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# AN EXPLICIT DESCRIPTION OF THE SET OF ALL NORMAL BASES GENERATORS OF A FINITE FIELD 

Karol Nemoga and ŠTEfan Schwarz, Bratislava

(Received March 27, 1996)

## 1. Preliminaries

Let $F_{q}=G F(q)$ be a finite field with $\operatorname{char}\left(F_{q}\right)=p, p$ a prime, and $F_{q^{n}}=G F\left(q^{n}\right)$ the $n$-dimensional extension of $F_{q}$.

By a basis of $F_{q^{n}}$ with respect to $F_{q}$ (shortly a basis of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$ ) we mean a set of elements $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}, \alpha_{i} \in F_{q^{n}}$, such that any element $\gamma \in F_{q_{n}}$ can be written uniquely in the form $\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \alpha_{i}$, with $\alpha_{i} \in F_{q}$. Viewing $F_{q^{n}}$ as a vector space of dimension $n$ over $F_{q}$ the set $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$ is a set of $n$ linearly independent vectors (of length $n$ ) over $F_{q}$.

A basis is called a normal basis of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$ if it is of the form $A=\left\{\alpha, \alpha^{q}, \ldots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\right\}$, where $\alpha \in F_{q^{n}}$. The element $\alpha$ is called a generator of the basis $A$. It is known that a normal basis always exists. The element $\alpha$ is then a root of an irreducible polynomial of degree $n$ over $F_{q}$, often called a normal polynomial (or an $N$-polynomial).

Let $A=\left\{\alpha, \alpha^{q}, \ldots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{\beta, \beta^{q}, \ldots, \beta^{q^{n-1}}\right\}$ be two normal bases of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$. Since $\beta \in F_{q^{n}}$ there exist $n$ elements $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ (all belonging to $F_{q}$ ) such that $\beta=c_{1} \alpha+c_{2} \alpha^{q}+\ldots+c_{n} \alpha^{q^{n-1}}$. This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{q} & =c_{n} \alpha+c_{1} \alpha^{q}+\ldots+c_{n-1} \alpha^{q^{n-1}} \\
\vdots & \\
\beta^{q^{n-1}} & =c_{2} \alpha+c_{3} \alpha^{q}+\ldots+c_{1} \alpha^{q^{n-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $C$ the circulant matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{1}, & c_{2}, & \ldots, & c_{n} \\
c_{n}, & c_{1}, & \ldots, & c_{n-1} \\
\vdots & & & \\
c_{2}, & c_{3}, & \ldots, & c_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $A^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\alpha \\ \alpha^{q} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\end{array}\right), B^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\beta \\ \beta^{q} \\ \vdots \\ \beta^{q^{n-1}}\end{array}\right)$. We then have $B^{T}=C \cdot A^{T}$.
Analogously, there exists a circulant matrix $D$ such that $A^{T}=D B^{T}$. From these relations we obtain by a simple reasoning the following well known proposition:

Proposition 1.1. If $A=\left\{\alpha, \alpha^{q}, \ldots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\right\}$ is a normal basis of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$, then any other normal basis of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$ is of the form $C A^{T}$, where $C$ is an invertible circulant matrix (with elements of $F_{q}$ ). Conversely, if $C$ is any invertible $n \times n$ circulant matrix with elements in $F_{q}$, then $C A^{T}$ is a normal basis of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$.

Recall that the set of all $n \times n$ circulant matrices with elements in $F_{q}$ forms (with respect to multiplication) a commutative semigroup, while the invertible ones form a commutative group (contained in this semigroup).

Denote by $P$ the matrix

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0, & 1, & 0, & \ldots & 0 \\
0, & 0, & 1, & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & \\
0, & 0, & 0, & \ldots & 1 \\
1, & 0, & 0, & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We then have

$$
C=c_{1} E+c_{2} P+\ldots+c_{n} P^{n-1}, \quad \text { and } \quad P^{n}=E
$$

where $E$ is the unit matrix. In the correspondence $\omega: x^{\ell} \longleftrightarrow P^{\ell}(\ell=0,1, \ldots, n-1)$ the set of all circulant $n \times n$ matrices is isomorphic to the $\operatorname{ring} R=R(n, q)=$ $F_{q}[x] /\left(x^{n}-1\right)$. In this way we assign to the circulant matrix $C$ the polynomial $c(x)=c_{1}+c_{2} x+\ldots+c_{n} x^{n-1}$ and the arithmetical operations with $C$ are reduced to the calculations with polynomials over $F_{q}$ modulo ( $x^{n}-1$ ). In particular, the invertible circulant matrices correspond to the polynomials of degree at most $(n-1)$, which are relatively prime to $x^{n}-1$.

Notation. In the following we shall write "NB-generator" instead of "normal basis generator". The set of all NB-generators of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$ will be denoted by $\Gamma=$ $\Gamma(n, q) \subset F_{q^{n}}$. The multiplicative semigroup of the ring $R=F_{q}(x) /\left(x^{n}-1\right)$ will be denoted by $\bar{R}$. The group of all elements of $\bar{R}$ relatively prime to $x^{n}-1$ will be denoted by $G(1)$.

The necessity to consider $\bar{R}$ is due to the fact that in what follows we shall deal with subsets of $\bar{R}$ which are multiplicatively closed, but not closed under addition.

The preceding arguments imply (the again well known)
Proposition 1.2. If $c(x)=c_{0}+c_{1} x+\ldots+c_{n-1} x^{n-1}$ is a polynomial relatively prime to $x^{n}-1$ [i.e. $\left.c(x) \in G(1)\right]$ and $\alpha$ is an NB-generator of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$, then $g=$ $c_{0} \alpha+c_{1} \alpha^{q}+\ldots+c_{n-1} \alpha^{q^{n-1}}$ is an NB-generator. Moreover, if $\alpha$ is a fixed chosen NB-generator, then all NB-generators of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$ are obtained in this manner by choosing suitably $c(x)$.

In what follows we denote by $\Omega$ the mapping $\Omega: x^{\ell} \rightarrow \alpha^{q^{\ell}}$ and we shall write $\Omega x^{\ell}=\alpha^{q^{\ell}}$. This mapping is "additive" in the sense that $\Omega\left(a x^{u}+b x^{v}\right)=a \alpha^{q^{u}}+b \alpha^{q^{v}}$ for $a, b \in F_{q}$.

The goal of this paper is the following. Suppose that we know one NB-generator of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$, say $\alpha \in F_{q^{n}}$. We shall give an explicit description of all NB-generators of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$.

To understand well we first give an example. Let $\alpha$ be an NB-generator of $F_{5^{3}} \mid F_{5}$. It will be shown (Example 3.3) that all polynomials coprime to $x^{3}-1$ are of the form

$$
r_{0}\left(1+x+x^{2}\right)+r_{1}(4+x)+r_{2}\left(4+x^{2}\right),
$$

where $r_{0} \neq 0$ and $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \neq(0,0),\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\} \in F_{5}$. Hence the set $\Gamma(3,5)=\left\{r_{0}(\alpha+\right.$ $\left.\left.\alpha^{5}+\alpha^{25}\right)+r_{1}\left(4 \alpha+\alpha^{5}\right)+r_{2}\left(4 \alpha+\alpha^{25}\right)\right\}$ is the set of all NB-generators of $F_{125} \mid F_{5}$. Clearly the cardinality $|\Gamma|=96$. (The element $\alpha$ itself is obtained for $r_{0}=2$, $r_{1}=r_{2}=3$.)

Remark. If $g \in \Gamma$, then $a g \in \Gamma$ for any $a \in F_{q}$. Also $g^{q}, g^{q^{2}}, \ldots, g^{q^{n-1}} \in \Gamma$. If $g^{\prime} \in \Gamma, g^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma$, then neither $g^{\prime}+g^{\prime \prime}$ nor $g^{\prime} \cdot g^{\prime \prime}$ need to belong to $\Gamma$. Also, if $g \in \Gamma$, $g^{-1}$ need not be an element of $\Gamma$.

The first two statements are obvious. To be sure that it may happen that $g^{-1} \notin \Gamma$ it is sufficient to give an example. The element $\alpha$ satisfying the equation $x^{3}+x^{2}+$ $1=0$ over $F_{5}$ is an NB-generator of $G F\left(5^{3}\right) \mid G F(5)$. But $\alpha^{-1}$ which satisfies (the irreducible) equation $y^{3}+y+1=0$ is certainly not an NB-generator. (For any N -polynomial with root $\beta$ we have necessarily trace $(\beta) \neq 0$.)

## 2. The description of the multiplicative semigroup $\bar{R}$

It is known that the factorization of $x^{n}-1$ into the product of monic irreducible factors over $F_{q}$ is of the form $x^{n}-1=\left[f_{1}(x) \cdot f_{2}(x) \ldots f_{r}(x)\right]^{t}$, where

$$
t= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if }(n, p)=1 \\ p^{s}, & \text { if } n=n_{0} p^{s},\left(n_{0}, p\right)=1\end{cases}
$$

The ring $R=F_{q}[x] /\left(x^{n}-1\right)$ admits a decomposition as a direct sum of $r$ rings in the form

$$
R \approx F_{q}[x] / f_{1}(x)^{t} \oplus \ldots \oplus F_{q}[x] / f_{r}(x)^{t} .
$$

This can be considered an "external" description of $R$, and as such it is not suitable for computations in $R$ itself.

Our aim is to describe some properties of $R$ (and $\bar{R}$ ) using only elements of $R$, so to say to give an "internal" description of $R$. To this end we describe the multiplicative semigroup $\bar{R}$ as a set-theoretical union of disjoint subsemigroups each of which has a unique idempotent. We then use this decomposition to prove Proposition 2.5 (below), which is a starting point to numerical computations.
A) We first recall some notions used in the elementary theory of semigroups. Let $S$ be a finite commutative semigroup with a zero element 0 and an identity element 1 .

We shall say that $a \in S$ belongs to the idempotent $e$ if there is an integer $\ell=\ell(a)$ such that $a^{\ell}=e$. Any $a \in S$ belongs to one and only one idempotent of $S$. Let $K(e)$ be the set of all elements of $S$ belonging to the idempotent $e$. Then $K(e)$ is a subsemigroup of $S$ (the maximal subsemigroup of $S$ belonging to the idempotent $e$ ). We have $S=\bigcup_{e \in E} K(e)$, where $E$ is the set of all idempotents.

Each $K(e), e \in E$, has the property that $K(e)$. $e$ is a group, denoted by $G(e)$ and called the maximal group belonging to the idempotent $e$. Note that $G(e) \subset K(e)$.

In particular, $K(1)$ is the set of all "absolutely" invertible elements of $S$, i.e. the group of all elements $a \in S$ for which there is an element $a^{\prime}$ such that $a a^{\prime}=1$. Hence $K(1)$ is a group, which will be denoted by $G(1)$.

The set $K(0)$ is the set of all nilpotent elements of $S$ and $G(0)=\{0\}$ is a one-point group.

The number of maximal subgroups contained in $S$ is equal to the number of idempotents in $S$. If $G(e)$ is a maximal subgroup we may speak also about the "relative inverses" with respect to the idempotent $e$ (i.e. inside of $G(e)$ ).
B) We now apply the foregoing notions and results to the semigroup $\bar{R}$. Our goal is first to prove Proposition 2.4 (concerning any idempotent $e \in \bar{R}$ ) and then Proposition 2.5 (in which only the primitive idempotents appear).

In accordance with section A, we denote by $G(1)$ the group of all polynomials $a=a(x) \in \bar{R}$ of degree $\leqslant n-1$ which are relatively prime to $x^{n}-1$. Also we denote $\operatorname{deg} f_{i}=n_{i}$, so that $n=\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} t$.

The method used in the sequel is analogous to that of [5] and [6].
Any element $h=h(x) \in \bar{R}$ can be written in the form $h=f_{1}^{s_{1}} f_{2}^{s_{2}} \ldots f_{r}^{s_{r}} \cdot a$, where $a \in G(1)$. If, e.g., $s_{1}>t$, then $f_{1}^{s_{1}}$ can be written in the form $f_{1}^{s_{1}}=f_{1}^{t}\left(f_{1}^{s_{1}-t}+\right.$ $\left.f_{2}^{t} \ldots f_{r}^{t}\right)=f_{1}^{t} a_{1}, a_{1} \in G(1)$, so that $h=f_{1}^{\min \left(s_{1}, t\right)} \cdot f_{2}^{\min \left(s_{2}, t\right)} \ldots f_{r}^{\min \left(s_{r}, t\right)} \cdot b$ with $b \in G(1)$. Hence we have

Lemma 2.1. Any element $h \in \bar{R}$ can be written in the form $h=f_{1}^{\tau_{1}} \cdot f_{2}^{\tau_{2}} \ldots f_{r}^{\tau_{r}} \cdot b$, where $0 \leqslant \tau_{i} \leqslant t, b \in G(1)$.

Suppose that $\varepsilon=f_{i_{1}}^{\tau_{1}} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{\tau_{v}} \cdot a$ is an idempotent $\varepsilon \neq 1,\left(i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{v}\right)$, $\tau_{i}>0,1 \leqslant v<r, a \in G(1)$. Then $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{t}$ implies $\varepsilon=g_{i_{1}}^{t \tau_{1}} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{t \tau_{v}} a^{t}$. Here $t \tau_{j} \geqslant t$. If $t \tau_{j}>1$, then $f_{i_{j}}^{t \tau_{j}}=f_{i_{j}}^{t} \cdot b_{j}, b_{j} \in G(1)$, whence $\varepsilon=f_{i_{1}}^{t} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{t} \cdot c, c \in G(1)$. If $v=r$, we have $\varepsilon=0$. ( $\varepsilon=1$ is obtained for $\tau_{1}=\ldots=\tau_{r}=0$ and $a=1$.) This implies

Lemma 2.2. $\bar{R}$ contains $2^{r}$ idempotents. Each of the idempotents can be written in the form

$$
e=f_{1}^{\tau_{1}} f_{2}^{\tau_{2}} \ldots f_{r}^{\tau_{r}} \cdot c, c \in G(1), \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{i} \quad \text { is either } 0 \quad \text { or } \quad t .
$$

Write (in an obvious notation) $x^{n}-1=f_{i}^{t} \cdot F_{i}^{t}(i=1,2, \ldots, r)$, then the primitive idempotents are $e_{1}=F_{1}^{t} a_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}=F_{r}^{t} a_{r}\left(a_{i} \in G(1)\right)$. Clearly $e_{i} \cdot e_{j}=0$ for $i \neq j$. Next, the sum $F_{1}^{\cdot t} a_{1}+\ldots+F_{r}^{\cdot t} a_{r}$ is contained in $G(1)$ [since, e.g., $f_{1}$ divides $F_{2}, \ldots, F_{r}$, and does not divide $F_{1}$ ]. Since this sum is an idempotent we have $e_{1}+\ldots+e_{r}=1$.

We now specify the maximal subsemigroup $K(e), e \neq 1$, belonging to the idempotent $e=f_{i_{1}}^{t} f_{i_{2}}^{t} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{t} a, a \in G(1), i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{v}$.

An element $h=f_{1}^{\tau_{1}} \ldots f_{r}^{\tau_{r}} \cdot b \in \bar{R}, 1 \leqslant \tau_{i} \leqslant t, b \in G(1)$, belongs to the idempotent $e$ if there is an integer $k$ such that $f_{1}^{k \tau_{1}} \ldots f_{r}^{k \tau_{r}} b^{k}=e$.

Hence

$$
f_{1}^{\min \left(k \tau_{1}, t\right)} \ldots f_{r}^{\min \left(k \tau_{r}, t\right)} \cdot c \cdot b^{k}=f_{i_{1}}^{t} f_{i_{2}}^{t} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{t} a
$$

where $c \in G(1)$. If $k \geqslant t$ and $v<r$, we have necessarily $\tau_{j}=0$ for all indices $j$ for which $j \notin\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{v}\right\}$. Hence, $h(x)$ is necessarily of the form $h=f_{i_{1}}^{\tau_{1}} f_{i_{2}}^{\tau_{2}} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{\tau_{v}} \cdot b_{1}$, $b_{1} \in G(1)$. This holds also for $v=r$, in which case $e=0$.

Conversely, let $h=f_{i_{1}}^{\tau_{1}} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{\tau_{v}} \cdot b_{2}, 1 \leqslant \tau_{i} \leqslant t$, and let $b_{2}$ be any element of $G(1)$. Then

$$
h^{t}=f_{i_{1}}^{\tau_{1} t} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{\tau_{v} t} \cdot b_{2}^{t}=f_{i_{1}}^{t} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{t} c \cdot b_{2}^{t}=f_{i_{1}}^{t} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{t} \cdot a\left(c b_{2}^{t} a^{-1}\right)=e\left(c b_{2}^{t} a^{-1}\right)
$$

If $\ell$ is the order of the group $G(1)$, we eventually obtain $h^{t \ell}=e$. Since $b_{2}$ is any element of $G(1)$, we have $f_{i_{1}}^{\tau_{1}} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{\tau_{v}} G(1) \subset K(e)$.

We have proved

Lemma 2.3. If $e=f_{i_{1}}^{t} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{t} a$ is an idempotent of $\bar{R}, 1 \leqslant v \leqslant r, a \in G(1)$, then $K(e)=\bigcup_{\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{v}} f_{i_{1}}^{\tau_{1}} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{\tau_{v}} \cdot G(1)$, where $1 \leqslant \tau_{i} \leqslant t$.

Clearly $K(e)$ is a (set theoretical) union of $t^{v}$ such "complexes", and these "complexes" are disjoint.

To specify the maximal group $G(e)$ belonging to the idempotent

$$
e=f_{i_{1}}^{t} f_{i_{2}}^{t} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{t} a,\left(i_{1}<i_{2} \ldots<i_{v}\right)
$$

we use the formula $G(e)=K(e) \cdot e$.
The term $f_{i_{1}}^{t+\tau_{1}} f_{i_{2}}^{t+\tau_{2}} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{t+\tau_{v}} G(1)$ multiplied by $e$ is equal to $f_{i_{1}}^{t+\tau_{1}} f_{i_{2}}^{t+\tau_{2}} \ldots$ $f_{i_{v}}^{t+\tau_{v}} a G(1)=f_{i_{1}}^{t} f_{i_{2}}^{t} \ldots f_{i_{v}}^{t} \cdot b a G(1)=e \cdot b \cdot G(1)=e G(1)$, hence it is independent of $\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{v}\right)$.

We have proved

Proposition 2.4. If $e$ is any idempotent of $\bar{R}$, then the maximal group $G(e)$ belonging to $e$ is given by the formula $G(e)=G(1) \cdot e$.

In the following $A \oplus B$ denotes the set of all elements $a+b$, where $a \in A, b \in B$. Consider the set $U=G(1) e_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus G(1) e_{r}$. All elements of $U$ are contained in $G(1)$ (since, e.g., $f_{1}$ divides all summands with the exception of $G(1) e_{1}$, which is not divisible by $f_{1}$ ). Hence $U \subset G(1)$. Next, $1=e_{1}+\ldots+e_{r} \in U$, so that for any $b \in G(1)$ we have $b \in b G(1) e_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus b G(1) e_{r}=G(1) \cdot e_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus G(1) \cdot e_{r}=U$, whence $G(1) \subset U$. Therefore $U=G(1)$. Using Proposition 2.4 we have

Proposition 2.5. If $G\left(e_{i}\right)$ is the maximal group belonging to the primitive idempotent $e_{i}$, then

$$
G(1)=G\left(e_{1}\right) \oplus G\left(e_{2}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus G\left(e_{r}\right) .
$$

Let us underline that $G\left(e_{i}\right)$ is a multiplicative group but not an additive one. Any element $\xi \in G(1)$ can be written in the form $\xi=\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\ldots+\xi_{r}, \xi_{i} \in G\left(e_{i}\right)$, and $\xi_{i} \neq 0(i=1, \ldots, r)$. This result is of essential importance for all what follows. It will turn out that the computation of the elements of the $G\left(e_{i}\right)$ 's can be relatively easily established.
C) For computational purposes we need an explicit description of $e_{i}$. In this connection we prove

Lemma 2.6. If $x^{n}-1=f_{i}^{t} \cdot F_{i}^{t}$, then the $r$ primitive idempotents are given by the formula $e_{i}=\frac{1}{n_{0}}\left[x \cdot f_{i}^{\prime} F_{i}\right]^{t}, i=1,2, \ldots, r$.

Proof. a) Suppose first $t=1$, i.e. $n=n_{0}$. We can use the well known formula that if $f(x)=x^{n}-1=f_{1} f_{2} \ldots f_{r}$, then $e_{i}=\frac{f_{i}^{\prime} F_{i}}{f^{\prime}}=\frac{f_{i}^{\prime} F_{i}}{n x^{n-1}}=\frac{1}{n} x \cdot f_{i}^{\prime} F_{i}$, $(i=1,2, \ldots, r)$.
b) Suppose next $t>1$, hence $x^{n}-1=\left(x^{n_{0}}-1\right)^{t}$, $t=p^{s}$. We have $x^{n_{0}}-1=$ $f_{1} f_{2} \ldots f_{r}$, and $\varepsilon_{i}=\frac{1}{n_{0}} x \cdot f_{i}^{\prime} F_{i}$ satisfies $\varepsilon_{i}^{2} \equiv \varepsilon_{i}\left(\bmod \left(x^{n_{0}}-1\right)\right)$, i.e. $\varepsilon_{i}^{2}-\varepsilon_{i}=v(x)\left(x^{n_{0}}-\right.$ $1)$, where $v(x) \in R$. Taking to the power $t=p^{s}$ we have $\varepsilon_{i}^{2 t}-\varepsilon_{i}^{t}=v(x)^{t}\left(x^{n}-1\right)=0$ (in $R$ ), whence $e_{i}=\frac{1}{n_{0}}\left[x \cdot f_{i}^{\prime} \cdot F_{i}\right]^{t}$.

Remark. It should be remarked that the cardinality $|G(1)|$ can be calculated in advance knowing only the degrees of the irreducible factors $f_{i}$. We owe O. Ore (1934) the following result. If $\operatorname{deg} f_{i}=n_{i}$, so that $n=\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} t$, we have $|G(1)|=$ $q^{n}\left(1-q^{-n_{1}}\right) \ldots\left(1-q^{-n_{r}}\right)$.
[To be historically more precise, this formula appears (in a more general setting) even in the book R. Fricke [1] in the case of the ground field $F_{p}$.]

## 3. The case $(n, p)=1$

In this case $t=1$, and we have $x^{n}-1=f_{1} \ldots f_{r}$. Any idempotent $e \neq 1$ is of the form $e=f_{i_{1}} \cdot f_{i_{2}} \ldots f_{i_{v}} a, 1 \leqslant v \leqslant r, a \in G(1)$. By Proposition 2.4 the maximal semigroup belonging to $e \in \bar{R}$ is $K(e)=f_{i_{1}} \ldots f_{i_{v}} G(1)=f_{i_{1}} \ldots f_{i_{v}} \cdot a \cdot G(1)=$ $e G(1)=G(e)$. Hence $K(e)=G(e)$. This implies

Proposition 3.1. If $(n, p)=1$, then $\bar{R}$ is a (set theoretical) union of disjoint groups (including $G(1)$ and $\{0\}$ ).

Let $e_{i}$ be a primitive idempotent of $\bar{R}$, and $\varrho \in R$.
a) If $\varrho \in G\left(e_{i}\right)$, then $\varrho e_{i}=\varrho$, hence $\varrho\left(1-e_{i}\right)=0$.
b) If $\varrho \notin G\left(e_{i}\right)$ and $\varrho \neq 0$, then there is an idempotent $\varepsilon \neq 0$ such that $\varrho \in G(\varepsilon) \neq$ $G\left(e_{i}\right)$. Next, $\varrho e_{i} \in G(\varepsilon) \cdot e_{i}=G(1) \cdot \varepsilon e_{i}$.

Since $\varepsilon \cdot e_{i}$ is either 0 or $e_{i}$, we have either $\varrho e_{i}=0$ or $\varrho e_{i} \in G\left(e_{i}\right)$. In both cases we have $\varrho \neq \varrho e_{i}$.

We have proved

Proposition 3.2. If $(n, p)=1$, a non-zero element $\varrho \in \bar{R}$ is contained in the group $G\left(e_{i}\right)$ if and only if $\varrho\left(1-e_{i}\right)=0$.

This last statement enables us to describe all elements of $G\left(e_{i}\right)$ in the polynomial form $\varrho=r_{0}+r_{1}+\ldots+r_{n-1} x^{n-1}$. The unknowns $r_{i}(i=0, \ldots, r-1)$ appear as a solution of a system of linear equations.

The following two examples show how this works.
Example 3.3. We have to find all NB-generators of $F_{5^{3}} \mid F_{5}$ (supposing that one NB-generator $\alpha$ is known).

The problem reduces to finding all elements of $R=F_{5}[x] /\left(x^{3}-1\right)$ which are relatively prime to $x^{3}-1$.

In $F_{5}$ we have $x^{3}-1=f_{1} f_{2}=(x-1)\left(1+x+x^{2}\right)$ and $|G(1)|=|\Gamma(3,5)|=5^{3}(1-$ $\left.5^{-1}\right)\left(1-5^{-2}\right)=96$. The primitive idempotents are (by Lemma 2.6) $e_{1}=2\left(1+x+x^{2}\right)$, $e_{2}=4+3 x+3 x^{2}$.
a) We describe $G\left(e_{1}\right)$. The element $\varrho=r_{0}+r_{1} x+r_{2} x^{2}, r_{i} \in F_{5}, \varrho \neq 0$ is contained in $G\left(e_{1}\right)$ if and only if $\varrho\left(1-e_{1}\right)=0$, i.e. $\left(r_{0}+r_{1} x+r_{2} x^{2}\right)\left(4+3 x+3 x^{2}\right)=0$. This leads to the system of linear equations (of rank 2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 r_{0}+3 r_{1}+3 r_{2}=0, \\
& 3 r_{0}+4 r_{1}+3 r_{2}=0, \\
& 3 r_{0}+3 r_{1}+4 r_{2}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

whence $r_{0}=r_{1}=r_{2}$. Finally, $G\left(e_{1}\right)=\left\{r_{0}\left(1+x+x^{2}\right) \mid r_{0} \neq 0\right\}$. Clearly $\left|G\left(e_{1}\right)\right|=4$.
b) We specify $G\left(e_{2}\right)$. Put $\varrho^{\prime}=r_{0}^{\prime}+r_{1}^{\prime} x+r_{2}^{\prime} x^{2}$. Then $\varrho^{\prime}\left(1-e_{2}\right)=\left(r_{0}^{\prime}+r_{1}^{\prime} x+\right.$ $\left.r_{2}^{\prime} x^{2}\right)\left(2+2 x+2 x^{2}\right)=0$ implies a linear system of rank 1 . Namely, $r_{o}^{\prime}+r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}=0$. Hence $r_{0}^{\prime}=4\left(r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\varrho^{\prime}=4\left(r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}\right)+r_{1}^{\prime} x+r_{2}^{\prime} x^{2},\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}\right) \neq(0,0)$. Also $\left|G\left(e_{2}\right)\right|=24$.
c) Changing the notation $\left(r_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow r_{1}, r_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow r_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
G(1)=\left\{r_{0}\left(1+x+x^{2}\right) \oplus\left[4\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)+r_{1} x+r_{2} x^{2}\right]\right\} .
$$

Using the mapping $\Omega$ we get the following result:
If $\alpha$ is one NB-generator of $F_{5^{3}} \mid F_{5}$, then all NB-generators of $F_{5^{3}} \mid F_{5}$ are given by the set of 96 elements

$$
\Gamma(3,5)=\left\{r_{0}\left(\alpha+\alpha^{5}+\alpha^{25}\right)+r_{1}\left(4 \alpha+\alpha^{5}\right)+r_{2}\left(4 \alpha+\alpha^{25}\right\},\right.
$$

where the triples $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ are subject to the conditions $r_{0} \neq 0,\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$.

Remark 1. There is of course a natural question how to decide whether an element $\alpha \in F_{q^{n}}$ is an NB-generator of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$ or not. In this direction we refer to [7], where it is proved that $\alpha$ is an NB-generator of $F_{q}(\alpha)$ if and only if $\Omega\left(f_{i}^{t-1} F_{i}^{t}\right) \neq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$.

Remark 2. If we know a concrete N-polynomial of degree 3 over $F_{5}$, the formula for $\Gamma(3,5)$ can be reduced to a polynomial in $\alpha$ of degree 2 . For instance, $x^{3}+x^{2}+1$ is an N-polynomial over $F_{5}$. If $\alpha$ is the root of this polynomial, then $\alpha^{5}=4+\alpha+3 \alpha^{2}$, $a^{25}=3 \alpha+2 \alpha^{2}$, and we have $\Gamma(3,5)=\left\{4 r_{0}+r_{1}\left(4+3 \alpha^{2}\right)+r_{2}\left(2 \alpha+2 \alpha^{2}\right)\right\}$.

Remark 3. It follows from the foregoing results: If we know a "parametric expression" for the generators $g=g\left(r_{1}, \ldots r_{n}\right)$, then $(x-g)\left(x-g^{q}\right) \ldots\left(x-g^{q^{n-1}}\right)$ is an N-polynomial of degree $n$ over $F_{q}$ with parameters $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ comprising all N-polynomials of degree $n$ over $F_{q}$. Unfortunately the "technical realization" turns out to be rather complicated. We will return to this question in Section 5.

Example 3.4. We have to find all NB-generators of $F_{7^{4}} \mid F_{7}$.
The factorization of $x^{4}-1$ over $F_{7}$ is $x^{4}-1=(x-1)(x+1)\left(x^{2}+1\right)$. The primitive idempotents of $F_{7}[x] /\left(x^{4}-1\right)$ are $e_{1}=2\left(1+x+x^{2}+x^{3}\right), e_{2}=2\left(1-x+x^{2}-x^{3}\right)$, $e_{3}=4\left(1-x^{2}\right)$.
a) To find $G\left(e_{1}\right)$ we put $\varrho\left(e_{1}-1\right)=\left(r_{0}+r_{1} x+r_{2} x^{2}+r_{3} x^{3}\right)\left(1+2 x+2 x^{2}+2 x^{3}\right)=0$. This leads to the system of linear equations

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
r_{0} \\
r_{1} \\
r_{2} \\
r_{3}
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

which implies $r_{0}=r_{1}=r_{2}=r_{3}$, so that $G\left(e_{1}\right)=\left\{r_{0}\left(1+x+x^{2}+x^{3}\right) \mid r_{0} \neq 0\right\}$.
b) Next, in order to find $G\left(e_{2}\right)$ we write $\varrho^{\prime}\left(e_{2}-1\right)=\left(r_{0}^{\prime}+r_{1}^{\prime} x+r_{2}^{\prime} x^{2}+r_{3}^{\prime} x^{3}\right)$ $\left(1-2 x+2 x^{2}-2 x^{3}\right)=0$. This implies

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -2 & 2 & -2 \\
-2 & 1 & -2, & 2 \\
2 & -2 & 1 & -2 \\
-2 & 2 & -2 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
r_{0}^{\prime} \\
r_{1}^{\prime} \\
r_{2}^{\prime} \\
r_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

whence $r_{o}^{\prime}+r_{1}^{\prime}=0, r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}=0, r_{2}^{\prime}+r_{3}^{\prime}=0$ and $r_{1}^{\prime}=-r_{0}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}=r_{0}^{\prime}, r_{3}^{\prime}=-r_{0}^{\prime}$, so that $G\left(e_{2}\right)=\left\{r_{0}^{\prime}\left(1-x+x^{2}-x^{3}\right) \mid r_{0}^{\prime} \neq 0\right\}$.
c) Finally, $\varrho^{\prime \prime}\left(1-e_{3}\right)=\left(r_{0}^{\prime \prime}+r_{1}^{\prime \prime} x+r_{2}^{\prime \prime} x^{2}+r_{3}^{\prime \prime} x^{3}\right) \cdot 4 \cdot\left(1+x^{2}\right)=0$ implies $\left(r_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\right.$ $\left.r_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(r_{1}^{\prime \prime}+r_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right) x+\left(r_{0}^{\prime \prime}+r_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) x^{2}+\left(r_{1}^{\prime \prime}+r_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right) x^{3}=0$ and $r_{2}^{\prime \prime}=-r_{0}^{\prime \prime}, r_{3}^{\prime \prime}=-r_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, so that $G\left(e_{3}\right)=\left\{r_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(1-x^{2}\right)+r_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x-x^{3}\right)\right\}$, where $\left(r_{0}^{\prime \prime}, r_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq(0,0)$.

We have $\left|G\left(e_{1}\right)\right|=\left|G\left(e_{2}\right)\right|=6,\left|G\left(e_{3}\right)\right|=48$ and $|G(1)|=1728$.
By changing the notation, we have

$$
G(1)=\left\{r_{0}\left(1+x+x^{2}+x^{3}\right) \oplus r_{1}\left(1-x+x^{2}-x^{3}\right) \oplus\left[r_{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)+r_{3}\left(x-x^{3}\right]\right\} .\right.
$$

This implies the following result.
If $\alpha$ is one NB-generator of $F_{7^{4}} \mid F_{7}$, then all NB-generators of $F_{7^{4}} \mid F_{7}$ are given by the set of 1728 elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(4,7)= & \left\{r_{0}\left(\alpha+\alpha^{7}+\alpha^{49}+\alpha^{343}\right)+r_{1}\left(\alpha-\alpha^{7}+\alpha^{49}-\alpha^{343}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+r_{2}\left(\alpha-\alpha^{49}\right)+r_{3}\left(\alpha^{7}-\alpha^{343}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hereby the quadruples $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ are subject to the conditions $r_{0} \neq 0, r_{1} \neq 0$ and $\left(r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \neq(0,0)$.

Remark. The polynomial $x^{4}+x^{3}+1$ is an N -polynomial over $F_{7}$. If we choose $\alpha$ as the root of this polynomial, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(4,7)= & \left\{6 r_{0}+r_{1}\left(1+4 \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{3}\right)+r_{2}\left(2 \alpha+5 \alpha^{2}+3 \alpha^{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+r_{3}\left(3+5 \alpha+4 \alpha^{2}+4 \alpha^{3}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_{0} \neq 0, r_{1} \neq 0$ and $\left(r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \neq(0,0)$.

## 4. The case $(n, p)>1$

We now suppose $x^{n}-1=\left(x^{n_{0}}-1\right)^{t}=\left(f_{1} \ldots f_{r}\right)^{t}, t=p^{s}>1$. Our goal is to find $G\left(e_{i}\right)$, where $e_{i}(i=1, \ldots, r)$ are the primitive idempotents.

In this case the semigroup $\bar{R}$ is not a set-theoretical union of disjoint groups. So we have to follow a slightly different way.

Write $U=\bar{R} e_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \bar{R} e_{r}$. It is easy to see that $U=\bar{R}$ and $\bar{R} e_{i} \cap \bar{R} e_{j}=\{0\}$. The set $\bar{R} e_{i}$ is an ideal of the semigroup $\bar{R}$, containing exactly two idempotents, namely $e_{i}$ and 0 . It is known that if an ideal $I$ of any semigroup contains an idempotent $e$, then $I$ contains the whole maximal group $G(e)$.

Therefore we may write $\bar{R} e_{i}=G\left(e_{i}\right) \cup I\left(e_{i}\right), G\left(e_{i}\right) \cap I\left(e_{i}\right)=\emptyset$, and $I\left(e_{i}\right)$ is the set of all nilpotent elements of $\bar{R} e_{i}$. The set $\bar{R} e_{i}$ is the set of all $\varrho \in \bar{R}$ for which $\varrho e_{i}=\varrho$, i.e., $\varrho\left(1-e_{i}\right)=0$.

Any $\varrho \in R$ can be written in the form $\varrho=f_{j_{1}}^{\tau_{1}} \cdot f_{j_{2}}^{\tau_{2}} \ldots f_{j_{v}}^{\tau_{v}} b, 1 \leqslant \tau_{j} \leqslant t$, and $e_{i}=F_{i}^{t} a_{i}$, where $b, a_{i} \in G(1)$. We have $\varrho \cdot e_{i}=f_{j_{1}}^{\tau_{1}} f_{j_{2}}^{\tau_{2}} \ldots f_{j_{v}}^{\tau_{v}} \cdot F_{i}^{t} a_{i} \cdot b=f_{i}^{\tau_{i}} \cdot F_{i}^{t} c$, $c \in G(1)$. It is immediately seen that $\varrho e_{i}$ is nilpotent if and only if $\tau_{i} \geqslant 1$, i.e., if
and only if $f_{i}(x)$ divides $\varrho \in R e_{i}$. [Also, if $\tau_{i} \geqslant 1$, it is clear that $\varrho^{t}=0$.] We have proved

Proposition 4.1. Let $(n, p)>1$. An element $\varrho \in \bar{R}$ is contained in the maximal group $G\left(e_{i}\right)$ if and only if $\varrho\left(1-e_{i}\right)=0$, and $f_{i}$ does not divide $\varrho$.

Hence, to find $G\left(e_{i}\right)$ we have first to find all $\varrho$ satisfying $\varrho\left(1-e_{i}\right)=0$ and then to exclude all those which are divisible by $f_{i}$.

Remark. The condition that $f_{i}(x)$ divides $\varrho(x)=r_{0}+r_{1} x+\ldots r_{n-1} x^{n-1}$ leads to a system of $n_{i}$ homogeneous linear equations for $\left\{r_{0}, \ldots, r_{n-1}\right\}$ from which the constrains for the $r_{i}{ }^{\prime} s$ follow. To see this let $\xi$ be a root of the irreducible polynomial $f_{i}(x)$. Then $f_{i}(\xi)=0$ enables us to compute $\xi^{k}$ for all $k \geqslant n_{i}$ in the form $\xi^{k}=$ $b_{0}^{(k)}+b_{1}^{(k)} \xi+\ldots b_{n_{i}-1}^{(k)} \xi^{n_{i}-1}$. We then have $\varrho(\xi)=r_{0}+r_{1} \xi+\ldots+r_{n-1} \xi^{n-1}=$ $c_{0}+c_{1} \xi+\ldots+c_{n_{i}-1} \xi^{n_{i}-1}$, where the $c_{i}{ }^{\prime} s$ are linear forms of $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n-1}\right\}$ (with coefficients in $F_{q}$ ). Now, $f_{i}(x)$ divides $\varrho(x)$ if and only if $c_{0}=c_{1}=\ldots=c_{n_{i}-1}=0$.

Example 4.2. We have to find all NB-generators of $F_{3^{6}} \mid F_{3}$ (supposing that one NB-generator $\alpha$ is known).

We have $x^{6}-1=(x-1)^{3}(x+1)^{3}$. By Proposition 2.6 the primitive idempotents of $F_{3}[x] /\left(x^{6}-1\right)$ are $e_{1}=2\left(1+x^{3}\right)$ and $e_{2}=2\left(1-x^{3}\right)$.
a) Write $\varrho=r_{0}+r_{1} x+\ldots+r_{5} x^{5}$. The condition $\varrho\left(1-e_{1}\right)=\left(r_{0}+r_{1} x+\ldots+\right.$ $\left.r_{5} x^{5}\right)\left(x^{3}-1\right)=\left(r_{3}-r_{0}\right)+\left(r_{4}-r_{1}\right) x+\left(r_{5}-r_{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(r_{0}-r_{3}\right) x^{3}+\left(r_{1}-r_{4}\right) x^{4}+\left(r_{2}-r_{5}\right) x^{5}=$ 0 implies $r_{3}=r_{0}, r_{4}=r_{1}, r_{5}=r_{2}$. Hence all polynomials $\varrho \neq 0$ satisfying $\varrho e_{1}=\varrho$ are $\left\{r_{0}+r_{1} x+r_{2} x^{2}+r_{o} x^{3}+r_{1} x^{4}+r_{2} x^{5}\right\}=\left\{\left(1+x^{3}\right)\left(r_{0} x+r_{1} x+r_{2} x^{2}\right)\right\}$, where $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \neq(0,0,0)$.

Now we have to exclude those polynomials which are divisible by $f_{1}=x-1$. These are the polynomials for which $r_{0}+r_{1}+r_{2}=0$. Hence

$$
G\left(e_{1}\right)=\left\{\left(r_{o}\left(1+x^{3}\right)+r_{1}\left(x+x^{4}\right)+r_{2}\left(x^{2}+x^{5}\right)\right\}, \quad \text { where } \quad r_{0}+r_{1}+r_{2} \neq 0\right.
$$

Clearly, $\left|G\left(e_{1}\right)\right|=18$.
b) Next, write $\varrho^{\prime}=r_{0}^{\prime}+r_{1}^{\prime} x+\ldots+r_{5}^{\prime} x^{5}$. The condition $\varrho\left(1-e_{2}\right)=\left(r_{0}^{\prime}+r_{1}^{\prime} x+\ldots+\right.$ $\left.r_{5}^{\prime} x^{5}\right)\left(2+2 x^{3}\right)=0$ implies $r_{0}^{\prime}+r_{3}^{\prime}=0, r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{4}^{\prime}=0, r_{2}^{\prime}+r_{5}^{\prime}=0$.

Hence all elements $\varrho$ of $R$ satisfying $\varrho e_{2}=\varrho$ are

$$
\left\{r_{0}^{\prime}+r_{1}^{\prime} x+r_{2}^{\prime} x^{2}-r_{0}^{\prime} x^{3}-r_{1}^{\prime} x^{4}-r_{2}^{\prime} x^{5}\right\}, \quad \text { where } \quad\left(r_{0}^{\prime}, r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}\right) \neq(0,0,0)
$$

From these polynomials we have to exclude those which are divisible by $f_{2}=x+1$. These are the polynomials for which $r_{0}^{\prime}-r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}=0$. Hence

$$
G\left(e_{2}\right)=\left\{r_{0}^{\prime}\left(1-x^{3}\right)+r_{1}^{\prime}\left(x-x^{4}\right)+r_{2}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}-x^{5}\right)\right\}, \quad \text { where } \quad r_{0}^{\prime}-r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0
$$

Again, $\left|G\left(e_{2}\right)\right|=18$.
c) Finally, $G(1)=G\left(e_{1}\right) \oplus G\left(e_{2}\right)$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(6,3)= & {\left[r_{0}\left(\alpha+\alpha^{27}\right)+r_{1}\left(\alpha^{3}+\alpha^{81}\right)+r_{2}\left(\alpha^{9}+\alpha^{243}\right)\right] } \\
& \oplus\left[r_{0}^{\prime}\left(\alpha-\alpha^{27}\right)+r_{1}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{3}-\alpha^{81}\right)+r_{2}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{9}-\alpha^{243}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting $A=\alpha+\alpha^{27}, B=\alpha-\alpha^{27}$, we may write this in the form

$$
\Gamma(6,3)=\left\{\left[r_{0} A+r_{1} A^{3}+r_{2} A^{9}\right] \oplus\left[r_{0}^{\prime} B+r_{1}^{\prime} B^{3}+r_{2}^{\prime} B^{9}\right]\right\}
$$

where $r_{0}+r_{1}+r_{2} \neq 0$ and $r_{0}^{\prime}-r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Clearly, $|\Gamma(6,3)|=324$.
Example 4.3. To see how the results look like for larger $n$ we give here (without the necessary computations) the result concerning the set of all NB-generators of $G F\left(3^{12}\right) \mid G F(3)$.

The factorization of $x^{12}-1$ into irreducible factors over $F_{3}$ is $x^{12}-1=(x-$ $1)^{3}(x+1)^{3}\left(x^{2}+1\right)^{3}=f_{1}^{3} f_{1}^{3} f_{3}^{3}$. By Proposition 2.6 the primitive idempotents are $e_{1}=1+x^{3}+x^{6}+x^{9}, e_{2}=1-x^{3}+x^{6}-x^{9}, e_{3}=x^{6}-1$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
G\left(e_{1}\right)=\left\{\left(r_{0}+r_{1} x+r_{2} x^{2}\right)\left(1+x^{3}+x^{6}+x^{9}\right) \mid r_{0}+r_{1}+r_{2} \neq 0\right\}, \text { and }\left|G\left(e_{1}\right)\right|=18 \\
G\left(e_{2}\right)=\left\{\left(r_{0}^{\prime}+r_{1} x^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime} x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{3}+x^{6}-x^{9}\right) \mid r_{0}^{\prime}-r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0\right\}, \text { and }\left|G\left(e_{2}\right)\right|=18 \\
G\left(e_{3}\right)=\left\{\left(r_{0}^{\prime \prime}+r_{1}^{\prime \prime} x+r_{2}^{\prime \prime} x^{2}+r_{3}^{\prime \prime} x^{3}+r_{4}^{\prime \prime} x^{4}+r_{5}^{\prime \prime} x^{5}\right)\left(1-x^{6}\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\left(r_{0}^{\prime \prime}-r_{2}^{\prime \prime}+r_{4}^{\prime \prime}, r_{1}^{\prime \prime}-r_{3}^{\prime \prime}+r_{5}^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq(0,0)$, and $\left|G\left(e_{3}\right)\right|=2^{3} \cdot 3^{4}$.
Hence $G(1)=G\left(e_{1}\right) \oplus G\left(e_{2}\right) \oplus G\left(e_{3}\right)$ and $|G(1)|=2^{5} \cdot 3^{8}=209952$.
Denote $A_{1}=\alpha+\alpha^{3^{3}}+\alpha^{3^{6}}+\alpha^{3^{9}}, A_{2}=\alpha-\alpha^{3^{3}}+\alpha^{3^{6}}-\alpha^{3^{9}}, A_{3}=\alpha-\alpha^{3^{6}}$. Then the set of all NB-generators of $G F\left(3^{12}\right) \mid G F(3)$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(12,3)= & \left\{\left(r_{0} A_{1}+r_{1} A_{1}^{3}+r_{2} A_{1}^{9}\right) \oplus\left(r_{0}^{\prime} A_{2}+r_{1}^{\prime} A_{2}^{3}+r_{2}^{\prime} A_{2}^{9}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\oplus\left(r_{0}^{\prime \prime} A_{3}+r_{1}^{\prime \prime} A_{3}^{3}+r_{2}^{\prime \prime} A_{3}^{9}+r_{3}^{\prime \prime} A_{3}^{27}+r_{4}^{\prime \prime} A_{3}^{81}+r_{5}^{\prime \prime} A_{3}^{243}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the restrictions for the $r_{i}{ }^{\prime} s$ are given above.
Example 4.4. Simple results are obtained if we consider the extension $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$, where $n$ is a power of the characteristic, $p=\operatorname{char}\left(F_{q}\right)$.

Consider, e.g., the case $F_{p^{p}} \mid F_{p}$. The ring $F_{p}[x] /\left(x^{p}-1\right)=F_{p}[x] /(x-1)^{p}$ contains a unique non-zero idempotent (namely 1), and $G(1)$ consists of all polynomials $\varrho=$ $r_{0}+r_{1} x+\ldots+r_{p-1} x^{p-1}$ which are not divisible by $x-1$, i.e., such that $r_{0}+r_{1}+$ $\ldots+r_{p-1} \neq 0$. Hence $G(1)=\left\{r_{0}+r_{1} x+\ldots+r_{p-1} x^{p-1} \mid r_{0}+r_{1}+\ldots+r_{p-1} \neq 0\right\}$. If $\alpha$ is one NB-generator of $F_{p^{p}} \mid F_{p}$, then all the others are given by

$$
\Gamma(p, p)=\left\{r_{0} \alpha+r_{1} \alpha^{p}+\ldots+r_{p-1} \alpha^{p^{p-1}} \mid r_{0}+r_{1}+\ldots+r_{p-1} \neq 0\right\}
$$

Here $|\Gamma(p, p)|=p^{p}-p^{p-1}$.

## 5. Some consequences for N-polynomials

In the preceding sections we have shown how to describe all NB-generators of $F_{q^{n}} \mid F_{q}$ by one formula (containing parameters). If $g=g\left(\alpha, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ is this "general expression", then $h(x)=h\left(x, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)=(x-g)\left(x-g^{q}\right) \ldots\left(x-g^{q^{n-1}}\right)$ is a "general expression" for all N-polynomials of degree $n \geqslant 2$ over $F_{q}$. In other words, if we know one N-polynomial of degree $n \geqslant 2$, we are able (in principle) to describe all N -polynomials of degree $n$ by one formula (containing parameters $r_{i}$ ). It is sufficient to write down $h(x)$ as a polynomial with coefficients $\in F_{q}$. For $n=2$ this is rather easy. For $n=3$ we show in Example 3.3 how the straightforward procedure looks like. For $n \geqslant 4$ the evaluation is rather cumbersome.

Example 5.1. We prove two statements concerning quadratic N-polynomials.
Statement 1. Let $x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{2}$ be one $N$-polynomial over $F_{q}$, $\operatorname{char}\left(F_{q}\right)=p>2$. Then the set $\{h(x)\}$ of all quadratic $N$-polynomials over $F_{q}$ is given by the formula

$$
h(x)=x^{2}+2 a_{1} r_{0} x+r_{o}^{2} a_{1}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\left(a_{1}^{2}-4 a_{2}\right),
$$

where $r_{0}, r_{1} \in F_{q}$ and $r_{0} r_{1} \neq 0$.
Proof. The factorization $x^{2}-1=(x-1)(x+1)$ over $F_{q}$ implies that the primitive idempotents of $F_{q}[x] /\left(x^{2}-1\right)$ are $e_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(1+x)$ and $e_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(1-x)$, so that $G(1)=r_{0}(1+x) \oplus r_{1}(1-x)$, where $r_{0} r_{1} \neq 0$, and $\Gamma(2, q)=\left\{r_{0}\left(\alpha+\alpha^{q}\right) \oplus r_{1}\left(\alpha-\alpha^{q}\right)\right\}$, where $\alpha$ is a root of $x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{2}=0$.

If $g=r_{0}\left(\alpha+\alpha^{q}\right)+r_{1}\left(\alpha-\alpha^{q}\right)$, then $g^{q}=r_{0}\left(\alpha^{q}+\alpha\right)+r_{1}\left(\alpha^{q}-\alpha\right)$, and $g+g^{q}=$ $2 r_{0}\left(\alpha+\alpha^{q}\right)=-2 a_{1} r_{0}, g g^{q}=r_{0}^{2}\left(\alpha+\alpha^{q}\right)^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\left(\alpha-\alpha^{q}\right)^{2}=r_{0}^{2} a_{1}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\left(a_{1}^{2}-4 a_{2}\right)$. This proves our statement. [Clearly there are $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)^{2}$ different quadratic N-polynomials over $F_{q}$.]

To have a numerical example let us describe (by one formula) the set of all quadratic N -polynomials over $F_{7}$, knowing that, e.g., $x^{2}+x+3$ is an N -polynomial over $F_{7}$. We then have $h(x)=x^{2}+2 r_{0} x+r_{o}^{2}+r_{1}^{2}$. To obtain all the 18 different ones it is sufficient to choose $r_{0} \in\{1,2, \ldots, 6\}, r_{1}^{2} \in\{1,2,4\}$.

To complete our considerations we have to consider also the case $\operatorname{char}\left(F_{q}\right)=2$, $q=2^{s}, n=2$.

Statement 2. Let $x^{2}+b_{1} x+b_{2}$ be one $N$-polynomial of degree 2 over $F_{q}=$ $G F\left(2^{s}\right)$. Then all $N$-polynomials of degree 2 over $F_{q}$ are given by the formula

$$
h(x)=x^{2}+b_{1}\left(r_{0}+r_{1}\right) x+\left(r_{0}+r_{1}\right)^{2} b_{2}+r_{0} r_{1} b_{1}^{2},
$$

where $r_{0}, r_{1} \in F_{q}$ and $r_{0} \neq r_{1}$.

Proof. The ring $F_{q}[x] /(x-1)^{2}$ has a unique non-zero idempotent (namely $e=1$ ). To find $G(1)$ we have (in accordance with Proposition 4.1) to exclude all those polynomials $r_{0}+r_{1} x$ which are divisible by $f(x)=x+1$. These are the polynomials for which $r_{0}+r_{1}=0$ (i.e. $r_{0}=r_{1}$ ). We have therefore

$$
G(1)=\left\{r_{0}+r_{1} x \mid r_{0}, r_{1} \in F_{q}, r_{0} \neq r_{1}\right\} .
$$

If $\beta$ is the root of $x^{2}+b_{1} x+b_{2}$ we immediately obtain the set of all NB-generators

$$
\Gamma(2, q)=\Gamma\left(2,2^{s}\right)=\left\{r_{0} \beta+r_{1} \beta^{q} \mid r_{0}, r_{1} \in F_{q}, r_{0} \neq r_{1}\right\} .
$$

If $g=r_{0} \beta+r_{1} \beta^{q}$ is an NB-generator, we have $g+g^{q}=\left(r_{0} \beta+r_{1} \beta^{q}\right)+\left(r_{0} \beta^{q}+r_{1} \beta\right)=$ $b_{1}\left(r_{0}+r_{1}\right)$ and $g \cdot g^{q}=\left(r_{0} \beta+r_{1} \beta^{q}\right)\left(r_{o} \beta^{q}+r_{1} \beta\right)=\left(r_{0}+r_{1}\right)^{2} \cdot b_{2}+r_{0} r_{1}\left(\beta+\beta^{q}\right)^{2}=$ $\left(r_{0}^{2}+r_{1}^{2}\right) b_{2}+r_{0} r_{1} b_{1}^{2}$. Therefore $h(x)=(x-g)\left(x-g^{q}\right)=x^{2}+b_{1}\left(r_{0}+r_{1}\right) x+\left(r_{0}+\right.$ $\left.r_{1}\right)^{2} b_{2}+r_{0} r_{1} b_{1}^{2}$. This formula comprises all the $\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) N$-polynomials of degree 2 over $F_{q}$.

Example 5.2. We have to find all $N$-polynomials of degree 3 over $F_{5}$.
In Example 3.3 we have proved that any NB-generator $g$ of $F_{5^{3}} \mid F_{5}$ is of the form

$$
g=r_{0}\left(\alpha+\alpha^{5}+\alpha^{25}\right)+r_{1}\left(4 \alpha+\alpha^{5}\right)+r_{2}\left(4 \alpha+\alpha^{25}\right),
$$

whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{5} & =r_{0}\left(\alpha+\alpha^{5}+\alpha^{25}\right)+r_{1}\left(4 \alpha^{5}+\alpha^{25}\right)+r_{2}\left(4 \alpha^{5}+\alpha\right), \\
g^{25} & =r_{0}\left(\alpha+\alpha^{5}+\alpha^{25}\right)+r_{1}\left(4 \alpha^{25}+\alpha\right)+r_{2}\left(4 \alpha^{25}+\alpha^{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\alpha$ is a root of an N-polynomial $x^{3}+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{2} x+a_{3}=0$, and an admissible triple $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ is defined by the restrictions $r_{0} \neq 0,\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$.

Our goal is to calculate

$$
h(x)=(x-g)\left(x-g^{5}\right)\left(x-g^{25}\right)
$$

as a polynomial over $F_{5}$.
Since $r_{0}\left(\alpha+\alpha^{p}+\alpha^{p^{2}}\right)=-r_{0} a_{1}$, we shall write $g+r_{0} a_{1}=g_{1}$, so that $g_{1}=$ $r_{1}\left(4 \alpha+\alpha^{5}\right)+r_{2}\left(4 \alpha+\alpha^{25}\right)$, and we shall evaluate the product

$$
h_{1}(y)=\left(y-g_{1}\right)\left(y-g_{1}^{5}\right)\left(y-g_{1}^{25}\right)=y^{3}+b_{1} y^{2}+b_{2} y+b_{3} .
$$

Note first that $-b_{1}=g_{1}+g_{1}^{5}+g_{1}^{25}=g+g^{5}+g^{25}+3 r_{0} a_{1}=3 r_{0}\left(\alpha+\alpha+\alpha^{25}\right)+3 r_{0} a_{1}=$ $-3 r_{0} a_{1}+3 r_{0} a_{1}=0$ (independently of the choice of $\alpha$ ).

Now choose $\alpha$ as a root of the N-polynomial $x^{3}+x^{2}+1$ (over $F_{5}$ ). Then $g_{1}=$ $r_{1}\left(4 \alpha+\alpha^{5}\right)+r_{2}\left(4 \alpha+\alpha^{25}\right)=r_{1}\left(4+3 \alpha^{2}\right)+r_{2}\left(2 \alpha+2 \alpha^{2}\right)$ satisfies an equation $g_{1}^{3}+b_{2} g_{1}+b_{3}=0$ with unknowns $b_{2}, b_{3}$.

Hence

$$
\left[r_{1}\left(4+3 \alpha^{2}\right)+r_{2}\left(2 \alpha+2 \alpha^{2}\right)\right]^{3}+b_{2}\left[r_{1}\left(4+3 \alpha^{2}\right)+r_{2}\left(2 \alpha+2 \alpha^{2}\right)\right]+b_{3}=0
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[r_{1}^{3}\left(1+3 \alpha^{2}\right)+r_{1}^{2} r_{2}(4+2 \alpha)+r_{1} r_{2}^{2}(3\right.} & \left.+2 \alpha)+r_{2}^{3}\left(3+2 \alpha+2 \alpha^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +b_{2}\left[4 r_{1}+2 r_{2} \alpha+\left(3 r_{1}+2 r_{2}\right) \alpha^{2}\right]+b_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to the following three equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}^{3}+4 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}+3 r_{1} r_{2}^{2}+3 r_{2}^{2}+4 b_{2} r_{1}+b_{3}=0 \\
& 2 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}+2 r_{1} r_{2}^{2}+2 r_{2}^{3}+2 r_{2} b_{2}=0 \\
& 3 r_{1}^{3}+2 r_{2}^{3}+b_{2}\left(3 r_{1}+2 r_{2}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

From the second (which is equivalent to the third if $r_{2} \neq 0$ or $r_{1}-r_{2} \neq 0$ ) we get $b_{2}=4\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{1} r_{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)$, and from the first $b_{3}=3 r_{1}^{3}+r_{1} r_{2}^{2}+2 r_{2}^{3}$. This holds also if $r_{2}=0$ or $r_{1}-r_{2}=0$. Hence

$$
h_{1}(y)=y^{3}+4\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{1} r_{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right) y+\left(3 r_{1}^{3}+r_{1} r_{2}^{2}+2 r_{2}^{3}\right),
$$

and replacing $y$ by $x+r_{0} a_{1}=x+r_{0}$, we finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\left(x+r_{0}\right)^{3}+4\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{1} r_{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)\left(x+r_{0}\right)+\left(3 r_{1}^{3}+r_{1} r_{2}^{2}+2 r_{2}^{3}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula (*) contains formally 96 polynomials. It is of course clear that three different triples $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ always lead to the same $N$-polynomial. We show that in our case the triples $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right),\left(r_{0}, 4 r_{1}+4 r_{2}, r_{1}\right),\left(r_{0}, r_{2}, 4 r_{1}+4 r_{2}\right)$ are giving the same polynomial $h(x)$.

To see this it is sufficient to find $\left(r_{0}^{\prime}, r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\left(r_{0}^{\prime}+4 r_{1}^{\prime}+4 r_{2}^{\prime}\right) \alpha+\left(r_{0}^{\prime}+\right.$ $\left.r_{1}^{\prime}\right) \alpha^{5}+\left(r_{0}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}\right) \alpha^{25}=g^{5}=\left(r_{0}+4 r_{1}+4 r_{2}\right) \alpha^{5}+\left(r_{0}+r_{1}\right) \alpha^{25}+\left(r_{0}+r_{2}\right) \alpha$. This implies $r_{0}^{\prime}+4 r_{1}^{\prime}+4 r_{2}^{\prime}=r_{0}+r_{2}, r_{0}^{\prime}+r_{1}^{\prime}=r_{0}+4 r_{1}+4 r_{2}, r_{0}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}=r_{0}+r_{1}$, whence $r_{0}^{\prime}=r_{0}$, $r_{1}^{\prime}=4 r_{1}+r_{2}, r_{2}^{\prime}=r_{1}$. Applying once more "the shift" $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(r_{0}, 4 r_{1}+4 r_{2}, r_{1}\right)$ to the second term we obtain the third triple ( $r_{0}, r_{2}, 4 r_{1}+4 r_{2}$ ).

We have proved
Statement 3. The formula (*) comprises exactly all the $32 N$-polynomials of degree 3 over $F_{5}$, when $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ runs through all admissible triples. Hereby the triples
$\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right),\left(r_{0}, 4 r_{1}+4 r_{2}, r_{1}\right)$ and $\left(r_{0}, r_{2}, 4 r_{1}+4 r_{2}\right)$ are giving the same polynomial $h(x)$.

Remark. It is clear from our considerations that formulas of the type (*) exist for any $n \geqslant 2$ and any $F_{q}$, but the effective construction of the corresponding Npolynomials for $n \geqslant 4$ is rather complicated.
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