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## 1. Introduction

Let $x_{n}$ and $y_{n}$ be two infinite sequences in the unit interval $[0,1)$. The pair of sequences $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ is called statistically independent if

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(x_{n}\right) g\left(y_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(x_{n}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{N} g\left(y_{n}\right)\right)=0
$$

for all continuous real functions $f, g$ defined on $[0,1]$, cf. [11]. In other words, the double sequence $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ is called statistically independent if it has statistically independent coordinate sequences $x_{n}$ and $y_{n}$.

[^0]For ( $x_{n}, y_{n}$ ) and any $p>0$ we define the $L^{p}$ statistical independence discrepancy ${ }_{S} D_{N}^{(p)}$, the Wiener $L^{p}$ statistical independence discrepancy ${ }_{S} W_{N}^{(p)}$, and the statistical independence star discrepancy ${ }_{S} D_{N}^{*}$ by the following: denote

$$
F_{N}(x, y):=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{[0, x)}\left(x_{n}\right) \chi_{[0, y)}\left(y_{n}\right)
$$

where $\chi_{[0, x)}(t)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[0, x)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{S} D_{N}^{(p)} & :=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|F_{N}(x, y)-F_{N}(x, 1) F_{N}(1, y)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \\
{ }_{S} W_{N}^{(p)} & :=\int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}}\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(x_{n}\right) g\left(y_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(x_{n}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{N} g\left(y_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} f \mathrm{~d} g,  \tag{1.1}\\
{ }_{S} D_{N}^{*} & :=\sup _{x, y \in[0,1]}\left|F_{N}(x, y)-F_{N}(x, 1) F_{N}(1, y)\right|,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} f$ is the Wiener measure on the set $\mathcal{C}_{0}$ of all continuous functions defined on $[0,1]$ satisfying $f(0)=0$. Furthermore, we write ${ }_{S} D_{N}^{(p)}={ }_{S} D_{N}^{(p)}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ and similarly for ${ }_{S} W_{N}^{(p)}$ and ${ }_{S} D_{N}^{*}$.

These definitions of discrepancy originate from the theory of uniform distribution of sequences, where the star discrepancy, the $L^{p}$-discrepancy and the Wiener discrepancy are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{N}^{*}\left(x_{n}\right)=\sup _{x \in[0,1]}\left|F_{N}(x)-x\right| \\
& D_{N}^{(p)}=\int_{0}^{1}\left|F_{N}(x)-x\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x,  \tag{1.2}\\
& W_{N}^{(p)}=\int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}}\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(x_{n}\right)-\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} f,
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{N}(x):=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{[0, x)}\left(x_{n}\right)$. Again, a sequence $x_{n}$ is called uniformly distributed, if $D_{N}^{*}\left(x_{n}\right)$ tends to 0 for $N \rightarrow \infty$. This is equivalent to $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} D_{N}^{(p)}=0$ and $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} W_{N}^{(p)}=0$ (cf. [9]).

The following explicit formulæ for statistical independence discrepancies are known. In [5] the following formula is given:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{4}} \sum_{\substack{k, l=-\infty \\ k, l \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2} l^{2}}\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left(k x_{n}+l y_{n}\right)}-\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left(k x_{n}+l y_{m}\right)}\right|^{2} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, in [13] an alternative expression is presented:

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}= & \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{m, n}^{N}\left(1-\max \left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)\right)\left(1-\max \left(y_{m}, y_{n}\right)\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
& +\frac{1}{N^{4}} \sum_{m, n, k, l=1}^{N}\left(1-\max \left(x_{m}, x_{k}\right)\right)\left(1-\max \left(y_{n}, y_{l}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{2}{N^{3}} \sum_{m, k, l=1}^{N}\left(1-\max \left(x_{m}, x_{k}\right)\right)\left(1-\max \left(y_{m}, y_{l}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For the Wiener $L^{2}$ statistical independence discrepancy in [13] we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{S} W_{N}^{(2)}= & \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{m, n}^{N} \frac{\min \left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)}{2} \frac{\min \left(y_{m}, y_{n}\right)}{2}  \tag{1.5}\\
& +\frac{1}{N^{4}} \sum_{m, n, k, l=1}^{N} \frac{\min \left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)}{2} \frac{\min \left(y_{k}, y_{l}\right)}{2} \\
& -\frac{2}{N^{3}} \sum_{m, k, l=1}^{N} \frac{\min \left(x_{m}, x_{k}\right)}{2} \frac{\min \left(y_{m}, y_{l}\right)}{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

These are extensions of classical formulæ, which can be found in [9]. The notion of Wiener discrepancy was introduced in [13].

In [5] it is proved that $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}{ }_{S} D_{N}^{*}=0$ does not characterize the statistical independence of $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. On the other hand, $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}{ }_{S} D_{N}^{(p)}=0$ for $p=2$ is a characterization and it has been conjectured that the same is true also for any $p>0$. In Section 2 we will prove this conjecture and we will also prove the same for the Wiener discrepancy ${ }_{S} W_{N}^{(p)}$. Moreover, we will see that the statistical independence is fully described by the set of distribution functions of a given sequence $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$.

In [13] it is proved that ${ }_{S} W_{N}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{4} S D_{N}^{(2)}$, but a similar relation for ${ }_{S} W_{N}^{(p)}, p>0$ is not valid, which we will demonstrate in Section 4.

In Section 3 of this paper we will discuss the asymptotical distribution of $L^{2}$ discrepancy. This continues investigations of the star discrepancy due to Kolmogorov [8]. It is now well-known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{N} D_{N}^{*}\left(x_{n}\right)<t\right)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \mathrm{e}^{-2 k^{2} t^{2}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will make use of a heuristic approach to this result due to Doob [4], which has been justified by Donsker [3]. The heuristics states that the discrepancy function
$F_{N}(x)-x$ behaves like a trajectory of the Wiener process. Especially this behaviour holds for continuous functionals of the discrepancy function, as the supremum or the $L^{p}$-norm.

## 2. Statistical independence

As we have mentioned in the introduction, the equivalence

$$
\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \text { is statistically independent } \Longleftrightarrow \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} S_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}=0
$$

was proved in [5]. We shall extend this characterization of statistical independence to any $p>0$. To do this we need the following notation:

For a given infinite sequence $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ in $[0,1)^{2}$, let $G\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ be the set of all distribution functions of $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$.

Here $g:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a distribution function of $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ if there exists an increasing sequence of indices $N_{1}<N_{2}<\ldots$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{N_{k}}(x, y)=g(x, y)$ for every point $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$. Following [9, p. 54] two distribution functions $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are considered to be equivalent, if $g_{1}(x, y)=g_{2}(x, y)$ a.e. on $[0,1]^{2}$ or equivalently, $g_{1}(x, y)=g_{2}(x, y)$ for every $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$ if both $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are continuous.

Theorem 1. For any sequence $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ in $[0,1)^{2}$ and any $p>0$ we have

$$
\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \text { is statistically independent } \Longleftrightarrow \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} S D_{N}^{(p)}=0
$$

Proof. By the well known first Helly lemma and the Lebesgue theorem of dominated convergence we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|F_{N}(x, y)-F_{N}(x, 1) F_{N}(1, y)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y=0 \Longleftrightarrow \\
\forall\left(g \in G\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}|g(x, y)-g(x, 1) g(1, y)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y=0 .
\end{array}
$$

The right hand side is true for all $p>0$, and for $p=2$, the left hand side characterizes the statistical independence. Thus the proof is complete.

The following is an immediate consequence of the above proof:
Theorem 2. For every $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in[0,1)^{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \text { is statistically independent } \Longleftrightarrow \\
& \forall\left(g \in G\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) g(x, y)=g(x, 1) g(1, y) \text { a.e. on }[0,1]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the proof of Theorem 1 with Remark 1 in [13] and observing that any neighbourhood in the supremum topology in $\mathcal{C}_{0}$ has a positive Wiener measure, we have a condition for statistical independence in terms of the Wiener statistical independence discrepancy.

Theorem 3. For any $p>0$ the sequence $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ is statistically independent, if and only if

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}{ }_{S} W_{N}^{(p)}=0
$$

Using Theorem 2 we can describe the case when the star discrepancy ${ }_{S} D_{N}^{*}$ tends to 0 .

Theorem 4. If $G\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ contains only continuous distribution functions, then

$$
\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \text { is statistically independent } \Longleftrightarrow \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}{ }_{S} D_{N}^{*}=0
$$

Proof. The case $\Longleftarrow$ follows immediately. The implication $\Longrightarrow$ follows from Theorem 2 and the fact that, for continuous $g \in G\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, the convergence

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{N_{k}}(x, y)=g(x, y)
$$

is uniform in $[0,1]^{2}$. Hence we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{S} D_{N_{k}}^{*}=0$ and this leads to $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}{ }_{S} D_{N}^{*}=0$.

In [14] it is shown that one can use the Wiener-Schoenberg theorem for the proof of continuity of $g \in G\left(x_{n}\right)$ (cf. the monograph of L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter [9, Th. 7.5, p. 55]). The same method can be used for $G\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$.

## 3 Uniform Distribution

In order to describe the asymptotic distribution function of the $L^{2}$-discrepancy, we use a theorem due to Donsker [3] and the well-known Feynman-Kac formula (cf. [7]). Donsker's theorem states that for a functional $F$, which is continuous in the uniform topology on the space of sample paths of the Wiener process, the following limit relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(F\left(\sqrt{N}\left(F_{N}(x)-x\right)\right) \leqslant \alpha\right)=\mathbb{P}(F(x(.)) \leqslant \alpha) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x(t)$ is a trajectory of the Wiener process with $x(0)=x(1)=0$.

The Feynman-Kac formula relates the Laplace transform of the distribution function of the integral $\int_{0}^{t} V(x(\tau)) \mathrm{d} \tau$ ( $V$ is a positive function) to the solutions of the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)-V(x) \psi(x)=-\lambda \psi(x), \quad \psi \in L^{2}(-\infty, \infty) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} V(x(\tau)) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mid x(t)=0\right)=\sqrt{2 \pi t} \sum_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{n} t} \psi_{n}(0)^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{n}$ are the eigenvalues and $\psi_{n}$ are the corresponding normalized eigenfunctions of (3.2).

In order to get information on the distribution function of $L^{2}$-discrepancy we have to study equation (3.2) for $V(x)=x^{2}$. Clearly, this procedure could also be applied for $V(x)=|x|^{p}$ to study the distribution of $L^{p}$-discrepancy, but it is not enough known to get as precise information as in the $L^{2}$-case. We will write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(T)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{N} D_{N}^{(2)}<T\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the limit distribution of the $L^{2}$-discrepancy.
First, we notice that by the rescaling property of the Wiener process we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} x(\tau)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right) \mid x(t)=0\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(-t^{2} \int_{0}^{1} x(\tau)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right) \mid x(1)=0\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case studied here equation (3.2) has the form

$$
\frac{1}{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)-x^{2} \psi(x)=-\lambda \psi(x)
$$

which is the differential equation for the Hermite functions (cf. [10,p. 253]). Thus we have $\lambda_{n}=\frac{2 n+1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and

$$
\psi_{n}(x)=\frac{\sqrt[8]{2}}{\sqrt[4]{\pi}} \frac{1}{2^{n} \sqrt{(2 n)!}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{x^{2}}{\sqrt{2}}} H_{n}(\sqrt[4]{2} x)
$$

where $H_{n}$ are the Hermite polynomials as defined in [10,p. 249]. Hence we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} x(\tau)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right) \mid x(t)=0\right) & =\sqrt{2 \sqrt{2} t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{4 n+1}{\sqrt{2}} t\right) \frac{1}{4^{n}}\binom{2 n}{n}= \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2} t}{\sinh \sqrt{2} t}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.5) we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(-s \int_{0}^{1} x(\tau)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right) \mid x(1)=0\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2 s}}{\sinh \sqrt{2 s}}}
$$

for the Laplace transform of the distribution function of the limit distribution of $N\left(D_{N}^{(2)}\right)^{2}$. Notice that this function is holomorphic in the region $\Re s>-\frac{\pi^{2}}{2}$. Furthermore, it has a branch cut of the square-root type at the point $s=-\frac{\pi^{2}}{2}$. Thus using the Laplace inversion theorem and asymptotic techniques for the Laplace transform (cf. [2]) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(T)=1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi T}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\pi^{2}}{2} T}+O\left(\frac{1}{T^{\frac{3}{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\pi^{2}}{2} T}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark here that for the case of $L^{p}$-discrepancy the whole procedure also works. Again the Laplace transform of the distribution function is holomorphic in a region $\Re s>-\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon>0$, but this is a consequence of (1.6). We could not derive this analytic information from the knowledge of the asymptotics of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions (cf. [15], [12]), nor could we find the location of the singularity of the largest real part, whose type would yield asymptotic information on the limiting distribution of the $L^{p}$-discrepancy.

## 4. Relation between Wiener and classical $L^{2}$ discrepancy

We start with the Paley-Wiener formula (cf. [1]):

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}} F\left[\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} m(x)\right] \mathrm{d} f=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-u^{2}} F(b u) \mathrm{d} u, \quad b^{2}=\int_{0}^{1} m^{2}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

where $F(u)$ is a (real or complex-valued) measurable function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that $\mathrm{e}^{-u^{2}} F(b u)$ is of class $L_{1}$ and $m(1)=0$. Thus, putting $F(u)=|u|^{p}$ and $m(x)=F_{N}(x)-x$, in the classical case we have

$$
W_{N}^{(p)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)\left(D_{N}^{(2)}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}
$$

Assuming $m(x, y)=m_{1}(x) m_{2}(y)$ on $[0,1]^{2}$ and $m_{1}(1)=m_{2}(1)=0$, the PaleyWiener formula can also be used for computing the two-dimensional integral

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}} F\left[\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} f(x) g(y) \mathrm{d} m(x, y)\right] \mathrm{d} f \mathrm{~d} g
$$

For any $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $y_{1}, y_{2}$ in $[0,1)$, there exist $m_{1}(x)$ and $m_{2}(y), m_{1}(1)=m_{2}(1)=0$, such that $F_{2}(x, y)-F_{2}(x, 1) F_{2}(1, y)=m_{1}(x) m_{2}(y)(x, y \in[0,1])$. Hence

$$
{ }_{S} W_{2}^{(p)}=\frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma^{2}\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)\left({ }_{S} D_{2}^{(2)}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}
$$

for every $p>0$.
The proof of ${ }_{S} W_{N}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{4}{ }_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}$ in [13] is also extremely simple: Using (1.3) we have

$$
{ }_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)={ }_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}\left(1-x_{n}, 1-y_{n}\right)
$$

and using $1-\max \left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)=\min \left(1-x_{m}, 1-x_{n}\right)$ and (1.5) we have the result.
These results give rise to the question whether there is a relation of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{S} W_{N}^{(p)}=c_{p}\left({ }_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

between the different notions of statistical independence discrepancy. In the following we give explicit formulae for these discrepancies which lead to the negative answer.

The Paley-Wiener formula is equivalent to

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} m(x)\right)^{2 k} \mathrm{~d} f=\frac{(2 k-1)!!}{2^{k}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t\left(\int_{0}^{1} \chi_{[t, 1]}(x) \mathrm{d} m(x)\right)^{2}\right)^{k}
$$

where $k=1,2, \ldots$, and $(2 k-1)!!=(2 k-1)(2 k-3) \ldots 3 \cdot 1$ and for the exponent $2 k+1$ the left hand integral is zero. (For this formula the assumption $m(1)=0$ is superfluous.) The formal two-dimensional analogue is the relation $A=c B$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & :=\int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} f(x) g(y) \mathrm{d} m(x, y)\right)^{2 k} \mathrm{~d} f \mathrm{~d} g \\
B & :=\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \chi_{\left[t_{1}, 1\right]}(x) \chi_{\left[t_{2}, 1\right]} \mathrm{d} m(x, y)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{2}\right)^{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $c$ is independent of $m(x, y)$. These integrals can be expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A=\int_{0}^{1} \ldots \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}} f\left(u_{1}\right) \ldots f\left(u_{2 k}\right) \mathrm{d} f\right)\left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}} g\left(v_{1}\right) \ldots g\left(v_{2 k}\right) \mathrm{d} g\right) \\
\mathrm{d} m\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \ldots \mathrm{d} m\left(u_{2 k}, v_{2 k}\right), \\
B=\int_{0}^{1} \ldots \int_{0}^{1}\left(\min \left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \ldots \min \left(u_{2 k-1}, u_{2 k}\right)\right)\left(\min \left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \ldots \min \left(v_{2 k-1}, v_{2 k}\right)\right) \\
\mathrm{d} m\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \ldots \mathrm{d} m\left(u_{2 k}, v_{2 k}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Furthermore, by the well known formula (which can also be proved by applying the above Paley-Wiener formula)

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}_{0}} f\left(u_{1}\right) \ldots f\left(u_{2 k}\right) \mathrm{d} f=\frac{(2 k-1)!!}{2^{k}(2 k)!} \sum_{\pi} \min \left(u_{\pi(1)}, u_{\pi(2)}\right) \ldots \min \left(u_{\pi(2 k-1)}, u_{\pi(2 k)}\right)
$$

where the summation $\sum_{\pi}$ ranges over all permutations $\pi$ of $(1, \ldots, 2 k)$. For the odd case $2 k+1$ the integral vanishes. Next we choose $m(x, y)$ such that $\mathrm{d} m\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)=z_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots 2 k$, and $\mathrm{d} m(x, y)=0$ otherwise. Here we shall view $z_{i}$ as independent variables. Assuming $A=c B$ and comparing the coefficients at $z_{1} \ldots z_{2 k}$, we have $C=c^{\prime} D$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C:= & \sum_{\pi}\left(\min \left(a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}\right) \ldots \min \left(a_{\pi(2 k-1)}, a_{\pi(2 k)}\right)\right) \times \\
& \times \sum_{\pi}\left(\min \left(b_{\pi(1)}, b_{\pi(2)}\right) \ldots \min \left(b_{\pi(2 k-1)}, b_{\pi(2 k)}\right)\right), \\
D:= & \sum_{\pi}\left(\min \left(a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}\right) \ldots \min \left(a_{\pi(2 k-1)}, a_{\pi(2 k)}\right)\right) \times \\
& \times\left(\min \left(b_{\pi(1)}, b_{\pi(2)}\right) \ldots \min \left(b_{\pi(2 k-1)}, b_{\pi(2 k)}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $a_{i}=b_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 2 k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{\pi}\left(\min \left(a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}\right) \ldots \min \left(a_{\pi(2 k-1)}, a_{\pi(2 k)}\right)\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =c^{\prime} \sum_{\pi}\left(\min \left(a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}\right) \ldots \min \left(a_{\pi(2 k-1)}, a_{\pi(2 k)}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is impossible, for $k>1$ and general $a_{i}$.
The proof of impossibility of (4.1) is more difficult. First, we have mentioned that for

$$
m(x, y)=F_{N}(x, y)-F_{N}(x, 1) F_{N}(1, y)
$$

we have $A={ }_{S} W_{N}^{(2 k)}$ and $B=\left({ }_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}\right)^{k}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{d} m(x, y) \neq 0$ only for $x=x_{m}$ and $y=y_{n}$, where $1 \leqslant m, n \leqslant N$. Precisely, assuming that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$ and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{N}$ are one-to-one we have

$$
\mathrm{d} m\left(x_{m}, y_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{N}-\frac{1}{N^{2}} \text { if } m=n \\
-\frac{1}{N^{2}} \text { in other cases }
\end{array}\right.
$$

For brevity, we shall use the following notations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{m}:=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{2 k}\right), \\
& \pi(\mathbf{m}):=\left(m_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, m_{\pi(2 k)}\right), \\
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}:=\left(x_{m_{1}}, \ldots, x_{m_{2 k}}\right), \\
& \mathbf{1} \leqslant \mathbf{m} \leqslant \mathbf{N} \Longleftrightarrow 1 \leqslant m_{1} \leqslant N \wedge \ldots \wedge 1 \leqslant m_{2 k} \leqslant N, \\
& l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}):=\#\left\{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 k ; m_{i}=n_{i}\right\}, \\
& \mu\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}\right):=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \min \left(x_{m_{2 i-1}}, x_{m_{2 i}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing the integrals $A$ and $B$ for such $m(x, y)$ we can find

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{S} W_{N}^{(2 k)}= & \frac{1}{N^{4 k}}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2 k} k!}\right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant \mathbf{m} \leqslant \mathbf{N} \\
\mathbf{1} \leqslant \mathbf{n} \leqslant \mathbf{N}}} \mu\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}\right) \mu\left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}}\right) \times \\
& \times \sum_{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}}(N-1)^{l\left(\pi_{1}(\mathbf{m}), \pi_{2}(\mathbf{n})\right)} \cdot(-1)^{2 k-l\left(\pi_{1}(\mathbf{m}), \pi_{2}(\mathbf{n})\right)} \\
\left({ }_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}\right)^{k}= & \frac{1}{N^{4 k}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant \mathbf{m} \leqslant \mathbf{N} \\
\mathbf{1} \leqslant \mathbf{n} \leqslant \mathbf{N}}} \mu\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}\right) \mu\left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}}\right) \times \\
& \times(N-1)^{l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})} \cdot(-1)^{2 k-l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can regard $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$ and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{N}$ as independent variables. Then we see that ${ }_{S} W_{N}^{(2 k)}$ and $\left({ }_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}\right)^{k}$ are homogeneous polynomials of the degree $k$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$ and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{N}$, respectively.

In the following denote

$$
x_{a}=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant N} x_{i}, x_{b}=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant N, i \neq a} x_{i}, y_{c}=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant N} y_{i}, y_{d}=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant N, i \neq c} y_{i},
$$

and let $a \neq c$ and $b=d$. Next we shall find coefficients of $x_{a}^{k-1} x_{b} y_{c}^{k-1} y_{d}$ in ${ }_{S} W_{N}^{(2 k)}$ and $\left({ }_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}\right)^{k}$, respectively.

First, $\mu\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}\right)=x_{a}^{k-1} x_{b}$ only for

$$
\mathbf{m}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(a, \ldots, a, b, a, \ldots, a)(\text { type I }) \\
(a, \ldots, a, b, b, a, \ldots, a)(\text { type II })
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the couple $(b, b)$ lies at the place with indices $(2 i-1,2 i)$. We have $2 k$ vectors of type I and $k(2 k-1)$ vectors of type II. If $\mathbf{m}$ is of type I and $\pi$ ranges over all
permutations of $(1, \ldots, 2 k)$, then all vectors of type I occur in $\pi(\mathbf{m})(2 k-1)$ ! times. If $\mathbf{m}$ is of type II, then all vectors of the form

$$
(a, \ldots, a, b, a, \ldots, a, b, a, \ldots, a)\left(\text { type } \text { II }^{\prime}\right)
$$

occur in $\pi(\mathbf{m})$ with multiplicity $2 .(2 k-2)$ !. For $(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$ of type (I,I) we have $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})=$ 1 in $2 k$ cases and $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})=0$ in $(2 k)^{2}-k$ cases. For ( $\left.\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\right)$ of type (I,II) we have $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})=1$ in $2 k$ cases and $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})=0$ in $2 k^{2}-2 k$ cases. For ( $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$ ) of type (II,II) we have only $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})=2$ in $k$ cases and $l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})=0$ in $k^{2}-k$ cases. Similarly, for type ( $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}^{\prime}$ ) we have

$$
l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { in } 2 k(2 k-1) \text { cases } \\
0 \text { in } k(2 k-1)(2 k-2) \text { cases }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and for ( $\mathrm{II}^{\prime}, \mathrm{II}^{\prime}$ ) we have

$$
l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \text { in } k(2 k-1) \text { cases } \\
1 \text { in } 2 k(2 k-1)(2 k-2) \text { cases } \\
0 \text { in } k(2 k-1)(k-1)(2 k-3) \text { cases. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Summing up all of the above we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{1} \leqslant \mathbf{m} \leqslant \mathbf{N} \\
\mathbf{1} \leqslant \mathbf{n} \leqslant \mathbf{N} \\
\mu\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}\right)=x_{k}^{k-1} x_{b} \\
\mu\left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)=y_{c}^{k-1} y_{d}}}(N-1)^{l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})} \cdot(-1)^{2 k-l(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})} \\
& \\
& \quad=k(N-1)^{2}-6 k(N-1)+9 k^{2}-7 k,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant \mathbf{m} \leqslant \mathbf{N} \\
\mathbf{1} \leqslant \mathbf{n} \leqslant \mathbf{N} \\
\mu\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}\right)=x_{k}^{k-1} x_{b} \\
\mu\left(\mathbf{y n} \mathbf{n}=y_{c}^{k-1} y_{d}\right.}} \sum_{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}}(N-1)^{l\left(\pi_{1}(\mathbf{m}), \pi_{2}(\mathbf{n})\right)} \cdot(-1)^{2 k-l\left(\pi_{1}(\mathbf{m}), \pi_{2}(\mathbf{n})\right)} \\
& =((2 k)!)^{2}\left(\left(2 k^{2}-k\right)(N-1)^{2}-\left(8 k^{3}-4 k^{2}+2 k\right)(N-1)+\left(4 k^{4}-4 k^{3}+3 k^{2}-k\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction to

$$
{ }_{S} W_{N}^{(2 k)}=c_{2 k}\left({ }_{S} D_{N}^{(2)}\right)^{k}
$$

## 5. Examples and Further Results on Statistical Independence

Using the expressions (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) we immediately have:

## Theorem 5.

(i) The sequences $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right),\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right),\left(1-x_{n}, y_{n}\right),\left(1-x_{n}, 1-y_{n}\right)$ and $\left(t_{1} x_{n}, t_{2} x_{n}\right)$ are simultaneously statistically independent. Here $t_{1}, t_{2} \in(0,1]$, and in the case $x_{n}=0$ we reduce $1-x_{n} \bmod 1$.
(ii) $\left(c, y_{n}\right)$ is statistically independent with any $y_{n}, c \in[0,1)$, where $c$ is a constant.

Using an example given in [5] we will generalize (ii) in the following way. Define, for $\alpha \in[0,1]$, the one-jump distribution function $c_{\alpha}(x)$ as

$$
c_{\alpha}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { for } 0 \leqslant x<\alpha \\ 1, & \text { for } \alpha<x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 6. Assume that the sequence $x_{n}$ in $[0,1)$ has the limit law $c_{\alpha}$, i.e. $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} F_{N}(x)=c_{\alpha}(x)$ a.e. Then for any sequence $y_{n}$ in $[0,1)\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ is statistically independent.

Proof. For a continuous $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have
$\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(x_{n}\right) g\left(y_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(x_{n}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{N} g\left(y_{n}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 \sup _{x \in[0,1]}|g(x)| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(\alpha)\right|$,
and for a continuous $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(\alpha)\right|=\int_{0}^{1}|f(x)-f(\alpha)| \mathrm{d} c_{\alpha}(x)=0
$$

Theorem 7. For sequences $x_{n}, y_{n}, x_{n}^{\prime}$ and $y_{n}^{\prime}$ in $[0,1)$ we assume that

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\left|x_{n}-x_{n}^{\prime}\right|+\left|y_{n}-y_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)=0
$$

Then the sequences $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ and $\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ are simultaneously statistically independent.

Proof. This follows from the expression (1.5) and from the fact that

$$
||x-y|| u-v\left|-\left|x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right|\right| u^{\prime}-v^{\prime}| | \leqslant\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|+\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|+\left|u-u^{\prime}\right|+\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|
$$

for $x, y, u, v, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in[0,1]$.

Motivated by Theorem 2, a trivial example of statistical independence is given by a sequence $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ which is uniformly distributed in the square. Another example is any sequence $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ which has only one-jump distribution functions. A more general example:

Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be any nonempty closed and connected sets of one-dimensional distribution functions. Denote

$$
G_{1} \cdot G_{2}:=\left\{g_{1}(x) g_{2}(y) ; g_{1} \in G_{1}, g_{2} \in G_{2}\right\} .
$$

Again $G_{1} \cdot G_{2}$ is nonempty closed and connected and thus by R. Winkler [16] there exists a sequence $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ in $[0,1)^{2}$ such that $G\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=G_{1} \cdot G_{2}$. By Theorem 2 , this sequence is statistically independent.

Furthermore, Theorem 2 may be used for a generalization of the notion of statistical independence to the multidimensional sequence $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}, \ldots\right)$ in $[0,1)^{s}$ (precisely, the statistical independence of its coordinate sequences $\left.x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}, \ldots\right)$ as follows:
$\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}, \ldots\right)$ is statistically independent if, for every distribution function $g \in$ $G\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}, \ldots\right)$ we have

$$
g(x, y, z, \ldots)=g(x, 1,1, \ldots) g(1, y, 1, \ldots) g(1,1, z, \ldots) \ldots
$$

a.e. on $[0,1]^{s}$. As an example we give the following sequences described in [6]:

Let $\mathbf{x}_{n}$ be defined by

$$
\mathbf{x}_{n}=\left((-1)^{\left[\left[\log ^{(j)} n\right]^{1 / p_{1}}\right]}\left[\log ^{(j)} n\right]^{1 / p_{1}}, \ldots,(-1)^{\left[\left[\log ^{(j)} n\right]^{1 / p_{s}}\right]}\left[\log ^{(j)} n\right]^{1 / p_{s}}\right) \bmod 1
$$

where $\log ^{(j)} n$ denotes the $j$ th iterated $\operatorname{logarithm} \log \ldots \log n$, and $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ are coprime positive integers. Then, for $j>1$, the set of all distribution functions of $\mathbf{x}_{n}$ coincides (under equivalence) with the set of all one-jump distribution functions on $[0,1]^{s}$, and thus the sequence $\mathbf{x}_{n}$ is statistically independent.
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