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# Non-existence result for quasi-linear elliptic equations with supercritical growth 
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#### Abstract

We obtain a non-existence result for a class of quasi-linear eigenvalue problems when a parameter is small. By using Pohozaev identity and some comparison arguments, non-existence theorems are established for quasi-linear eigenvalue problems under supercritical growth condition.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the non-existence of positive solutions of a class of quasi-linear eigenvalue problems

$$
\begin{align*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right) & =\lambda f(u(x)) \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
u & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f \in C^{1}(0, \infty) \bigcap C^{0}([0, \infty)), f(s)>0$ for $s \geq 0 ; \lambda>0, \Omega=B_{1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right.$ : $|x|<1\}$ is the unit ball, and $1<p<N$. By a positive solution $u$ of (1.1)-(1.2) we mean that $u \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega), u>0$ in $\Omega$, and satisfies

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v=\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u) v
$$

for any $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Thus, solutions are considered in a weak sense. By a small solution $u_{\lambda}$ of (1.1)-(1.2) we mean that $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{\infty}=0$. By a positive large solution $u_{\lambda}(r)$ of (1.1)-(1.2) we mean that $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{\infty}=\infty$.

[^0]Equations of the above form are mathematical models occurring in studies of the $p$-Laplace equation, generalized reaction-diffusion theory, non-Newtonian fluid theory ([1], [2]), non-Newtonian filtration ([3]) and the turbulent flow of a gas in a porous medium ([4]). In the non-Newtonian fluid theory, the quantity $p$ is a characteristic of the medium. Media with $p>2$ are called dilatant fluids and those with $p<2$ are called pseudo-plastics. If $p=2$, they are Newtonian fluids.

For $p=2$, the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has been studied by many authors, such as Ni and Serrin [5], Gelfand [6], Keller and Cohen [7], Amann [8], Crandall and Rabinowitz [9], Lions [10], Brezis and Nirenberg [11], to name just a few. For $p>1$, the existence and uniqueness of the positive solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) have been studied by many authors, for example [12]-[17], [20]-[21] and the references therein. When $f$ is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R}^{+}, f(0)=0, \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(s) / s^{p-1}=$ 0 and $f(s) \leq \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} s^{\mu}, 0<\mu<p-1, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}>0$, it was shown in [12] that there exist at least two positive solutions for equations (1.1)-(1.2) when $\lambda$ is sufficiently large. If $\liminf _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(s) / s^{p-1}>0, f(0)=0$ and the monotonicity hypothesis $\left(f(s) / s^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}<0$ holds for all $s>0$, it was proved in [13] that the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique positive solution when $\lambda$ is sufficiently large. Moreover, it was also shown in [14] that problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique positive large solution and at least one positive small solution when $\lambda$ is large if $f$ is nondecreasing, and there exist $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}>0$ such that $f(s) \leq \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} s^{\beta}, 0<\beta<$ $p-1 ; \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(s)}{s^{p-1}}=0$, and there exist $T, Y>0$ with $Y \geq T$ such that

$$
\left(f(s) / s^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}>0 \text { for } s \in(0, T)
$$

and

$$
\left(f(s) / s^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}<0 \text { for } s>Y
$$

In contrast to these cases, it seems that very little is known about existence and non-existence of positive solutions and non-small solutions for the problem (1.1)(1.2) when $\lambda$ is sufficiently small. Hai [18] considered the case when $\Omega$ is an annular domain, and obtained the existence of positive large solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) when $\lambda$ is sufficiently small. Guo and Yang [22] considered the case when $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain, and obtained the existence of positive large solutions and small solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) when $\lambda$ is sufficiently small. In this paper, we shall consider the case when $\Omega=B_{1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x|<1\right\}$ is the unit ball, and establish the non-existence of positive solutions and non-small solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) when $\lambda$ is sufficiently small.

Our approach depends heavily upon the special properties of the positive radial solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.2). We expect that such non-existence result of (1.1)-(1.2) are still true for the general domain $\Omega$.

We can find the related non-existence results for $p=2$ in [19]. When $p=2$, it is well known that all the positive solutions in $C^{2}\left(B_{R}\right)$ of the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle u+f(u) & =0
\end{aligned} \text { in } B_{R}, ~ 子 \quad \text { on } \partial B_{R} .
$$

are radially symmetric solutions for very general $f$ (see [25]). Unfortunately, this result does not apply to the case $p \neq 2$. Kichenassary and Smoller showed that there exist many positive nonradial solutions of the above problem for some $f$ (see [26]). The major stumbling block in the case of $p \neq 2$ is that certain nice features inherent to the case $p=2$ seem to be lost or at least difficult to verify. The main differences between $p=2$ and $p \neq 2$ can be found in [12], [13].

## 2. Non-existence result

In this section we study the non-existence of positive solutions of the problems (1.1)-(1.2). The nonlinear function $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ (or $f$ is in general locally Lipschitz continuous) satisfies the supercritical condition as $u \rightarrow \infty$; that is, $f$ satisfies the following conditions:
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ When $p \geq 2$, there are $q>\frac{N(p-1)+p}{N-p}, A>0$ such that $(q+1) F(u) \leq$ $u f(u)$ for $u \geq A$, where $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(v) d v$ and $A$ is a positive constant with $F(A)>0$.
$\left(H_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ When $1<p<2$, there are $q+1>\frac{2^{(2-p) /(p-1)} N p}{N-p}, A>0$ such that $(q+1) F(u) \leq u f(u)$ for $u \geq A$, where $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(v) d v$ and $A$ is a positive constant with $F(A)>0$.

To prove the main theorem, we consider the following initial value problems

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\Phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{(N-1)}{r} \Phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)+f(u(r))=0, \quad r>0  \tag{2.1}\\
u(0, \alpha)=\alpha>0, \quad u^{\prime}(0, \alpha)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Phi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1$.
We first recall a Pohozaev identity which was obtained by Ni and Serrin [5], or Mitidieri and Pohozaev [23].
Lemma 2.1. Let $u(r)$ be a solution of equation (2.1) in $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \subset(0, \infty)$ and $a$ be an arbitrary constant. Then, for each $r \in\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d r}\left[r^{N}\left\{(1-1 / p)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}+F(u)+\frac{a}{r} u u^{\prime}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}\right\}\right]  \tag{2.3}\\
& \quad=r^{N-1}\left[N F(u)-a u f(u)+(a+1-N / p)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(s) d s$.

Definition 2.2. For each $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$ and $B \geq 0$, let $R(\alpha, B)$ be the first $r$ such that $u(r, \alpha)=B$. If there is no such $r$, we shall adopt the convention that $R(\alpha, B)=\infty$. We also stipulate that $R(\alpha)=R(\alpha, 0)$ and $R_{1}(\alpha)=R(\alpha, A)$, where $A$ is given in $\left(H_{1}\right)$ or $\left(H_{1}\right)^{\prime}$.
Definition 2.3. For $p \geq 2$, let $\gamma=\frac{1}{(q+1)(N-p)}[(N-p)(q+1)-N p]>0$; for $1<p<2$, let $\gamma_{1}=\frac{1}{(q+1)(N-p)}\left[(N-p)(q+1)-2^{(2-p) /(p-1)} N p\right]>0$. Define two positive functions $R_{*}(B)$ and $R^{*}(B)$ on $[A, \infty]$ by

$$
R_{*}(B)^{p /(p-1)}=M(\bar{B})^{-1 /(p-1)} B
$$

and

$$
R^{*}(B)^{p}=\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\left(\frac{N B}{q+1}\right)^{p}(F(B))^{-1}
$$

where $\bar{B}=\left[N^{-1 /(p-1)} \frac{(p-1)}{p}+1\right] \gamma^{-1} B$ for $p \geq 2 ; \bar{B}=\left[2^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} N^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{(p-1)}{p}+1\right] \gamma_{1}^{-1} B$ for $1<p<2$, and $M(\bar{B})=\max \{f(u): u \in[0, \bar{B}]\}$.

We shall first prove that for a fixed $B \geq A$, there exist an upper bound and a lower bound for $R(\alpha, B)$.
Lemma 2.4. Let $f$ satisfy $\left(H_{1}\right)$ for $p \geq 2$ or $\left(H_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ for $1<p<2$. Then for any $B \geq A$ and $\alpha \in(\bar{B}, \infty)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{*}(B) \leq R(\alpha, B) \leq R^{*}(B) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{(q+1)}{N} \frac{F(B)}{B}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} R_{*}(B)^{1 /(p-1)} & \leq-u^{\prime}(R(\alpha, B), \alpha)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq \frac{p N}{(p-1)(q+1)} B R_{*}(B)^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: Letting $u(r)=u(r, \alpha)$ and $a=N /(q+1)$ in equation (2.3) and integrating equation (2.3) from 0 to $r$, from $\left(H_{1}\right)$ or $\left(H_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(p-1)}{p}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}+F(u(r, \alpha))+\frac{N}{(q+1)} \frac{u(r, \alpha) u^{\prime}(r, \alpha)\left|u^{\prime}(r, \alpha)\right|^{p-2}}{r}<0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u(s, \alpha)>A$ for all $s \in[0, r]$. It is clear that $\left(H_{1}\right)$ or $\left(H_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ implies $F(u)>0$ for all $u>A$. Hence, for any $\alpha \in(A, \infty)$, by (2.6) we have $u^{\prime}(r, \alpha)<0$ in $\left(0, R_{1}(\alpha)\right)$. Furthermore, we have $R_{1}(\alpha)<\infty$ for all $\alpha \in(A, \infty)$. Indeed, by $\left(H_{1}\right)$ or $\left(H_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ there is a positive constant $m$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u) \geq m \text { for all } u \geq A \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1)-(2.2) and (2.7), for $r \in\left(0, R_{1}(\alpha)\right)$ and $\alpha \geq A$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{N-1} \Phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(r, \alpha)\right)=-\int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} f(u(s, \alpha)) d s \leq-\frac{m}{N} r^{N} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
R_{1}(\alpha)^{p /(p-1)} \leq\left(\frac{N}{m}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\left[\frac{p}{(p-1)}(\alpha-A)\right] .
$$

Therefore, by $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and (2.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(p-1)}{p}\left|u^{\prime}(R(\alpha, B), \alpha)\right|^{p}<\frac{N}{(q+1)} \frac{B}{R(\alpha, B)}\left|u^{\prime}(R(\alpha, B), \alpha)\right|^{p-1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(B)<\frac{N}{(q+1)} \frac{B}{R(\alpha, B)}\left|u^{\prime}(R(\alpha, B), \alpha)\right|^{p-1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, (2.9) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-u^{\prime}(R(\alpha, B), \alpha)\right) R(\alpha, B)<\frac{p N}{(p-1)(q+1)} B \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain an upper bound for $R(\alpha, B)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\alpha, B)^{p} \leq\left[\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\left(\frac{N B}{q+1}\right)^{p}\right] F(B)^{-1} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha \in(B, \infty)$. This proves the second inequality of (2.4). To prove the first inequality of (2.4), there are two cases to be considered:
(a) $R(\alpha, \bar{B}) \geq R_{*}(B)$,
(b) $R(\alpha, \bar{B})<R_{*}(B)$.

In case (a), since $R(\alpha, B)>R(\alpha, \bar{B})$ we have $R(\alpha, B)>R_{*}(B)$. In case (b), we need a comparison argument.

Let $v_{\alpha}(r) \equiv v(r, \alpha, \bar{B})$ be the solution of the initial value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\Phi_{p}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{N-1}{r} \Phi_{p}\left(v^{\prime}\right)+\bar{C} & =0 \text { for } r>R(\alpha, \bar{B}),  \tag{2.13}\\
v(R(\alpha, \bar{B})) & =\bar{B}  \tag{2.14}\\
v^{\prime}(R(\alpha, \bar{B})) & =u^{\prime}(R(\alpha, \bar{B}), \alpha), \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{C}=M(\bar{B})$.

Then $v_{\alpha}(r)$ can be solved explicitly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\alpha}(r)=\bar{B}-\int_{\bar{R}}^{r}\left[\left(\frac{\bar{R}}{s}\right)^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right|^{p-1}+\frac{\bar{C}}{N}\left(s-\frac{\bar{R}^{N}}{s^{N-1}}\right)\right]^{1 /(p-1)} d s \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{R}=R(\alpha, \bar{B})$. We further consider two subcases here: (i) $p \geq 2$ and (ii) $1<p<2$.

In subcase (i), it is obvious that $1 /(p-1) \leq 1$. Using the inequalities $(1+$ $x)^{1 /(p-1)} \leq 1+x^{1 /(p-1)}$ for $x \geq 0$ and (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{\alpha}(r) & \geq \bar{B}-\int_{\bar{R}}^{r}\left[\left(\frac{\bar{R}}{s}\right)^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right|^{p-1}+\frac{\bar{C}}{N} s^{1 /(p-1)} d s\right. \\
& \geq \bar{B}-\int_{\bar{R}}^{r}\left(\frac{\bar{R}}{s}\right)^{(N-1) /(p-1)}\left|u^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right|\left[1+\frac{((\bar{C} / N) s)^{1 /(p-1)}}{(\bar{R} / s)^{(N-1) /(p-1)}\left|u^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right|}\right] d s \\
& =\bar{B}-\int_{\bar{R}}^{r}\left[\left(\frac{\bar{R}}{s}\right)^{(N-1) /(p-1)}\left|u^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right|+\left(\frac{\bar{C}}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} s^{1 /(p-1)}\right] d s \\
& \left.\geq \bar{B}-\frac{(p-1)}{(N-p)} \bar{R}\left|u^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right|-\left(\frac{\bar{C}}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\right] \int_{\bar{R}}^{r} s^{1 /(p-1)} d s \\
& \geq \bar{B}-\frac{(p-1)}{(N-p)} \frac{N p}{(p-1)(q+1)} \bar{B}-\left(\frac{\bar{C}}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{(p-1)}{p} r^{p /(p-1)} \\
& =\gamma \bar{B}-\left(\frac{\bar{C}}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{(p-1)}{p} r^{p /(p-1)} \\
& \geq B
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r \in\left[R(\alpha, \bar{B}), R_{*}(B)\right]$.
In subcase (ii), we have $1 /(p-1)>1$. Let $q+1>2^{(2-p) /(p-1)}(N p /(N-p))$. Using the inequalities $(1+x)^{1 /(p-1)} \leq 2^{(2-p) /(p-1)}\left(1+x^{1 /(p-1)}\right)$ for $x \geq 0$ and (2.13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{\alpha}(r) \geq & \bar{B}-\int_{\bar{R}}^{r}\left[\left(\frac{\bar{R}}{s}\right)^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right|^{p-1}+\frac{\bar{C}}{N} s\right]^{1 /(p-1)} d s \\
\geq & \bar{B}-\int_{\bar{R}}^{r}\left(\frac{\bar{R}}{s}\right)^{(N-1) /(p-1)}\left|u^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right| 2^{(2-p) /(p-1)} \\
& {\left[1+\frac{((\bar{C} / N) s)^{1 /(p-1)}}{(\bar{R} / s)^{(N-1) /(p-1)}\left|u^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right|}\right] d s } \\
= & \bar{B}-\int_{\bar{R}}^{r} 2^{(2-p) /(p-1)}\left[\left(\frac{\bar{R}}{s}\right)^{(N-1) /(p-1)}\left|u^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right|+\left(\frac{C}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} s^{1 /(p-1)}\right] d s \\
\geq & \bar{B}-2^{(2-p) /(p-1)} \frac{(p-1)}{(N-p)} \bar{R}\left|u^{\prime}(\bar{R})\right|-2^{(2-p) /(p-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\bar{C}}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \int_{\bar{R}}^{r} s^{1 /(p-1)} d s \\
\geq & \bar{B}-2^{(2-p) /(p-1)} \frac{(p-1)}{(N-p)} \frac{N p}{(p-1)(q+1)} \bar{B}-2^{(2-p) /(p-1)} \\
& \left(\frac{\bar{C}}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{(p-1)}{p} r^{p /(p-1)} \\
= & \gamma_{1} \bar{B}-2^{(2-p) /(p-1)}\left(\frac{\bar{C}}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{(p-1)}{p} r^{p /(p-1)} \\
\geq & B
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r \in\left[R(\alpha, \bar{B}), R_{*}(B)\right]$. Therefore, (2.4) follows if we can prove that $u(r, \alpha) \geq$ $v_{\alpha}(r)$ on $\left[R(\alpha, \bar{B}), R_{*}(B)\right]$.

In fact, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{N-1} \Phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-\left(r^{N-1} \Phi_{p}\left(v_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=r^{N-1}\{\bar{C}-f(u(r, \alpha))\} \geq 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

as long as $u(r, \alpha)>0$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1)\left(r^{N-1}|\xi(r)|^{p-2}\left(u-v_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

as long as $u(r, \alpha)>0$. Here $\xi(r)$ is between $u^{\prime}(r)$ and $v_{\alpha}^{\prime}(r)$. Integrating (2.18) twice and using (2.14)-(2.15), we obtain $u(r, \alpha) \geq v_{\alpha}(r)$ on $\left[R(\alpha, \bar{B}), R_{*}(B)\right]$. This proves the first inequality of (2.4).

Finally, (2.5) follows from (2.4), (2.10) and (2.11). The proof is complete.
Remark 2.5. If the growth of $f$ is critical, then $R(\alpha)$ may tend to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, let us consider

$$
f(u)= \begin{cases}\frac{N(N-p)^{p-1}}{p-1} \varepsilon^{p(2-p)} u^{(N(p-1)+p) /(N-p)} & \text { if } u \geq 1, p \geq 2 \\ \frac{N(N-p)^{p-1}}{p-1} \varepsilon^{p(2-p)} u^{\left(N\left(p 2^{(2-p) /(p-1)}-1\right)+p\right) /(N-p)} & \text { if } u \geq 1,1<p<2 \\ \frac{N(N-p)^{p-1}}{p-1} & \text { if } u \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Then it is well known for any $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ that

$$
U_{\varepsilon}(r)=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{2}+r^{p /(p-1)}}\right)^{(N-p) / p}
$$

is a solution of $(2.3)-(2.4)$ for $U_{\varepsilon}(r)>1, p \geq 2$. Note that $U_{\varepsilon}(0)=\varepsilon^{-(N-p) / p} \equiv \alpha$ which tends to $\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$. Let $A=1$ in $\left(H_{1}\right)$. Then it is easy to verify that

$$
R_{1}(\alpha)^{p /(p-1)}=\varepsilon-\varepsilon^{2}
$$

and

$$
-u^{\prime}\left(R_{1}(\alpha), \alpha\right)=\frac{N-p}{p-1}\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / p} \varepsilon^{-1}
$$

and so

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}-u^{\prime}\left(R_{1}(\alpha), \alpha\right) R_{1}(\alpha)=\frac{N-p}{p-1}
$$

which is the contrary of (2.11). Using (2.16), it is easy to see that $R(\alpha)$ behaves like $\alpha^{-\frac{1}{(p-1) N}}$, which tends to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow+\infty$.

Lemma 2.6 ([22]). Let $f$ be nondecreasing for $0<s<1$, and $f$ satisfies
(i) $f \in C^{1}(0, \infty) \cup C^{0}([0, \infty))$;
(ii) $f(s)>0$ for $s \geq 0$ and $\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right|$ is bounded in $[0,1]$;
(iii) there exists $\mu>p-1$ such that

$$
s^{-\mu} f(s) \rightarrow \beta \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty ;
$$

(iv) $\limsup _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(f(s) / s^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}<0$.

Then problem (1.1)-(1.2) has only one positive small solution for $\lambda$ sufficiently small.

Lemma 2.7 (Weak comparison principle) [20], [21]. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $\varphi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is continuous and non-decreasing. Let $u_{1}, u_{2} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ satisfy

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{1} \nabla \psi d x+\int_{\Omega} \varphi u_{1} \psi d x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{2}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{2} \nabla \psi d x+\int_{\Omega} \varphi u_{2} \psi d x
$$

for all non-negative $\psi \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Then the inequality

$$
u_{1} \leq u_{2} \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
$$

implies that

$$
u_{1} \leq u_{2} \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

Lemma 2.8. Assume that $f$ satisfies $\left(H_{1}\right)$ for $p \geq 2$ or $\left(H_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ for $1<p<2$, and $\left(H_{2}\right) f(u)>0$ for $u>0$;
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ (i) $f(0)>0$;
(ii) $f(0)=0$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(s) / s^{p-1}>0$.

Then $R(\alpha)<\infty$, for all $\alpha>0$.
Proof: The hypothesis of the Theorem implies there is an $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u) \geq \epsilon u^{p-1} \text { for all } u \geq 0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $R(\alpha)<\infty$ for all $\alpha>0$. In fact, consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+f(u) & =0 \text { in } B_{R}, \\
u & =0 \text { on } \partial B_{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $R=R(\alpha)$, consider the transformation $r=R s$ and denote $v(s, \alpha)=u(r, \alpha)$. Then $v$ satisfies the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v\right)+R^{p} f(v) & =0 \text { in } B_{1},  \tag{2.20}\\
v & =0 \text { on } \partial B_{1} . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(R_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ (where $R_{n}=R\left(\alpha_{n}\right), v_{n}(s)=$ $v\left(s, \alpha_{n}\right)$ ) satisfying $R_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $v_{n}$ is a positive solution of (2.20)(2.21) for $R=R_{n}$. Then, $\omega_{n}(s)=v_{n} /\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\infty}$ solves the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \omega_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \omega_{n}\right) & =R_{n}^{p} \frac{f\left(v_{n}\right)}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{p-1}} \text { in } B_{1} \\
\omega_{n}(s) & =0 \text { on } \partial B_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the above problem that

$$
\omega_{n}(s)=R_{n}^{p /(p-1)} G_{p}^{1}\left(\frac{f\left(v_{n}\right)}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{p-1}}\right)
$$

where $G_{p}^{1}$ is the inverse of $A_{p}^{1}=-\operatorname{div}\left(\left.|\nabla \cdot|\right|^{p-2} \nabla \cdot\right)$ under the Dirichlet boundary condition. By Lemma 2.7 and (2.19) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}(s) \geq\left(\epsilon R_{n}^{p}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} G_{p}^{1}\left(\omega_{n}^{p-1}\right)=\left(\epsilon R_{n}^{p}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \eta_{n}(s) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\eta_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \eta_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \eta_{n}\right) & =\omega_{n}^{p-1} \text { in } B_{1}, \\
\eta_{n} & =0 \text { on } \partial B_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\omega_{n}>0$ and $\left\|\omega_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=1$ for any $n$, the compactness of $G_{p}^{1}$ from $C^{0}\left(B_{1}\right)$ to $C^{1}\left(\bar{B}_{1}\right)$ implies that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\eta_{n}(s)\right\}$ (still denoted by $\left\{\eta_{n}(s)\right\}$ later $)$ such that $\eta_{n} \rightarrow \eta$ in $C^{1}\left(\bar{B}_{1}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\eta(s)>0$ in $B_{1}$. Now we easily obtain a contradiction from (2.22) since $R_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is complete.

Theorem 2.9. Assume that $f$ satisfies $\left(H_{1}\right)$ for $p \geq 2$ or $\left(H_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ for $1<p<2$. If $f(s)>0$ for $s \geq 0$, then there exists $\lambda_{*}>0$ such that there is no positive non-small radially symmetric solution of equations (1.1)-(1.2) for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$. If $f(0) \leq 0$, then there exists $\lambda_{*}>0$ such that there is no positive radially symmetric solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$.
Proof: It is easy to see that $(u(\cdot), \lambda)$ is a positive radial solution of equations (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ is a positive solution of equations (2.1)-(2.2) with $u(r)=u\left(\lambda^{1 / p} r, \alpha\right)$ and $\lambda=R^{p}(\alpha)$, where $R(\alpha)$ is the first zero of $u(\cdot, \alpha)$. By Lemma 2.8, we have $R(\alpha)<\infty$ for all $\alpha>0$. Therefore the solution set of $(2.1)-(2.2)$ can be written as $\{(u(\cdot, \alpha), \lambda(\alpha)): \alpha \in(0, \infty)\}$ with $\lambda(\alpha)=R^{p}(\alpha)$. Therefore, it is sufficient to study $R(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$.

It is clear that $R(\alpha)>0$ for $\forall \alpha \in(0, \infty)$. It is also easy to see that $\alpha_{k} \rightarrow$ $\alpha_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ and then $R\left(\alpha_{0}\right)>0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.4 , the only possibility for the case where $R(\alpha)$ tends to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}$. We shall rule out this possibility by considering the following cases: (i) $f(0)=0, \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(s) / s^{p-1}>0$; (ii) $f(0)=0, \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(s) / s^{p-1}=0$; (iii) $f(0)=0$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(s) / s^{p-1}<0$ and (iv) $f(0)<0$. For the case where $f(0)>0$ and $f$ is nondecreasing for $0<s<1$, we know from Lemma 2.6 that there exists a unique positive small solution $u(r, \lambda)$ which will tend to zero uniformly in $\Omega$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}$. This implies that $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ is a positive small solution if $R(\alpha)$ is sufficiently small.

Case (i). In this case, we shall prove that problem (1.1)-(1.2) has no positive radially symmetric solution $u_{\lambda}$ with $\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ when $\lambda$ is sufficiently small.

If $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(s) / s^{p-1}=\alpha>0$, suppose that there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(\lambda_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right\}$ satisfying $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $u_{n}$ is a radially symmetric positive solution of equations (1.1)-(1.2) for $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $\omega_{n}(x)=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \omega_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \omega_{n}\right) & =\lambda_{n} \frac{f\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \omega_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{p-1}} \omega_{n}^{p-1} \text { in } B_{1}  \tag{2.23}\\
\omega_{n}(x) & =0 \text { on } \partial B_{1} \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\omega_{n}>0,\left\|\omega_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=1$ for any $n$ and $\frac{f\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \omega_{n}\right)}{\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \omega_{n}\right)^{p-1}} \rightarrow \alpha$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the compactness of $G_{p}^{1}$ from $C^{0}\left(B_{1}\right)$ to $C_{0}^{1}\left(\overline{B_{1}}\right)$ (see [12]) implies that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$ (still denoted by $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$ later) and $\bar{\omega} \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\overline{B_{1}}\right)$ such that $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \bar{\omega}$ in $C^{1}\left(\overline{B_{1}}\right)$. Thus, $\bar{\omega}$ is a bounded solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla \bar{\omega}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{\omega}\right) & =0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{1} \\
\bar{\omega} & =0 \quad \text { on } \partial B_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\bar{\omega} \equiv 0$ in $B_{1}$. This contradicts the facts that $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \bar{\omega}$ in $C^{1}\left(\overline{B_{1}}\right)$ and $\left\|\omega_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=1$.

If $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(s) / s^{p-1}=+\infty$, suppose that there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(\lambda_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right\}$ satisfying $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $u_{n}$ is a radial positive solution of equations (1.1)(1.2) for $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\omega_{n}(x)=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
-\left(r^{N-1} \Phi_{p}\left(\omega_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} & =\lambda_{n} r^{N-1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{(p-1)} f\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \omega_{n}\right) \quad \text { in }(0,1)  \tag{2.25}\\
\omega_{n}^{\prime}(0) & =0, \omega_{n}(1)=0
\end{align*}
$$

and $\omega_{n}(0)=1$. First, we shall prove that $\tau_{n}=\lambda_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{(p-1)}$ is uniformly bounded. Suppose that $\tau_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $y_{n}=\tau_{n}^{1 / p} r, \widetilde{\omega}_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)=\omega_{n}(r)$. Then $\widetilde{\omega}_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{\omega}_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{\omega}_{n}\right) & =f\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \widetilde{\omega}_{n}\right) \text { in } B_{n}, \\
\widetilde{\omega}_{n} & =0 \text { on } \partial B_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $B_{n}$ is $B_{1}$ under the change of variables. Since $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $f(0)=0$, we have that $\widetilde{\omega}_{n} \rightarrow \widetilde{\omega}$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\widetilde{\omega}(r)$ is a bounded solution of

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla \widetilde{\omega}|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{\omega}\right)=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

with $\|\widetilde{\omega}\|_{\infty}=1$. This implies that $\widetilde{\omega} \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. This contradicts the fact that $\|\widetilde{\omega}\|_{\infty}=1$. Thus, $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded. Then, equation (2.25) and $\left\|\omega_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=1$ imply that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$ and $\omega \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\overline{B_{1}}\right)$ such that $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega$ in $C^{1}\left(\overline{B_{1}}\right)$. Then $\omega$ is a bounded solution of the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla \omega|^{p-2} \nabla \omega\right) & =0 \text { in } B_{1} \\
\omega & =0 \text { on } \partial B_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\|\omega\|_{\infty}=1$. This implies that $\omega \equiv 0$. This contradicts the fact that $\|\omega\|_{\infty}=$ 1.

Case (ii). In this case, we shall prove that $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}} R(\alpha)=\infty$. We observe that $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r, \alpha)=\alpha-\int_{0}^{r}\left(\int_{0}^{s}\left(\frac{z}{s}\right)^{N-1} f(u(z)) d z\right)^{1 /(p-1)} d s \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f(0)=0, \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(s) / s^{p-1}=0$, for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $f(u) \leq \epsilon u^{p-1}$ for $u \in(0, \delta)$. Therefore, if $u(r, \alpha) \in(0,2 \alpha) \subset(0, \delta)$ then
$|f(u(r, \alpha))| \leq 2^{p-1} \epsilon \alpha^{p-1}$. Now, it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{0}^{r}\left(\int_{0}^{s}\left(\frac{z}{s}\right)^{N-1} f(u(z, \alpha)) d z\right)^{1 /(p-1)} d s\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{r}\left(\int_{0}^{s}\left(\frac{z}{s}\right)^{N-1}|f(u(z, \alpha))| d z\right)^{1 /(p-1)} d s \\
& \leq 2 \alpha \epsilon^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\int_{0}^{r} s^{(1-N) /(p-1)}\left(\int_{0}^{s} z^{N-1} d z\right)^{1 /(p-1)} d s\right)  \tag{2.27}\\
& =2 \alpha \epsilon^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\int_{0}^{r} s^{1 /(p-1)} d s\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} 2 \alpha \epsilon^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{(p-1)}{p} r^{p /(p-1)}
\end{align*}
$$

as far as $u(s, \alpha) \in(0,2 \alpha)$ for all $s \in(0, r)$. Hence, by (2.26)-(2.27), and for $\alpha \in(0, \delta / 2)$ and $r \in\left(0,\left(\frac{p}{2(p-1)}\right)^{(p-1) / p}(N / \epsilon)^{1 / p}\right)$, we have

$$
|u(r, \alpha)| \leq \alpha+\left|\int_{0}^{r}\left(\int_{0}^{s}\left(\frac{z}{s}\right)^{N-1} f(u(z)) d z\right)^{1 /(p-1)} d s\right| \leq 2 \alpha
$$

so $u(r, \alpha) \in(0,2 \alpha)$. This implies $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}} R(\alpha)=\infty$.
Case (iii). In this case, there are positive constants $m$ and $\delta$ such that $-m u^{p-1} \leq f(u) \leq 0$ on $[0, \delta]$. Therefore, if $u(s, \alpha) \in[0, \delta]$ for all $s \in(0, r)$, then by (2.26) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(r, \alpha) \leq \alpha+m^{1 /(p-1)} \int_{0}^{r}\left(\int_{0}^{s}\left(\frac{z}{s}\right)^{N-1} u^{p-1}(z, \alpha) d z\right)^{1 /(p-1)} d s \\
& \leq \alpha+m^{1 /(p-1)} u(r, \alpha) \frac{(p-1)}{p}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} r^{p /(p-1)} \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, if $u(R(\alpha, \delta), \alpha)=\delta$, then (2.26) implies that $R^{p /(p-1)}(\alpha, \delta) \geq \frac{p(\delta-\alpha) N^{1 /(p-1)}}{\delta(p-1) m^{1 /(p-1)}}$ and so $R(\alpha)$ has a positive lower bound for $\alpha \in$ $(0, \delta / 2)$.

Case (iv). In this case, there are $\epsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that $f(u) \leq-\epsilon$ on $[0, \delta]$. Let $\bar{C}=-\epsilon$ in (2.13), $R(\alpha, \bar{B})=0, \bar{B}=\alpha$ in (2.14), and $u^{\prime}(0, \alpha)=0$ in (2.15). Then (2.18) becomes $v_{\alpha}(r)=\alpha+\left(\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) r^{p /(p-1)}$ which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r, \alpha) \geq v_{\alpha}(r)=\alpha+\left(\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) r^{p /(p-1)} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

as long as $u(r, \alpha) \in[0, \delta]$. In particular, $R(0)>0$. The continuous dependence of $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ in $\alpha$ and (2.29) imply that there is a positive lower bound for $R(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in[0, \delta]$. The proof of Theorem 2.9 is complete.

Remark 2.10. It is worth remarking that the validity of Theorem 2.9 relies on the topology of the domain $\Omega$. Indeed when $\Omega$ is an annular domain, i.e., $\Omega=$ $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: a<|x|<b\right\}, N \geq 2$, and $f(u)$ is continuous and $\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{|u|^{p-2} u}=\infty$ ( $f$ is superlinear) uniformly for $t \in[a, b]$, there is at least one positive non-small solution for each $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, see [18], [24] and the reference therein.
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