\alpha}\left(\lambda, \sum_{\beta} \mu_{\beta} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}\right), \mu_{\beta} \in \mathbf{R}, \beta \in P(r, n)$. By $(2.1), \tilde{B}_{\alpha}(0,)=$.0 for any $\alpha \in P(r, n)$. Now, since $-n a \geq 0$, from the homogeneous function theorem we deduce that $\tilde{B}_{\alpha}\left(\lambda, \sum_{\beta \in P(r, n)} \mu_{\beta} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}\right)$ is the linear combination of monomials in $\lambda$ and the $\mu_{\beta}$ for $\beta \in P(r, n)$ with $|\beta| \leq|\alpha|-1$. Hence for all $\mu_{\beta} \in \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{B}\left(1, \sum_{\beta \in P(r, n)} \mu_{\beta} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}\right)=\tilde{B}\left(1, \sum_{\beta \in P(r-1, n)} \mu_{\beta} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{\beta}\right)^{*}\right) .\right. \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we prove that $\tilde{B}(1, u)=\tilde{B}(1,0)$ for all $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$.
Assume the contrary. Then by (2.2), $r \geq 2$. Let $k \geq 1$ be the minimal number such that there exists $\beta^{o} \in P(r, n)$ with $\left|\beta^{o}\right|=k$ such that $\Phi\left(\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)_{\beta \in P(r, n)}\right):=$ $\tilde{B}\left(1, \sum_{\beta \in P(r, n)} \mu_{\beta} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}\right)$ depends essentially on $\mu_{\beta^{o}}$, i.e. $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{\beta^{o}}} \Phi \neq 0$. (Then $r-k \geq 1$.) We fix $\beta^{o}=\left(\beta_{1}^{o}, \ldots, \beta_{n}^{o}\right)$ as above. Let $j^{o} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ be such that $\beta_{j^{o}}^{o} \geq 1$.

We produce a contradiction. Let $l^{o} \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash\left\{1, j^{o}\right\}$. (Such $l^{o}$ exists as $n \geq$ 3.) Let $\varphi=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{j^{o}}+\left(x^{l^{o}}\right)^{r-k+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ (only the $j^{o}$-position is exceptional). It is a diffeomorphism preserving both $\partial_{1}$ and $0 \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. It is easily seen that $\varphi^{-1}=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{j^{o}}-\left(x^{l^{o}}\right)^{r-k+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ and that $\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Jac}_{0}\left(\tau_{-\varphi^{-1}(y)} \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \tau_{y}\right)\right)=1$ for any $y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, where $\tau_{y}$ is the translation by $y$. Denote $\tilde{\varphi}:=T^{(r), a} \varphi$ and $\tilde{B}_{1}=\tilde{B}(1,$.$) . We say that \left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}$, where $\beta \in P(r, n)$, is not essential if $|\beta|<k$ or $|\beta|=r$. It will be proved below that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)_{\beta \in P(r, n)}\right)=\tilde{B}_{1}\left(\sum_{\beta \in P(r-1, n),|\beta| \geq k} \mu_{\beta} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}\right) \\
& =\tilde{B}_{1}\left(\sum_{\beta \in P(r-1, n),|\beta| \geq k} \mu_{\beta} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}-\frac{\mu_{\beta^{o}}^{o}}{\beta_{j^{o}}^{o}}\left(j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{\beta^{o}-1_{j o}^{o}}\left(x^{l^{o}}\right)^{r-k+1}\right)\right)^{*}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \tilde{B}_{1}\left(\tilde{\varphi}\left(\sum_{\beta \in P(r-1, n),|\beta| \geq k} \mu_{\beta} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}-\frac{\mu_{\beta^{o}}}{\beta_{j^{o}}^{o}}\left(j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{\beta^{o}-1_{j^{o}}}\left(x^{l^{o}}\right)^{r-k+1}\right)\right)^{*}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\tilde{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \tilde{B}_{1}\left(\sum_{\beta \in P(r-1, n),|\beta| \geq k} \mu_{\beta} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}-\mu_{\beta^{o}} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta^{o}}\right)^{*}+\ldots\right) \\
& =\tilde{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \tilde{B}_{1}\left(\sum_{\beta \in P(r-1, n),|\beta| \geq k} \mu_{\beta} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}-\mu_{\beta^{o}} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta^{o}}\right)^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the dots is the linear combination of the not essential $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}$ 's. Then $\Phi$ is independent of $\mu_{\beta^{\circ}}$, i.e. we have a contradiction.

Let us explain the above equalities.
The first, the second and the last equalities are consequences of the formula (2.2), the definition of $k$, the definition of $\beta^{o}$, the definition of not essential $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}$ 's and the equality $\left(\left|\beta^{o}\right|-1\right)+(r-k+1)=r$. The third equality is a consequence of the invariancy of $B$ and $\partial_{1}$ with respect to $\varphi$. The fourth equality is a consequence of the following two facts: (a) For any $\beta \in P(r-1, n)$ with $|\beta| \geq k$ the diffeomorphism $\varphi$ sends $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}$ into $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}+\ldots$, where the dots denote the linear combination of the $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$ for $|\alpha|<k$. (b) The diffeomorphism $\varphi$ sends $\left(j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{\beta^{o}-1_{j o}}\left(x^{l^{o}}\right)^{r-k+1}\right)\right)^{*}$ into $\beta_{j^{o}}^{o} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta^{o}}\right)^{*}+\ldots$, where the dots denote the linear combination of the $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$ for $|\alpha|<k$ or $|\alpha|=r$.

To prove the fact (a) we consider $\beta, \alpha \in P(r-1, n)$ with $|\alpha| \geq k$ and $|\beta| \geq$ $k$. We have $\left\langle T^{(r), a} \varphi\left(\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}\right), j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}, j_{0}^{r}\left(T^{(0,0), a}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right) \circ x^{\alpha} \circ \varphi\right)\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}, j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{\alpha} \circ \varphi\right)\right\rangle$ because of the Jacobian argument. But $j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{\alpha} \circ \varphi\right)=j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\right.$. $\left.\ldots \cdot\left(x^{j^{o}}+\left(x^{l^{o}}\right)^{r-k+1}\right)^{\alpha_{j}{ }^{o}} \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x^{n}\right)^{\alpha_{n}}\right)=j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}+\ldots$, where the dots is the linear combination of the $j_{0}^{r} x^{\gamma}$ with $|\gamma|=r$. Hence $\left\langle T^{(r), a} \varphi\left(\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right)^{*}\right), j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right\rangle=\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ (the Kronecker delta). This ends the proof of the fact (a). The proof of the fact (b) is quite similar. (We propose to study the contractions $\left\langle T^{(r), a} \varphi\left(\left(j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{\beta^{o}-1_{j}{ }^{o}}\left(x^{l^{o}}\right)^{r-k+1}\right)\right)^{*}\right)\right.$, $\left.j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right\rangle$ for $\alpha \in P(r-1, n)$ with $|\alpha| \geq k$.)

We have proved that $\tilde{B}(1, u)=\tilde{B}(1,0)=\sum_{\alpha \in P(r, n)} \nu_{\alpha} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$ for any $u \in$ $T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$, where $\nu_{\alpha}$ are the real numbers. Now, using the invariancy of $B$ and $\partial_{1}$ with respect to the $b_{t}=\left(x^{1}, t x^{2}, \ldots, t x^{n}\right)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$we get the condition $\sum_{\alpha \in P(r, n)} \nu_{\alpha} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}=\sum_{\alpha \in P(r, n)} t^{\alpha_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}-(n-1) a} \nu_{\alpha} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$ for any $t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$. Then for $a=0$ we have $\tilde{B}(1, u)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} \cdot\left(j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{i}\right)\right)^{*}$ for any $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$, where $\lambda_{i}$ are the real numbers, and for $a<0$ we have $\tilde{B}(1, u)=0$ for any $u \in T_{0}^{(r), a} \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Hence the vector space of all vertical natural operators $A: T_{\mid \mathcal{M}_{n}} \rightsquigarrow T T^{(r), a}$ satisfying condition (2.1) has dimension $\leq r$ if $a=0$, and it has dimension 0 if $a<0$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.
3. Remark. (a) Let $X$ be a vector field on an $n$-manifold $M$. If $s=1, \ldots, r$, we have a vector field $A^{(s)}(X)$ on $T^{(r)} M$ given by $A^{(s)}(X)_{u}=\left(u, \tilde{A}^{(s)}(X)(x)\right)$ $\in T_{x}^{(r)} M \times T_{x}^{(r)} M=V_{u} T^{(r)} M \subset T_{u} T^{(r)} M, u \in T_{x}^{(r)} M, x \in M$, where $\tilde{A}^{(s)}(X)(x):$ $J_{x}^{r}(M, \mathbf{R})_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a linear map given by $\tilde{A}^{(s)}(X)(x)\left(j_{x}^{r} \gamma\right)=X \circ \cdots \circ X \gamma(x), s$-times of $X, \gamma: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \gamma(x)=0$. Clarly, the natural operators $T^{(r)}, L, A^{(1)}, \ldots, A^{(r)}$ are linearly independent. Then they form the basis of the vector space of all
natural operators $T_{\mathcal{M}_{n}} \rightsquigarrow T T^{(r)}$ if $n \geq 3$. Thus we have reobtained the result of [4].
(b) Starting from the action $G L(n, \mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by $(B, x) \rightarrow \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{det}(B))$ $|\operatorname{det}(B)|^{a} x$ instead of the one from Item 0 and using the same construction as in Item 0 , we can construct new natural vector bundle $\tilde{T}^{(r), a}$ over $n$-manifolds. Clearly, Theorem 1 is true for $\tilde{T}^{(r), a}$ instead of $T^{(r), a}$. (We use the same proof with $\tilde{T}^{(r), a}$ instead of $T^{(r), a}$.) More, for $n \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$, the vector space of natural operators $T_{\mathcal{M}_{n}} \rightsquigarrow T \tilde{T}^{(r), 0}$ is also 2-dimensional, i.e. the complete lifting $\tilde{T}^{(r), 0}$ and the Liouville vector field $L$ form the basis in the vector space of all natural operators $T_{\mid \mathcal{M}_{n}} \rightsquigarrow T \tilde{T}^{(r), 0}$. (To see this, in the last acapit of the proof of Theorem 1 we use additionally the invariancy of $\tilde{B}$ with respect to the $\psi_{t}=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n-1},-t x^{n}\right)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$. Since $\tilde{T}^{(r), 0} \psi_{t}$ sends $\left(j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{i}\right)\right)^{*}$ into $-\left(j_{0}^{r}\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{i}\right)\right)^{*}$, then we deduce that $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{r}=0$.)
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