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# NONUNIQUENESS RESULTS FOR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 

Josef Kalas, Brno

(Received November 29, 1995)

Abstract. In the present paper we give general nonuniqueness results which cover most of the known nonuniqueness criteria. In particular, we obtain a generalization of the nonuniqueness theorem of Chr. Nowak, of SAMIMI's nonuniqueness theorem and of StetTNER's nonuniqueness criterion.

## 1. Introduction

In the recent paper of Chr. Nowak [5] the following criterion is given:

Theorem. Assume that
(i) $f \in C\left[R_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right]$, where $R_{0}=\left\{(t, x): 0<t \leqslant a,\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leqslant b\right\}$ and $x_{0}(t)$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=f(t, x), \quad x(0)=x_{0} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $[0, a]$;
(ii) $g(t, u)$ is continuous on $0<t \leqslant a, 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 2 b, g(t, u)$ is nondecreasing in $u$ for $t>0$, and $u(t)$ is a solution of

$$
u^{\prime}=g(t, u), \quad 0<t \leqslant t_{1},
$$

such that $u\left(t_{1}\right)>0$ for some $t_{1}, 0<t_{1} \leqslant a$ with $u(0)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} u(t) / B(t)=0$, where $B \in C\left[[0, a], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right]$with $B(t)>0$ for $t>0, \mathbb{R}^{+}$being the interval $[0, \infty)$;
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(iii) $v \in C\left[(0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right], v(t, x)$ is locally Lipschitzian in $x, v(t, x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f}\left(t, x-x_{0}(t)\right)= & \limsup _{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{h}\left\{v\left(t+h, x-x_{0}(t)+h\left[f(t, x)-f\left(t, x_{0}(t)\right)\right]\right)-\right. \\
& \left.-v\left(t, x-x_{0}(t)\right)\right\} \geqslant g\left(t, v\left(t, x-x_{0}(t)\right)\right) \quad \text { on } \Omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Omega=\left\{(t, x): u(t)<v\left(t, x-x_{0}(t)\right)\right.$ for $\left.0<t<t_{1},\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leqslant b\right\}$;
(iv) $\exists x_{1} \neq x_{0},\left|x_{1}-x_{0}\right|<\frac{1}{4} b: v\left(t_{1}, x_{1}-x_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)<u\left(t_{1}\right)$.

Then there exists a solution $x_{1}(t) \not \equiv x_{0}(t)$ of $(*)$ on $0 \leqslant t \leqslant a$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{v\left(t, x_{1}(t)-x_{0}(t)\right)}{B(t)}=0
$$

Tracing the proof of this theorem, we observe two controversible points. First, the set $R_{0}$ is bounded with respect to $x$ for fixed $t$, however the proof works with a solution $x_{1}(t)$ such that $\left|x_{1}(t)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \bar{t}+$, where $\bar{t}>0$. Moreover, neither is the replacement of $R_{0}$ by $R_{0}=\left\{(t, x): 0<t \leqslant a, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$ sufficient to ensure the existence of a solution $x_{1}(t)$ of

$$
x^{\prime}=f(t, x), \quad x\left(t_{1}\right)=x_{1}
$$

on $\left(0, t_{1}\right]$, because the function $v$ can be small for large $x$. In our opinion, the theorem should be supplemented by a condition which ensures that the solution $x_{1}(t)$ exists on $\left(0, t_{1}\right]$. Such a condition is the condition (31) of our Corollary 2.

Secondly, the relation

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} v\left(t, x_{1}(t)-x_{0}(t)\right)=0
$$

does not imply $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} x_{1}(t)=x_{0}$ since $v\left(t, x_{1}(t)-x_{0}(t)\right) \rightarrow 0$ can be caused by $t \rightarrow 0$ and not by $x_{1}(t)-x_{0}(t) \rightarrow 0$. Thus the theorem should be supplemented by a condition such as our condition (32) in Corollary 2.

It is not difficult to give an example which shows that Nowak's theorem is not valid without additional conditions:

Example. Consider the initial value problem

$$
x^{\prime}=x, \quad x(0)=0 .
$$

This problem has the unique solution $x_{0}(t) \equiv 0$; the other solutions of the equation $x^{\prime}=x$ are $x(t)=C \mathrm{e}^{t}, C \neq 0$, and do not satisfy the initial condition $x(0)=0$. Put $v(t, x)=t x^{2}, g(t, u)=t^{-1}(2 t+1) u$. Let $B(t), t \geqslant 0$ be any continuous function
such that $B(t)>0$ for $t>0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} t / B(t)=0$. Since the solutions $u=C t \mathrm{e}^{2 t}$ of $u^{\prime}=g(t, u)$ are positive for $C>0$ on $(0, \infty)$ and
$\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f}\left(t, x-x_{0}(t)\right)=\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f}(t, x)=(2 t+1) x^{2}=g\left(t, v\left(t, x-x_{0}(t)\right)\right) \quad$ for $t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}$,
all the assumptions of the theorem are satisfied, which is a contradiction with the uniqueness of $x_{0}(t)$.

In [2] (see also [1], page 197) we have given a nonuniqueness criterion which covers several special cases. The applicability of the results is illustrated by examples. In the present paper we attempt to generalize these results to a general form which covers most of the known nonuniqueness criteria. Our results make it possible to take the initial value $t_{0}$ of $t$ at the point $-\infty$. Moreover, the estimates of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f}\left(t, x-x_{0}(t)\right) & \geqslant g\left(t, v\left(t, x-x_{0}(t)\right)\right), \\
\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f}(t, x-y) & \geqslant g(t, v(t, x-y)), \\
\left|f(t, x)-f\left(t, x_{0}(t)\right)\right| & \geqslant g\left(t,\left|x-x_{0}(t)\right|\right), \\
|f(t, x)-f(t, y)| & \geqslant g(t,|x-y|)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{0}(t)$ is a solution of $x^{\prime}=f(t, x), x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$, can be replaced by estimates of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f F}(t, x-z(t)) & \geqslant g(t, v(t, x-z(t))), \\
\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f F}(t, x-y) & \geqslant g(t, v(t, x-y)), \\
|f(t, x)-F(t, z(t))| & \geqslant g(t,|x-z(t)|), \\
|f(t, x)-F(t, y)| & \geqslant g(t,|x-y|),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z(t)$ is a solution of $z^{\prime}=F(t, z), z\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$, and $f, F$ may be different functions.

## 2. Results

Consider an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=f(t, x), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in C\left[R_{a}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right],-\infty \leqslant a<A \leqslant \infty, R_{a}=\left\{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}: a<t<A\right.$, $\left.\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leqslant b\right\}, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, b>0$. Here $|\cdot|$ is an arbitrary but fixed norm in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. By the initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=f(t, x), \quad x(a)=x_{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we mean the problem to find solutions $x(t)$ of (1) such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow a} x(t)=x_{0}$. We say that (2) has at least two different solutions, if there exists a $T \in(a, A)$ such that (2) has solutions $x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)$ defined on $(a, T]$ and $x_{1}(t) \not \equiv x_{2}(t)$ on $(a, T]$. In this case we also say that (2) has at least two different solutions on ( $a, T]$. The problem (2) is said to be nonunique, if there is a $T_{0} \in(a, A)$ such that for any $T \in\left(a, T_{0}\right]$, (2) has at least two different solutions on $(a, T]$.

If $V$ is a continuous real-valued function for $a<t<A,\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leqslant b$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}^{+} V_{f}(t, x)=\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{V(t+h, x+h f(t, x))-V(t, x)}{h}, \\
& \mathrm{D}_{+} V_{f}(t, x)=\liminf _{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{V(t+h, x+h f(t, x))-V(t, x)}{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $(t, x) \in R_{a},\left|x-x_{0}\right|<b$. If $v$ is a continuous real-valued function for $a<t<A$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $F \in C\left[R_{a}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right]$, we define

$$
\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f F}(t, x-z)=\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{v(t+h, x-z+h[f(t, x)-F(t, z)])-v(t, x-z)}{h}
$$

for $a<t<A, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\left|x-x_{0}\right|<b,\left|z-x_{0}\right|<b$. Particularly, if $z(t)$ is a solution of $z^{\prime}=F(t, z)$ such that $\left|z(t)-x_{0}\right|<b$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f F}(t, x & -z(t)) \\
& =\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{v(t+h, x-z(t)+h[f(t, x)-F(t, z(t))])-v(t, x-z(t))}{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $t_{1} \in(a, A)$. Assume that
(i) there exist functions $g, h \in C\left[\left(a, t_{1}\right] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right]$ nondecreasing in the second variable and such that there are solutions $\varphi(t), t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right]$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=g(t, u) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\psi(t), t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right]$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=h(t, u), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying conditions $\psi\left(t_{1}\right)<\varphi\left(t_{1}\right)$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \frac{\varphi(t)}{B(t)}=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow a} \frac{\psi(t)}{B(t)}=0
$$

where $B \in C\left[\left(a, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right]$ is positive;
(ii) $V \in C\left[R_{a}, \mathbb{R}\right]$ is such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi\left(t_{1}\right)<V\left(t_{1}, y_{0}\right)<\varphi\left(t_{1}\right) \quad \text { for some } y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\left|y_{0}-x_{0}\right|<b  \tag{5}\\
V(t, x)>\varphi(t) \quad \text { or } \quad V(t, x)<\psi(t) \quad \text { for } a<t<t_{1},\left|x-x_{0}\right|=b \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

(iii) there exists a positive function $\varepsilon \in C\left[\left(a, t_{1}\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right]$such that $V(t, x)$ satisfies locally the Lipschitz condition with respect to $x$ for $(t, x) \in \Omega_{\varphi} \cup \Omega_{\psi}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{\varphi}=\left\{(t, x): \varphi(t)<V(t, x)<\varphi(t)+\varepsilon(t), a<t<t_{1},\left|x-x_{0}\right|<b\right\}  \tag{7}\\
& \Omega_{\psi}=\left\{(t, x): \psi(t)-\varepsilon(t)<V(t, x)<\psi(t), a<t<t_{1},\left|x-x_{0}\right|<b\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{D}^{+} V_{f}(t, x) \geqslant g(t, V(t, x)) & \text { on } \Omega_{\varphi} & \text { if } \Omega_{\varphi} \neq \emptyset \\
\mathrm{D}_{+} V_{f}(t, x) \leqslant h(t, V(t, x)) & \text { on } \Omega_{\psi} & \text { if } \Omega_{\psi} \neq \emptyset . \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

Then the equation (1) has at least two different solutions $x(t)$ on ( $a, t_{1}$ ] such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \frac{V(t, x(t))}{B(t)}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choose $x_{1}, x_{2} \in\left\{x:\left|x-x_{0}\right|<b\right\}, x_{1} \neq x_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(t_{1}\right)<V\left(t_{1}, x_{j}\right)<\varphi\left(t_{1}\right) \quad(j=1,2) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a choice is possible in view of (5) and the continuity of $V$. Consider solutions $x_{j}(t)$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=f(t, x), \quad x_{j}\left(t_{1}\right)=x_{j} \tag{j}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1,2$. Put

$$
x(t)=x_{j}(t), \quad m(t)=V\left(t, x_{j}(t)\right)
$$

for $j \in\{1,2\}$. In view of (12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(t_{1}\right)<m\left(t_{1}\right)<\varphi\left(t_{1}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that the set of $t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right)$ for which the solution $x(t)$ satisfies $(t, x(t)) \in \Omega_{\varphi}$ is empty. Suppose on the contrary that there is a $\tau \in\left(a, t_{1}\right)$ such that $(\tau, x(\tau)) \in \Omega_{\varphi}$. With respect to (6), (14) and the continuity, we can assume that
$\left|x(t)-x_{0}\right|<b$ for $t \in\left[\tau, t_{1}\right]$. In view of (14) there exists an interval $I=\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$ such that $\tau<t_{2}<t_{3}<t_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(t_{3}\right)=\varphi\left(t_{3}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s)<m(s)<\varphi(s)+\varepsilon(s) \quad \text { for } \quad s \in I \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $(s, x(s)) \in \Omega_{\varphi}$ for $s \in I$.
For $s \in I$ and for $h>0$ small enough we get

$$
\begin{align*}
m(s+h)-m(s) & =V(s+h, x(s+h))-V(s, x(s))  \tag{17}\\
& =V(s+h, x(s)+h f(s, x(s))+h R(h))-V(s, x(s))
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0+}|R(h)|=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $V$ satisfies locally the Lipschitz condition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|m(s+h)-m(s)-V(s+h, x(s)+h f(s, x(s)))+V(s, x(s))| \leqslant L h|R(h)| \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $h>0$ sufficiently small and for some $L>0$. The conditions (18), (19) together with the definition of $\mathrm{D}^{+} V_{f}$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{+} m(s)=\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{m(s+h)-m(s)}{h}=\mathrm{D}^{+} V_{f}(s, x(s)) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By use of (9) and (20) we obtain

$$
\mathrm{D}^{+}[m(s)-\varphi(s)]=\mathrm{D}^{+} m(s)-\varphi^{\prime}(s) \geqslant g(s, m(s))-\varphi^{\prime}(s), \quad s \in I
$$

The nondecreasing character of $g(s, \cdot)$ implies

$$
\mathrm{D}^{+}[m(s)-\varphi(s)] \geqslant g(s, \varphi(s))-\varphi^{\prime}(s)=0, \quad s \in I
$$

Thus the function $m(s)-\varphi(s)$ is nondecreasing in $I$ and we get a contradiction with (15) and (16). Hence the set of all $t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right)$ for which $(t, x(t)) \in \Omega_{\varphi}$ is empty. By virtue of (14) and the continuity we get $m(t) \leqslant \varphi(t)$ for all $t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right]$ for which the solution $x(t)$ exists.

Similarly we can prove that $m(t) \geqslant \psi(t)$ for all $t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right]$ for which the solution $x(t)$ exists. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t) \leqslant m(t) \leqslant \varphi(t) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right]$ for which $x(t)$ is defined. In view of (6) the solution $x(t)$ is defined for all $t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right]$ and the inequality (21) holds for $t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right]$. On account of the hypothesis (i) we have proved that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \frac{V\left(t, x_{j}(t)\right)}{B(t)}=0
$$

for $\mathrm{j}=1,2$.
Remark 1. 1. Suppose additionally

$$
\begin{equation*}
|V(t, x)| \geqslant \Phi(t) \Psi(|x-z(t)|) \quad \text { for } a<t \leqslant t_{1},\left|x-x_{0}\right|<b \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi \in C\left[\left(a, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right], \Psi \in C\left[[0,2 b), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right], z \in C\left[\left(a, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right]$ are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow a} \frac{\Phi(t)}{B(t)}>0, \quad \Psi(0)=0, \quad \Psi(u)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad u \in(0,2 b) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow a} z(t)=x_{0}, \quad\left|z(t)-x_{0}\right|<b \quad \text { for } t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Theorem 1 ensures that the initial value problem (2) has at least two different solutions $x(t)$ on ( $a, t_{1}$ ] which satisfy the condition (11). Moreover, if $a>-\infty$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \varphi(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \psi(t)=0$ and $V \in C\left[\bar{R}_{a}, \mathbb{R}\right], \bar{R}_{a}$ denoting the closure of $R_{a}$, then the condition (22) may be replaced by

$$
V(a, x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=x_{0} .
$$

2. Let the condition (5) in Theorem 1 be satisfied with $y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\left|y_{0}-x_{0}\right|<\frac{1}{2} b$. If $a>-\infty,|f(t, x)| \leqslant M$ for $(t, x) \in R_{a}$, and $t_{1} \in(a, A)$ is such that $\left(t_{1}-a\right) M \leqslant \frac{1}{2} b$, then the solutions $x_{j}(t)$ of $\left(13_{j}\right)$ are defined for $t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right]$ and satisfy ${ }^{1}\left|x_{j}(t)-x_{0}\right|<b$; hence the condition (6) may be omitted in this case.

Remark 2. Theorem 1 together with Remark 1 generalize the results of [2].

[^0]Corollary 1. Let $t_{1} \in(a, A)$. Assume that
(i) there exists a function $q \in C\left[\left(a, t_{1}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right]$ nondecreasing in the second variable and such that a certain solution $\varphi(t), t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right]$ of

$$
u^{\prime}=q(t, u)
$$

satisfies conditions

$$
\varphi\left(t_{1}\right)>0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow a} \frac{\varphi(t)}{B(t)}=0
$$

where $B \in C\left[\left(a, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right]$ is positive;
(ii) $V \in C\left[R_{a}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right]$is such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
V\left(t_{1}, y_{0}\right)<\varphi\left(t_{1}\right) \quad \text { for some } y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\left|y_{0}-x_{0}\right|<b,  \tag{25}\\
V(t, x)>\varphi(t) \quad \text { for } a<t<t_{1},\left|x-x_{0}\right|=b,  \tag{26}\\
V(t, x) \geqslant \Phi(t) \Psi(|x-z(t)|) \quad \text { for } a<t \leqslant t_{1},\left|x-x_{0}\right|<b, \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Phi \in C\left[\left(a, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right], \Psi \in C\left[[0,2 b), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right], z \in C\left[\left(a, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right]$ satisfy (23), (24);
(iii) there exists a positive function $\varepsilon \in C\left[\left(a, t_{1}\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right]$such that $V(t, x)$ satisfies locally the Lipschitz condition with respect to $x$ for $(t, x) \in \Omega_{\varphi}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{+} V_{f}(t, x) \geqslant q(t, V(t, x)) \quad \text { on } \Omega_{\varphi} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, $\Omega_{\varphi}$ being defined by (7).
Then the problem (2) has at least two different solutions $x(t)$ on ( $\left.a, t_{1}\right]$ such that (11) is valid.

Proof. Let $t^{*} \in\left(a, t_{1}\right)$ be fixed. Put

$$
g(t, u)= \begin{cases}q(t, u) & \text { for }(t, u) \in\left(a, t_{1}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \\ q(t, 0) & \text { for }(t, u) \in\left(a, t_{1}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{-}\end{cases}
$$

Setting $h(t, u)=\sqrt[3]{u}$ for $(t, u) \in\left(a, t_{1}\right] \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\psi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \quad \text { for } t \in\left(a, t^{*}\right) \\
-\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{3 \sqrt{3}}\left(t-t^{*}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t^{*}, t_{1}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

we can easily see that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied with $\Omega_{\psi}=\emptyset$. In view of Remark 1 we get the desired statement.

As a consequence we obtain the following revised and generalized form of Nowak's Nonuniqueness Theorem [5]:

Corollary 2. Let $t_{1} \in(a, A)$ and let $F \in C\left[R_{a}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right]$ be such that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}=F(t, z) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution $z(t)$ defined on ( $a, t_{1}$ ] and satisfying (24). Suppose that the hypothesis (i) of Corollary 1 holds true, while the hypotheses (ii), (iii) are replaced by
(ii') $v \in C\left[(a, A) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right]$is such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
v\left(t_{1}, y_{0}-z\left(t_{1}\right)\right)<\varphi\left(t_{1}\right) \quad \text { for some } y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\left|y_{0}-x_{0}\right|<b,  \tag{30}\\
v(t, x-z(t))>\varphi(t) \quad \text { for } a<t<t_{1},\left|x-x_{0}\right|=b,  \tag{31}\\
v(t, x-z(t)) \geqslant \Phi(t) \Psi(|x-z(t)|) \quad \text { for } a<t \leqslant t_{1},\left|x-x_{0}\right|<b, \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Phi \in C\left[\left(a, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right], \Psi \in C\left[[0,2 b), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right]$satisfy $(23)$;
(iii') $v(t, x)$ satisfies locally the Lipschitz condition with respect to $x$ and

$$
\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f F}(t, x-z(t)) \geqslant q(t, v(t, x-z(t))) \quad \text { on } \Omega,
$$

where $\Omega=\left\{(t, x): \varphi(t)<v(t, x-z(t)), a<t<t_{1},\left|x-x_{0}\right|<b\right\}$.
Then there exist at least two different solutions $x(t)$ of $(2)$ on $\left(a, t_{1}\right]$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \frac{v(t, x(t)-z(t))}{B(t)}=0 .
$$

Proof. Put $V(t, x)=v(t, x-z(t))$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}^{+} V_{f}(t, x)=\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{V(t+h, x+h f(t, x))-V(t, x)}{h} \\
& =\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{v(t+h, x-z(t+h)+h f(t, x))-v(t, x-z(t))}{h} \\
& =\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{v(t+h, x+h f(t, x)-z(t)-h F(t, z(t))-h R(h))-v(t, x-z(t))}{h},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0+}|R(h)|=0$. Since $v(t, x)$ satisfies locally the Lipschitz condition, we have

$$
\mathrm{D}^{+} V_{f}(t, x)=\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f F}(t, x-z(t)) \geqslant q(t, v(t, x-z(t))) .
$$

Thus the hypotheses of Corollary 1 are fulfilled.

Taking into account Remark 1, we easily get a generalization of SAmimi's Nonuniqueness Theorem [7] (see also [1], page 201):

Corollary 3. Let the assumptions of Corollary 2 be fulfilled with the exception that $a>-\infty, v \in C\left[[a, A) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right]$, the condition (30) is satisfied for some $y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $\left|y_{0}-x_{0}\right|<\frac{1}{2} b$, the condition (31) is omitted and (32) is replaced by $v(a, x)=0 \Leftrightarrow$ $x=0$. If, moreover, $\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \varphi(t)=0,|f(t, x)| \leqslant M$ for $(t, x) \in R_{a}$, and the number $t_{1}$ is such that $\left(t_{1}-a\right) M \leqslant \frac{1}{2} b$, then the problem (2) has at least two different solutions $x(t)$ on ( $\left.a, t_{1}\right]$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \frac{v(t, x(t)-z(t))}{B(t)}=0 .
$$

Since $|x-z|<2 b$ for $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leqslant b,\left|z-x_{0}\right|<b$, it is obvious that the function $v(t, x)$ can be considered for $a<t<A,|x|<2 b$ instead of $(t, x) \in(a, A) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Supposing $a>-\infty, B(t) \equiv 1$, we obtain the following generalization of the revised Stettner's Nonuniqueness Theorem (see [8] and [6]):

Corollary 4. Let $a>-\infty, 0<\delta<A-a$ and let $F \in C\left[R_{a}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right]$ be such that the equation (29) has a solution $z(t)$ defined on ( $a, a+\delta$ ) and satisfying (24). Suppose there is an $M>0$ such that $|f(t, x)| \leqslant M$ for $(t, x) \in R_{a}$ and assume that
(i) the function $q \in C\left[(a, A) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right]$ is nondecreasing in the second variable and has the following property: the equation

$$
u^{\prime}=q(t, u)
$$

possesses a positive solution $\varphi(t)$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \varphi(t)=0$;
(ii) $v$ is continuous for $a \leqslant t<A,|x|<2 b$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$and satisfying locally the Lipschitz condition with respect to $x$ for $a<t<A, 0<|x|<2 b$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0 \quad \text { for } \quad a \leqslant t<A \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) for $a<t<A,\left|x-x_{0}\right|<b,\left|y-x_{0}\right|<b, x \neq y$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f F}(t, x-y) \geqslant q(t, v(t, x-y)) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Then the initial value problem (2) is nonunique.
Proof. Choose $t_{1} \in(a, a+\delta)$ such that $\left(t_{1}-a\right) M<\frac{1}{2} b$, the solution $\varphi(t)$ is defined in $\left(a, t_{1}\right]$, and $\left|z(t)-x_{0}\right|<\frac{1}{2} b$ holds for $t \in\left(a, t_{1}\right]$. Put $B(t) \equiv 1$. From (33) it
follows that the condition (30) of Corollary 2 is fulfilled with $y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\left|y_{0}-x_{0}\right|<\frac{1}{2} b$. In view of (34) we have

$$
\mathrm{D}^{+} v_{f F}(t, x-z(t)) \geqslant q(t, v(t, x-z(t)))
$$

on $\Omega=\left\{(t, x): \varphi(t)<v(t, x-z(t)), a<t<t_{1},\left|x-x_{0}\right|<b\right\}$. With respect to Remark 1 we can omit the relations (31), (32) and Corollary 2 yields the desired result.

Remark 3. If $f \in C\left[\bar{R}_{a}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right], F(t, z)=f(t, z)$ for $(t, z) \in \bar{R}_{a}$ in Corollary 4, we need not assume the existence of the solution $z(t)$ of (29) which satisfies (24).

In the following Corollary 5 we will suppose that the norm $|\cdot|$ is Euclidean. We denote this norm by $\|\cdot\|$, and the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by $\cdot$. Put $\hat{R}_{a}=\{(t, x) \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n+1}: a<t<A,\left\|x-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant b\right\}$.

Corollary 5. Let $F \in C\left[\hat{R}_{a}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right]$ be such that the equation (29) has a solution $z(t)$ defined on $(a, A)$ and satisfying (24). Assume $f \in C\left[\hat{R}_{a}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right]$ and
(i) there exists a function $q \in C\left[(a, A) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right]$ nondecreasing in the second variable and such that a certain solution $\varphi(t), t \in(a, A)$ of

$$
u^{\prime}=q(t, u)
$$

satisfies conditions

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \varphi(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow a} \frac{\varphi(t)}{B(t)}=0, \quad \varphi(t)>0 \quad \text { for } t \in(a, A)
$$

where $B \in C[(a, A), \mathbb{R}]$ is positive;
(ii) there exists a positive function $\varepsilon \in C\left[(a, A), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right]$such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f(t, x)-F(t, z(t))) \cdot(x-z(t)) \geqslant\|x-z(t)\| q(t,\|x-z(t)\|) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on $\hat{\Omega}=\left\{(t, x): \varphi(t)<\|x-z(t)\|<\varphi(t)+\varepsilon(t), a<t<A,\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|<b\right\}$.
Then, for any $t_{1} \in(a, A)$ sufficiently close to $a$, the problem (2) has at least two different solutions $x(t)$ on ( $a, t_{1}$ ] such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \frac{\|x(t)-z(t)\|}{B(t)}=0 . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (i) it follows that $\lim _{t \rightarrow a} \varphi(t)=0$. There exists a $t_{2} \in(a, A)$ such that $\left\|z(t)-x_{0}\right\|<\frac{1}{2} b$ and $\varphi(t) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} b$ for $t \in\left(a, t_{2}\right]$. Choose $t_{1} \in\left(a, t_{2}\right]$ arbitrary. Define

$$
V(t, x)=\|x-z(t)\| \quad \text { for } \quad(t, x) \in \hat{R}_{a} .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}^{+} V_{f}(t, x) & =\frac{1}{\|x-z(t)\|}\left(f(t, x)-z^{\prime}(t)\right) \cdot(x-z(t)) \\
& =\frac{1}{\|x-z(t)\|}(f(t, x)-F(t, z(t))) \cdot(x-z(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

is true for $a<t<t_{1},\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|<b, x \neq z(t)$, we get

$$
\mathrm{D}^{+} V_{f}(t, x) \geqslant q(t,\|x-z(t)\|)=q(t, V(t, x)) \quad \text { for }(t, x) \in \hat{\Omega}, t<t_{1},
$$

in view of (35). Moreover, we have

$$
V(t, x)=\|x-z(t)\| \geqslant\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|-\left\|z(t)-x_{0}\right\|>\frac{b}{2} \geqslant \varphi(t)
$$

for $t \in\left(a, t_{2}\right],\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|=b$. Corollary 1 and Remark 1, where $\Phi(t) \equiv 1, \Psi(u) \equiv u$, imply that (2) has at least two different solutions on ( $a, t_{1}$ ] such that (36) holds.

Remark 4. Similarly as in Corollary 4 we can modify Corollary 5 in such a way that (35) takes the form

$$
(f(t, x)-F(t, y)) \cdot(x-y) \geqslant\|x-y\| q(t,\|x-y\|)
$$

for $a<t<A,\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|<b,\left\|y-y_{0}\right\|<b, x \neq y$. Thus we can obtain a vector variant of the results of V. LaKshmikantham [3] (see also [1], page 99, or [4], page 55 ) and M. Samimi [7] (see also [1], page 101) for scalar differential equations.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}\left|x_{j}(t)-x_{0}\right| \leqslant\left|x_{j}(t)-x_{j}\right|+\left|x_{j}-x_{0}\right| \leqslant\left|x_{j}-x_{0}\right|+\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t} f(s, x(s)) \mathrm{d} s\right| \leqslant\left|x_{j}-x_{0}\right|+M\left(t_{1}-\right.$ $t) \leqslant\left|x_{j}-x_{0}\right|+M\left(t_{1}-a\right)<\frac{1}{2} b+\frac{1}{2} b=b$

