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# THE CONTACT SYSTEM ON THE $(m, \ell)$-JET SPACES 

J. MUÑOZ, F. J. MURIEL, AND J. RODRÍGUEZ


#### Abstract

This paper is a continuation of [8], where we give a construction of the canonical Pfaff system $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ on the space of $(m, \ell)$-velocities of a smooth manifold $M$. Here we show that the characteristic system of $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ agrees with the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$, the structure group of the principal fibre bundle $\check{M}_{m}^{\ell} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$, hence it is projectable to an irreducible contact system on the space of $(m, \ell)$-jets $(=\ell$-th order contact elements of dimension $m$ ) of $M$. Furthermore, we translate to the language of Weil bundles the structure form of jet fibre bundles defined by Goldschmidt and Sternberg in [2].


## 1. The characteristic system of $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$

It is well known that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ is a Lie group whose Lie algebra is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)($ see $[4,5])$; we are going to prove this result in a form which we will need later.

The elements of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ are, in particular, linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$; therefore if $\bar{\xi}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a 1-parameter subgroup $\left\{\tau_{t}\right\}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$, we can associate to it the linear map $\xi$ from $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$ into itself which applies each vector $P \in \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$ into the element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi P=-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau_{t} P-P}{t}=-\bar{\xi}_{P} I \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I: \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$ is the identity, which we understand as a vector valued function.

The mapping which assigns to each $\bar{\xi}$ the linear map $\xi$ defined by (1.1) is an injective homomorphism of Lie algebras between the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ and the set of linear endomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$, endowed with a Lie algebra structure by the commutator. Since $\left\{\tau_{t}\right\}$ is a group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$ as an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra, and not only as a vector space, $\xi$ is a derivation, as one can check easily, hence equation (1.1) establishes an injective mapping from the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ into $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$; but the dimensions of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ agree, and therefore the $\operatorname{map} \xi \longmapsto \bar{\xi}$ is an isomorphism.

We can summarize the former discussion as follows:
Proposition 1.1. There is a canonical isomorphism between the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$; the image of a tangent vector field $\bar{\xi}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$, infinitesimal generator of a 1-parameter subgroup of automorphisms of $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$, is the $\mathbb{R}$-derivation $\xi$ from $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$ into itself defined by (1.1).

The group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ acts on $M_{m}^{\ell}$ by composition; let $\left\{\tau_{t}\right\}$ be a 1-parameter subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right),\left\{\tau_{t}^{\prime}\right\}$ the 1-parameter group of automorphisms of $M_{m}^{\ell}$ attached to it and $\xi^{\prime}$ the infinitesimal generator of $\left\{\tau_{t}^{\prime}\right\}$. For each $p_{m}^{\ell} \in M_{m}^{\ell}$ and each $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{p_{m}^{\ell}}^{\prime} f=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(\tau_{t}^{\prime} p_{m}^{\ell}\right)-f\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau_{t}\left(f\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)\right)-f\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}{t}=-\xi\left(f\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $p_{m}^{\ell}$ is proper (regular), $\xi^{\prime}$ vanishes at $p_{m}^{\ell}$ only when $\xi=0$, hence the Lie algebra of tangent vector fields in $M_{m}^{\ell}$ associated to the action of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$.

Theorem 1.2. In the open subset $\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}$ of regular points of $M_{m}^{\ell}$ the characteristic system of the Pfaff system $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ is the module of tangent vector fields generated by the Lie algebra of the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ acting in $M_{m}^{\ell}$. Therefore, in $\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}$ this characteristic system is regular with rank $m\binom{m+\ell}{m}-m$.

Proof. First we will show that each vector field $\xi^{\prime}$ of the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ acting in $M_{m}^{\ell}$ belongs to the characteristic system of $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$. It suffices to prove that $\xi^{\prime}$ annihilates $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ and that this Pfaff system is invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$.

Let $p_{m}^{\ell} \in \check{M}_{m}^{\ell}$ and let $\xi^{\prime}$ belong to the Lie algebra generated in $M_{m}^{\ell}$ by the action of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$; then from equation (1.2) follows that $\xi_{p_{m}^{\ell}}^{\prime} f=-\xi\left(f\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)\right)$ for each $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, where $\xi \in \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$, hence $\xi_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}^{\prime} f=\bar{\xi}_{\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)} f$, where $\bar{\xi} \in$ $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}\right)$ is the composition of $-\xi$ with the canonical projection $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell} \longrightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}$. By Corollary 4.3 of [8], $\xi^{\prime}$ annihilates $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$.

Next we show the invariance of $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ under $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$.
Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$; if $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $p_{m}^{\ell} \in M_{m}^{\ell}$, then for each $m$-index $\alpha$ we have $\sigma^{*}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=(\sigma \circ f)_{\alpha}$, where in the right side $\sigma \circ f$ is considered as a mapping from $M_{m}^{\ell}$ into $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$. On the other hand, since $\sigma$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear endomorphism of $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$, the real components of $\sigma \circ f$ are a linear span, with real coefficients, of the real components of $f$. From this fact follows that, if $\bar{D}_{p_{m}^{\ell}} \in T_{p_{m}^{\ell}} M_{m}^{\ell}$ is the tangent vector attached to the derivation $D_{p_{m}^{\ell}} \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{m}^{\ell}} M_{m}^{\ell}$ by the canonical isomorphism between these two spaces, then

$$
\sigma_{*} \bar{D}_{p_{m}^{\ell}}=\overline{\sigma \circ D_{p_{m}^{e}}}
$$

that is to say, $\sigma_{*} D_{p_{m}^{\ell}}=\sigma \circ D_{p_{m}^{\ell}}$ when $\sigma_{*}$ is considered as a morphism from $\mathcal{T}_{p_{m}^{\ell}} M_{m}^{\ell}$ into $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)} M_{m}^{\ell}$.

Let $\omega$ be an $(m+1)$-form on $M$; in the notations of [8] we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(\sigma^{*} \widehat{\omega}\right)_{p_{m}^{\ell}}, D_{p_{m}^{\ell}}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\widehat{\omega}_{\sigma\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}, \sigma_{*}\left(D_{p_{m}^{\ell}}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\omega_{\bar{\sigma}\left(p_{m}^{\ell-1}\right)}\left(\xi_{1\left(\sigma\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)\right)}, \ldots, \xi_{m\left(\sigma\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)\right)}, \bar{\sigma} \circ D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{\sigma}: \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}$ is the canonical factorization of $\sigma$, operating on $M_{m}^{\ell-1}$.
For each $\xi \in \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}\right), \bar{\xi}=\bar{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \xi \circ \sigma$ is another derivation from $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}$ into $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}$ and furthermore $\xi_{\left(\sigma\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)\right)}=\bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{\xi}_{\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}$, hence we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(\sigma^{*} \widehat{\omega}\right)_{p_{m}^{\ell}}, D_{p_{m}^{\ell}}\right\rangle & =\omega_{\bar{\sigma}\left(p_{m}^{\ell-1}\right)}\left(\bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{\xi}_{1\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}, \ldots, \bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{\xi}_{m\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}, \bar{\sigma} \circ D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}\right) \\
& =\bar{\sigma}\left(\omega_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}, \ldots, \bar{\xi}_{m\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}, D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But, if $\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\right\}$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}$-module $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}\right),\left\{\bar{\xi}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\xi}_{m}\right\}$ is another basis, hence $\sigma^{*}(\widehat{\omega})=\bar{\sigma} \circ(u \widehat{\omega})$, where $u$ is an invertible element of $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}$; then the real components of $\sigma^{*}(\widehat{\omega})$ are linear spans, with real coefficients, of those of $\widehat{\omega}$, and hence they belong to $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$.

The former discussion shows that the Lie algebra generated in $M_{m}^{\ell}$ by $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ is contained in the characteristic system of $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$. Let us show finally that the $C^{\infty}\left(\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$-module of vector fields on $\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}$ generated by this Lie algebra is the full characteristic system of $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$. According to a classical theorem of Elie Cartan, given a manifold $Z$ solution of a Pfaff system $\Omega$ and a vector field belonging to the characteristic system of $\Omega$ which is not tangent to $Z$ at a point $P$, we can find a solution manifold of $\Omega$ containing a neighbourhood of $P$ in $Z$ and whose dimension is equal to $\operatorname{dim} Z+1$. In particular, each tangent vector at $P$ which is the value at $P$ of a vector field belonging to the characteristic system of $\Omega$ must be tangent to every locally maximal solution of $\Omega$ containing $P$. If we apply this result to our case and take into account the assertion of Theorem 4.5 of [8], it is sufficient to show that for each $p_{m}^{\ell} \in \check{M}_{m}^{\ell}$ there are $m$-dimensional submanifolds $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{k}$ of $M$ whose manifolds of $(m, \ell)$-velocities $W_{i m}^{\ell}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ contain $p_{m}^{\ell}$ and such that $\cap_{i=1}^{k} T_{p_{m}^{\ell}} W_{i_{m}}^{\ell}$ is equal to the value at $p_{m}^{\ell}$ of the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ acting in $M_{m}^{\ell}$.

Let us take local coordinates $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in C^{\infty}(M)$ in a neighbourhood $U$ of $p=p_{m}^{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i}\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right) & =x_{i} & & (i=1, \ldots, m) \\
y_{m+j}\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right) & =0 & & (j=1, \ldots, n-m)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the $m$-dimensional manifolds $W_{0}, \ldots, W_{m}$, contained in $U$, defined by the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{0}:\left\{y_{m+1}=0, \ldots, y_{n}=0\right\} \\
& W_{i}:\left\{y_{m+1}=y_{i}^{\ell+1}, y_{m+2}=0, \ldots, y_{n}=0\right\} \quad(i=1, \ldots, m)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Proposition 3.4 of [8] follows that $\cap_{i=0}^{m} \mathcal{T}_{p_{m}^{\ell}} W_{i m}^{\ell}$ is the set of derivations

$$
\eta_{1}(x)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}\right)_{p_{m}^{\ell}}+\cdots+\eta_{m}(x)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{m}}\right)_{p_{m}^{\ell}} \quad, \quad \text { where } \quad \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m} \in \mathfrak{m}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)
$$

that, as one can deduce easily from proposition 1.1, agrees with the value at $p_{m}^{\ell}$ of the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ acting in $M_{m}^{\ell}$.

## 2. The contact system on $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$

The canonical projection $\check{M}_{m}^{\ell} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$ allows to consider the exterior differential forms in $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$ as forms in $\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}$; we will use this fact in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. We will call contact system in $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$, and denote by $\Omega\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)$, the intersection of the contact system $\Omega\left(\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ with $\mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)=$ module of smooth 1-forms on $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$.
Theorem 2.2. The contact system $\Omega\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)$ is regular with $\operatorname{rank}(n-m)\binom{\ell+m-1}{m}$. When considered as a subset of $\mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$, it spans the contact system $\Omega\left(\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$. Furthermore, $\Omega\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)$ is irreducible.

Proof. The second assertion is a consequence of the first one and Proposition 4.2 of [8]. Then, Theorem 1.2 says that the characteristic system of $\Omega\left(\breve{M}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ is vertical for the projection $\check{M}_{m}^{\ell} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$, and therefore $\Omega\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)$ is irreducible.

It remains to compute the rank of $\Omega\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)$; we will do it in each open subset from a covering of $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$. Using the notations from [7], let us consider an open subset $U$ of $M$ with coordinates $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ and the open subset $\underline{U}_{m}^{\ell}$ of $U_{m}^{\ell}$ of regular points with respect to $\mathbb{R}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right]$; let us denote its image in $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$ by $\underline{\mathcal{J}}_{m}^{\ell}(U)$, endowed with the local coordinates $\left\{y_{i 0}, Y_{m+j, \beta}\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}$ be the image of the section $\eta: \underline{\mathcal{J}}_{m}^{\ell}(U) \longrightarrow \underline{U}_{m}^{\ell}$ which associates to $\mathfrak{p}_{m}^{\ell}$ the point $p_{m}^{\ell}$ defined by the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i}\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right) & =y_{i}(p)+x_{i} & & (1 \leq i \leq m) \\
y_{m+j}\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right) & =\sum_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} \frac{1}{\alpha!} Y_{m+j, \alpha}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{m}^{\ell}\right) x^{\alpha} & & (1 \leq j \leq n-m)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}$ is a closed submanifold of $\underline{U}_{m}^{\ell}$, and $\eta: \underline{\mathcal{J}}_{m}^{\ell}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}$ is a diffeomorphism which defines a local trivialization over $\underline{\mathcal{J}}_{m}^{\ell}(U)$ of the principal fibre bundle $\check{M}_{m}^{\ell} \longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$. Since $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ is at the same time the structure group of this bundle and the group whose Lie algebra generates the characteristic system of $\Omega\left(M_{m}^{\ell}\right)$, from the classical theory of Elie Cartan about the reduction of a Pfaff system to the ring of first integrals of its characteristic system follows that $\eta^{*}: \mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\underline{\mathcal{J}}_{m}^{\ell}(U)\right.$ applies the specialization of $\Omega\left(\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ to $\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}$ into $\Omega\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)=\mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right) \cap \Omega\left(\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$. Thus, our problem is reduced to compute the rank of the specialization $\Omega\left(\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ of $\Omega\left(\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ to $\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}$.

By definition of $\eta$, the points of $\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}$ are determined by the equations $y_{i}\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)=$ $y_{i 0}\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)+x_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$. If we take as a basis of the $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}$-module $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}\right)$
the derivations $\xi_{k}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}(k=1, \ldots, m)$ it follows that $\xi_{k\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)} y_{i}=\delta_{k i}$. Then, for each tangent vector $\bar{D}_{p_{m}^{\ell}} \in T_{p_{m}^{\ell}} \mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}$ and each $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (m+1)!\left(d y_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d y_{m} \wedge d f\right)_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}\left(\xi_{\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right), D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& =D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}} f-\xi_{1_{\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}} f \cdot D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}} y_{1}-\cdots-\xi_{m}\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right) f \cdot D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}} y_{m},
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{p_{m}^{\ell}} \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{m}^{\ell}} M_{m}^{\ell}$ is the derivation corresponding to the tangent vector $\bar{D}_{p_{m}^{\ell}}$. Since $\bar{D}_{p_{m}^{\ell}}$ is tangent to $\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}$, then $\bar{D}_{p_{m}^{\ell}} y_{i \alpha}=0(1 \leq i \leq m ; 1 \leq|\alpha| \leq \ell)$, hence $D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}} y_{i} \stackrel{=}{=} \bar{D}_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}} y_{i 0} \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{i\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)} f & =\sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \frac{1}{\beta!} f_{\beta+\epsilon_{i}}\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right) x^{\beta} \quad(1 \leq i \leq m) \\
D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}} f & =\sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \frac{1}{\beta!} \bar{D}_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}} f_{\beta} x^{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and replacing in (2.1) we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (m+1)!\left(d y_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d y_{m} \wedge d f\right)_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}\left(\xi_{1\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}, \ldots, \xi_{m\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right)}, D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \frac{1}{\beta!}\left[d_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}} f_{\beta}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{\beta+\epsilon_{i}}\left(p_{m}^{\ell}\right) d_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}} y_{i 0}\right]\left(\bar{D}_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}\right) x^{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the former calculus follows that, up to some factors, the real components of the specialization to $\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}$ of the 1 -form $\widehat{\omega}$ in $\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}$ associated to the ( $m+1$ )-form $\omega=d y_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d y_{m} \wedge d f$ are the 1-forms

$$
\omega_{\beta}=d f_{\beta}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{\beta+\epsilon_{i}} d y_{i 0} \quad(|\beta| \leq \ell-1)
$$

Replacing $f$ by each one of the coordinates $y_{m+1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ we obtain $(n-m)\binom{m+\ell-1}{m}$ 1-forms on $\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}$ whose values at each point are linearly independent, hence the rank of $\Omega\left(\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ is $\geq(n-m)\binom{m+\ell-1}{m}$ and, since it must be less than or equal to this number (which is the rank of $\Omega\left(\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ ), we finish the proof.
Remark. If we use $\eta^{*}$ to pass the 1 -forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{m+j, \beta}=d y_{m+j, \beta}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{m+j, \beta+\epsilon_{i}} d y_{i 0} \quad(i \leq j \leq n-m ;|\beta| \leq \ell-1) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $\mathcal{Y}_{m}^{\ell}$ to $\underline{\mathcal{J}}_{m}^{\ell}(U)$, we obtain in this open subset the following basis of the contact system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{m+j, \beta}=d Y_{m+j, \beta}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} Y_{m+j, \beta+\epsilon_{i}} d y_{i 0} \quad(i \leq j \leq n-m ;|\beta| \leq \ell-1) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3. For each $r \geq 1$, the specialization (by means of the Taylor immersion) to $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell+r}(M)$ of the contact system in $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{r}\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)$, considered as a jet space $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{r}$ of the manifold $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$, is the contact system in $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell+r}(M)$.

Proof. In the notations of [7], the local equations of the Taylor immersion $\varphi: \mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell+r}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{m}^{r}\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i 00} & =y_{i 0} & & (1 \leq i \leq m) \\
\mathbf{Y}_{m+j, \alpha, \beta} & =Y_{m+j, \alpha+\beta} & & (1 \leq j \leq n-m ;|\alpha| \leq \ell,|\beta| \leq r)
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the former remark, the contact system in $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{r}\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)$ has the local basis

$$
\Theta_{m+j, \alpha, \beta}=d \mathbf{Y}_{m+j, \alpha, \beta}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{Y}_{m+j, \alpha, \beta+\epsilon_{i}} d y_{i 00} \quad(|\alpha| \leq \ell,|\beta| \leq r-1)
$$

and if we specialize these one-forms to $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell+r}(M)$ we obtain:

$$
\theta_{m+j, \alpha+\beta}=\varphi^{*}\left(\Theta_{m+j, \alpha, \beta}\right)=d Y_{m+j, \alpha+\beta}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} Y_{m+j, \alpha+\beta+\epsilon_{i}} d y_{i 0}
$$

which span the contact system in the corresponding open subset of $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\mathcal{L}+r}(M)$.
Let us denote by $\Omega\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)^{\perp}$ the distribution of tangent vector fields on $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$ which annihilate the contact system. The vector fields

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\partial_{i}^{(\ell)}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i 0}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} Y_{m+j, \beta+\epsilon_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{m+j, \beta}} & (1 \leq i \leq m) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{m+j, \alpha}} & (1 \leq j \leq n-m ;|\alpha|=\ell)
\end{array}
$$

form a basis of this distribution in the open subset $\underline{\mathcal{I}}_{m}^{\ell}(U)$ and for each point $\mathfrak{p}_{m}^{\ell} \in \underline{\mathcal{J}}_{m}^{\ell}(U)$ the derivations $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{m+j, \alpha}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{m}^{\ell}}(1 \leq j \leq n-m ;|\alpha|=\ell)$ are a basis of the vector space $Q_{\mathfrak{p}_{m}^{\ell}} \underline{\mathcal{J}}_{m}^{\ell}(U)$ (notations of [7]).

From the calculus in local coordinates for the prolongation of an ideal made in [7] follows that the prolongation of an ideal $I$ from $C^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell-1}(M)\right)$ to $C^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)$ is locally generated by $I_{0}$ and $\partial_{i}^{(\ell)} I_{0}, i=1, \ldots, m$, with the notations used there. Taking in account that the vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{m+j, \alpha}},(|\alpha|=\ell)$ annihilate $I_{0}$, we obtain the following
Theorem 2.4. The prolongation of an ideal I from $C^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell-1}(M)\right)$ to $C^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)$ is the ideal locally generated by $I_{0}$ and the sets $D\left(I_{0}\right)$, where $D$ runs through the module of tangent vector fields which annihilate the contact system $\Omega\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)\right)$.

Remark. Let $\pi: M \longrightarrow X$ be a fibre bundle, $m=\operatorname{dim} X$, and denote by $\mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M)$ the fibre bundle of jets of local cross-sections of $\pi$. If $s$ is a local cross-section of $\pi$ defined in a neighbourhood of $x \in X$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}=j_{x}^{\ell} s$, then the image of the tangent linear map $\left(j^{\ell} s\right)_{*}: T_{x} X \longrightarrow T_{p^{\ell}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M)$ annihilates $\Omega\left(\mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M)\right)_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}$ and, when $s$ varies without changing $j_{x}^{\ell} s$, the image of $\left(j^{\ell} s\right)_{*}$ runs through the full space $\Omega\left(\mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M)\right)_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}^{\perp}$. Therefore we can describe the contact system in the following way: its value at each point $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell} \in \mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M)$ is the set of 1 -forms at $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ which
annihilate all the spaces $\left(j^{\ell} s\right)_{*}\left(T_{x} X\right)$, when $s$ runs through the family of local sections of $\pi$ defined in a neighbourhood of $x=\pi^{\ell}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}\right)$ such that $j_{x}^{\ell} s=\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$.

## 3. The contact 1-Form on $\mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M)$

In this section we want to translate to our language the 1 -form on $\mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M)$ and valued in the vertical tangent bundle $V \mathcal{J}^{\ell-1}(X, M)$ defined in [2, p. 206] by Goldschmidt and Sternberg.

In [7] we show that the tangent space to $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$ at a point $\mathfrak{p}_{m}^{\ell}$ is isomorphic to

$$
\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(C^{\infty}(M), C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}_{m}^{\ell}\right) / \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}_{m}^{\ell}, C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}_{m}^{\ell}\right)
$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell} \in \mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M)$ and $x=\pi^{\ell}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}\right)$; let $D_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}$ be a tangent vector to $\mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M)$ at $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ and $D \in \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(C^{\infty}(M), C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}\right)$ be a derivation whose class modulo $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}, C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}\right)$ is attached to $D_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}$ by the above isomorphism; let us denote by $\bar{D}$ the projection of the derivation $D$ to $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(C^{\infty}(M), C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1}\right)$.

The restriction of $\bar{D}$ to $C^{\infty}(X)$ sends $\mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell+1}$ to zero, hence $\bar{D}$ gives rise to a derivation $\widetilde{D}$ from $C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell+1}$ into $C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell}$. If we identify $C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ with $C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell+1}$, the specialization to $C^{\infty}(X)$ of the homomorphism $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ : $C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ is the canonical factor map, hence the restriction of $\bar{D}$ to $C^{\infty}(X)$ factors as $\widetilde{D} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$, and $\bar{D}-\widetilde{D} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ is a $C^{\infty}(X)$-derivation, that is to say an element of $V_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell-1}(X, V)$ which depends only on $D_{\mathfrak{p} \ell}$; indeed, if $D$ vanishes at $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ then $\bar{D}$ factorizes, via the quotient map $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}: C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$, as $\widetilde{D} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$, and $\bar{D}-\widetilde{D} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}=0$.

Thus we have defined a mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}^{(\ell)}: T_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M) \longrightarrow V_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell-1}(X, M) \\
& D_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}} \longmapsto \bar{D}-\widetilde{D} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

which we call the contact 1-form on $\mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M)$ and agrees with the one defined by Goldschmidt and Sternberg in [2]. Note that $\omega^{(\ell)}$ depends on the fibration $\pi: M \longrightarrow X$ whereas the contact system on $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(V)$ does not. We are going to study the relationship between these two constructions.

The following result shows that the kernel of $\omega^{(\ell)}$ does not depend on the fibration $\pi: M \longrightarrow X$.

Proposition 3.1. The value of $\omega^{(\ell)}$ at each point $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell} \in \mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, V)$ is the epimorphism $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}^{(\ell)}: T_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M) \longrightarrow V_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell-1}(X, V)$ which for the vertical vectors is the natural projection and whose kernel is the set of classes of derivations from $C^{\infty}(M)$ into $C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ which send $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ to $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1} / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$.
Proof. The first statement is immediate. On the other hand, $\operatorname{ker} \omega_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}^{(\ell)}$ is the set of classes of derivations $D$ from $C^{\infty}(M)$ into $C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ such that $\bar{D}=\widetilde{D} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$, that is to say, $\bar{D}$ sends $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ to zero or, what is the same, $D$ applies $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ into $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1} / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$. Conversely, if $D$ sends $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ to $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1} / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$, then $\bar{D}-\widetilde{D} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ annihilates $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$; since it annihilates $C^{\infty}(X)$ too, it must vanish, because $C^{\infty}(X)+\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}=C^{\infty}(M)$.

Let $p_{m}^{\ell} \in \check{M}_{m}^{\ell}$; from Corollary 4.3 of [8] follows that $D_{p_{m}^{\ell}} \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{m}^{\ell}} \check{M}_{m}^{\ell}$ annihilates the contact system $\Omega\left(\check{M}_{m}^{\ell}\right)$ if and only if its projection to $\mathcal{T}_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}} \check{M}_{m}^{\ell-1}$ has the form $D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}=\xi \circ p_{m}^{\ell}$, for some $\xi \in \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}\right)$.

If $\operatorname{ker} p_{m}^{\ell}=\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$, through the isomorphisms

$$
C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell} \approx \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell} \quad \text { and } \quad C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1} \approx \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}
$$

defined by $p_{m}^{\ell}$ and $p_{m}^{\ell-1}$, respectively, $D_{p_{m}^{\ell}}$ corresponds to a derivation $D: C^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow$ $C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ whose projection $\bar{D}$ annihilates $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$. Conversely, if a derivation $D$ from $C^{\infty}(M)$ into $C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ applies $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ into $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1} / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}, \bar{D}$ factorizes as a derivation $\widetilde{D}: C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell} \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M) / \mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1}$. If we take $p_{m}^{\ell} \in \check{M}_{m}^{\ell}$ such that ker $p_{m}^{\ell}=\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$, we have that $D$ is identified with a derivation $D_{p_{m}^{\ell}} \in \mathcal{T}_{p_{m}^{\ell}} \breve{M}_{m}^{\ell}$ and its projection, $\bar{D}$, with $D_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}}=\xi \circ p_{m}^{\ell}$, where $\xi \in \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}\right)$ is the derivation induced by $\widetilde{D}$. Summarizing:

Corollary 3.2. In the open subset $\mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M)$ of $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(M)$ the annihilator subspace of the contact system $\Omega\left(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{\ell}(V)\right)$ agrees with the kernel of $\omega^{(\ell)}$.

Remark. Let $U$ be an open subset of $M$ coordinated by functions $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ which identify it with an open subset $U^{\prime} \times U^{\prime \prime}$; in the notations of [7], in $\mathcal{J}^{\ell}\left(U^{\prime}, U\right)$ we have local coordinates $y_{i}, Y_{m+j, \alpha}(1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n-m,|\alpha| \leq \ell)$. The expression of $\omega^{(\ell)}$ in these coordinates is as follows:

$$
\omega^{(\ell)}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \sum_{|\beta| \leq \ell-1} \theta_{m+j, \beta} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{m+j, \beta}}
$$

where the $\theta_{m+j, \beta}$ are the 1 -forms given by (2.3), because the coordinate forms of $\omega^{(\ell)}$ have the same annihilator subspace than the contact system and both sides of the above equality agree when they are applied to vertical vectors.

Since $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}^{(\ell)}$ is the natural projection for vertical vectors, $Q_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, V)$ is contained in $\operatorname{ker} \omega_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}^{(\ell)}$.

If the projection onto $T_{x}(X)$ of $D_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}} \in \operatorname{ker} \omega_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}}^{(\ell)}$ is a vector $D_{x} \neq 0$, the class of $\bar{D}=\widetilde{D} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ depends only on $D_{x}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$.

In fact, let $Y, Y^{\prime}$ be derivations from $C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell+1}$ into $C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell}$ such that $Y_{x}=Y_{x}^{\prime}$; then the image of $Y-Y^{\prime}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell}$, like $\left(Y-Y^{\prime}\right) \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$, hence $\left(Y-Y^{\prime}\right) \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ determines a derivation from $C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell}$ into $C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell}$, and consequently the derivations $Y \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}, Y^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ from $C^{\infty}(M)$ into $C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell}$ have the same class modulo $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell}, C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell}\right)$.

Let us denote by $Y_{x} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell} \in T_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell-1}(X, V)$ the vector representing the derivation $Y \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$; it is easy to show that $Y_{x} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ is the composition of $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$, understood as an epimorphism from $C^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{J}^{\ell-1}(X, M)\right)$ into $C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}$, and $Y_{x}$ thought as a derivation from $C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}$ into $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $p_{m}^{\ell} \in \check{M}_{m}^{\ell}$ such that ker $p_{m}^{\ell}=\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$; from Proposition 3.5 of [8] follows that $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}\right) \approx T_{x_{m}^{\ell-1}} \check{X}_{m}^{\ell-1}$, where $x_{m}^{\ell}$ is the specialization of $p_{m}^{\ell}$ to $C^{\infty}(X)$,
hence the projection of the morphism $p_{m *}^{\ell}: \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell}, \mathbb{R}_{m}^{\ell-1}\right) \longrightarrow T_{p_{m}^{\ell-1}} \check{M}_{m}^{\ell-1}$ to the jet space is the linear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{p}_{*}^{\ell}: T_{x} X & \longrightarrow T_{\mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell-1}(X, V) \\
Y_{x} & \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}_{*}^{\ell}\left(Y_{x}\right)=Y_{x} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell} \in \mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, V)$ verifies that $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell-1}=\mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1}$, then $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell}-\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ is a derivation from $C^{\infty}(M)$ into $\mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell+1}$ which vanish if and only if $Y \circ\left(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}^{\ell}-\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}\right)=0$ for each $Y \in$ $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell+1}, C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{\ell}\right)$; that is to say, $\mathfrak{p}^{\ell}$ is completely determined by the couple $\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell-1}, \mathfrak{p}^{\ell}{ }_{*}\right)$.

The following result is a reformulation of Theorem 4.5 of [8].
Proposition 3.3. Let $F$ be a local cross-section of $\mathcal{J}^{\ell}(X, M) \longrightarrow X$ over an open subset $W \subset X$; if the contact form $\omega^{(\ell)}$ vanishes over $F(W)$, then there is a section $s: W \longrightarrow M$ of $\pi: V \longrightarrow X$ such that $j^{\ell} s=F$.

Proof. We apply induction on $\ell$; if $\ell=1$ and $F: W \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^{1}(X, V)$ is a local section, taking $s=\pi^{1} \circ F$ we obtain a local section of $\pi: M \longrightarrow X$. For each $x \in W, F(x)$ and $j_{x}^{1} s$ have the same projection $s(x) \in M$; but $j_{x}^{1} s$ is the composition of the map $s^{*}: C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(W)$ and the quotient modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}$, and for each $Y_{x} \in T_{x}(X)$ we have $s_{*}\left(Y_{x}\right)=Y_{x} \circ j_{x}^{1} s$, where in the right side $Y_{x}$ is considered as a derivation from $C^{\infty}(X) / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}$ into $\mathbb{R}$.

If we assume that the specialization of $\omega^{(1)}$ to $F(W)$ vanishes, then $\omega^{(1)}\left(F_{*} Y_{x}\right)=$ 0 for each $Y_{x} \in T_{x} W$, hence

$$
\pi_{*}^{1}\left(F_{*} Y_{x}\right)=s_{*}\left(Y_{x}\right)=Y_{x} \circ j_{x}^{1} s=Y_{x} \circ F(x)
$$

and $j_{x}^{1} s=F(x)$.
Now suppose that the statement is proved up to $\ell-1$; since $F(W)$ is a solution of $\omega^{(\ell)}, \pi_{\ell}^{\ell-1} \circ F(W)$ is a solution of $\omega^{(\ell-1)}$ and by the induction hypothesis there is a section $s$ such that $j^{\ell-1} s=\pi_{\ell}^{\ell-1} \circ F$; then $j_{x}^{\ell-1} s=\pi_{\ell}^{\ell-1}(F(x))$ for each $x \in W$, and since $\omega^{(\ell)}\left(F_{*} Y_{x}\right)=0$ by hypothesis for each $Y_{x} \in T_{x} W$, we have

$$
\left(\pi_{\ell}^{\ell-1}\right)_{*}\left(F_{*}\left(Y_{x}\right)\right)=\left(j^{\ell-1} s\right)_{*}\left(Y_{x}\right)=Y_{x} \circ j_{x}^{\ell} s=Y_{x} \circ F(x),
$$

and therefore $F(x)=j_{x}^{\ell} s$.
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