Milan Demko Products of partially ordered quasigroups

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 49 (2008), No. 2, 209--217

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119716

# Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2008

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## Products of partially ordered quasigroups

Milan Demko

*Abstract.* We describe necessary and sufficient conditions for a direct product and a lexicographic product of partially ordered quasigroups to be a positive quasigroup. Analogous questions for Riesz quasigroups are studied.

*Keywords:* partially ordered quasigroup, positive quasigroup, Riesz quasigroup, direct product, lexicographic product

Classification: 20N05, 06F99

#### 1. Preliminaries

The concept of an ordered loop was introduced by D. Zelinsky [10] who was the first to consider valuations of nonassociative algebras. Their values are in ordered loops and D. Zelinsky [11] determined all such ordered loops. Ordered loops and quasigroups were later studied by several other authors (e.g. [1], [2], [3], [4]), also in the connection with the ordered planar ternary rings ([5]). The previous research seems to indicate that the area is interesting and rich enough to justify a systematic study. In this paper we shall consider products of special types of ordered quasigroups — positive quasigroups and Riesz quasigroups.

The concept of a positive quasigroup was introduced by V.M. Tararin [7]. Further, properties of left-positive quasigroups and left-positive Riesz quasigroups were studied by V.A. Testov [8], [9].

Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a quasigroup. Let  $a \in Q$ . By  $e_a$   $(f_a)$  we denote the local left (right) unit element for a, i.e.,  $e_a$ ,  $f_a$  are such elements that  $e_a a = a$  and  $a f_a = a$ . If  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a loop, we denote by 1 the unit element of  $(Q, \cdot)$ .

A nonempty set Q with a binary operation  $\cdot$  and a relation  $\leq$  is called a *partially* ordered quasigroup (po-quasigroup) if

- (i)  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a quasigroup;
- (ii)  $(Q, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set;
- (iii) for all  $x, y, a \in Q$ ,  $x \leq y \Leftrightarrow ax \leq ay \Leftrightarrow xa \leq ya$ .

A po-quasigroup Q is called a *partially ordered loop* (*po-loop*) if  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a loop. We say that a po-quasigroup Q is *trivially ordered*, if any two different elements  $a, b \in Q$  are non-comparable (for non-comparable elements we will use the notation  $a \parallel b$ ).

#### M. Demko

Let Q be a po-quasigroup. An element  $p \in Q$  is called a *positive element*, if  $px \geq x$  and  $xp \geq x$  for all  $x \in Q$ . The set of all positive elements of Q will be denoted by  $P_Q$ . Obviously,  $P_Q = \{p \in Q : p \geq e_x \text{ and } p \geq f_x \text{ for all } x \in Q\}$ . A po-quasigroup Q is said to be a *positive quasigroup*, if for all  $x, y \in Q, x < y$ , there exist positive elements  $p, q \in P_Q$  such that y = px and y = xq.

Clearly, a partially ordered loop Q (and obviously a partially ordered group, too) is a positive quasigroup with the set of all positive elements  $P_Q = \{p \in Q : p \ge 1\}$ . If Q is a trivially ordered quasigroup, then Q is a positive quasigroup. The following example shows that there exists a non-trivially ordered positive quasigroup which is not a loop.

**1.1 Example.** Let  $Q = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  ( $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of all real numbers). Take the operation

$$(x,y) \cdot (u,v) = (x+u,2y+v)$$

and the relation  $\leq$ , where = is defined componentwise and < is defined by

$$(x,y) < (u,v) \Leftrightarrow x < u.$$

Then Q is a positive quasigroup with the set of all positive elements  $P_Q = \{(x, y) : x > 0\}$ . The set of all local unit elements of Q is  $E = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

It is easy to verify that a direct product and a lexicographic product of partially ordered quasigroups is a partially ordered quasigroup. The situation is different in the case of positive quasigroups. In this note we are interested in conditions under which a direct product and a lexicographic product of partially ordered quasigroups is a positive quasigroup. Analogous questions for Riesz quasigroups are studied as well.

#### 2. Direct products of positive quasigroups

Let I be a nonempty set and let  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of partially ordered quasigroups. By the *direct product* of po-quasigroups  $Q_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , we mean the Cartesian product of sets  $Q_i$  with the operation  $\cdot$  and the relation  $\leq$  defined componentwise, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot y &= z \Leftrightarrow x(i) \cdot y(i) = z(i) \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in I, \\ x &\le y \Leftrightarrow x(i) \le y(i) \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in I, \end{aligned}$$

where x(i), y(i) and z(i) is the *i*th component of x, y and z, respectively. The direct product of po-quasigroups  $Q_i$ ,  $i \in I$  will be denoted by  $\prod_{i \in I} Q_i$ .

The direct product of positive quasigroups need not be a positive quasigroup. For instance, take Q as in 1.1. Then the direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} Q_i$ , where  $I = \{1, 2\}$  and  $Q_i = Q$  for i = 1, 2, is not a positive quasigroup. **2.1 Lemma.** Let I be a nonempty set and let  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of partially ordered quasigroups. If  $Q = \prod_{i \in I} Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup, then each  $Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup, too.

PROOF: Let  $Q = \prod_{i \in I} Q_i$  and let  $j \in I$ . If  $Q_j$  is a trivially ordered quasigroup, then it is a positive quasigroup. Assume that  $Q_j$  is non-trivially ordered. Let  $a, b \in Q_j, a > b$ . There exist  $p, q \in Q_j$  such that a = qb = bp. We are going to show that p, q are positive elements. Let us define  $x, y \in Q$  in such a way that x(j) = a, y(j) = b and x(i) = y(i) for each  $i \in I, i \neq j$ . Clearly x > y. Since Qis a positive quasigroup, there exists  $u, v \in P_Q$  such that x = uy = yv. Evidently u(j) = q, v(j) = p. Now, let c be any element of  $Q_j$ . Take  $z \in Q$  with z(j) = c. Since  $u \in P_Q, uz \ge z$  and  $zu \ge z$ . Thus we have  $qc \ge c$  and  $cq \ge c$ . Analogously,  $pc \ge c$  and  $cp \ge c$ . Thus  $p, q \in P_{Q_j}$ .

**2.2 Lemma.** Let I be a nonempty set and let  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of partially ordered quasigroups such that there are at least two non-trivially ordered quasigroups. Then  $Q = \prod_{i \in I} Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup if and only if  $Q_i$  is a po-loop for each  $i \in I$ .

PROOF: Let  $Q = \prod_{i \in I} Q_i$  be a positive quasigroup. Let  $e_a, e_b$  be the local left unit elements for  $a, b \in Q_j$ , respectively. We are going to show that  $e_a = e_b$ . By assumption there exists  $k \in I$ ,  $k \neq j$ , such that  $Q_k$  is a non-trivially ordered quasigroup. Let us take  $x, y \in Q$  such that x(j) = y(j) = b, x(k) > y(k) and x(i) = y(i) for each  $i \in I - \{j, k\}$ . Since x > y, there is  $p \in P_Q$  such that x = py. Obviously,  $p(j) = e_b$ . Now, let z be an element of Q with z(j) = a. Since  $p \in P_Q$ ,  $pz \ge z$ . Hence  $p(j)z(j) \ge z(j)$ , i.e.,  $e_ba \ge a$ . This yields  $e_b \ge e_a$ . Analogously we can prove that  $e_a \ge e_b$ . Therefore  $e_a = e_b$ . By the similar way we obtain that any two local right unit elements from  $Q_j$  are equal. Thus we can conclude that  $Q_j$  is a po-loop.

Conversely, if  $Q_i$  is a partially ordered loop for each  $i \in I$ , then  $Q = \prod_{i \in I} Q_i$  is a partially ordered loop, too. Thus Q is a positive quasigroup.

**2.3 Theorem.** Let I be a nonempty set and  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  a family of partially ordered quasigroups. Then  $Q = \prod_{i \in I} Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup if and only if one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

- (i)  $Q_i$  is a trivially ordered quasigroup for each  $i \in I$ ;
- (ii) there exists an index  $k \in I$  such that  $Q_k$  is a non-trivially ordered positive quasigroup and for all  $i \in I$ ,  $i \neq k$ ,  $Q_i$  is a trivially ordered loop;
- (iii) there exist at least two indices  $j, k \in I$  such that  $Q_j, Q_k$  are non-trivially ordered quasigroups and  $Q_i$  is a partially ordered loop for each  $i \in I$ .

PROOF: Let  $Q = \prod_{i \in I} Q_i$  be a positive quasigroup. By 2.1  $Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup for each  $i \in I$ . Suppose that there exists exactly one index  $k \in Q$  such that  $Q_k$  is a non-trivially ordered positive quasigroup. By the same way as

in the proof of 2.2 we obtain that  $Q_j$  is a loop for each  $j \neq k$ . Obviously  $Q_j$  is a trivially ordered loop. If there exist two indices  $k, j \in I$  such that  $Q_k$  and  $Q_j$  are non-trivially ordered positive quasigroups, then, according to 2.2,  $Q_i$  is a partially ordered loop for each  $i \in I$ .

Conversely, from (i) it follows that  $Q = \prod_{i \in I} Q_i$  is a trivially ordered quasigroup and thus it is a positive quasigroup. Let (ii) hold and let  $x, y \in Q, x > y$ . There exist  $p, q \in Q$  such that x = py = qy. We are going to show that  $p, q \in P_Q$ . Obviously x(k) > y(k) and x(i) = y(i) for each  $i \neq k$ . By assumption  $Q_k$  is a positive quasigroup, therefore  $p(k), q(k) \in P_{Q_k}$ . Further, for each  $i \in I, i \neq k$ , p(i) = q(i) = 1. Thus  $pz \ge z$  and  $zq \ge z$  for each  $z \in Q$ . Hence  $p, q \in P_Q$  and Qis a positive quasigroup. Finally, in view of 2.2, (iii) implies that Q is a positive quasigroup.

**2.4 Corollary.** Let Q be a partially ordered quasigroup which can be expressed as a direct product of non-trivially ordered quasigroups. Then Q is positive if and only if Q is a po-loop.

### 3. Lexicographic products of positive quasigroups

Let I be a well-ordered set and let  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of partially ordered quasigroups. By the *lexicographic product* of  $Q_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , we mean the direct product of quasigroups  $Q_i$  with the relation  $\leq$  defined by

 $x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x = y \text{ or } x(i) < y(i) \text{ for the least } i \in I \text{ with } x(i) \neq y(i),$ 

where x(i) and y(i) is the *i*th component of x and y, respectively. The lexicographic product of po-quasigroups  $Q_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , will be denoted by  $\Gamma_{i \in I} Q_i$ .

The lexicographic product of positive quasigroups need not be a positive quasigroup. For instance, the lexicographic product  $\Gamma_{i \in I}Q_i$ , where  $I = \{1, 2\}$  and  $Q_i$ is a positive quasigroup from 1.1 for each  $i \in I$ , is not a positive quasigroup.

Using the similar methods to those in the proof of 2.1 the following lemma can be proved.

**3.1 Lemma.** Let I be a well-ordered set and  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  a family of partially ordered quasigroups. If  $Q = \Gamma_{i \in I} Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup, then each  $Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup, too.

**3.2 Lemma.** Let I be a well-ordered set and  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  a family of partially ordered quasigroups. Let  $Q = \Gamma_{i \in I} Q_i$  be a positive quasigroup. If  $Q_k$ ,  $k \in I$ , is a non-trivially ordered quasigroup, then  $Q_i$  is a po-loop for each  $i \in I$ , i < k.

PROOF: Let  $j \in I$ , j < k. Let  $e_a, e_b$  be local left unit elements for  $a, b \in Q_j$ , respectively. We take  $x, y \in Q$  with x(j) = y(j) = b, x(k) > y(k) and x(i) = y(i)for each  $i \neq j, k$ . Clearly x > y. Thus there is  $p \in P_Q$  such that x = py. Evidently, p(i) is the local left unit for x(i) for each  $i \neq k$ . Especially, for j we have  $p(j) = e_b$ . Now, let z be an element of Q with z(j) = a and z(i) = x(i) for each  $i \neq j$ . Since  $p \in P_Q$ ,  $z \leq pz$ . Therefore  $z(j) \leq p(j)z(j)$ , i.e.,  $a \leq e_ba$ . Hence  $e_a \leq e_b$ . Using analogous methods, it can be shown that  $e_b \leq e_a$ . Therefore  $e_a = e_b$ . Similarly we obtain that any two local right unit elements from  $Q_j$  are equal.

**3.3 Theorem.** Let I be a well-ordered set and  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  a family of partially ordered quasigroups. Let each  $Q_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , contain more than one element. Then  $Q = \Gamma_{i \in I} Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup if and only if  $Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup for each  $i \in I$  and

- (i) if  $Q_k, k \in I$ , is a non-trivially ordered quasigroup, then  $Q_j$  is a po-loop for each  $j \in I$ , j < k;
- (ii) if  $Q_k$ ,  $k \in I$ , is a non-trivially ordered quasigroup and  $Q_i$  is a trivially ordered quasigroup for each i > k, then every two different local unit elements from  $Q_k$  are non-comparable.

**PROOF:** Let  $Q = \Gamma_{i \in I} Q_i$  be a positive quasigroup. From 3.1 it follows that  $Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup for each  $i \in I$ . The assertion (i) follows from 3.2. To prove (ii) suppose that  $Q_k$  is a non-trivially ordered quasigroup and  $Q_i$  is a trivially ordered quasigroup for each i > k. First, assume that there are different local left unit elements  $e_a, e_b$  for  $a, b \in Q_k$ , respectively. We are going to show that  $e_a \parallel e_b$ . Assume that  $e_a > e_b$ . There exists  $c \in Q_k$  such that  $b = e_a c$ . Since  $e_a b > b$ , we have b > c. Let x, y be elements of Q with x(k) = b, y(k) = c,  $x(i) \parallel y(i)$  for i > kand x(i) = y(i) for i < k. Obviously, x > y, and thus x = py, where  $p \in P_Q$ . Clearly  $p(k) = e_a$  and, by (i), p(i) = 1 for each i < k. Now, take  $z \in Q$  with z(k) = a, z(i) = y(i) for i > k and z(i) = x(i) for i < k. Then p(i)z(i) = z(i) for  $i \leq k$ . Further, since p(i)z(i) = p(i)y(i) = x(i) for i > k, we can conclude that  $p(i)z(i) \parallel z(i)$  for each  $i \in I, i > k$ . Thus  $pz \parallel z$ . But, on the other hand, since  $p \in P_Q$ , we have  $pz \ge z$ , which contradicts the relation above. Assuming  $e_b < e_a$ we again obtain a contradiction. Therefore  $e_a \parallel e_b$ . Analogously we can show that any two different local right units from  $Q_k$  are non-comparable. Finally, to end this direction of the proof, suppose that  $e_a$  is the local left unit for  $a \in Q_k$ ,  $f_b$  is the local right unit for  $b \in Q_k$  and  $e_a \neq f_b$ . Let  $e_a > f_b$ . Then  $be_a > b$  and since  $Q_k$  is a positive quasigroup, we have  $be_a = bp$ , where  $p \in P_{Q_k}$ . Therefore  $e_a \in P_{Q_k}$ , i.e.,  $e_a \ge e_x$  for each  $x \in Q_k$ . Now, using the fact that any two different local left unit elements from  $Q_k$  are non-comparable, we obtain  $e_a = e_x$  for each  $x \in Q_k$ . This yields  $e_a e_a = e_a$  and therefore  $e_a = f_{e_a}$ , where  $f_{e_a}$  is the local right unit for  $e_a$ . And since  $e_a > f_b$ , we have  $f_{e_a} > f_b$ , which contradicts the fact that any two different local right unit elements from  $Q_k$  are non-comparable. Analogously the case  $f_b > e_a$  cannot occur. Thus  $e_a \parallel f_b$ .

To prove the converse, let  $Q_i$  be a positive quasigroup for each  $i \in I$  and let (i), (ii) be valid. A quasigroup  $Q = \Gamma_{i \in I} Q_i$  is trivially ordered if and only if  $Q_i$  is a trivially ordered quasigroup for each  $i \in I$ . In this particular case, Q is a positive quasigroup. In the next we assume that Q is a non-trivially ordered quasigroup.

Let  $x, y \in Q$ , x > y. There are  $p, q \in Q$  such that x = py = yq. We are going to show that  $p, q \in P_Q$ . Since x > y, there exists  $k \in I$  such that x(k) > y(k)and x(i) = y(i) for each  $i \in I$ , i < k. Obviously  $p(k) \in P_{Q_k}$  and, by (i),  $Q_i$ is a loop for each i < k. Moreover, for each i < k, p(i) is the unit of  $Q_i$ . Let z be any element of Q. Clearly,  $p(k)z(k) \ge z(k)$  and p(i)z(i) = z(i) for i < k. Suppose that there exists  $r \in I$ , r > k, such that  $Q_r$  is a non-trivially ordered quasigroup. Then, by (i),  $Q_k$  is a loop. Since x(k) = p(k)y(k) and x(k) > y(k), we have  $p(k) \neq 1$ . Thus p(k)z(k) > z(k), and therefore pz > z. Assume that  $Q_i$  is a trivially ordered quasigroup for each i > k. If  $Q_k$  is a loop, then again pz > z. Suppose that  $Q_k$  is not a loop. Since  $p(k) \in P_{Q_k}$ , p(k) is greater than or equal to any local unit from  $Q_k$ . But, according to (ii), any two different local unit elements from  $Q_k$  are non-comparable. This yields that p(k) is the local unit for none element of  $Q_k$ . Therefore p(k)z(k) > z(k) and hence pz > z. We have shown that  $p \in P_Q$ . Analogously we can prove that  $q \in P_Q$ . Thus Q is a positive quasigroup. 

**3.4 Corollary.** Let I be a well-ordered set,  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  a family of non-trivially ordered quasigroups. Then  $Q = \Gamma_{i \in I}Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup if and only if  $Q_i$  is a positive quasigroup for each  $i \in I$  and for each couple (j, k) of elements of I, if k covers j, then  $Q_j$  is a po-loop.

### 4. Riesz quasigroups

Riesz groups were studied by L. Fuchs, G. Birkhoff and some other authors. The necessary and sufficient conditions for a lexicographic product of a family of partially ordered group to be a Riesz group were given by J. Lihová in [6]. In this section we deal with the lexicographic product of Riesz quasigroups. As for direct products of Riesz quasigroups, it is routine to verify that the direct product of po-quasigroups is a Riesz quasigroup if and only if each factor is a Riesz quasigroup.

A partially ordered quasigroup Q is said to be a *directed quasigroup* if Q is a directed set (i.e. for each  $a, b \in Q$  there exist  $c, d \in Q$  such that  $c \leq a, b$  and  $a, b \leq d$ ).

Let  $a, b \in Q$ . By U(a, b) (L(a, b)) we denote the set of all upper (lower, respectively) bounds of the set  $\{a, b\}$ .

**4.1 Lemma.** Let Q be not a directed po-quasigroup. Then there exist  $u, v, z \in Q$  such that  $U(z, u) = \emptyset$  and  $L(z, v) = \emptyset$ .

PROOF: Suppose that Q is not a directed quasigroup. Then there are elements  $a, b \in Q$  such that  $U(a, b) = \emptyset$ . In fact, if we assume that  $U(x, y) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x, y \in Q$ , then for each  $x, y \in Q$  there exists  $g \in Q$  such that  $R_x^{-1}x, R_y^{-1}x \leq g$ . Hence  $L_g^{-1}x \leq x, y$  and thus  $L(x, y) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x, y \in Q$ . This yields that Q is a directed quasigroup, which contradicts the assumption. Analogously, provided  $L(x, y) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x, y \in Q$  we also arrive at contradiction. Therefore there exist  $a, b, c, d \in Q$  such that  $U(a, b) = \emptyset$  and  $L(c, d) = \emptyset$ . Then  $U(ac, bc) = \emptyset$  and  $L(ac, ad) = \emptyset$ . Now, setting z = ac, u = bc and v = ad we obtain the required elements.

**4.2 Definition.** A partially ordered quasigroup Q is called a Riesz quasigroup if it is directed and satisfies the following interpolation property

(IP) for all  $a_i, b_j \in Q$  with  $a_i \leq b_j, i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exists  $c \in Q$  such that  $a_i \leq c \leq b_j$ .

Evidently every Riesz group is a Riesz quasigroup (Riesz groups are exactly the associative Riesz quasigroups). To give an example of a Riesz quasigroup which is not a Riesz group consider  $Q = \mathbb{R}^2$  with operation  $(x, y) \cdot (u, v) = (x+u, \frac{1}{2}(y+v))$  and relation  $(x, y) < (u, v) \Leftrightarrow x < u$  (cf. [9]).

**4.3 Remark.** Let *h* be any element from a partially ordered quasigroup *Q*. To see that the condition (IP) holds, it is sufficient to show that for all elements  $x, y, z \in Q$  such that h, x are non-comparable, y, z are non-comparable, h < y, z and x < y, z there exists  $c \in Q$  such that  $h, x \leq c \leq y, z$ .

Using similar methods as in [6] (by 4.3, the group unit can be replaced by any  $h \in Q$ ) we can prove both following lemmas.

**4.4 Lemma** (cf. [6, Lemma 2.1]). Let I be a well-ordered set,  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  a family of partially ordered quasigroups. If  $Q = \Gamma_{i \in I} Q_i$  satisfies (IP), then each  $Q_i$  satisfies (IP), too.

**4.5 Lemma** (cf. [6, Lemma 2.2]). Let *I* be a well-ordered set,  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  a family of partially ordered quasigroups. Let  $Q = \Gamma_{i \in I} Q_i$ . If  $h \leq u, v, a \leq u, v, a \parallel h, u \parallel v$  for some  $h, a, u, v \in Q$ , then there exists an index  $i \in I$  such that a(i) < u(i), v(i), h(i) < u(i), v(i) and h(j) = a(j) = u(j) = v(j) for all  $j \in I$ , j < i.

By an *antilattice* we mean such a po-quasigroup, in which only pairs of comparable elements may have a greatest lower and a least upper bound. Choose any element  $h \in Q$ . To verify that a partially ordered quasigroup Q is an antilattice, it is sufficient to show that if  $a \in Q$ ,  $a \parallel h$ , then a, h do not have a least upper bound.

A partially ordered quasigroup Q will be said to be *dense* if, whenever  $a, b \in Q$ , a < b, there exists  $c \in Q$  with a < c < b. And again, to see that Q is dense, it is sufficient to show that for any chosen (fixed) element  $h \in Q$  and all  $b \in Q$  such that h < b, there exists  $c \in Q$  with h < c < b.

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 2.3 in [6] which was formulated for Riesz groups.

**4.6 Theorem.** Let I be a well-ordered set and  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  a family of partially ordered quasigroups such that each  $Q_i$  contains more than one element. Let  $Q = \Gamma_{i \in I} Q_i$ . Then Q satisfies (IP) if and only if all  $Q_i$  satisfy (IP) and for each couple (j, k) of elements of I such that k covers j it is true that if  $Q_k$  is not directed and  $Q_j$  is non-trivially ordered, then the quasigroup  $Q_j$  is a dense antilattice.

PROOF: Let Q satisfy (IP). Let j, k be such elements of I, that k covers j and let  $Q_k$  be not directed,  $Q_j$  be non-trivially ordered. By 4.1 there exist  $e_k, t_k, r_k \in Q_k$  such that  $U(e_k, t_k) = \emptyset$  and  $L(e_k, r_k) = \emptyset$ . Take any element  $e \in Q$  with  $e(k) = e_k$ . To prove that  $Q_j$  is dense it is sufficient to verify that for each  $g_j \in Q_j$ ,  $e(j) < g_j$ , there exists  $h_j \in Q_j$  such that  $e(j) < h_j < g_j$ . Define elements  $a, u, v \in Q$  by  $a(j) = e(j), u(j) = v(j) = g_j, a(k) = t_k, u(k) = e_k, v(k) = r_k$  and a(l) = u(l) = v(l) = e(l) for all  $l \in I - \{j, k\}$ . We have  $e < u, v, a < u, v, a \parallel e, u \parallel v$ . By assumption there exists  $p \in Q$  such that e, a . Evidently <math>p(i) = e(i) for all  $i < j, e(j) \leq p(j) \leq g_j$ . If p(j) = e(j), then  $p(k) \geq e_k, t_k$ , a contradiction. On the other hand, if  $p(j) = g_j$ , which proves the density of  $Q_j$ .

The rest of the proof can be performed by using the same methods as in [6].  $\Box$ 

**4.7 Corollary** (cf. [6]). Let *I* be a well-ordered set with the least element  $i_0$  and let  $\{Q_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of partially ordered quasigroups such that each  $Q_i$  contains more than one element. Then  $Q = \Gamma_{i \in I} Q_i$  is a Riesz quasigroup if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $Q_{i_0}$  is a directed quasigroup;
- (ii) all  $Q_i$ 's satisfy (IP);
- (iii) if  $j, k \in I$ , k covers  $j, Q_k$  is not directed,  $Q_j$  is non-trivially ordered, then  $Q_j$  is a dense antilattice.

#### References

- [1] Bosbach B., Lattice ordered binary systems, Acta. Sci. Math. 32 (1988), 257-289.
- [2] Bruck R.H., A Survey of Binary Systems, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Neue Folge, Heft 20, Springer, Berlin, 1958.
- [3] Evans T., Lattice-ordered loops and quasigroups, J. Algebra 16 (1970), 218-226.
- [4] Hartman P.A., Integrally closed and complete ordered quasigroups and loops, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972), 250–256.
- [5] Kalhoff F.B., Priess-Crampe S.H.G., Ordered loops and ordered planar ternary rings, Quasigroups and loops: theory and applications, Sigma Ser. Pure Math. 8, Heldermann, Berlin, 1990, pp. 445–465.
- [6] Lihová J., On Riesz groups, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 27 (2003), 163–176.
- [7] Tararin V.M., Ordered quasigroups, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 1 (1979), 82–86 (in Russian).
- [8] Testov V.A., Left-positive quasigroups with a lattice order, Webs and quasigroups (in Russian), 153, pp. 110–114, Kalinin. Gos. Univ., Kalinin, 1982.

- [9] Testov V.A., Left-positive Riesz quasigroups, Problems in the theory of webs and quasigroups (in Russian), 158, pp. 81–83, Kalinin. Gos. Univ., Kalinin, 1985.
- [10] Zelinsky D., Nonassociative valuations, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1948), 175-183.
- [11] Zelinsky D., On ordered loops, Amer. J. Math. 70 (1948), 681–697.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Humanities and Natural Sciences, University of Prešov, 17. Novembra 1, 081 16 Prešov, Slovakia

E-mail: demko@unipo.sk

(Received October 5, 2007, revised December 10, 2007)