

Beloslav Riečan

A note on measurable functions

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 96 (1971), No. 1, 67--72

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117713>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

BELOSLAV RIEČAN, Bratislava

(Received September 23, 1969)

Let S be a σ -algebra of subsets of X . A real-valued function f on X is called measurable (with respect to S) if $f^{-1}(B) \in S$ for every Borel subset of the line. There are at least two generalizations of the notion defined above. P. R. HALMOS in [1] assumes only that S is a σ -ring; S need not contain X . R. SIKORSKI in [4] assumes that $X \in S$ and S is a σ -lattice (of course, according to his terminology); S need not be a σ -ring. In the present paper we construct a more general theory of measurable functions containing both mentioned theories as special cases. In other words we omit in Sikorski's theory the assumption $X \in S$. The idea of producing such a theory is due to T. NEUBRUNN.

In Section 1 we give definitions and examples. In Section 2 we prove that the sum of two measurable functions is a measurable function. In Section 3 we prove that the limit of a sequence of measurable functions is a measurable function and in Section 4 we prove that any measurable function can be approximated by a simple measurable function.

In some theorems we consider functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$ where the range space Y is a more general space than the real line.

1

P. R. Halmos assumes that S is a σ -ring (i.e. $\emptyset \in S$ and $E_n \in S$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ implies $E_1 - E_2 \in S, \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in S$); a function $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ is called to be measurable if $f^{-1}(B) \cap N(f) \in S$ for every Borel set B , where $N(f) = \{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$.

R. Sikorski assumes that S is a σ -lattice (i.e. $S \neq \emptyset; E_n \in S$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ implies $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in S, \bigcap_{n=1}^i E_n \in S$) and $X \in S$. A function $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ is called to be measurable if $f^{-1}(B) \in S$ whenever B has either the form $(-\infty, c)$ or the form (c, ∞) , c being any real number.

If S is a σ -lattice but we do not know whether $X \in S$ or not, we can formally use the Halmos' definition.

Definition 1. Let S be a σ -lattice. We shall denote by \mathcal{M}_1 the family of all functions $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ such that $N(f) \cap f^{-1}(E) \in S$ whenever $E \in B$ where B is the family of all sets of the form $(-\infty, c)$ or (c, ∞) where c is any real number and $N(f) = \{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$.

It is well known that \mathcal{M}_1 coincides with the family of all functions measurable in the Halmos' sense if S is a σ -ring. If S is only a σ -lattice (X need not belong to S) we do not obtain a convenient theory. As M. OKLEŠTEKOVÁ-PLEŠKOVÁ showed in [3] the sum of two functions of \mathcal{M}_1 need not belong to \mathcal{M}_1 .

If S is a σ -ring then the Halmos' definition of measurability is equivalent to the following definition: f is measurable if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied: 1. $N(f) \in S$. 2. $E \in S, F \in B \Rightarrow E \cap f^{-1}(F) \in S$. (B has the same meaning as in Definition 1.) It seems that this property is more suitable to be used as a definition in the general case.

Definition 2. Denote by \mathcal{M}_2 the family of all functions $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ satisfying the following two conditions:

1. $N(f) \in S$.
2. $E \in S, F \in B \Rightarrow E \cap f^{-1}(F) \in S$.

Evidently $\mathcal{M}_2 \subset \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2$ if S is a σ -ring or $X \in S$. In the latter case $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$ if and only if $f^{-1}(E) \in S$ for every $E \in B$. The following proposition may be more interesting.

Proposition 1. *If S is closed under the countable unions and intersections then $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_1, E \in S, F \in B$. Evidently $N(f) = N(f) \cap f^{-1}((-\infty, \infty)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (N(f) \cap f^{-1}((-\infty, n))) \in S$. Further

$$(E - N(f)) \cap f^{-1}(F) = E \cap \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}\left(F \cap \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \in S,$$

therefore

$$E \cap f^{-1}(F) = (E \cap N(f) \cap f^{-1}(F)) \cup ((E - N(f)) \cap f^{-1}(F)) \in S.$$

If we obtain a suitable theory for \mathcal{M}_2 then we shall have a common generalization of the both theories mentioned above as well as a theory with respect to S closed under countable unions and intersections.

Of course, we can give a general definition including all the classical cases.

Definition 3. Let X, Y be arbitrary non-empty sets, S, T families of subsets of X , B a family of subsets of Y . Let N be a map $N : Y^X \rightarrow 2^X$ associating with any function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a subset $N(f)$ of X . Then we say that a function f is measurable (with respect to S, T, B and N) if

1. $N(f) \in S$.
2. $E \cap f^{-1}(F) \in S$ for all $E \in T, F \in B$.

Denote the family of all measurable functions by $\mathcal{M}(S, T, B, N)$.

Examples. 1. $S = T$ is a σ -lattice, $Y = (-\infty, \infty)$, $B = \{(-\infty, c) : c \text{ real number}\} \cup \{(c, \infty) : c \text{ real number}\}$, $N(f) = \{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$. We obtain the system \mathcal{M}_2 including both Halmos' and Sikorski's theory.

2. We can obtain Sikorski's theory also in another way, if we put $N(f) = X$ for all $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

3. Let Y be a metric space, B a base of open sets in Y , S be a σ -ring of subsets of X , $N(f) = \emptyset$ for all $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $T = \{X\}$. f is measurable if $f^{-1}(E) \in S$ for all $E \in B$ (see [2]).

2

In this section we assume that Y is an Abelian topological group satisfying the second axiom of countability.

Theorem 1. Let S be a σ -lattice, B a base of open sets. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be such functions that $f^{-1}(F), g^{-1}(F) \in S$ for all $F \in B$. Then also $(f + g)^{-1}(F) \in S$ for all $F \in B$.

Proof. Let $\{V_n\}$ be a countable base consisting of elements of B . Let $U \in B$. We must prove $(f + g)^{-1}(U) \in S$. Put $\gamma = \{(m, n) : V_n + V_m \subset U\}$. First we prove

$$(f + g)^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{(m,n) \in \gamma} f^{-1}(V_n) \cap g^{-1}(V_m).$$

If $x \in f^{-1}(V_n) \cap g^{-1}(V_m)$, $(m, n) \in \gamma$, then $f(x) \in V_n$, $g(x) \in V_m$ and hence $f(x) + g(x) \in U$. Let $f(x) + g(x) \in U$. Then there are open sets V, W such that $f(x) \in V$, $g(x) \in W$, $V + W \subset U$. Take V_n, V_m from the base such that $f(x) \in V_n$, $g(x) \in V_m$ and $V_n \subset V$, $V_m \subset W$. Then $V_n + V_m \subset U$ and hence $(m, n) \in \gamma$.

Now we see that $(f + g)^{-1}(U) \in S$ for all $U \in B$ and Theorem 1 is proved.

Theorem 2. Let S be a σ -lattice, B a base of open sets in Y . Let for any $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be $N(f) = \{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(S, S, B, N)$ is closed under the operation of addition, i.e. $f, g \in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow f + g \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. First we prove that $N(f + g) \in S$. Let $\{V_n\}$ be a base of open sets that are elements of B . Put

$$\delta = \{(m, n) : V_n + V_m \subset U = Y - \{0\}\}.$$

Then

$$N(f + g) = \bigcup_{(m,n) \in \delta} f^{-1}(V_n) \cap g^{-1}(V_m) \in S.$$

Now let $E \in S$. Put $S' = \{E \cap F : F \in S\}$. S' is a σ -lattice. By the assumption, $f^{-1}(F) \in S'$ for all $F \in B$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence by Theorem 1 we have $(f + g)^{-1}(F) \in S'$ for any $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ and any $F \in B$. Therefore

$$E \cap (f + g)^{-1}(F) \in S$$

for all $E \in S, F \in B$ and Theorem 2 is proved.

Corrolary. The family \mathcal{M}_2 from Definition 2 is closed under the operation of addition.

3

In the last two sections we shall use the following notation.

Definition 4. Let X, Y be any non-void sets, S and B families of subsets of X, Y respectively. By \mathcal{M}'_3 we denote the family of all functions satisfying the implication $E \in B \Rightarrow f^{-1}(E) \in S$. Let $y \in Y$ be a fixed point. For any $f : X \rightarrow Y$ put $N(f) = \{x : f(x) \neq y\}$. Then by \mathcal{M}'_1 (\mathcal{M}'_2) we denote the family of all functions satisfying the implication $E \in B \Rightarrow N(f) \cap f^{-1}(E) \in S$ ($E \in B, F \in S \Rightarrow N(f) \in S, f^{-1}(E) \cap F \in S$).

Theorem 3. Let Y be a regular topological space satisfying the second axiom of countability. Let B be a countable base in Y , let S be closed under countable unions and intersections. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of functions of \mathcal{M}'_3 converging to a function f . Then $f \in \mathcal{M}'_3$. If $f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_2$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and moreover Y is Hausdorff space then $f \in \mathcal{M}'_2$.

Proof. The first conclusion follows immediately from the equality

$$\{x : \lim f_n(x) \in E\} = \bigcup_{A \in E, A \in B} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \{x : f_n(x) \in A\}.$$

To prove the second assertion we show first that

$$N(\lim f_n) = \bigcup_{A \in B, y \notin A} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \{x : f_n(x) \in A\}.$$

Since $N(\lim f_n) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n)$, we have

$$N(\lim f_n) = \bigcup_{A \in B, y \notin A} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} [\{x : f_n(x) \in A\} \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n)].$$

Since $f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_2$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) we have $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n) \in S$, hence also $\{x : f_n(x) \in A\} \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n) \in S$. Therefore $N(\lim f_n) \in S$.

To prove the second property of \mathcal{M}'_2 , for fixed $F \in S$ put $S' = \{G \cap F : G \in S\}$. If $f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_2$ with respect to S then $f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_3$ with respect to S' and hence also $f \in \mathcal{M}'_3$ with respect to S' and $F \cap f^{-1}(E) \in S$ for all $F \in S, E \in B$.

Now let Y be the real line, $y = 0$.

Theorem 4. Let S be closed under the countable unions and intersections. Let B consist of all open intervals in Y . If $f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_3$ or $f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_2 = \mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}_1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) then $\sup f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_3$, $\inf f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_3$ or $\sup f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_2$, $\inf f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_2$, respectively.

Proof. Let $a < b, f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_3$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{x : \sup f_n(x) > a\} &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : f_n(x) > a\} \in S, \\ \{x : \sup f_n(x) < b\} &= \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{x : f_n(x) < b - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{k}\right\} \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Similar assertions hold for $\inf f_n$.

If $f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_2$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), $E \in S$ and we want to prove that $E \cap \{x : \sup f_n(x) \in (a, b)\} \in S$, we can proceed similarly as in the previous theorem. Further $N(f_n) \in S$, $N(\sup f_n) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n) \in S$ and

$$N(\sup f_n) = \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n) \cap \{x : \sup f_n(x) > 0\} \right] \cup \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n) \cap \{x : \sup f_n(x) < 0\} \right] \in S.$$

4

Definition 5. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called simple if there is a decomposition $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ of X such that f is constant on every E_i .

Definition 6. We shall say that the family B of subsets of Y satisfies the condition (A) if for any $U, V \in B$ there are $U_1, \dots, U_n \in B$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i = U \cup V$ and $\{U_i\}$ is a refinement of the system of all non-void sets among $U - V, U \cap V, V - U$ (i.e. any U_i is a subset of some of them).

Theorem 5. Let Y be Hausdorff space satisfying the second axiom of countability. Let S be closed under finite unions. Let B be a countable base of neighbourhoods in Y (the elements of B need not be open), and let either B satisfy (A) or S be a ring.

If $\emptyset \in S$ then to any $f \in \mathcal{M}'_1$ there is a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of simple functions of \mathcal{M}'_1 such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ i.e. $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for any $x \in X$.

If $Y \in B$ or $X \in S$ then to any $f \in \mathcal{M}'_2$ ($f \in \mathcal{M}'_3$) there is a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of simple functions of \mathcal{M}'_2 (\mathcal{M}'_3) such that $f_n \rightarrow f$.

Proof. Put $B' = \{E \in B : y \notin E\}$ in the case $f \in \mathcal{M}'_1$ or $f \in \mathcal{M}'_2$ and $B' = B$ in the case $f \in \mathcal{M}'_3$. Let $B' = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Construct the sequence $\{f_n\}$ as follows.

There are W_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^k W_i$ and each W_i is a subset of a set $\bigcap_{i=1}^q V_{k_i} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^p V'_{n_j}$; moreover, either $W_i \in B$ (according to (A)) or $f^{-1}(W_i) \in S$ (if S is a ring). Choose arbitrary $y_i \in W_i, y_i \neq y$. Then put $f_n(x) = y_i$ for $x \in f^{-1}(W_i), i = 1, \dots, k$, and $f_n(x) = y$ for $x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^k f^{-1}(W_i)$.

The functions f_n are simple. We have to prove that $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Let U be a neighbourhood of $f(x)$. Choose N such that $f(x) \in V_N \subset U$. Let $n > N$. Then $f_n(x) = y_i$ where $f(x) \in W_i, f(x) \in V_N$, hence $W_i \subset V_N \subset U$. Then $f_n(x) \in U$ for any $n > N$. This means that $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$.

Now let $f \in \mathcal{M}'_1$. If $y \notin E$, then $N(f_n) \cap f_n^{-1}(E) = \bigcup_{i \in \alpha} (N(f) \cap f^{-1}(W_i)) \in S$, since α is the finite set of indices i for which $f_n^{-1}(E) = \bigcup_{i \in \alpha} f^{-1}(W_i)$. If $y \in E$ then $f_n^{-1}(E) \cap N(f_n) = \emptyset \in S$ or $\bigcup f^{-1}(W_i) \in S$. Hence $f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_1$.

If $f \in \mathcal{M}'_3, X \in S$ or $Y \in B$ then $f^{-1}(W_i), X - f^{-1}(W_i) \in S$, hence $f_n \in \mathcal{M}'_3$.

If $f \in \mathcal{M}'_2$, then similarly $E \cap f^{-1}(W_i) \in S, E - f^{-1}(W_i) \in S, N(f_n) = \{x : f_n(x) \neq y\} = \bigcup f^{-1}(W_i) = \bigcup f^{-1}(W_i) \cap N(f) \in S$.

Corrolary ([2], lemma 3). Let Y be a separable metric space, X a topological space, S the σ -algebra of all Borel subsets of X , B a base of neighbourhoods in Y . Then to any $f \in \mathcal{M}'_3$ there is a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of functions of \mathcal{M}'_3 converging to f .

References

- [1] Halmos P. R.: Measure Theory, New York 1950.
- [2] Kvatchko M. E.: Measurable mappings of spaces (Russian), Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. 1958, No 13, 87—102.
- [3] Oklešteková-Plešková M.: Niektoré zovšeobecnenia pojmu merateľnej funkcie, diplomová práca, Bratislava 1968.
- [4] Sikorski R.: Funkcje rzeczywiste, Warszawa 1958.

Author's address: Bratislava, Gottwaldovo nám. 2 (SVŠT).