Mieczysław Cichoń Non-compact perturbations of m-accretive operators in general Banach spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 33 (1992), No. 3, 403--409

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118509

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Non-compact perturbations of *m*-accretive operators in general Banach spaces

MIECZYSŁAW CICHOŃ

Abstract. In this paper we deal with the Cauchy problem for differential inclusions governed by *m*-accretive operators in general Banach spaces. We are interested in finding the sufficient conditions for the existence of integral solutions of the problem $x'(t) \in$ $-Ax(t) + f(t, x(t)), x(0) = x_0$, where A is an *m*-accretive operator, and f is a continuous, but non-compact perturbation, satisfying some additional conditions.

Keywords: m-accretive operators, measures of noncompactness, differential inclusions, semigroups of contractions

Classification: 58D25, 47H20, 47H09

1. Introduction.

The main goal of the present paper is to prove a local existence result for a class of nonlinear evolution equations of the form

(1)
$$\begin{cases} x'(t) \in -Ax(t) + f(t, x(t)) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}, \ t \in [0, T],$$

where A is an *m*-accretive operator acting on a real Banach space E and f is a continuous function satisfying some additional conditions.

This problem has been intensively studied over the past several years mainly because of a great practical interest, for example in the synthesis of the optimal control, differential games and population dynamic (cf. [11], [13] and the references therein). The case, when -A generates a compact semigroup is well known (see [4], [9], [11], [13]), for example, if E is finite dimensional, then each m-accretive operator is such that -A generates a compact semigroup (hence equicontinuous as well, cf. [3], [5]). However, there exists a lot of m-accretive operators for which -A generates equicontinuous, but not compact semigroups ([13]). In this case, the authors of many papers ([8], [9], [12], [13]) considered compact perturbations of m-accretive operators.

Our purpose is to generalize the last concept. The perturbations are not compact, but so-called k-set contractions. It is well known that this is a very large class of mappings (see [1], [10] for instance). Moreover, for a recent account of this theory we refer the reader to [9] and [13].

2. Main result.

Throughout this paper we will denote by E a real Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $I := [0,T] \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, and let $L^1(I,E)$ denote the space of all integrable functions from I to E with the standard norm $\|\cdot\|_1$. Moreover by $(C(I,E),\|\cdot\|_c)$ we will denote a space of all continuous functions from I to E.

We begin with a definition that we need in the statement of the main result.

Definition 1. An operator $A : D(A) \subset E \to 2^E$ is called accretive if $[x - \tilde{x}, y - \tilde{y}]_+ \geq 0$ for each $x, \tilde{x} \in D(A), y \in Ax$ and $\tilde{y} \in A\tilde{x}$. If, in addition, the range of Id + tA is the whole E (for each t > 0), then A is called *m*-accretive.

Here $[u, v]_+$ denotes the normalized upper semi-inner product on E, i.e. $[u, v]_+ := \lim_{h \searrow 0} \frac{1}{h}(||u + hv|| - ||u||)$ (see [2], [10], [13]). Let $\{S(t) : S(t) : \overline{D(A)} \to \overline{D(A)}, t > 0\}$ be the semigroup of nonexpansive mappings generated by -A on $\overline{D(A)}$ via the formula of Crandall and Liggett ([2, Theorem 1.3], [13, Theorem 1.8.8]). This semigroup is called compact if S(t) is a compact operator for each t > 0, and it is called equicontinuous if for each bounded subset M of $\overline{D(A)}$, the family of functions $\{S(\cdot)x : x \in M\}$ is equicontinuous at each t > 0 (see [9], [13]). It is well known that if a semigroup of nonexpansive mappings on $\overline{D(A)}$ is compact, then it is equicontinuous (see [13, Theorem 2.2.1]). For the examples, we refer the reader to [13].

We omit the definition of an integral solution of our problem, because it is well known (see [2], [11], [13] for instance).

The next result due to Bénilan is one of the main ingredients in the proof of our main theorem.

Proposition 1 ([2, Theorem 2.1], [13, Corollary 1.7.1]). If $A : D(A) \to 2^E$ is maccretive operator, then for each $(x_0, f) \in \overline{D(A)} \times L^1(I, E)$ the following problem

(1')
$$\begin{cases} x'(t) \in -Ax(t) + f(t) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}, \ t \in I,$$

has a unique integral solution $H(f, x_0) : \overline{D(A)} \to \overline{D(A)}$, such that if $H(g, y_0)$ is an integral solution to (1') corresponding to (y_0, g) , then

$$||H(f, x_0)(t) - H(g, y_0)(t)|| \le \le ||H(f, x_0)(s) - H(g, y_0)(s)|| + \int_s^t ||f(u) - g(u)|| \, du$$

for each $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$.

This theorem exhibits the Lipschitz-continuous dependence of integral solutions of (1') on the data. For abbreviation, we will write H(f) instead of $H(f, x_0)$.

And now, we can recall the next important theorem.

Proposition 2 ([8], [13, Theorem 2.5.1]). Let $A : D(A) \to 2^E$ be an *m*-accretive operator so that -A generates an equicontinuous semigroup, and let $x_0 \in \overline{D(A)}$. Then for each uniformly integrable subset K in $L^1(I, E)$ the set $H(K) := \{H(k) : k \in K\}$ is bounded and equicontinuous on I.

For completeness, we must recall the definition of Kuratowski measure of noncompactness α [Hausdorff mnc β].

Definition 2. Let B be a bounded subset of E. Then:

$$\alpha(B) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} M_i^{\varepsilon} \text{ for some } M_i^{\varepsilon} \subset E, \ i = 1, \dots, n(\varepsilon), \\ \text{with } \operatorname{diam}\left(M_i^{\varepsilon}\right) \le \varepsilon\}$$

and

$$\beta(B) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : B \subset \{x_1^{\varepsilon}, \dots, x_{n(\varepsilon)}^{\varepsilon}\} + \varepsilon \cdot B^0 \text{ for some } x_i^{\varepsilon} \in E, \\ i = 1, \dots, n(\varepsilon)\}$$

For the properties of these measures we refer the reader to [1] and [10]. For example, if F is a subspace of E and W is a bounded subset of F, then

$$\beta(W) \le \beta^{F'}(W) \le \alpha(W) \le 2\beta(W),$$

where β^F denotes the Hausdorff mnc in F. Furthermore, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3 (Ambrosetti's lemma, [1, Theorem 11.3]). If M is bounded and equicontinuous subset of C(I, E), then

$$\alpha_c(M) = \sup\{\alpha(M(t)) : t \in I\},\$$

where α_c is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness in C(I, E).

Another main ingredient in the proof of our existence result is the following fixed point theorem due to Sadovskii.

Proposition 4 ([1, Theorem 5.1], [6], [10, Theorem 3.2]). Let C denote a nonempty, convex, closed and bounded subset of a Banach space X. Let $F : C \to C$, and assume that there exists k < 1, that $\mu(F(W)) \leq k \cdot \mu(W)$, for each bounded subset W of X, where μ denotes an arbitrary measure of noncompactness in X. Then the set of all fixed points of F is nonempty and compact.

We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $A : D(A) \to 2^E$ be an *m*-accretive operator and let H(g) denote a (unique) integral solution of

(2)
$$\begin{cases} x'(t) \in -Ax(t) + g(t) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}, \ t \in I, \ g \in L^1(I, E). \end{cases}$$

Then for each bounded subset W of $L^1(I, E)$ we have

$$\beta_c(H(w)) \le \beta_1(W),$$

where β_c, β_1 denote Hausdorff measure of noncompactness in C(I, E), and $L^1(I, E)$, respectively.

PROOF: Let $g \in H(W)$, so there exists $v \in W$ that g = H(v). Fix arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite $(\beta_1(W) + \varepsilon)$ -net in W. Then there exists a number k, $1 \le k \le n$, such that $||v - x_k||_1 \le \beta_1(W) + \varepsilon$. Let $t \in I$. We have

$$||g(t) - H(x_k)(t)|| \le \int_0^t ||v(s) - x_k(s)|| \, ds$$

$$\le \int_0^T ||v(s) - x_k(s)|| \, ds$$

$$= ||v - x_k||_1 \le \beta_1(W) + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(t) - H(x_k)(t)\| &\leq \beta_1(W) + \varepsilon, \\ \sup\{\|g(t) - H(x_k)(t) : t \in I\|\} &\leq \beta_1(W) + \varepsilon, \\ \|g - H(x_k)\|_c &\leq \beta_1(W) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and we see that $\{H(x_1), \ldots, H(x_k)\}$ is a $(\beta_1(W) + \varepsilon)$ -net in H(W), so $\beta_c(H(W)) \le \beta_1(W) + \varepsilon$. But $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, and finally

$$\beta_c(H(W)) \le \beta_1(W).$$

Now, we are able to state the main result in this paper.

Theorem 1. Assume that:

- (A1) $A: D(A) \to 2^E$ is an m-accretive operator which generates an equicontinuous semigroup,
- (A2) $f: I \times \overline{D(A)} \to E$ is a locally uniformly continuous function, such that
 - (i) for each bounded subset W of E, there exists M > 0, that $\sup\{\|f(t,x)\| : x \in W\} \le M$ for each $t \in I$,
 - (ii) $\alpha(f(t, W)) \leq k \cdot \alpha(W), k \in [0, 1/(2 \cdot T))$, where α denotes the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness in E, and W is an arbitrary bounded subset of E.

Under the above assumptions for each $x_0 \in \overline{D(A)}$ there exists $T_0 = T(x_0) \in (0,T]$ such that the problem (1) has at least one integral solution on $[0,T_0]$.

PROOF: Let $x_0 \in \overline{D(A)}$. Fix r > 0, choose M > 0 and $T_0 \in (0, T]$ such that

(3)
$$\sup\{\|f(t,x)\|: x \in B(x_0,r)\} \le M \text{ on } J := [0,T_0],$$

and

(4)
$$||H(0)(t) - x_0|| + T_0 M \le r$$
 for each $t \in J$.

We see that it is possible because, in view of (A2) (i), there exists such a number M satisfying (3) on I as well. In addition $||H(0)(t) - x_0|| \to 0$, when $t \to 0_+$, so we may choose T_0 satisfying (3) and (4).

Next, let us define $P := \{x \in L^1(J, E) : ||x(t)|| \le M$ a.e. on $J\}$, and it is clear that this set is uniformly integrable in $L^1(J, E)$. Moreover, we denote by Q the following set $Q := H(P) = \{H(x) : x \in P\}$. By Proposition 2, this set is bounded and equicontinuous in C(J, E). Consequently, for $t \in J$ and $x \in P$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x)(t) - x_0\| &\leq \|H(x)(t) - H(0)(t)\| + \|H(0)(t)x_0\| \\ &\leq \|H(0)(t) - x_0\| + \int_0^t \|x(s)\| \, ds \\ &\leq \|H(0)(t) - x_0\| + \int_0^t \|h(s)\| \, ds, \end{aligned}$$

and by (4)

$$H(x)(t) \in B(x_0, r).$$

Hence, for every $w \in Q$

(5)
$$||w(t) - x_0|| \le r.$$

Set $K_0 := \{y \in C(J, E) : y(\cdot) = f(\cdot, u(\cdot)), u \in Q\}$. If $y \in K_0$ then by (3) and (5) $||y(t)|| \leq M$ for each $t \in J$. From the uniform continuity of f, the set K_0 is equicontinuous in C(J, E). However, the set $K := \overline{\operatorname{conv}} K_0$ is nonempty, closed, convex, bounded and equicontinuous in C(J, E). Indeed, the set P is convex and closed, by (3) and (5) $K_0 \subset P$, and we see that $K \subset P$.

Thus, we can define an operator $F: K \to C(J, E)$ as follows

$$F(u)(t) = f(t, H(u)(t)), \ t \in J, \ u \in K.$$

In addition, if $v \in K$, then $F(v)(t) = f(t, H(v)(t)), t \in J$, and $K \subset P$, so $H(v) \in Q$, and consequently $F(v) \in K_0 \subset K$. In conclusion, $F(K) \subset K$.

Furthermore F is continuous as a superposition of two continuous functions $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $H(\cdot)$.

M. Cichoń

Let W be a bounded subset of K, and $t \in J$. Hence by (A2) (ii), $\alpha(F(w)(t)) = \alpha(f(t, H(W)(t))) \leq k \cdot \alpha(H(w)(t))$. But $H(W) \subset Q$, and by Proposition 3 and Lemma 1 we have that $\alpha(F(W)(t)) \leq k \cdot \alpha_c(H(w)) \leq 2k \cdot \beta_c(H(W)) \leq 2k \cdot \beta_1(W)$. The set W, as a subset of K, is equicontinuous, and so

$$\alpha_c(F(W)) \le 2k \cdot \beta_1(W) \le 2k \cdot \beta_1^{C(J,E)}(W).$$

Denote by B_c^0 and B_1^0 the unit balls with the norms $\|\cdot\|_c$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$, respectively. Since $\|\cdot\|_1 \leq T \cdot \|\cdot\|_c$, then we see that for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite set $\{u_1, \ldots, u_m\} \subset C(J, E)$, that for a bounded set W in $E \quad W \subset \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\} + (\beta_c(W) + \varepsilon) \cdot B_c^0 \subset \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\} + (\beta_c(W) + \varepsilon) \cdot T \cdot B_c^0$ and $\beta_1^{C(J,E)}(W) \leq (\beta_c(W) + \varepsilon) \cdot T$. Finally, $\beta_1^{C(J,e)}(W) \leq T \cdot \beta_c(W) \leq T \cdot \alpha_c(W)$.

Now, we can write that

$$\alpha_c(F(W)) \le 2k \cdot T \cdot \alpha_c(W),$$

and since $2k \cdot T \leq 1$, then f satisfies all the assumptions of Proposition 4. Finally, there exists a fixed point theorem of F, i.e. $w_0 \in K$, such that

$$F(w_0) = w_0$$

Equivalently, w_0 is an integral solution of (1) on J.

Theorem 2. The set of all integral solutions of the problem (1) on J is nonempty and compact.

This is an immediate consequence of our Theorem 1 and Theorem 5.1 of [1].

The class of all functions satisfying the condition (A2) (ii) is very large (see [10] for instance). However, it is well known that if E is a finite dimensional, then each m-accretive operator is such that -A generates a compact semigroup, so we can use the previous results ([4], [9], [11]). But the case of infinite dimensional Banach space is more delicate (cf. [9]). For example, the operator $Ax \equiv 0$ generates a semigroup $S(t) \equiv Id, t \geq 0$, which is equicontinuous, but not compact. Thus, this is one of the special cases of our theorem (see [10]). The applications of the results of this type in PDE's are due to Vrabie [13] for instance.

References

- Banaś J., Goebel K., Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math. 60, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 1980.
- [2] Barbu V., Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, Noordhoff, Leyden, 1976.
- [3] Cellina A., Marchi V., Non-convex perturbations of maximal monotone differential inclusions, Israel J. Math. 46 (1983), 1–11.
- [4] Cichoń M., Multivalued perturbations of m-accretive differential inclusions in non-separable Banach spaces, Commentationes Math. 32, to appear.
- [5] Colombo G., Fonda A., Ornelas A., Lower semicontinuous perturbations of maximal monotone differential inclusions, Israel J. Math. 61 (1988), 211–218.

Non-compact perturbations of *m*-accretive operators in general Banach spaces

- [6] Daneš J., Generalized concentrative mappings and their fixed points, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 11 (1970), 115–136.
- [7] Goncharov V.V., Tolstonogov A.A., Mutual continuous selections of multifunctions with nonconvex values and its applications, Math. Sb. 182 (1991), 946–969.
- [8] Gutman S., Evolutions governed by m-accretive plus compact operators, Nonlinear Anal. Th. Math. Appl. 7 (1983), 707–717.
- [9] _____, Existence theorems for nonlinear evolution equations, ibid. 11 (1987), 1193–1206.
- [10] Martin R.H., Jr., Nonlinear Operators and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, John Wiley, New York-London-Sydney-Toronto, 1976.
- [11] Mitidieri E., Vrabie I.I., Differential inclusions governed by non convex perturbations of maccretive operators, Differential Integral Equations 2 (1989), 525–531.
- [12] Schechter E., Evolution generated by semilinear dissipative plus compact operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 275 (1983), 297–308.
- [13] Vrabie I.I., *Compactness Methods for Nonlinear Evolutions*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics **32**, Longman, Boston-London-Melbourne, 1987.

Institute of Mathematics, A. Mickiewicz University, Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań, Poland

(Received January 28, 1992)