Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Tiziana Cardinali; Nikolaos S. Papageorgiou; Francesca Papalini On nonconvex functional evolution inclusions involving m-dissipative operators

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 47 (1997), No. 1, 135-148

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127345

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1997

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project $\mathit{DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library } \texttt{http://dml.cz}$

ON NONCONVEX FUNCTIONAL EVOLUTION INCLUSIONS INVOLVING m-DISSIPATIVE OPERATORS

TIZIANA CARDINALI, Perugia, NIKOLAOS S. PAPAGEORGIOU, Athens, FRANCESCA PAPALINI, Perugia

(Received November 21, 1994)

1. Introduction

In a recent paper Avgerinos-Papageorgiou [2], proved an existence result for a class of evolution inclusions driven by m-dissipative operators and with a nonconvex setvalued perturbation. In this paper we extend the work of Avgerinos-Papageorgiou [2] in several directions. First, we consider functional-evolution inclusions; i.e. the system under consideration has a memory feature. Second, the multivalued perturbation consists of the extreme points of the original convex-valued orientor field. We emphasize that this "extreme points multifunction", in general is not closed valued and/or lower semicontinuous. So the general theoretical framework of [2] fails. Third, we prove that these "extremal" trajectories are in fact dense in the topology of uniform convergence, in the solution set of the original evolution inclusion, obtaining this way a new strong relaxation theorem. We remark, that in the context of control systems, this density result produces new nonlinear, infinite dimensional "bang-bang" principles. In addition our work here extends those of Cellina-Marchi [8], who studied maximal monotone differential inclusions in \mathbb{R}^n and of Attouch-Damlamian [1], who considered evolution inclusions in a Hilbert space, monitored by subdifferential operators and with a convex set-valued perturbation. A comprehensive introduction to the subject of functional-differential inclusions and their application to optimal control problems, can be found in the recent book of Kisielewicz [11].

2. MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES

Let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space and X a separable Banach space. Throughout this paper, we will be using the following notations:

$$P_{f(c)}(X) = \{A \subset X : A \text{ nonempty, closed and (convex)}\},$$

 $P_{(w)k(c)}(X) = \{A \subset X : A \text{ nonempty, (weakly-)compact, (convex)}\}.$

A multifunction $F:\Omega\to P_f(X)$ is said to be measurable, if for all $x\in X$, the function $\omega\to d(x,F(\omega))=\inf\{\|x-z\|\colon z\in F(\omega)\}$ is Borel measurable. Now let $\mu(\cdot)$ be a finite measure defined on (Ω,Σ) . We define S_F^p $(1\leqslant p\leqslant +\infty)$ to be the set of all $L^p(\Omega,X)$ -selectors of $F(\cdot)$; i.e. $S_F^p=\{f\in L^p(\Omega,X)\colon f(\omega)\in F(\omega)\ \mu-\text{a.e.}\}$. This set may be empty. It is nonempty if and only if the function $\omega\to\inf\{\|z\|\colon z\in F(\omega)\}$ belongs to $L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^+)$. Recall that a subset K of $L^p(\Omega,X)$ is decomposable if for every triple $(f,g,A)\in K\times K\times \Sigma$, we have $f\chi_A+g\chi_{A^c}\in K$, where χ_A denotes the characteristic function of the set A. Clearly S_F^p is decomposable.

On $P_f(X)$ we can define a generalized metric, known in the literature as the "Hausdorff metric", by setting, for $A, B \in P_f(X)$,

$$h(A, B) = \max \{ \sup\{d(a, B) : a \in A\}, \sup\{d(b, A) : b \in B\} \}$$

(recall that $d(a, B) = \inf\{\|a - b\| : b \in B\}$; similarly for d(b, A)). The metric space $(P_f(X), h)$ is complete. A multifunction $F : X \to P_f(X)$ is said to be *Hausdorff continuous* (H-continuous) if it is continuous from X into $(P_f(X), h)$.

Let Y, Z be Hausdorff topological spaces. A multifunction $G: Y \to 2^Z \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is said to be lower semicontinuous (denoted as l.s.c.), if for all $U \subset Z$ open $F^-(U) = \{y \in Y : F(y) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ is open in Y.

Let $A \colon D(A) \subset X \to 2^X$ be a set-valued operator with domain D(A). We say that A is accretive, if for every $x_1, x_2 \in D(A)$, for every $y_i \in A(x_i)$, i = 1, 2, and for every $\lambda > 0$, we have $||x_1 - x_2|| \leq ||x_1 - x_2 + \lambda(y_1 - y_2)||$. Another equivalent definition, can be given using the duality map of X, which is the set-valued function $J \colon X \to 2^{X^*}$ defined as $J(x) = \{x^* \in X^* \colon (x^*, x) = ||x||^2 = ||x^*||^2\}$. Clearly the values of $J(\cdot)$ are nonempty, closed, convex, bounded subsets of X^* ; moreover we recall that if X^* is strictly convex, the duality map $J(\cdot)$ is single-valued and w^* -demicontinuous, and furthermore if X^* is locally uniformly convex, then $J(\cdot)$ is single-valued and continuous. Using $J(\cdot)$ we can define the upper semi-inner product on X (denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_+$) as follows:

$$(x,y)_+ = \sup\{(x^*,y) \colon x^* \in J(x)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $A(\cdot)$ is accretive if and only if for every $x_1, x_2 \in D(A)$, for every $y_i \in A(x_i)$, i = 1, 2, it follows $(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2)_+ \ge 0$. We say that $A(\cdot)$ is

m-accretive, if it is accretive and for each $\lambda > 0$, $I + \lambda A$ is surjective, where I is the identity operator on X. A is said to be m-dissipative if -A is m-accretive. It is well known that an m-dissipative operator A generates, on $\overline{D(A)}$, a semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ of non expansive mappings, via the Crandall-Liggett formula

$$S(t)x = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \left(I - \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-n} x, \quad t \geqslant 0, \ x \in \overline{D(A)} \quad (\text{see [4]}).$$

The semigroup is said to be *compact* if, for each t > 0, S(t) is a compact operator.

Finally if T = [0, b], by $L_w^1(T, X)$ we will denote the space of all equivalence classes of Bochner integrable functions $x: T \to X$ with the (weak) norm

$$||x||_w = \sup \left\{ \left\| \int_t^{t'} x(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right\| : 0 \leqslant t \leqslant t' \leqslant b \right\}.$$

The setting of our problem is the following: let T = [0, b], $T_0 = [-r, 0]$ (r > 0), $\hat{T} = [-r, b]$ and let X be a separable reflexive Banach space, with uniformly convex dual. We consider the following multivalued Cauchy problem:

(1)
$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + F(t, x_t), \\ x(v) = w(v), \ v \in T_0. \end{cases}$$

Here $x_t(\cdot) \in C(T_0, X)$ is the function defined by $x_t(v) = x(t+v)$. So $x_t(\cdot)$ describes the past evolution of the state, from time t-r until the present time t. Also $A: D(A) \subset X \to 2^X$ is an m-dissipative operator.

In conjunction with (1), we also consider the following Cauchy problem:

(2)
$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + \text{ext } F(t, x_t), \\ x(v) = w(v), \ v \in T_0. \end{cases}$$

Here ext F(t, y) stands for the extreme points of the orientor field F(t, y). By an integral solution of (1) (resp. of (2)), we mean a function $x \in C(\hat{T}, X)$ such that there exists $f \in L^1(T, X)$ with $f(t) \in F(t, x_t)$ (resp. $f(t) \in \text{ext } F(t, x_t)$) a.e. in T and $x(\cdot)$ is an integral solution in the sense of Benilan [6] of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + f(t), \\ x(0) = w(0); \end{cases}$$

that is for each $[z, y] \in GrA$ and $0 \le s \le t \le b$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}||x(t) - z||^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{2}||x(s) - z||^2 + \int_s^t (f(\tau) + y, x(\tau) - z)_+ d\tau.$$

Recall that if dim $X < \infty$ or more generally if X is a Hilbert space, $f \in L^2(T, X)$ and $A = \partial \varphi$, where φ is a proper, lower semicontinuous, convex \mathbb{R} -valued function on X, then integral solutions coincide with strong solutions (see [4]).

3. Extremal trajectories

In this section, we estabilish the existence of integral solutions for problem (2). For this we will need the following hypotheses on the data:

H(A): $A: D(A) \subset X \to 2^X$ is a multivalued m-dissipative operator which generates a compact semigroup on $\overline{D(A)}$.

 $H(F): F: T \times C(T_0, X) \to P_{wkc}(X)$ is multifunction such that

- j) $\forall x \in C(T_0, X), t \to F(t, x)$ is measurable;
- jj) for a.e. $t \in T$, $x \to F(t, x)$ is H-continuous;
- jjj) $\exists a, c \in L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^+), 1 :$

$$||F(t,x)|| = \sup\{||z|| \colon z \in F(t,x)\} \le a(t) + c(t)||x||_{\infty},$$

a.e. in $T, \forall x \in C(T_0, X)$.

 H_0 : $w \in C(T_0, X)$ and $w(0) \in \overline{D(A)}$.

Remark 1. Hypotheses H(F) j) and jj) and Theorem 3.3 of [12] imply that $(t,x) \to F(t,x)$ is jointly measurable.

First we prove a lemma that we will need in the sequel

Lemma. If $(f_n)_n \subset L^p(T,X)$, $1 , <math>\sup\{\|f_n\|_p : n \in N\} < \infty$ and $f_n \to 0$ in $L^1_w(T,X)$ then $f_n \to 0$ weakly in $L^p(T,X)$.

Proof. From Theorem 1, p. 98, of [9], we know that $L^p(T,X)^* = L^q(T,X^*)$, with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let $((\cdot,\cdot))$ denote the duality brackets for the pair $(L^p(T,X), L^q(T,X^*))$. Since, by hypothesis, $(f_n)_n$ is bounded in $L^p(T,X)$ and the space of X^* -valued simple functions on T is dense in $L^q(T,X^*)$, we only need to show that $((f_n,s)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, for each simple function $s: T \to X^*$ of the form

$$s(t) = v_k, \quad t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k), \quad v_k \in X^*, \quad k = 1, ..., N,$$

with $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_N = b.$

We have:

$$|((f_n, s))| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (f_n(\tau), v_k) d\tau \right| \le \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left\| \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} f_n(\tau) d\tau \right\| \|_{v_k} \|$$

$$\le \|f_n\|_w \sum_{k=1}^{N} \|v_k\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

which was to be proved.

Now we are ready for the existence theorem concerning Cauchy problem (2).

Theorem 1. If hypotheses H(A), H(F) and H_0 hold, then problem (2) admits an integral solution.

Proof. We start by deriving an a priori bound for the solutions of the problem (1) (hence of (2) too). So let $x(\cdot) \in C(\hat{T}, X)$ be such a solution and let $y \in C(T, X)$ the unique integral solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t), \\ x(0) = w(0) \in \overline{D(A)} \end{cases}$$

(cf. [6]). Then from Benilan's inequality [6], we have

$$||x(t) - y(t)|| \le \int_0^t ||f(s)|| \, \mathrm{d}s$$

where $f \in L^p(T,X)$, $f(t) \in F(t,x_t)$ a.e. in T. So we have

$$||x(t)|| \le ||y||_{\infty} + \int_0^t (a(s) + c(s)||x_s||_{\infty}) ds,$$

hence

$$||x_t||_{\infty} \le ||y||_{\infty} + ||a||_1 + \int_0^t c(s) ||x_s||_{\infty} \, \mathrm{d}s, \ \forall \ t \in T.$$

Here $||x_t||_{\infty}$ is the ess sup of $x_t(\cdot)$ over the interval [t-r,t], while $||y||_{\infty}$ is the ess sup of $y(\cdot)$ over T=[0,b].

Invoking Gronwall's inequality, we deduce that there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that, for all $t \in \hat{T}$ and all solutions $x(\cdot)$ of the problem (1), we have $||x(t)|| \leq M_1$. Hence without any loss of generality, put $\gamma(t) = a(t) + c(t)M_1$, $\gamma \in L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^+)$, we may assume that

$$\|F(t,x)\|=\sup\{\|z\|\colon z\in F(t,x)\}\leqslant \gamma(t),\quad \text{a.e. in}\ \ T,\ \forall\ x\in C(T_0,X).$$

Otherwise in what follows we replace F(t,x) by $F(t,p_{M_1}(x))$ with $p_{M_1}(\cdot)$ being the M_1 -radial retraction. Note that by virtue of Lipschitzness of $p_{M_1}(\cdot)$, $F(t,p_{M_1}(x))$ has the same measurability and continuity properties as $F(\cdot,\cdot)$ and moreover $|F(t,p_{M_1}(x))| \leq \gamma(t)$ a.e.

Set

$$V = \{h \in L^p(T,X) \colon \|h(t)\| \leqslant \gamma(t) \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad T\}$$

and let $\eta: L^p(T,X) \to C(T,X)$ be the map which assigns to each $h \in L^p(T,X)$, the unique integral solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + h(t), \\ x(0) = w(0) \in \overline{D(A)}. \end{cases}$$

The fact that the above Cauchy problem has an integral solution which is actually unique is due to [6] (see also [4]).

Let $\hat{\eta}: L^p(T,X) \to C(\hat{T},X)$ be defined by, for each $h \in L^p(T,X)$,

$$\hat{\eta}(h)(t) = \begin{cases} \eta(h)(t), & \forall t \in T, \\ w(t), & \forall t \in T_0. \end{cases}$$

Since V is bounded and, by hypothesis H(A), the operator $A(\cdot)$ generates a compact semigroup, from Theorem 1 of [3], we have that $\hat{\eta}(V)$ is relatively compact in $C(\hat{T},X)$, hence $\eta(V)$ is relatively compact in C(T,X). Set $K=\overline{\operatorname{conv}}\hat{\eta}(V)$, from Mazur's Theorem we have that K is a compact and convex subset of $C(\hat{T},X)$. In what follows K is endowed with the $C(\hat{T},X)$ -topology.

Define $R: K \to P_{wkc}(L^p(T,X))$ by

$$R(x) = \{ h \in L^p(T, X) : h(t) \in F(t, x_t) \text{ a.e. in } T \}.$$

From Theorem 1.1 of [14], we know that there exists a continuous function $r: K \to L^1_w(T,X)$ such that $r(x) \in \text{ext } R(x), \forall x \in K$.

Since for every $x \in K$,

$$\operatorname{ext} R(x) = \{ h \in L^p(T, X) \colon h(t) \in \operatorname{ext} F(t, x_t) \text{ a.e. in } T \}$$

(cf. [5]), it follows that $r(x)(t) \in \text{ext } F(t, x_t)$, a.e. in T.

Let $\hat{\xi} = \hat{\eta} \circ r \colon K \to K$. Recalling that $J(\cdot)$ is a continuous single valued map and using Theorem 1 of [3], we have that $\hat{\eta}(\cdot)$ is sequentially continuous from $L^p(T,X)$ with the weak topology into $C(\hat{T},X)$. Combining this with the Lemma, we get that $\hat{\xi}(\cdot)$ is continuous. Then, by Schauder's fixed point Theorem, we have that there exists $x \in K$ such that $x = \hat{\xi}(x)$. So $x \in C(\hat{T},X)$ is the desired integral solution of the problem (2).

4. A STRONG RELAXATION THEOREM

Let $S(w) \subset C(\hat{T}, X)$ be the solution set of the Cauchy problem (1) and $S_e(w) \subset C(\hat{T}, X)$ the solution set of the problem (2). We saw that under the hypotheses of theorem $1, \emptyset \neq S_e(w) \subset S(w)$.

In this section, by strengthening our hypothesis on the orientor field, we show that $S_{\epsilon}(w)$ is dense in S(w) for the $C(\hat{T}, X)$ -topology.

The stronger hypothesis on F that we will need, is the following:

 $H(F)_1$: $F: T \times C(T_0, X) \to P_{wkc}(X)$ is a multifunction such that

- j) $\forall x \in C(T_0, X), t \to F(t, x)$ is measurable;
- jj' $\exists k \in L^1(T, \mathbb{R}^+) : h(F(t, x), F(t, x')) \leq k(t) ||x x'||_{\infty},$

a.e. in
$$T$$
, \forall , x , $x' \in C(T_0, X)$;

jjj) $\exists a, c \in L^p(T, \mathbb{R}^+), 1 :$

$$||F(t,x)|| = \sup\{||z|| : z \in F(t,x)\} \le a(t) + c(t)||x||_{\infty},$$

a.e. in $T, \forall x \in C(T, X)$.

Theorem 2. If hypotheses H(A), $H(F)_1$ and H_0 hold then $S_e(w)$ is dense in S(w) for the $C(\hat{T}, X)$ -topology.

Proof. Fixed $x \in S(w)$, let $f \in L^p(T,X)$: $f(t) \in F(t,x_t)$, a.e. in T, such that $x(\cdot)$ is the integral solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) \in Ax(t) + f(t), \\ x(0) = w(0) \in \overline{D(A)} \end{cases}$$

on T and x(v) = w(v) for all $v \in T_0$. Let K be the compact subset of $C(\hat{T}, X)$ as in the proof of Theorem 1. Given $z \in K$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\Gamma_{\varepsilon} : T \to 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ be defined by

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon}(t) = \left\{u \in X : \|f(t) - u\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_1b} + d(f(t), F(t, z_t)), u \in F(t, z_t)\right\}$$

with M_1 being the a priori bound for the elements of S(w) obtained in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1. We have

$$Gr\Gamma_{\varepsilon} = \Big\{(t,u) \in GrF(\cdot,z_{\cdot}) \colon \|f(t) - u\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_{1}b} + d(f(t),F(t,z_{t}))\Big\}.$$

From hypotheses $H(F)_1$ j) and jj)' and Theorem 3.3 of [12] we have that the function $t \to F(t, z_t)$ is measurable and so $GrF(\cdot, z_t) \in B(T) \times B(C(T_0, X))$ with B(T)

(resp. $B(C(T_0, X))$) being the Borel σ -field of T (resp. of $C(T_0, X)$). Apply Aumann's selection Theorem (cf. [11], theorem 3.11, p. 47) to get a measurable map $h_{\varepsilon}: T \to X$ such that $h_{\varepsilon}(t) \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}(t)$ a.e. in T. Then let $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}: K \to 2^{L^{\nu}}(T, X)$ be defined by

$$\Sigma_{\varepsilon}(z) = \left\{ h \in L^{p}(T, X) \colon h(t) \in F(t, z_{t}) \text{ and } ||f(t) - h(t)|| \right.$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_{1}b} + d(f(t), F(t, z_{t})) \text{ a.e. in } T \right\}.$$

We have just proved that, for all $z \in K$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}(z) \neq \emptyset$ and clearly $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ has decomposable values. Furthermore, from Proposition 4 of [7], we know that $z \to \Sigma_{\varepsilon}(z)$ is l.s.c., hence $z \to \overline{\Sigma_{\varepsilon}(z)}$ is l.s.c. and it has nonempty, closed and decomposable values. Apply Theorem 3 of [7] to get a continuous map $u_{\varepsilon}: K \to L^1(T, X)$ such that $u_{\varepsilon}(z) \in \overline{\Sigma_{\varepsilon}(z)}$, $\forall z \in K$. We have:

$$\begin{split} \|f(t) - u_{\varepsilon}(z)(t)\| &\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_1 b} + d(f(t), F(t, z_t)) \\ &\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_1 b} + k(t) \|x_t - z_t\|_{\infty}, \quad \text{a.e. in } T. \end{split}$$

Use Theorem 1.1 of [14] to get a continuous map $v_{\varepsilon} : K \to L^1_w(T, X)$ with the properties:

$$v_{\varepsilon}(z) \in \{h \in L^p(T, X) : h(t) \in \text{ext } F(t, z_t), \text{ a.e. in } T\}$$

and

$$||u_{\varepsilon}(z) - v_{\varepsilon}(z)||_{w} < \varepsilon, \quad \forall z \in K.$$

Let $\varepsilon = 1/n$, $u_{1/n} = u_n$ and $v_{1/n} = v_n$. Since $\hat{\eta}(v_n)$ maps K into K, from Schauder's fixed point Theorem we have that there exists $x_n \in K$ such that $x_n = \hat{\eta}(v_n)(x_n)$, therefore $x_n \in S_e(w)$. Since K is a compact subset of $C(\hat{T}, X)$, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $x_n \to z$ in $C(\hat{T}, X)$. From inequality (2,4), p. 124 of [4], we have that (recall that the duality map $J(\cdot)$ is single valued):

$$(4.1) ||x(t) - x_n(t)||^2 \le 2 \int_0^t (J(x(s) - x_n(s)), f(s) - v_n(x_n)(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\le 2 \int_0^t (J(x(s) - x_n(s)), f(s) - u_n(x_n)(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ 2 \int_0^t (J(x(s) - x_n(s)), u_n(x_n)(s) - v_n(x_n)(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s,$$

$$\forall t \in T, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since X^* is uniformly convex, from Proposition 32.22, p. 860 of [15], we have that $J(x(\cdot)-x_n(\cdot)) \to J(x(\cdot)-x(\cdot))$ in $C(T,X^*)$ as $n \to \infty$, while from the lemma in the

section 3, we have $u_n(x_n) - v_n(x_n) \to 0$ weakly in $L^p(T,X)$. So we obtain

(4.2)
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_0^t (J(x(s) - x_n(s)), u_n(x_n)(s) - v_n(x_n)(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s = 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\int_{0}^{t} (J(x(s) - x_{n}(s)), f(s) - u_{n}(x_{n})(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|J(x(s) - x_{n}(s))\| \|f(s) - u_{n}(x_{n})(s)\| ds$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{2nM_{1}b} + k(s)\|x_{s} - (x_{n})_{s}\|_{\infty}\right) \|x(s) - x_{n}(s)\| ds$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} + \int_{0}^{t} k(s)\|x_{s} - (s_{n})_{s}\|_{\infty}^{2} ds \to \int_{0}^{t} k(s)\|x_{s} - z_{s}\|_{\infty}^{2} ds, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Hence, by (4.1) and (4.2), it follows

$$||x_t - z_t||_{\infty}^2 \le 2 \int_0^t k(s) ||x_s - z_s||_{\infty}^2 ds, \ \forall t \in T.$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality we get x = z. Since $x_n \in S_e(w)$ and $x_n \to x$ in $C(\hat{T}, X)$, we have that S(w) is included in the clousure of $S_e(w)$ in $C(\hat{T}, X)$. It remains to show that S(w) is closed in $C(\hat{T}, X)$.

So let $x_n \in S(w)$ and assume that $x_n \to x$ in $C(\hat{T}, X)$. Then on T we have that there exists $f_n \in V$ such that $f_n(t) \in F(t, (x_n)_t)$ a.e. in $T, x_n = \eta(f_n)$ and $x_n(v) = w(v)$ on T. By passing to a subsequence, if it is necessary, we may assume that $f_n \to f$ weakly in $L^p(T, X)$. Put $G, G_n \colon T \to P_{wkc}(X)$ the multifunctions defined by $G(t) = F(t, x_t)$, $G_n(t) = F(t, (x_n)_t)$, $\forall t \in T, \forall n \in N$, for every $g \in L^p(T, X)^* = L^q(T, X^*)$, we have

$$((f_n, g)) \leqslant \sigma(g, S_{G_n}^p),$$

where σ is the support function of S_{G_n} , defined by

$$\sigma(g, S_{G_n}^p) = \sup \left\{ ((g, h)) \colon h \in S_{G_n}^p \right\}.$$

But $\sigma(g, S_{G_n}^p) = \int_0^b \sigma(g(t), G_n(t)) dt$ (cf. the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [13]).

Passing to the limit as $n \to \infty$ and using hypothesis $H(F)_1$ jj)' we have

$$\begin{split} ((f,g)) &\leqslant \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \sigma(g,S^p_{G_n}) \\ &\leqslant \int_0^b \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \sigma(g(t),G_n(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^b \sigma(g(t),G(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t = \sigma(g,S^p_G). \end{split}$$

Since $g \in L^q(T, X^*)$ was arbitrary, we deduce that $f \in S_G^p$. Also, as in the proof of Theorem 1, we have $\eta(f_n) \to \eta(f)$ in C(T,X), hence $x_n = \hat{\eta}(f_n) \to \hat{\eta}(f) = x$ in $C(\hat{T}, X)$ with $f(t) \in F(t, x_t)$ a.e. in T. Then $x \in S(w)$ and so S(w) is closed in $C(\hat{T},X)$.

5. DISTRIBUTED PARAMETER CONTROL SYSTEMS WITH DELAY

In this section we illustrate the applicability of our abstract results, through an example of a nonlinear parabolic distributed parameter control system with delay. Let T = [0, b] and Z be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary Γ . Here $t \in T$ is the time variable and $z \in Z$ the space variable. We consider the problem

(3)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \sum_{\alpha \leqslant m} (-1)^{\alpha} D^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}(z, \eta(x(t, z))) = f(t, z, x(t - r, z)) u(t, z), \\ \text{a.e. on } T \times Z, \\ D^{\beta} x|_{T \times \Gamma} = 0, \ |\beta| \leqslant m - 1, x(v, z) = w(v, z) \\ \text{a.e. on } Z, \text{ for all } v \in T_0 = [-r, 0], \\ |u(t, z)| \leqslant \gamma. \end{cases}$$

Here $f: T \times Z \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$, $|\alpha| = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k$, $D^{\alpha} = D_1^{\alpha_1} ... D_n^{\alpha_n}$ where as always, $D_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$, k = 1, ..., n, $\eta(x) = (D^{\alpha}x: |\alpha| \leq m)$ and $A_{\alpha}: Z \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, with $d = \frac{(n+m)!}{n!m!}$. The hypotheses on the data are the following:

- $\underline{H}(A)_1: A_\alpha: Z \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ are functions such that
 - 1) $\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $z \to A_{\alpha}(z, \eta)$ is measurable;
 - 2) $\forall z \in Z, \, \eta \to A_{\alpha}(z, \eta)$ is continuous;
 - 2) $\forall z \in Z, \, \eta \to A_{\alpha}(z, \eta)$ is continuous, 3) there exist $p \geqslant 2, \, a \in L^{q}(Z, \mathbb{R}^{+})$ and $c \in L^{\infty}(Z, \mathbb{R}^{+})$ $(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1)$ such that $|A_{\alpha}(z, \eta)| \leqslant a(z) + c(z) ||\eta||^{p-1}$, a.e. on Z and $\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$; 4) $\exists d^{*} > 0$ such that $\sum_{\alpha \leqslant m} (A_{\alpha}(z, \eta) A_{\alpha}(z, \eta')) (\eta_{\alpha} \eta'_{\alpha}) \geqslant d^{*} \sum_{|\gamma| = m} |\eta_{\gamma} \eta'_{\alpha}|^{2}$
 - $\eta'_{\gamma}|^p$, a.e. on Z and $\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
 - 5) $\exists r > 0$ such that $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{\alpha}(z, \eta) \eta_{\alpha} \geqslant r \sum_{|\gamma| = m} |\eta_{\gamma}|^p$, a.e. on Z and $\forall \eta \in$

H(f): $f: T \times Z \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that

- 1) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, (t,z) \to f(t,z,x)$ is measurable;
- 2) $\exists k \colon T \times Z \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that if \hat{k} is the function defined by $\hat{k}(t) = k(t,\cdot)$ then $\hat{k} \in L^2([0,T], L^{\infty}(Z,\mathbb{R}^+))$ and $|f(t,z,x) - f(t,z,y)| \leq k(t,z)|z-y|$, a.e. in $T \times Z$ and $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$;
- 3) there exist $a_1 \in L^2(T \times Z, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $c_1 \in L^\infty(T \times Z, \mathbb{R}^+) : |f(t, z, x)| \leq$ $a_1(t,z) + c_1(t,z)|x|$, a.e. in $T \times Z$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

 \underline{H}_0 : $w(\cdot,\cdot) \in C(T_0, L^2(Z,\mathbb{R}))$.

By an admissible state control-pair we mean two functions $x \in C(\hat{T}, L^2(Z, \mathbb{R}))$ and $u \in L^{\infty}(T \times Z, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the problem (3).

We have the following bang-bang principle for control system (3).

Theorem 3. If hypotheses $H(A)_1$, H(f) and H_0 hold and if [x, u] is an admissible state control pair then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists another admissible state control pair [y, v] such that

$$\lambda\{(t,z)\in T\times Z\colon |v(t,z|\neq\gamma\}=0\quad\text{and}\quad\sup\bigg\{\int_Z|x(t,z)-y(t,z)|^2\,\mathrm{d}z\colon t\in T\bigg\}<\varepsilon$$

(here $\lambda(\cdot)$ stands for the Lebesgue product measure on $T \times Z$).

Proof. Let $X = W_0^{m,p}(Z)$, $H = L^2(Z,\mathbb{R})$ and $X^* = W^{-m,q}(Z)$ $(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1)$. From the Sobolev embedding theorem we know that $X \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow X^*$, with all embeddings being compact; i.e. (X, H, X^*) is an evolution triple with compact embeddings (cf. [15], p. 416). Consider the Dirichelet form $\tilde{\alpha}: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(x,y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \int_Z A_{\alpha}(z,\eta(x(z))) D^{\alpha}y(z) dz$$

for all $x,y \in X$. Let $\hat{A}_{\alpha} : X \to L^{q}(Z,\mathbb{R})$ be the function defined by

$$\hat{A}_{\alpha}(x)(\cdot) = A_{\alpha}(\cdot, \eta(x(\cdot))), \ \forall x \in X.$$

Because of hypothesis $\underline{H}(A)_1$ and Krasnoselskii's Theorem (cf. [15], p. 561), we have that \hat{A}_{α} is continuous and in addition, because of $\underline{H}(A)_1$ (3),

$$\exists \hat{a}, \hat{c} > 0 \colon \|\hat{A}_{\alpha}(x)\|_{q} \leqslant \hat{a} + \hat{c}\|x\|_{x}^{p-1}, \ \forall x \in X.$$

Hence applying Holder's inequality, we get

$$|\widetilde{\alpha}(x,y)|\leqslant \sum_{|\alpha|\leqslant m}\|\widehat{A}_{\alpha}(x)\|_{q}\ \|D^{\alpha}y\|_{p}\leqslant (\widehat{a}+\widehat{c}\|x\|_{X}^{p-1})\|y\|_{X},\ \forall x,y\in X.$$

Thus there exists a nonlinear operator $A: X \to X^*$ satisfying

$$\langle A(x), y \rangle = \widetilde{\alpha}(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denoting the duality brackets for the pair (X, X^*) . Observe that

$$||A(x)||_{X^*} \le \hat{a} + \hat{c}||x||_x^{p-1}, \ \forall x \in X.$$

Moreover if $x_n \to x$ in X, from the continuity of \hat{A}_{α} and using once more Holder's inequality, we have

$$|\widetilde{\alpha}(x_n, y) - \widetilde{\alpha}(x, y)| \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} ||\widehat{A}_{\alpha}(x_n) - \widehat{A}_{\alpha}(x)||_q ||y||_X$$

which implies that

$$||A(x_n) - A(x)||_{X^*} \leqslant \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant m} ||\hat{A}_{\alpha}(x_n) - \hat{A}_{\alpha}(x)||_q \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Therefore $A(\cdot)$ is continuous.

Recall that $|x| = \left(\int_{Z} \sum_{|\gamma|=m} |D^{\gamma}x(z)|^p dz\right)^{1/p}$ is an equivalent norm on $W_0^{m,p}(Z)$. So from hypothesis $\underline{H}(A)_1$ (4), we get that there exists $\hat{d} > 0$ such that

(5.1)
$$\hat{d}\|x-y\|_x^p \leqslant \langle A(x) - A(y), x-y \rangle, \ \forall x, y \in X.$$

Next let $A_H: D(A_H) \subset H \to H$ be defined by $A_H(x) = A(x)$ for all $x \in D(A_H) = \{x \in X : A(x) \in H\}$. We know that A is the energetic extension of A_H and from hypothesis $\underline{H}(A)_1$ (5), we obtain that it is also coercive (i.e. $\lim_{\|u\| + \infty} \frac{\langle Au, u \rangle}{\|u\|} = \infty$); therefore A_H is maximal monotone (cf. [4], p. 140). Recall that on a Hilbert space, maximal monotonicity is equivalent to m-accretivity (cf. [15], p. 821). So let $\{S(t): t \in T\}$ bet the semigroup of nonlinear contractions generated by $-A_H$. From Theorem 30.A, p. 771 of [15], we know that $S(t)\overline{D(A_H)} = S(t)H \subset D(A_H)$ (smoothing effect on initial data; see also [4], p. 144). Moreover from [4], p. 144, we have that there exists $\widetilde{c} > 0$ such that

(5.2)
$$\left\| t \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} S(t) x \right\|_{H} = \| t A_{H} S(t) x \|_{H} \leqslant \widetilde{c} \| x \|_{H}, \quad \forall t, x \in T \times H.$$

Because of (5.1) and the fact that $W_0^{m,p}(Z)$ embeds compactly in $L^2(Z,\mathbb{R})$, we obtain that $(I+A)^{-1}$ is compact. Now note that $S(t)x = (I+A)^{-1}(I+A^\circ)S(t)x$, for all $x \in H$. Use (5.2) together with the compactness of the resolvent $(I+A)^{-1}$ to conclude that $\{S(t): t \in]0,b]\}$ is a compact semigroup.

Next put $\hat{f}: T \times C(T_0, H) \to H$ the function defined by

$$\hat{f}(t,y)(z) = f(t,z,y(-r)(z)), \text{ for all } t \in T, y \in C(T_0,H) \text{ and } z \in Z,$$

and $U = \{u \in L^{\infty}(Z, \mathbb{R}) : ||u||_{\infty} \leq \gamma\}$, let $F : T \times C(T_0, H) \to P_{wkc}(H)$ be defined by

$$F(t,y) = \hat{f}(t,y)U$$
, for all $(t,y) \in T \times C(T_0, H)$.

Observe that, for every $h \in H$, we have

$$(\hat{f}(t,y),h)_H = \int_Z f(t,z,y(-r)(z))h(z) dz,$$

so, by Fubini's Theorem, the function $t \to \hat{f}(t,y)$ is weakly measurable. Then, taking into account the separability of $H = L^2(Z, \mathbb{R})$, by Pettis measurability Theorem we get that $t \to \hat{f}(t,y)$ is measurable from T into H, therefore (cf. [9], p. 42) it follows that $t \to F(t,y)$ is measurable.

Moreover, form $\underline{H}(f)(2)$, we have that there exists $\widetilde{k} \in L^1(T, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$h(F(t,x),F(t,y)\leqslant \widetilde{k}(t)\|x-y\|_{\infty},\quad \text{a.e. in}\quad T,\forall x,y\in H,$$

and, from $\underline{H}(f)(3)$, there exist $\hat{a}_1 \in L^2(T, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\hat{c}_1 \in L^\infty(T, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with the property

$$||F(t,x)|| \le \hat{a}_1(t) + \hat{c}_1(t)||x||_{\infty}$$
, a.e. in $T, x \in C(T_0, H)$.

Since ext $F(t,x) = \text{ext } \hat{f}(t,x)U \subset \hat{f}(t,x) \text{ ext } U \text{ and (cf. [10], p. 79)}$

$$\operatorname{ext} U = \Big\{ v \in L^{\infty}(Z, \mathbb{R}) \colon \lambda_0 \{ z \in Z \colon |v(z)| \neq \gamma \} = 0 \Big\},$$

where λ_0 is the Lebesgue measure on Z, we can rewrite the problem (3) in the following equivalent deparametrized problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) \in -A_H x(t) + F(t, x_t), \\ x(v) = \hat{w}(v), \ v \in T, \end{cases}$$

with $\hat{w}(v) = w(v, \cdot) \in L^2(Z, \mathbb{R}) = H = \overline{D(A_H)}$. Then theorem 2 will give us the desidered "bang-bang" admissible pair [y, v] such that

$$\lambda\{(t,z)\in T\times Z\colon |v(t,z)|\neq\gamma\}=0\quad\text{and}\quad\sup\Big\{\int_Z|x(t,z)-y(t,z)|^2\,\mathrm{d}z\colon t\in T\Big\}<\varepsilon.$$

Now suppose that we are also given a continuous cost functional $V: C(\hat{T}, L^2(Z, \mathbb{R})) \to \mathbb{R}$, which has to be minimized over the set $S(\hat{w})$ of trajectories of (3). In other words, if $m = \inf\{V(x): x \in S(\hat{w})\}$, our problem is the following

(P) is there exists a trajectory
$$\bar{x} \in S(\hat{w})$$
 such that $V(\bar{x}) = m$?

Using theorems 2 and 3 and recalling that $S(\hat{w})$ is a compact subset of $C(\hat{T}, L^2(Z, \mathbb{R}))$ we get the following

Theorem 4. If hypotheses $H(A)_1$, H(f) and H_0 hold, then (P) has s solution and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $y \in C(\hat{T}, L^2(Z, \mathbb{R}))$ a trajectory generated by a "bang-bang" control $v \in L^{\infty}(T \times Z, \mathbb{R}^+)$ (i.e. $\lambda\{(t,z) \in T \times Z : |v(t,z)| \neq \gamma\} = 0$) such that $V(y) \leq m + \varepsilon$.

References

- H. Attouch, A. Damlamian: On multivalued evolution equations in Hilbert spaces. Israel J. Math. 12 (1972), 373-390.
- [2] E.P. Avgerinos, N.S. Papageorgiou: Nonconvex perturbations of evolution equations with m-dissipative operators in Banach spaces. Comment Math. Univ. Carolinae 30 (1989), 657-664.
- [3] P. Baras: Compacité de l'operateur $f \to u$ solution d'une équation non-lineaire $\frac{du}{dt} + Au \ni f$. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 286 (1978), 1113-1116.
- [4] V. Barbu: Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces. Noord-hoff International Publishing, Leyden, The Netherlands, 1976.
- [5] M. Benamara: Points extremaux multi-applications et fonctionelles integrales. These du 3ème cycle, Université de Grenoble, France, 1975.
- [6] P. Benilan: Solutions integrales d'equations d'evolution dans un espace de Banach. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 274 (1972), 47-50.
- [7] A. Bressan, G. Colombo: Extension and selections of maps with decomposable values. Studia Math. 90 (1988), 69–86.
- [8] A. Cellina, M. Marchi: Nonconvex perturbations of maximal monotone inclusions. Israel J. Math. 46 (1983), 1-11.
- [9] J. Diestel, J. Uhl: Vector measures. Math Surveys, A.M.S., Providence R.I. 15 (1977).
- [10] R. Holmes: Geometric Functional Analysis and its Applications, Graduate Texts in Math, Vol. 24. Springer Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [11] M. Kisielewicz: Differential Inclusions and Optimal Control. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dodrecht, The Netherlands, 1991.
- [12] N.S. Papageorgiou: On measurable multifunctions with applications to random multivalued equation. Math. Japonica 32 (1987), 437-464.
- [13] N.S. Papageorgiou: Weak convergence of random sets in Banach spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 164 (1992), 571-589.
- [14] A.A. Tolstonogov: Extremal selections of multivalued mappings and the "bang-bang" principle for evolution inclusions. Soviet Math. Dokl. 43 (1991), 481-485.
- [15] E. Zeidler: Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications II. Springer Verlag, New York, 1990.

Authors' addresses: Tiziana Cardinali, Department of Mathematics of Perugia University, Via Vanvitelli 1, Perugia, 06123, Italy; Nikolaos S. Papageorgiou, National Technical University, Department of Mathematics, Zografou Campus, Athens 15780 – Greece; Francesca Papalini, Department of Mathematics of Perugia University, Via Vanvitelli 1, Perugia, 06123, Italy.