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# A NOTE ON PRODUCTS OF FRÉCHET SPACES 

Josef Novák, Praha

(Received May 23, 1996)

In the paper we continue our investigation of products of Fréchet spaces by means of double convergence. Definitions of double $\pi$-sequences, $\varrho$-sequences, $\sigma$-sequences as well as double convergence $\lim x_{m n}=x$ and other definitions, propositions and examples can be found in [2]. It is supposed that the continuum hypothesis is true.

Definition 1. A Fréchet space is called compactible (Fréchet compactible, Hausdorff Fréchet compactible) if it can be embedded in a compact (Fréchet compact Hausdorff Fréchet compact) space.

Notice that the space of countable ordinal numbers with the usual convergence is a compactible space but not Fréchet compactible. The Hausdorff Fréchet space $X$ consisting of isolated points $x_{m n}$ and a $\varrho$-point $x=\lim x_{m n}$ is Fréchet compactible but not Hausdorff Fréchet compactible because no compact Hausdorff Fréchet space contains a $\varrho$-point [2].

Definition 2. Let $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ be a Fréchet space. Let $\lim x_{m n}=x$. The double sequence $\left\langle x_{m n}\right\rangle$ is called a strong sequence if no subsequence of $\left\langle x_{m n}\right\rangle_{n}, m \in N$, $\mathcal{L}$-converges to the point $x$.

Definition 3. Let $(T, u)$ be a topological space. A point $x$ of $T$ is called unaccessible if there is an uncountable system $\left\{S_{\xi}, \xi<\omega_{\alpha}\right\}$ of infinite countable sets $S_{\xi} \subset T$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $x \notin u S_{\xi}, \xi<\omega_{\alpha}$; (2) If $U$ is a neighborhood of the point $x$ then there is $\xi$ with $S_{\xi} \subset U$; (3) If $\xi_{i}<\omega_{\alpha}, i \in N$, are ordinal numbers, then there are subsets $T_{\xi_{i}} \subset S_{\xi_{i}}$, such that $S_{\xi_{i}}-T_{\xi_{i}}$ are finite sets and $x \notin u\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{\xi_{i}}\right), i \in N$. A point $x \in T$ is called accessible if it is not unaccessible. A topological space is accessible if each point of it is accessible.

Examples. Let $T$ be an uncountable set consisting of a point $x$ and points $x_{\xi n}$, $n \in N, \xi<\omega_{1}$. Points $x_{\xi n}$ are isolated. A subset $U \subset T$ is a neighbourhood of the point $x$ provided that the sets $\left\{x_{\xi n}, \xi<\omega_{1}\right\}-U, n \in N$, are finite. Hence, we have a topological space ( $T, u$ ) in which the sets $S_{\xi}=\left\{x_{\xi n}, n \in N\right\}$, satisfy properties (1), (2), (3) and so $x$ is an unaccessible point.

Let $T$ be a uncountable set. Fix a point $x \in T$. A set $U \subset T$ is a neighborhood of $x$ if $x \in U$ and the set $T-U$ is finite. All other points are isolated. Then we have a topological space ( $T, u$ ) which is accessible.

Let $(T, u)$ be a first countable space. Suppose that it contains an unaccessible point $x$. Let $\left\{v_{i}, i \in N\right\}$ be a complete system of neighborhoods of the point $x$. Let $S_{\xi}$ be sets satisfying properties (1), (2), (3). Hence, there are ordinal numbers $\xi_{i}$ with $S_{\xi_{i}} \subset V_{i}$. Consequently, (3) does not hold. This is a contradiction. We have shown that first countable spaces are accessible.

Unaccessibility of a point is a topological property. As a matter of fact, if $(T, u)$, $(Q, v)$ are topological spaces, $h: T \rightarrow Q$ a homeomorphism and $x \in T$ an unaccessible point with respect to $\left\{S_{\xi}, \xi<\omega_{\alpha}\right\}$ then $h(x)$ is an unaccessible point in the space $Q$ with respect to $\left\{h\left(S_{\xi}\right), \xi<\omega_{\alpha}\right\}$.

Next, we shall use the notion of unaccessibility in Fréchet spaces $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$. Points of the sets $S_{\xi}$ will be denoted $x_{\xi j}, j \in N$. Then, in view of (3), we have the following implication: if $\xi_{i}<\omega_{\alpha}, i \in N$, are ordinal numbers, then $\left\langle x_{\xi_{i} j}\right\rangle$ is a double sequence no double subsequence of which converges to $x$.

Lemma. Let $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ be a Fréchet space. If $x \in X$ is a $\varrho$-point then it is unaccessible.

Proof. Let $x$ be a $\varrho$-point in $X$. Let $\left\langle a_{m n}\right\rangle$ be a one-to-one $\varrho$-sequence of points $a_{m n} \neq x$ converging to $x$. Let $\left\{\left\langle K_{j}^{\xi}\right\rangle_{j}, \xi<\omega_{1}\right\}$ be a well-ordered almost disjoint system of increasing sequences of positive integer numbers $K_{j}^{\xi}$ such that, if a function $f: N \rightarrow N$ is given, then there is $\xi$ with $K_{j}^{\xi}>f(j), j \in N$. Let $U$ be a neighborhood of the point $x$. Since $x=\mathcal{L}-\lim _{n} a_{m n}, m \in N$, there is a function $g$ : $N \rightarrow N$ such that the points $a_{m n}, n>g(m), m \in N$, belong to $U$. There is $\xi$ with numbers $k_{m}^{\xi}>g(m)$. Consequently $a_{m k_{m}^{\xi}} \in U, m \in N$. Denote $x_{\xi m}=a_{m k_{m}^{\xi}}$ and $S_{\xi}=\left\{x_{\xi n}, n \in N\right\}$. Then $\left\langle x_{\xi n\rangle_{n}}\right.$ is a cross-sequence in $\left\langle a_{m n}\right\rangle$. We have proved that (1), (2) in Definition 3 are satisfied.

Now, consider a double sequence $\left\langle x_{\xi_{i}} j\right\rangle$, where $\left\langle\xi_{i}\right\rangle$ is a one-to-one sequence of countable ordinals. Denote $z_{i j}=x_{\xi_{i} j}$. Since $\left\langle x_{\xi_{i} j}\right\rangle_{j}=\left\langle a_{j k_{j}{ }_{j}}\right\rangle_{j}$, each sequence $\left\langle z_{i j}\right\rangle_{j}$ is a cross-sequence in $\left\langle a_{m n}\right\rangle$. There is a function $h: N \rightarrow N$ such that no point $z_{i j}$, $j>h(i)$, belongs to the set $\left\{a_{m n}, m<i, n \in N\right\}$. Consequently, $x \notin \lambda\left\{z_{i j}, j>\right.$
$h(i), i \in N\}$. We deduce that no double subsequence of $\left\langle z_{i j}\right\rangle$ converges to the point $x$. Hence, also (3) is satisfied.

Proposition 1. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ be a Fréchet space and ( $Y, \mathcal{L}_{2}, \lambda_{2}$ ) an accessible Fréchet space. The topological product $(X \times Y, w)$ is not a Fréchet space if and only if there is a $\varrho$-point in $X$ or there are points $x \in X, y \in Y$ which are coupled by a $\sigma$-sequence $\left\langle x_{m n}\right\rangle$ in $X$ and a strong $\sigma$-sequence $\left\langle y_{m n}\right\rangle$ in $Y$.

Proof. Suppose that the topological product $(X \times Y, w)$ is not Fréchet. Denote $\left(X \times Y, \mathcal{L}_{12}, \lambda_{12}\right)$ the convergence product of the spaces $X$ and $Y$. There is a point $(a, b) \in X \times Y$ and a set $Z \subset X \times Y$ such that $(a, b) \in w Z,(a, b) \notin \lambda_{12} Z$. Hence, we can assume that $Z \cap(X \times b)=\emptyset=Z \cap(a \times Y)$. Denote $A$ resp. $B$ the projection of the set $Z$ into the space $X$ resp. $Y$. Since $(a, b) \in w Z$, we have $a \in \lambda_{1} A, b \in \lambda_{2} B$ and $a \notin A, b \notin B$. Let $V$ be a neighborhood of the point $b$ in the space $Y$.

Denote $Z_{1}=Z \cap(X \times Y)$ and $A_{1}$ resp. $B_{1}$ the projection of $Z_{1}$ into $X$ resp. $Y$. Hence, $A_{1} \subset A, B_{1} \subset B, a \in \lambda_{1} A_{1}, b \in \lambda_{2} B_{1}$. Let $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle$ be a one-to-one sequence of points $x_{n} \in A_{1}$ with $\mathcal{L}_{1}-\lim x_{n}=a$ and $\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle$ a sequence of points $y_{n} \in B_{1}$ such that $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in Z_{1}$. The sequences $\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle,\left\langle\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\rangle$ and their subsequences will be called special sequences. If $\left\langle y_{n_{i}}\right\rangle$ is a constant subsequence of $\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle$, the point $s=y_{n_{i}}$ is called a multiple point. Denote $M$ the set of all multiple points. Evidently, $b \notin M$, because $(a, b) \notin \lambda_{12} Z$. We distinguish two cases.

There is a one-to-one sequence $\left\langle s_{n}\right\rangle, s_{n} \in M, \mathcal{L}$-converging to $b$. Denote $\left\langle\left(x_{i n_{j}^{i}}, y_{i n_{j}^{i}}\right)\right\rangle_{j}$ a special sequence such that $y_{n_{j}^{i}}=s_{i}, j \in N$. Then we have a strong $\pi$-sequence $\left\langle y_{i n_{j}^{i}}\right\rangle$ and a $\varrho$-sequence $\left\langle x_{i n_{j}^{i}}\right\rangle$ because $(a, b) \notin \lambda_{12} Z$.

There is a neighborhood $U$ of $b$ in $Y$ containing no multiple point. We can assume that special sequences $\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle, y_{n} \in U$, are one-to-one. Consider two possibilities. Either there are special sequences $\left\langle y_{m n}\right\rangle_{n}, m \in N$, such that if $V$ is a neighborhood of $b$, then there is $m \in N$ with $y_{m n} \in V, n \in N$. Let $\left\langle\left(x_{m n}, y_{m n}\right)\right\rangle, m \in N$, be the corresponding special sequences. Then $\left\langle y_{m n}\right\rangle$ is a strong double $\pi$-sequence converging to $b$ and $\left\langle x_{m n}\right\rangle$ is a $\varrho$-sequence converging to $a$. Or the system of special sequences $\left\langle y_{\xi n}\right\rangle, y_{\xi n} \in U$ is uncountable and (1), (2) are satisfied. According to Definition 3, there are special one-to-one sequences $\left\langle y_{m n}\right\rangle_{n}$ such that the double sequence $\left\langle y_{m n}\right\rangle$ converges to $b$. The corresponding double sequence $\left\langle x_{m n}\right\rangle$ converges to $a$. In view of Lemma, there is no $\varrho$-subsequence of $\left\langle y_{m n}\right\rangle$. Consequently, with respect to Theorem 2 in [2], there is a $\pi$-subsequence $\left\langle y_{i n_{j}^{i}}\right\rangle$ of $\left\langle y_{m n}\right\rangle$ and then $\left\langle x_{i i_{j}^{i}}\right\rangle$ is a $\varrho$-sequence in the space $X$ or there is a $\sigma$-subsequence $\left\langle y_{i n_{j}^{i}}\right\rangle$ and then $\left\langle x_{i n_{j}^{i}}\right\rangle$ is a $\sigma$-subsequence because $(a, b) \notin \lambda_{12} Z$. We have proved that the points $a$ and $b$ are coupled by $\sigma$-sequences. It is clear that the sequence $\left\langle y_{i n_{j}^{i}}\right\rangle$ is strong.

Now, let $x \in X, y \in Y$ be points coupled by a $\sigma$-sequence $\left\langle y_{m n}\right\rangle$ and a strong $\sigma$ sequence $\left\langle y_{m n}\right\rangle$. Let $Z$ be a set of points $\left(x_{m n}, y_{m n}\right)$. Since $\lim x_{m n}=x, \lim y_{m n}=y$
from Lemma 4 in [2], it follows $(x, y) \in w Z$. On the other hand $(x, y) \notin \lambda_{12} Z$ because $x, y$ are coupled points and $\left\langle y_{m n}\right\rangle$ is strong. If the space $X$ contains a $\varrho$-point, the space $X \times Y$ is not Fréchet because it is supposed that the space $Y$ is not discrete.

Proposition 2. A Hausdorff Fréchet compactible space $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ is accessible.
Proof. Suppose there is an unaccessible point $x$ in $X$. Let $\left\langle x_{\xi n}\right\rangle_{n}, \xi<\omega_{\alpha}$, be one-to-one sequences of points of $X$ satisfying the properties (1), (2), (3) in the Definition 3. Denote $\left(X_{C}, \mathcal{L}_{C}, \lambda_{C}\right)$ a Hausdorff Fréchet compact space in which the space $X$ is embedded. There is a subsequence $\left\langle x_{n_{j}}\right\rangle$ of $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{C}-\lim x_{\xi n_{j}}=$ $x_{\xi}$. Since the space $X_{C}$ is regular we can suppose that each neighborhood of the point $x$ contains at least one point $x_{\xi}, \xi<\omega_{\alpha}$. Because $x_{\xi} \neq x$, there is a one-to-one sequence $\left\langle x_{\xi_{i}}\right\rangle \mathcal{L}_{C}$-converging to the point $x$. Denote $z_{i j}=x_{\xi_{i} n_{j}}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{C}$ - $\lim _{j} z_{i j}=x$. Since $X_{C}$ is Fréchet, in the space ( $X_{C}, \mathcal{L}_{C}, \lambda_{C}$ ) the double sequence $\left\langle z_{i j}\right\rangle$ converges to $x$. The points $x, z_{i j}$ belong to $X$ and $X$ is embedded in $X_{C}$. Therefore the double sequence $\left\langle z_{i j}\right\rangle$ converges to $x$ in the space $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$. This is a contradiction with (3).

Corollary. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right),\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ be Hausdorff Fréchet compactible spaces. The topological product $(X \times Y, w)$ is not Fréchet if and only if there are points $x \in X, y \in Y$ coupled by a $\sigma$-sequence in $X$ and a $\sigma$-sequence in $Y$ from which at least one is strong.

Proof follows immediately from Lemma, Proposition 2 and Proposition 1.
Remark. Constructions of Hausdorff Fréchet compact spaces whose topological product is not Fréchet have been published in [1], [3]. According to Proposition 2 each subspace of a Hausdorff Fréchet compact space is accessible. Hence, [1], [3] yield suitable examples of accessible Fréchet spaces the product of which is not Fréchet.
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