Wan Se Kim A note on the existence of solution for semilinear heat equations with polynomial growth nonlinearity

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 3, 425--431

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118599

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

A note on the existence of solution for semilinear heat equations with polynomial growth nonlinearity*

WAN SE KIM

Abstract. The existence of weak solution for periodic-Dirichlet problem to semilinear heat equations with superlinear growth non-linear term is treated.

Keywords: periodic-Dirichlet problem, semilinear heat equation, superlinear growth *Classification:* 35K05, 35K20

1. Introduction.

Let Z^+ , Z and R be the set of all positive integers, integers and real numbers, respectively, and let $\Omega = [0, 2\pi] \times [0, \pi]$ and $I = [0, \pi]$. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$; by $L^p(\Omega)$ we denote the space of all measurable real valued functions u(t, x) for which $|u(t, x)|^p$ is Lebesgue integrable.

The norm is given by

$$||U||_{L^p} = \left[\int \int_{\Omega} |u(t,x)|^p \, dt \, dx\right]^{1/p}.$$

In particular, $L^2(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space having the usual inner product \langle , \rangle and the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$. Let $C^k(\Omega)$ be the space of all continuous functions u(t, x)such that the partial derivatives up to order k with respect to both variables exist and are continuous on Ω , while $C(\Omega)$ is used for $C^0(\Omega)$ with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|$ and we write $C^{\infty}(\Omega) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} C^k(\Omega)$.

Consider the periodic-Dirichlet problem

(1.1)
$$u_t(t,x) - u_{xx}(t,x) + g(x,u(t,x)) = h(t,x) \text{ in } \Omega$$

(1.2)
$$u(0,x) - u(2\pi,x) = u_t(0,x) - u_t(2\pi,x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in I$$
$$u \mid \partial I = 0,$$

where $g: I \times R \to R$ is a Carathéodory function, that is, $g(\cdot, u)$ is measurable on I for each $u \in R$ and $g(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on R with the continuity uniform with respect to a.e. $x \in I$. This holds, for example, if g is continuous on $I \times R$, but

^{*}This work was supported by KOSEF research grant 1991 and Dong-A University research grant 1992.

Wan Se Kim

it holds in many other cases; e.g. g(x, u) = p(x)F(u), where $p \in L^{\infty}(I)$ and F is continuous. Moreover, we assume that for each d > 0 there exists a constant $M_d > 0$ such that $|g(x, u)| \leq M_d$ for all $(x, u) \in I \times R$ with $|u| \leq d$ and $h \in L^2(\Omega)$. Let $H^1(\Omega)$ be the completion of the space $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm given by

(1.3)
$$\|u\|_{1}^{2} = \int \int_{\Omega} \left[|u^{2}(t,x)|^{2} + |u_{t}(t,x)|^{2} + |u_{x}(t,x)|^{2} \right] dt \, dx$$

and $H^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be the completion of the space $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm given by

(1.4)
$$||u||_{1,2}^2 = \int \int_{\Omega} \left[|u(t,x)|^2 + |u_t(t,x)|^2 + |u_x(t,x)|^2 + |u_{xx}(t,x)|^2 \right] dt \, dx.$$

Note that $H^1(\Omega)$ $(H^{1,2}(\Omega))$ has distributional derivatives $u_t, u_x \in L^2(\Omega)$ $(u_t, u_x, u_{xx} \in L^2(\Omega))$ and these derivatives can be obtained as limit in $L^2(\Omega)$ of the corresponding derivatives of a sequence of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ functions which tend to u in $H^1(\Omega)$ $(H^{1,2}(\Omega))$. Moreover if derivatives are interpreted in distributional sense, the norms in $H^1(\Omega)$ and $H^{1,2}(\Omega)$ are given by (1.3) and (1.4), respectively, and $H^{1,2}(\Omega) \subseteq C(\Omega)$, and the imbedding of $H^{1,2}(\Omega)$ in $C(\Omega)$ is continuous. Let $H^{0,1}(\Omega)$ be the closure in $H^1(\Omega)$ of all functions u(t, x) in $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

A weak solution to the periodic-Dirichlet problem (1.1), (1.2) on Ω will be $u \in H^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap H^{0,1}(\Omega)$ which satisfies the equation (1.1) a.e. on Ω and the boundary condition (1.2).

Several authors deal with the periodic-Dirichlet problem for semilinear heat equations. For example, Brezis and Nirenberg [1], Fučík [2], Nkashama and Willem [3], Šťastnová and Fučík [5] and the references in [6]. In particular, Sanchez [4] shows the existence of solutions for some power-like behavior of g by using Leray-Schauder principle.

In this note, we will investigate the existence of weak solution of the problem (1.1) (1.2) when g has polynomial growth and satisfies a sign condition. The rate of growth allowed in g is any polynomial growth; i.e. there exist a(x), b(x) in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$(H_1) |g(x,u)| \le a(x)|u|^p + b(x) for x \in I, |u| \ge d_0 and p > 0.$$

The sign condition on g used here is that there exists a function $\psi : R \to R$ such that $\limsup_{|u|\to\infty} \psi(u)/u = \alpha_0$ exists with $ug(x, u) \ge -\psi(|u|)$ for all $(x, u) \in I \times R$. We do not need any restriction on h except $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and our proof is based on the use of Fourier series and Leray-Schauder's continuation theorem by finding an a priori bound for all possible solutions of the associated equations.

2. Preliminaries.

Let $Dom L = \{ u \in H^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap H^{0,1}(\Omega) \mid u \text{ satisfies } (1.2) \}$ and define the linear operator

(2.1)
$$L: Dom L \subseteq C(\Omega) \to L^{2}(\Omega) \text{ by}$$
$$Lu = u_{t} - u_{xx}.$$

Then $Ker L = \{0\}$ and $Im L = L^2(\Omega)$. Hence $L^{-1} : L^2(\Omega) \to Dom L$ exists. Since we may expand function u and h satisfying (1.2) on Ω in the following form:

$$\begin{split} u(t,x) &= \sum_{(l,m) \in Z \times Z^+} u_{lm} \exp(ilt) \sin(mx) \\ h(t,x) &= \sum_{(l,m) \in Z \times Z^+} h_{lm} \exp(ilt) \sin(mx) \end{split}$$

with $u_{lm} = u_{-l-m}$ and $h_{lm} = h_{-l-m}$ since u and h are real, the abstract actions of L and L^{-1} are determined as follows.

(2.2)
$$Lu(t,x) = \sum_{(l,m)\in Z\times Z^+} [li+m^2]u_{lm}\exp(ilt)\sin(mx)$$

and

(2.3)
$$L^{-1}u(t,x) = \sum_{(l,m)\in Z\times Z^+} \left[li+m^2\right]^{-1}h_{lm}\exp(ilt)\sin(mx).$$

Since

$$h_{lm} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \int_{\Omega} h(s, y) \exp(-ilt) \sin(mx) \, dt \, dx,$$

we can represent L^{-1} as a convolution product.

(2.4)
$$L^{-1}h(t,x) = \int \int_{\Omega} k(t,s,x,y)h(s,y) \, ds \, dy$$

where

$$k(t, s, xy) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{(l,m)\in Z\times Z^+} [li+m^2] \exp[il(t-s)] \sin(mx) \sin(my).$$

Lemma. The operator $L^{-1}: L^2(\Omega) \to C(\Omega)$ is a compact operator and $||L^{-1}||_{\infty} \le C||h||_{L^2}$ for some constant C > 0 independently of h.

PROOF: Using (2.4) and the convergence $\sum_{(l,m)\in Z\times Z^+} [l^2 + m^4]^{-1}$ and Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we can prove our assertion.

3. Main results.

Theorem. Let $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and suppose that (H_1) is satisfied and (H_2) for all $(x, u) \in I \times R$, $ug(x, u) \geq -\psi(|u|)$, where $\psi : R \to R$ is a function such that

$$\limsup_{|u|\to\infty}\psi(u)/u=\alpha_0,\quad \alpha_0\in R,$$

exists, then the periodic-Dirichlet problem on Ω for the equation (1.1) has at least one weak solution.

PROOF: Now we see that $L^{-1}: L^2(\Omega) \to C(\Omega)$ is continuous and compact operator as a mapping from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $C(\Omega)$. Define a substitution operator $N: C(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$ by Nu(t,x) = -g(x,u(t,x)) + h(t,x) for all $u \in C(\Omega)$ and $(t,x) \in \Omega$. These definitions have a meaning because $H^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap H^1(\Omega)$ are continuously imbedded in $C(\Omega)$. Then u is weak solution of the periodic-Dirichlet problem for (1.1) if and only if $u \in Dom L$ and satisfies

$$Lu = Nu, or equivalently$$

$$(3.2) u = L^{-1}Nu.$$

If $u \in C(\Omega)$ solves the operator equation (3.2), then $u \in C(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to the periodic-Dirichlet problem. Since L^{-1} is compact, and N is continuous and maps bounded sets into set bounded sets, the composition $L^{-1}N : C(\Omega) \to C(\Omega)$ is continuous and compact. By using Leray-Schauder theory if all solutions u to the family of equations

(3.3)
$$u = \lambda L^{-1} N u, \quad 0 \le \lambda \le 1$$

are bounded in $C(\Omega)$ independently of $\lambda \in [0,1]$, then (3.1) has a solution.

If (u, λ) solves (3.3), then (u, λ) solves

$$Lu = \lambda Nu$$

and u is a weak solution to the periodic-Dirichlet problem of the equation

$$u_t - u_{xx} + \lambda g(x, u) = \lambda h(t, x)$$
 on Ω .

Thus the proof will be completed if we show that the solutions to (3.4) for $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ are bounded in $C(\Omega)$ independently of $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Since, if $\lambda = 0$, we have only the trivial solution $u \equiv 0$, it suffices to show our assertion for $0 \le \lambda \le 1$.

To this end, let (u, λ) be any solution to (3.4) with $0 \le \lambda \le 1$. By taking the inner product with u_t on both sides of (3.4), we obtain

$$\langle Lu, u_t \rangle + \lambda \langle g(x, u), u_t \rangle = \lambda \langle h, u_t \rangle.$$

Since $u \in Dom L$, there exists a sequence $u_n \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, u_n satisfy (1.2), such that the distributional derivatives u_t, u_x, u_{xx} can be obtained as limits in $L^2(\Omega)$ of

the corresponding derivatives of u_n which tend to u in $H^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Now integration of these smooth functions, using the boundary conditions, shows that for each $n \in Z^+$, $\langle Lu_n, u_{nt} \rangle = ||u_{nt}||_{L^2}$. Letting $n \to +\infty$, we obtain $\langle Lu, u_t \rangle = ||u_{nt}||_{L^2}$.

Moreover, since for each $n \in Z^+$, the periodicity of $u_n(t,x)$ in t implies $\langle g(x,u_n), u_{nt} \rangle = 0$, we also have $\langle g(x,u), u_t \rangle = 0$. Thus

$$(3.5) ||u_t||_{L^2} \le ||h||_{L^2}.$$

Next we prove that $||u||_{L^2} \leq M_1$ for some $M_1 > 0$ independently of [0,1]. Since $\limsup_{|u|\to\infty} \psi(u)/u = \alpha_0$, for $\alpha \geq 0$ with $\alpha > \alpha_0$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $\psi(u)/u \leq \alpha$ with $|u| > r_0$. So $\psi(u) \leq \alpha u$ for all $|u| \leq r_0$. Thus

$$\int \int_{|u|>r_0} ug(x,u) \, dt \, dx \ge -2^{1/2} \alpha \pi \|u\|_{L^2} \, .$$

Since g is a Carathéodory function on $I \times R$, there exists a constant $M_{r_0} > 0$ such that $|g(x, u)| \leq M_{r_0}$ for u with $|u| \leq r_0$ for $x \in I$. Hence

$$\left|\int \int_{|u| \le r_0} ug(x, u) \, dt \, dx\right| \le 2\pi^2 r_0 M_{r_0}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g(x,u),u \rangle &= \int \int_{|u|>r_0} ug(x,u) \, dt \, dx + \int \int_{|u|\le r_0} ug(x,u) \, dt \, dx \\ &\ge -2^{1/2} \alpha \pi \|u\|_{L^2} - 2\pi^2 r_0 M_{r_0} \, . \end{aligned}$$

By taking the inner product with u on both sides of (3.4), we have, by the similar argument

$$||u_{nx}||_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \langle g(x, u), u \rangle = \lambda \langle h, u \rangle$$

since

$$\langle u_t, u \rangle = 0$$
 and $\langle u_{xx}, u \rangle = \|u_{nx}\|_{L^2}^2$

Thus

(3.6)
$$\|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -\langle g(x,u), u \rangle + \langle h, u \rangle \\ \leq \left[2^{-1/2} \alpha \pi + \|h\|_{L^2} \right] \|u\|_{L^2} + 2\pi^2 r_0 M_{r_0}$$

But since $||u||_{L^2} \leq c_1 ||u_x||_{L^2}$ for all $u \in Dom L$ and for some $c_1 > 0$,

$$\|u\|_{L^2}^2 \le C_1^2 \left[2^{-1/2} \alpha \pi + \|h\|_{L^2}\right] \|u\|_{L^2} + 2\pi^2 r_0 M_{r_0}$$

Therefore, there exists a constant M_1 independently of $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$(3.7) ||u||_{L^2} \le M_1$$

Hence, by (3.6), there exists a constant M_2 independently of $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$(3.8) ||u||_{L^2} \le M_2.$$

Hence, we have $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $||u||_{H^1} \leq L_1$ for some constant independently of $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. Since $L^2(\Omega) \subseteq L^q(\Omega)$ where $1 \leq q \leq 2$ and since $H^1(\Omega)$ is embedded in $L^q(\Omega)$ where $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ (see e.g. [2], [6]), $||u||_{L^q} \leq L_2(q)$ where $L_2(q)$ may depend on L_1 and $q \geq 1$ but is independent of $\lambda \in (0, 1]$.

Next, we will estimate the L^2 -bound for $g(\cdot, u)$. For $|u| \le d_0 + 1$, $x \in I$, we have

$$|g(x,u)| \le \sup_{\substack{x \in I \\ |u| \le d_0 + 1}} |g(x,u)| \le M_3$$

for some $M_3 > 0$ since g is a Carathéodory function. For $|u| > d_0 + 1$, $x \in I$, we have, by (H_1) ,

 $|g(x,u)| = (1/|u|)|ug(x,u)| \le (1/d_0)[a(x)|u|^{p+1} + b(x)|u|].$

Therefore, we have

$$|g(x,u)| \leq \sup_{\substack{x \in I \\ |u| \leq d_0 + 1}} |g(x,u)| + \sup_{\substack{x \in I \\ |u| > d_0 + 1}} (1/|u|)|ug(x,u)$$

$$\leq (1/d_0) [a(x)|u|^{p+1} + b(x)|u|] + M_3.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(\cdot, u)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq M_4 \|u\|_{L^{2p+2}}^{2p+2} + M_5 \|u\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \\ &+ M_6 \|u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} + M_7 \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + M_8 \|u\|_{L^2} + M_9 \end{aligned}$$

for some appropriate constants M_4 , M_9 .

Since $||u||_{L^q} \leq L_2(q)$ for $q \geq 1$, $||g(\cdot, u)||_{L^2} \leq L_0$ for some L_0 independently of $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

So if (u, λ) is any solution to (3.3), then, by Lemma,

$$||u||_{\infty} \le ||L^{-1}Nu||_{\infty} \le C||g(\cdot, u)||_{L^{2}} + ||h||_{L^{2}} \le C(L_{0} + ||h||_{L^{2}})$$

and this completes our proof.

Corollary. For any $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $F \in L^{\infty}(I)$ the boundary value problem

$$u_t - u_{xx} + F(x) \operatorname{sgn}(u) |u|^p = h(t, x), \quad p > 0$$

$$u(0, x) - u(2\pi, x) = u_t(0, x) - u_t(2\pi, x) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ x \in I$$

$$u \mid \partial I = 0$$

has at least one weak solution.

References

- Brezis H., Nirenberg L., Characterization of the range of some nonlinear operators and application to boundary value problem, Ann. Scuola Norm Pisa (4) 5 (1978), 225–326.
- [2] Fučík S., Solvability of Nonlinear Equations and Boundary Value Problems, Pediel, Dordrecht, 1980.
- [3] Nkashama M.N., Willem M., Periodic solutions of the boundary value problem for the nonlinear heat equation, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 29 (1984), 99–110.
- [4] Sanchez L., A note on periodic solutions of heat equation with a superlinear term, Nonlinear Functional Anal. and its Appl., S.P. Singh (ed.), D. Reidel Publ. Co., 1986.
- [5] Šťastnová, Fučík S., Note to periodic solvability of the boundary value problem for nonlinear heat equation, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 18 (1977), 735–740.
- [6] Vejvoda O. and al., Partial Differential Equations, Time Periodic Solutions, Sijthoff Noordhoff, 1981.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DONG-A UNIVERSITY, PUSAN 604-714, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

(Received October 12, 1992)