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# THE METHOD OF UPPER AND LOWER SOLUTIONS FOR A LIDSTONE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM 

Yanping Guo, Qingdao and Shijiazhuang, Ying Gao, Datong
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Abstract. In this paper we develop the monotone method in the presence of upper and lower solutions for the 2 nd order Lidstone boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{(2 n)}(t)=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, u^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right), \quad 0<t<1, \\
u^{(2 i)}(0)=u^{(2 i)}(1)=0, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. We obtain sufficient conditions on $f$ to guarantee the existence of solutions between a lower solution and an upper solution for the higher order boundary value problem.
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the 2nd order Lidstone boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(2 n)}(t)=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, u^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right), \quad 0<t<1,  \tag{1.1}\\
u^{(2 i)}(0)=u^{(2 i)}(1)=0, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1, \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
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The fourth order boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(t)=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad 0<t<1,  \tag{1.3}\\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1), \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

has been studied by many authors. In [1]-[5], the authors showed the existence of a positive solution to (1.3)-(1.4) under some growth conditions on $f$ and a nonresonance condition involving a two parameter linear eigenvalue problem by using the Leray-Schauder continuation method and topological degree.

For an equation of the form

$$
u^{(4)}(t)=f(t, u(t))
$$

the upper and lower solution method has been studied by several authors [6]-[10]. Recently, Ma and Bai [11], [12] developed the monotone method in the presence of upper and lower solutions for the problem (1.3)-(1.4).

For the 2 nd order Lidstone boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2), in [13]-[15], Davis et al. showed the existence of multiple positive solutions under some growth conditions by using the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem and the five functionals fixed point theorem. Note that [14] and [15] are the only two works which have allowed $f$ to depend on higher order derivatives of $u$. Motivated by Bai [11], in this paper we present an upper and lower solution type theorem for the boundary problem (1.1)-(1.2) without any growth restriction on $f$. The problem (1.1)-(1.2) is formulated without constants $a_{i}$ (or $r_{i}$ ) which play a substantial role in Theorem 3.1. These constants specify a possible qualitative behavior of the function $f$. Our result relaxes the monotone conditions on $f$, and this approach is better than the simplest one - choosing $a_{i}=0$, i.e., the monotone conditions on $f$ (see Example).

## 2. Preliminary Results

Lemma 2.1. Given $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(2 n)}-a_{1} u^{(2(n-1))}+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} u^{\prime \prime}+(-1)^{n} a_{n} u=0,  \tag{2.1}\\
u^{(2 i)}(0)=u^{(2 i)}(1)=0, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

has a non-trivial solution if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{1}}{(k \pi)^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}}{(k \pi)^{4}}+\ldots+\frac{a_{n}}{(k \pi)^{2 n}}+1=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $A u=u^{\prime \prime}$. Then

$$
u^{(2 n)}-a_{1} u^{(2(n-1))}+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} u^{\prime \prime}+(-1)^{n} a_{n} u=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(A-r_{i}\right)\right) u
$$

for some $r_{i} \in C, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. It is easy to see that if (2.1)-(2.2) possesses a nontrivial solution, then one of the $r_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ is equal to $-(k \pi)^{2}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \neq 0$. So $\sin k \pi t$ is a nontrivial solution of (2.1)-(2.2). By substituting this solution into (2.1), (2.3) follows. Reciprocally, if (2.3) holds, then clearly $\sin k \pi t$ is a nontrivial solution of (2.1)-(2.2).

Lemma 2.2 [11]. If $u(t)$ satisfies

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+g(t) u^{\prime}(t)+h(t) u(t) \geqslant 0, \quad t \in(a, b)
$$

where $h(t) \leqslant 0, g, h$ are bounded in any closed subset of $(a, b)$, and there is $c \in$ $(a, b)$ such that $M=u(c)=\max _{a \leqslant t \leqslant b} u(t)$ is a nonnegative maximum, then $u(t) \equiv M$. Moreover, if $h(t) \leqslant 0$ and $h(t) \not \equiv 0$, then $M=0$.

Let for

$$
F=\left\{u \in C^{2 n}[0,1]:(-1)^{i} u^{2 i}(0) \geqslant 0,(-1)^{i} u^{(2 i)}(1) \geqslant 0,0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1\right\}
$$

the operator

$$
L: F \rightarrow C[0,1]
$$

be defined by $L u=u^{(2 n)}-a_{1} u^{(2(n-1))}+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} u^{\prime \prime}+(-1)^{n} a_{n} u, u \in F$. Here $a_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ are such that the equation $x^{n}-a_{1} x^{n-1}+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} x+$ $(-1)^{n} a_{n}=0$ has only nonnegative real roots.

Lemma 2.3. If $u \in F$ satisfies $(-1)^{n} L u \geqslant 0$, then $u \geqslant 0$ in $[0,1]$.
Proof. Let $A u=u^{\prime \prime}$. Suppose $r_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ are $n$ nonnegative real roots of the equation $x^{n}-a_{1} x^{n-1}+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} x+(-1)^{n} a_{n}=0$; we have

$$
(-1)^{n} L u=(-1)^{n}\left(A-r_{n}\right)\left(A-r_{n-1}\right) \ldots\left(A-r_{1}\right) u \geqslant 0 .
$$

Let $y_{i}=\left(A-r_{i}\right) \ldots\left(A-r_{1}\right) u, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Then $(-1)^{n}\left(A-r_{n}\right) y_{n-1} \geqslant 0$, i.e., $(-1)^{n} y_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}-(-1)^{n} r_{n} y_{n-1} \geqslant 0$. On the other hand, $r_{i} \geqslant 0,1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, and
$u \in F$ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{n} y_{n-1}(0) & =(-1)^{n}\left[u^{(2(n-1))}(0)-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_{i} u^{(2(n-2))}(0)+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_{i} u(0)\right] \\
& \leqslant 0, \\
(-1)^{n} y_{n-1}(1) & =(-1)^{n}\left[u^{(2(n-1))}(1)-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_{i} u^{(2(n-2))}(1)+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_{i} u(1)\right] \\
& \leqslant 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
(-1)^{n} y_{n-1} \leqslant 0 \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1]
$$

i.e.,

$$
(-1)^{n-1} y_{n-1} \geqslant 0 \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1] .
$$

By inductive method and using Lemma 2.2, the result follows.

## 3. Main Results

Definition 3.1. Suppose $\alpha \in C^{2 n}[0,1]$. We say $\alpha$ is an upper solution for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) if $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-1)^{n} \alpha^{(2 n)}(t) \geqslant(-1)^{n} f\left(t, \alpha(t), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \alpha^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right), \quad 0<t<1, \\
(-1)^{i} \alpha^{(2 i)}(0) \geqslant 0, \quad(-1)^{i} \alpha^{(2 i)}(1) \geqslant 0, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 3.2. Suppose $\beta \in C^{2 n}[0,1]$. We say $\beta$ is a lower solution for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) if $\beta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-1)^{n} \beta^{(2 n)}(t) \leqslant(-1)^{n} f\left(t, \beta(t), \beta^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \beta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right), \quad 0<t<1, \\
(-1)^{i} \beta^{(2 i)}(0) \leqslant 0, \quad(-1)^{i} \beta^{(2 i)}(1) \leqslant 0, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

If the equation $x^{n}-a_{1} x^{n-1}+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} x+(-1)^{n} a_{n}=0$ has only nonnegative real roots, then $a_{i} \geqslant 0,1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Let
(3.1) $f_{1}\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)=f\left(t, u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right)-a_{1} u_{n-1}+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} u_{1}+(-1)^{n} u_{0}$.

Then (1.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u=f_{1}\left(t, u, u^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, u^{(2(n-1))}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. In Definition 3.1, we say $\alpha$ is an upper solution for the problem (3.2)(1.2) if $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-1)^{n}(L \alpha)(t) \geqslant(-1)^{n} f_{1}\left(t, \alpha(t), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \alpha^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right), \quad 0<t<1 \\
(-1)^{i} \alpha^{(2 i)}(0) \geqslant 0, \quad(-1)^{i} \alpha^{(2 i)}(1) \geqslant 0, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, we may define a lower solution for the problem (3.2)-(1.2). Therefore, $\alpha, \beta$ are upper and lower solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if $\alpha, \beta$ are upper and lower solutions of the problem (3.2)-(1.2).

Definition 3.3. If $\ldots \leqslant \alpha_{m} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \alpha_{1} \leqslant \alpha_{0}=\alpha$ are upper solutions converging uniformly to a solution $u$ for the problem (1.1)-(1.2), we say $u$ is an extremal solution for the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Similarly, for an lower solutions $\beta=\beta_{0} \leqslant \beta_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \beta_{m} \leqslant \ldots$, we may define an extremal solution for the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Let

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(A-r_{i}\right) u=u^{(2 k)}-a_{k k} u^{(2(k-1))}+\ldots+(-1)^{k} a_{k 1} u
$$

where $A=u^{\prime \prime}, r_{i} \geqslant 0, a_{k i} \geqslant 0(i=1,2, \ldots, k ; k=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Set $b_{11}=a_{11}=r_{1}$, $b_{k k}=a_{k k}, b_{k, k-1}=a_{k k} b_{k-1, k-1}+a_{k, k-1}, b_{k, k-2}=a_{k k} b_{k-1, k-2}+a_{k, k-1} b_{k-2, k-2}+$ $a_{k, k-2}, \ldots, b_{k 1}=a_{k k} b_{k-1,1}+a_{k, k-1} b_{k-2,1}+\ldots+a_{k 2} b_{11}+a_{k 1}(k=2,3, \ldots, n)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let there exist upper and lower solutions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ respectively for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) which satisfy
(1) $\beta \leqslant \alpha, \beta^{(2 k)} \leqslant \alpha^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}(k=2,4,6, \ldots$, and $k \leqslant n-1)$, $\alpha^{(2 k)} \leqslant \beta^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}(k=1,3,5, \ldots$, and $k \leqslant n-1)$; and if $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies
(2) $(-1)^{n}\left[f\left(t, y_{0}^{(2)}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)-f\left(t, y_{0}^{(1)}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)\right] \geqslant-a_{n}\left(y_{0}^{(2)}-y_{0}^{(1)}\right)$ for $\beta(t) \leqslant y_{0}^{(1)} \leqslant y_{0}^{(2)} \leqslant \alpha(t), y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $t \in[0,1] ;$
(3) $(-1)^{n-k}\left[f\left(t, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{k}^{(2)}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)-f\left(t, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{k}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)\right] \geqslant-a_{n-k} \times$ $\left(y_{k}^{(2)}-y_{k}^{(1)}\right)$ for $y_{k}^{(1)} \leqslant y_{k}^{(2)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}$ and $\alpha^{(2 k)}-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime} \times$ $(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \leqslant y_{k}^{(1)}, y_{k}^{(2)} \leqslant \beta^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}$ if $k=1,3,5, \ldots, k \leqslant$ $n-1, \beta^{(2 k)}-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \leqslant y_{k}^{(1)}, y_{k}^{(2)} \leqslant \alpha^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-$ $\beta)^{(2 i)}$ if $k=2,4, \ldots, k \leqslant n-1, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $t \in[0,1]$,
where $b_{k i}^{\prime}=2 b_{k i}-a_{k i}(k=1,2, \ldots, n-1 ; i \leqslant k), a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ such that the equation $x^{n}-a_{1} x^{n-1}+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} x+(-1)^{n} a_{n}=0$ has only nonnegative real roots, which are $r_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$.
Then there exist two monotone sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$, non-increasing and nondecreasing, with $\alpha_{0}=\alpha$ and $\beta_{0}=\beta$, which converge uniformly to the extremal solutions in $[\beta, \alpha]$ of the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Proof. (1) implies $(-1)^{k}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \geqslant 0$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant$ $n-1$. Thus, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_{k, i+1}\left[(-1)^{i}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}+\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{j} b_{i, j+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 j)}\right] \geqslant 0
$$

i.e.,

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i}\left[a_{k, i+1}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{k-1} a_{k, j+1} b_{j, i+1}\right](\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \geqslant 0
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}  \tag{*}\\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}+a_{k k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2}(-1)^{i} b_{k-1, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \\
& \quad+a_{k, k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-3}(-1)^{i} b_{k-2, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}+\ldots+a_{k 2} b_{11}(\alpha-\beta) \\
& \geqslant \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \geqslant 0
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(2 n)}(t)-a_{1} u^{(2(n-1))}(t)+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} u^{\prime \prime}(t)+(-1)^{n} a_{n} u(t)  \tag{3.3}\\
=f_{1}\left(t, \eta(t), \eta^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1), \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\eta \in C^{2(n-1)}[0,1]$.
It is easy to see that if $x^{n}-a_{1} x^{n-1}+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} x+(-1)^{n} a_{n}=0$ has only nonnegative real roots, then $a_{i} \geqslant 0,1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. By Lemma 2.1 and the

Fredholm alternative [16], the problem (3.3)-(3.4) has a unique solution $u$. Define $T: C^{2(n-1)}[0,1] \rightarrow C^{2 n}[0,1]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \eta=u \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
T C \subseteq C \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $C=\left\{\eta \in C^{2(n-1)}[0,1]: \beta \leqslant \eta \leqslant \alpha, \alpha^{(2 k)}-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \leqslant\right.$ $\eta^{(2 k)} \leqslant \beta^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}$ if $k=1,3,5, \ldots, k \leqslant n-1$ and $\beta^{(2 k)}-$ $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \leqslant \eta^{(2 k)} \leqslant \alpha^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}$ if $k=2,4, \ldots$, $k \leqslant n-1\}$.

By $(*)$, it is easy to see that $\alpha, \beta \in C$. Therefore, $C$ is a nonempty bounded closed subset of $C^{2(n-1)}[0,1]$.

For $\eta \in C$, set $u=T \eta$. By conditions (2)-(3) and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{n}\left[(\alpha-u)^{(2 n)}(t)-a_{1}(\alpha-u)^{(2(n-1))}(t)+\ldots+(-1)^{n} a_{n}(\alpha-u)(t)\right]  \tag{3.7}\\
\geqslant(-1)^{n}\left[f_{1}\left(t, \alpha(t), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \alpha^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad-f_{1}\left(t, \eta(t), \eta^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right] \\
=(-1)^{n}\left[f\left(t, \alpha(t), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \alpha^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right. \\
\quad-f\left(t, \eta(t), \eta^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)-a_{1}(\alpha-\eta)^{(2(n-1))}(t)+\ldots \\
\left.\quad+(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1}(\alpha-\eta)^{\prime \prime}(t)+(-1)^{n} a_{n}(\alpha-\eta)(t)\right] \\
=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n}\left[f\left(t, \eta(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2(k-1))}, \alpha^{(2 k)}(t), \ldots, \alpha^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right. \\
\quad-f\left(t, \eta(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2 k)}(t), \alpha^{(2(k+1))}(t), \ldots, \alpha^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right) \\
\left.\quad+(-1)^{n-k} a_{n-k}(\alpha-\eta)^{(2 k)}(t)\right] \\
=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{k}\left\{( - 1 ) ^ { n - k } \left[f\left(t, \eta(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2(k-1))}, \alpha^{(2 k)}(t), \ldots, \alpha^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right.\right. \\
\left.\quad-f\left(t, \eta(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2 k)}(t), \alpha^{(2(k+1))}(t), \ldots, \alpha^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right] \\
\left.\quad+a_{n-k}(\alpha-\eta)^{(2 k)}(t)\right\} \geqslant 0
\end{gather*}
$$

$(3.7)^{\prime} \quad(-1)^{n}\left[(u-\beta)^{(2 n)}(t)-a_{1}(u-\beta)^{(2(n-1))}(t)+\ldots+(-1)^{n} a_{n}(u-\beta)(t)\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geqslant(-1)^{n}\left[f_{1}\left(t, \eta(t), \eta^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-f_{1}\left(t, \beta(t), \beta^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \beta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right] \\
& =(-1)^{n}\left[f\left(t, \eta(t), \eta^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right. \\
& -f\left(t, \beta(t), \beta^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \beta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)-a_{1}(\eta-\beta)^{(2(n-1))}(t)+\ldots \\
& \left.+(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1}(\eta-\beta)^{\prime \prime}(t)+(-1)^{n} a_{n}(\eta-\beta)(t)\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n}\left[f\left(t, \beta(t), \ldots, \beta^{(2(k-1))}, \eta^{(2 k)}(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right. \\
& -f\left(t, \beta(t), \ldots, \beta^{(2 k)}(t), \eta^{(2(k+1))}(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right) \\
& \left.+(-1)^{n-k} a_{n-k}(\eta-\beta)^{(2 k)}(t)\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{k}\left\{( - 1 ) ^ { n - k } \left[f\left(t, \beta(t), \ldots, \beta^{(2(k-1))}, \eta^{(2 k)}(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-f\left(t, \beta(t), \ldots, \beta^{(2 k)}(t), \eta^{(2(k+1))}(t), \ldots, \eta^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right] \\
& \left.+a_{n-k}(\eta-\beta)^{(2 k)}(t)\right\} \geqslant 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.8) $\quad(-1)^{i}(\alpha-u)^{(2 i)}(0) \geqslant 0, \quad(-1)^{i}(\alpha-u)^{(2 i)}(1) \geqslant 0, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$,
$(3.8)^{\prime} \quad(-1)^{i}(u-\beta)^{(2 i)}(0) \geqslant 0, \quad(-1)^{i}(u-\beta)^{(2 i)}(1) \geqslant 0, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.
(3.7) and (3.8) imply $\alpha \geqslant u$ by Lemma 2.3. Similarly, (3.7) ${ }^{\prime}$ and (3.8) ${ }^{\prime}$ imply $u \geqslant \beta$. Next we prove

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha^{(2 k)}-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \leqslant u^{(2 k)}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leqslant \beta^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}
\end{align*}
$$

for $k=1,3,5, \ldots, k \leqslant n-1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta^{(2 k)}-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \leqslant u^{(2 k)}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \quad \leqslant \alpha^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}
\end{align*}
$$

for $k=2,4,6, \ldots, k \leqslant n-1$.

By the proof of Lemma 2.3, combining (3.7) and (3.8), (3.7)' and (3.8)', for $1 \leqslant$ $k \leqslant n-1$ we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(3.11) & (-1)^{k}\left[(\alpha-u)^{(2 k)}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-i} a_{k, i+1}(\alpha-u)^{(2 i)}(t)\right] \geqslant 0, \quad t \in[0,1],  \tag{3.11}\\
(3.11)^{\prime} & (-1)^{k}\left[(u-\beta)^{(2 k)}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-i} a_{k, i+1}(u-\beta)^{(2 i)}(t)\right] \geqslant 0, \quad t \in[0,1] .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore,

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqslant \alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)-a_{11}(\alpha-u)(t) \geqslant \alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)-b_{11}(\alpha-\beta)(t), \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

Similarly,

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqslant \beta^{\prime \prime}(t)+b_{11}(\alpha-\beta)(t), \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{(4)}(t) & \leqslant \alpha^{(4)}(t)-a_{22}(\alpha-u)^{\prime \prime}(t)+a_{21}(\alpha-u)(t) \\
& =\alpha^{(4)}(t)-a_{22} \alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)+a_{22} u^{\prime \prime}(t)+a_{21}(\alpha-u)(t) \\
& \leqslant \alpha^{(4)}(t)-a_{22}(\alpha-\beta)^{\prime \prime}(t)+\left(a_{22} b_{11}+a_{21}\right)(\alpha-\beta)(t) \\
& =\alpha^{(4)}(t)-b_{22}(\alpha-\beta)^{\prime \prime}(t)+b_{21}(\alpha-\beta)(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$. Similarly,

$$
u^{(4)}(t) \geqslant \beta^{(4)}(t)+b_{22}(\alpha-\beta)^{\prime \prime}(t)-b_{21}(\alpha-\beta)(t), \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

Suppose (3.9)-(3.10) hold for $i$ from 1 to $k-1$. When $k$ is an odd number, using (3.11) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{(2 k)}(t) & \geqslant \alpha^{(2 k)}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-i} a_{k, i+1}(\alpha-u)^{(2 i)}(t) \\
& =\alpha^{(2 k)}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-i} a_{k, i+1} \alpha^{(2 i)}(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-i} a_{k, i+1} u^{(2 i)}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\geqslant & \alpha^{(2 k)}(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} a_{k, i+1} \alpha^{(2 i)}(t) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} a_{k, i+1}\left[\beta^{(2 i)}(t)+(-1)^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{j} b_{i, j+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 j)}(t)\right]+a_{k 1} \beta(t) \\
= & \alpha^{(2 k)}(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i}\left(a_{k, i+1}+a_{k, i+2} b_{i+1, i+1}+\ldots+a_{k k} b_{k-1, i+1}\right)(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}(t) \\
= & \alpha^{(2 k)}(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
u^{(2 k)}(t) \leqslant \beta^{(2 k)}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}(t)
$$

When $k$ is an even number, using (3.11) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{(2 k)}(t) \leqslant & \alpha^{(2 k)}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-i} a_{k, i+1}(\alpha-u)^{(2 i)}(t) \\
= & \alpha^{(2 k)}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} a_{k, i+1} \alpha^{(2 i)}(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} a_{k, i+1} u^{(2 i)}(t) \\
\leqslant & \alpha^{(2 k)}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} a_{k, i+1} \alpha^{(2 i)}(t) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} a_{k, i+1}\left[\beta^{(2 i)}(t)+(-1)^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{j} b_{i, j+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 j)}(t)\right]-a_{k 1} \beta(t) \\
= & \alpha^{(2 k)}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
u^{(2 k)}(t) \geqslant \beta^{(2 k)}(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}(t)
$$

By inductive method, (3.9)-(3.10) hold. Thus, (3.6) holds.

Let $u_{1}=T \eta_{1}, u_{2}=T \eta_{2}$, where $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in C$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{1} \leqslant \eta_{2}, \\
\eta_{2}^{(2 k)} \leqslant \eta_{1}^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \quad(k=1,3,5, \ldots, k \leqslant n-1), \\
\eta_{1}^{(2 k)} \leqslant \eta_{2}^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \quad(k=2,4,6, \ldots, k \leqslant n-1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Next we show

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1} \leqslant u_{2}  \tag{3.12}\\
u_{2}^{(2 k)} \leqslant u_{1}^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \quad(k=1,3,5, \ldots, k \leqslant n-1), \\
u_{1}^{(2 k)} \leqslant u_{2}^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \quad(k=2,4,6, \ldots, k \leqslant n-1) .
\end{gather*}
$$

In fact, by conditions (2)-(3),

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
(-1)^{n} & L\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)(t) \\
= & (-1)^{n}\left[f_{1}\left(t, \eta_{2}(t), \ldots, \eta_{2}^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)-f_{1}\left(t, \eta_{1}(t), \ldots, \eta_{1}^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)\right] \geqslant 0, \\
& \left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{(2 i)}(0)=\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{(2 i)}(1)=0, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 .
\end{array}
$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.3, we obtain $u_{1} \leqslant u_{2}$, and

$$
(-1)^{k}\left[\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-i} a_{k, i+1}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{(2 i)}\right] \geqslant 0, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1
$$

When $k$ is an odd number, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{2}^{(2 k)} & \leqslant u_{1}^{(2 k)}-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-i} a_{k, i+1}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{(2 i)} \\
& \leqslant u_{1}^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} a_{k, i+1}\left[(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}+2(-1)^{i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{j} b_{i, j+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 j)}\right] \\
& =u_{1}^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} a_{k, i+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}+2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{j} a_{k, i+1} b_{i, j+1}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 j)} \\
& =u_{1}^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i}\left(a_{k, i+1}+2\left(a_{k k} b_{k-1, i+1}+\ldots+a_{k, i+2} b_{i+1, i+1}\right)(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}\right. \\
& =u_{1}^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, when $k$ is an even number, we have

$$
u_{1}^{(2 k)} \leqslant u_{2}^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}
$$

Therefore, (3.12) holds.
Let $\alpha_{0}=\alpha, \beta_{0}=\beta, \alpha_{m}=T \alpha_{m-1}, \beta_{m}=T \beta_{m-1}, m \in \mathbb{N}$. By (3.6) and (3.12), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta=\beta_{0} \leqslant \beta_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \beta_{m} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \alpha_{m} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \alpha_{1} \leqslant \alpha_{0}=\alpha,  \tag{3.13}\\
\alpha^{(2 k)}-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \leqslant \alpha_{m}^{(2 k)},  \tag{3.14}\\
\beta_{m}^{(2 k)} \leqslant \beta^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $k=1,3,5, \ldots, k \leqslant n-1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta^{(2 k)}-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)} \leqslant \alpha_{m}^{(2 k)},  \tag{3.15}\\
& \beta_{m}^{(2 k)} \leqslant \alpha^{(2 k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i} b_{k, i+1}^{\prime}(\alpha-\beta)^{(2 i)}
\end{align*}
$$

for $k=2,4, \ldots, k \leqslant n-1$. From the definition of $T$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{m}^{(2 n)}(t)= & f_{1}\left(t, \alpha_{m-1}(t), \alpha_{m-1}^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, \alpha_{m-1}^{(2(n-1))}(t)\right)+a_{1} \alpha_{m}^{(2(n-1))}(t)-\ldots  \tag{3.16}\\
& -(-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} \alpha_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)-(-1)^{n} a_{n} \alpha_{m}(t), \\
\alpha_{m}^{(2 i)}(0)= & \alpha_{m}^{(2 i)}(1)=0, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.13)-(3.16), we have that there exists $M_{n}>0$ depending only on $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (but not on $m$ or $t$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{m}^{(2 n)}(t)\right| \leqslant M_{n} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0,1] \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the boundary condition (3.17), we get that there exists $\xi_{m} \in(0,1)$ such that $\alpha_{m}^{(2 n-1)}\left(\xi_{m}\right)=0$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This together with (3.18) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{m}^{(2 n-1)}(t)\right|=\left|\alpha_{m}^{(2 n-1)}\left(\xi_{m}\right)+\int_{\xi_{m}}^{t} \alpha_{m}^{(2 n)}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right| \leqslant M_{n} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0,1] \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.14) and (3.15), we can similarly get that there are $M_{i}>0,1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, depending only on $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (but not on $m$ or $t$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{m}^{(2 i)}(t)\right| \leqslant M_{i}, \quad\left|\alpha_{m}^{(2 i-1)}(t)\right| \leqslant M_{i} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0,1] . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (3.13) and (3.18)-(3.20) we know that $\left\{\alpha_{m}\right\}$ is bounded in $C^{2 n}[0,1]$. Similarly, $\left\{\beta_{m}\right\}$ is bounded in $C^{2 n}[0,1]$. Therefore, $\left\{\alpha_{m}\right\},\left\{\beta_{m}\right\}$ converge uniformly to the extremal solutions in $[\beta, \alpha]$ of the problem (3.2)-(1.2), i.e., $\left\{\alpha_{m}\right\},\left\{\beta_{m}\right\}$ converge uniformly to the extremal solutions in $[\beta, \alpha]$ of the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Example. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(6)}(t)=5 u^{(4)}(t)-8 u^{\prime \prime}(t)+(u(t)+1)^{2}-(\sin \pi t+1)^{2}  \tag{3.21}\\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=u^{(4)}(0)=u^{(4)}(1)=0 . \tag{3.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to check that $\alpha=\sin \pi t, \beta=0$ are respectively upper and lower solutions of (3.21)-(3.22). Let $a_{1}=5, a_{2}=8, a_{3}=4, r_{1}=1, r_{2}=r_{3}=2$. Clearly, all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled. Hence the problem (3.21)-(3.22) has at least one solution $u$ which satisfies $0 \leqslant u \leqslant \sin \pi t$.

Remark 2. If $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$, we can not conclude that the above problem has at least one solution. Thus, our result is better than the approach $a_{i}=0$.
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