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## Introduction

Several authors (e.g. N. Aronszajn in [2], M. Hukuhara in [7], M. A. Krasnosel'skij and A. I. Perov in [8], G. Stampacchia in [14], F. E. Browder and G. P. Gupta in [4], G. Vidossich in [19], S. Szufla in [15]-[18], R. R. Achmerov, M. I. Kamenskij, A. S. Potapov in [1], M. A. Krasnosel'skij, P. P. Zabrejko in [9] and B. N. Sadovskij in [13]) have investigated the compactness as well as the connectedness of the set of all fixed points of a compact operator or an operator of a more general type mostly in a Banach space. Only few of them have been interested in this problem in a more general space (P. Morales in [12], Š. Belohorec in [3], Z. Kubáček in [10] and K. Czarnowski, T. Pruszko in [5]). Here the results from a Banach space will be extended to a Fréchet space. Our considerations will be based on the following results which are given as Lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([10], p. 422). Let $X$ be a Hasdorff topological vector space, $M$ a non-empty closed subset of $X, F: M \rightarrow X$ a compact mapping, and let $B$ denote the neighborhood base of the point 0 consisting of balanced sets. Let the following conditions be satisfied:
(i) for each set $U \in B$ there exists a compact mapping $F_{U}: M \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
F(x)-F_{U}(x) \in U \text { for each } x \in M
$$

(ii) for each $U \in B$ and for each $x \in U$ the equation

$$
y-F_{U}(y)=x
$$

has a unique solution $y \in M$.
Then the set $S$ of fixed points of the mapping $F$ is nonempty, compact and connected.

Lemma 2 ([6], pp. 89-90, [20], pp. 55-56). Let ( $X,\|\cdot\|$ ) be a real Banach space, $\Omega$ a non-empty open and bounded subset of $X, F: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ a compact mapping which satisfies the strengthened Leray - Schauder condition:
there exists an $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that

$$
F(x)-x_{0} \neq \lambda\left(x-x_{0}\right) \quad \text { for each } x \in \partial \Omega \text { and each } \lambda \geqslant 1 .
$$

Further, let there exist a sequence of compact mappings $F_{p}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X, p=1,2, \ldots$ with the properties
a) $\delta_{p}=\sup \left\{\left\|F_{p}(x)-F(x)\right\|: x \in \bar{\Omega}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ for $p \rightarrow \infty$;
b) the equation (in $y$ )

$$
y-F_{p}(y)=F(x)-F_{p}(x)
$$

has at most one solution in $\bar{\Omega}$ for each $x \in \Omega$.
Then the set $S$ of fixed points of the mapping $F$ is non-empty, compact and connected.

The next Lemma is a consequence of the theorem in [11], p. 111.

Lemma 3. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $\left\{S_{m}: m=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ a sequence of non-empty compact and connected sets such that

$$
S_{m+1} \subset S_{m} \text { for } m=1,2, \ldots
$$

Then $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} S_{m}$ is a non-empty compact and connected set.
We shall use the following notation.
Let $-\infty<b<\infty$ and let $n>0, k \geqslant 0$ be integers, $I_{b}=\langle b, \infty),|\cdot|$ a norm in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Let

$$
X=C^{k}\left(I_{b}, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right), p_{m}(x)=\max \left\{|x(t)|+\ldots+\left|x^{(k)}(t)\right|: b \leqslant t \leqslant b+m\right\}
$$

for each $x \in X$ and each $m=1,2, \ldots$. The space $\left(X,\left\{p_{m}\right\}\right)$ is a real Fréchet space and the convergence in this space means the uniform convergence of the functions and their first $k$ derivatives on each interval $\langle b, b+m\rangle, m=1,2, \ldots$

Further, let

$$
X_{m}=C^{k}\left(\langle b, b+m\rangle, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \text { for each } m=1,2, \ldots
$$

Then $p_{m}$ is a norm in $X_{m}$ and $\left(X_{m}, p_{m}\right)$ is a real Banach space.
Let $h>0$ and $\psi \in C^{k}\left(\langle-h, 0\rangle, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $\varphi, \varphi_{p} \in C\left(I_{b},(0, \infty)\right)^{\prime}, p=1,2, \ldots$ where the sequence $\left\{\varphi_{p}\right\}$ is nonincreasing in $I_{b}$ and $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{p}(t)=0$ for each $t \in I_{b}$.

Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
M= & \left\{x \in X:|x(t)-\psi(0)|+\ldots+\left|x^{(k)}(t)-\psi^{(k)}(0)\right| \leqslant \psi(t)\right. \\
& \text { for each } \left.t \in I_{b} \text { and } x^{(j)}(b)=\psi^{(j)}(0), j=0,1, \ldots, k\right\} \\
M_{m}= & \left\{x \in X_{m}:|x(t)-\psi(0)|+\ldots+\left|x^{(k)}(t)-\psi^{(k)}(0)\right| \leqslant \varphi(t),\right. \\
& \left.t \in\langle b, b+m\rangle \text { and } x^{(j)}(b)=\psi^{(j)}(0), j=0,1, \ldots, k\right\}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

$M\left(M_{m}\right)$ is a closed, convex and bounded set in $X$ (in $X_{m}, m=1,2, \ldots$ ). Clearly, if $x \in M$ or $x \in M_{m+p}$, then $\left.x\right|_{(b, b+m)} \in M_{m}$ for each $m=1,2, \ldots, p=1,2, \ldots$. Here and in the sequel $\left.f\right|_{\langle a, b\rangle}$ denotes the restriction of the function $f$ to the interval $\langle a, b\rangle$.

## Main results

The approximation Lemma which follows represents the main tool in obtaining the new results.

Lemma 4. Let the spaces $X, X_{m}, m=1,2, \ldots$, the functions $\psi, \varphi$ and the sets $M, M_{m}, m=1,2, \ldots$ be as above. Let there exist mappings $T: M \rightarrow X, T_{m}$ : $M_{m} \rightarrow X_{m}, m=1,2, \ldots$ with the properties
(1) $x|\langle b, b+m\rangle=y|\langle b, b+m\rangle \Rightarrow T(x)|\langle b, b+m\rangle=T(y)|\langle b, b+m\rangle$ for each $x, y \in M, m=1,2, \ldots$;
(2) $T_{m}(x \mid\langle b, b+m\rangle)=T(x) \mid\langle b, b+m\rangle$ for each $x \in M, M=1,2, \ldots$;
(3) $x|\langle b, b+m\rangle=y|\langle b, b+m\rangle \Rightarrow T_{m+p}(x)\left|\langle b, b+m\rangle=T_{m+p}(y)\right|\langle b, b+m\rangle$ for each $x, y \in M_{m+p}, m=1,2, \ldots, p=1,2, \ldots$;
(4) $T_{m}(x \mid\langle b, b+m\rangle)=T_{m+p}(x) \mid\langle b, b+m\rangle$ for each $x \in M_{m+p}, m=1,2, \ldots$, $p=1,2, \ldots$

Further, let the set $S_{m}^{*}$ of all fixed points of the operator $T_{m}$ be nonempty, compact and connected in the space $X_{m}$. Then the set $S$ of all fixed points of the operator $T$ is nonempty, compact and connected in the space $X$.

Proof. Let $m_{0} \geqslant 1$ be a fixed integer. Let

$$
S_{m}=\left\{x \mid\left\langle b, b+m_{0}\right\rangle: x \in S_{m}^{*}\right\} \text { for all } m \geqslant m_{0}
$$

Fix an arbitrary $m \geqslant m_{0}$. Clearly $S_{m} \neq \emptyset$. Since the mapping from $X_{m}$ to $X_{m_{0}}$ which to each function $x \in X_{m}$ assigns the restriction $x \mid\left\langle b, b+m_{0}\right\rangle$ is continuous, $S_{m}$ is compact and connected. Since $m \geqslant m_{0}$ is arbitrary, by Lemma 3 we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m_{0}}=\bigcap_{m=m_{0}}^{\infty} S_{m} \neq \emptyset \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is a compact and connected set.
Denote by $S$ the set of all fixed points of the operator $T$. If $x \in S$, then in view of (6)

$$
y_{m}=x \mid\langle b, b+m\rangle \in S_{m}^{*} \text { for each } m \geqslant m_{0}
$$

and hence

$$
y=y_{m}\left|\left\langle b, b+m_{0}\right\rangle=x\right|\left\langle b, b+m_{0}\right\rangle \in P_{m_{0}}
$$

Conversely, let $y \in P_{m_{0}}$. Then for each $m \geqslant m_{0}$ there is a $y_{m} \in S_{m}^{*}$ such that $y_{m} \mid\left\langle b, b+m_{0}\right\rangle=y$. We shall show that there is an $x \in S$ such that $y=x \mid\left\langle b, b+m_{0}\right\rangle$. Consider the sequence $\left\{y_{m}\right\}_{m=m_{0}+1}^{\infty}$. As by (4) the sequence $\left\{y_{m} \mid\left\langle b, b+m_{0}+1\right\rangle\right\} \subset$ $S_{m_{0}+1}^{*}$ and the last set is compact, there exists a subsequence $\left\{y_{m_{1}}\right\}$ of the sequence $\left\{y_{m}\right\}$ and a point $\tilde{y}_{1} \in S_{m_{0}+1}^{*}$ such that the sequence $\left\{y_{m_{1}}^{(j)} \mid\left\langle b, b+m_{0}+1\right\rangle\right\}$ converges uniformly to $\tilde{y}_{1}^{(j)}$ on $\left\langle b, b+m_{0}+1\right\rangle, j=0, \ldots, k$. By mathematical induction we get a sequence of sequences

$$
\left\{y_{m_{1}}\right\},\left\{y_{m_{2}}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{y_{m_{r}}\right\}, \ldots
$$

such that
(i) the sequence $\left\{y_{m_{1}}\right\}$ is a subsequence of the sequence $\left\{y_{m}\right\}$;
(ii) $\left\{y_{m_{r+1}}\right\}$ is a subsequence of the sequence $\left\{y_{m_{r}}\right\}$ for $r=1,2, \ldots$;
(iii) the sequence $\left\{y_{m_{r}}^{(j)} \mid\left\langle b+m_{0}+r\right\rangle\right\}$ converges uniformly on $\left\langle b, b+m_{0}+r\right\rangle$ for $j=0, \ldots, k$ and $\left\{y_{m_{r}} \mid\left\langle b, b+m_{0}+r\right\rangle\right\} \subset S_{m_{0}+r}^{*}$.

Then the diagonal sequence $\left\{y_{m_{m}}\right\}$ possesses the property that $\left\{y_{m_{m}}^{(j)}\right\}$ converges uniformly on each interval $\left\langle b, b+m_{0}+r\right\rangle$ to $x^{(j)}$ for $j=0, \ldots, k$ where $x \in X$ is a certain function. As $y_{m_{m}} \mid\left\langle b, b+m_{0}+m\right\rangle \in S_{m_{0}+m}^{*}$, also $x \mid\left\langle b+m_{0}+m\right\rangle \in S_{m_{0}+m}^{*}$ and by (2), $x \in S$.

Hence $S \neq \emptyset$ and $P_{m_{0}}$ is the set of restrictions to $\left\langle b, b+m_{0}\right\rangle$ of all functions belonging to $S$, for each $m_{0}=1,2, \ldots$. Now we prove that $S$ is a compact set in $X$.

Let $\left\{x_{p}\right\} \subset S$ be a sequence of points. Then by the compactness of the sets $P_{1}$, $P_{2}, \ldots$ in the spaces $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ respectively we get that there exist sequences

$$
\left\{x_{p, 1}\right\},\left\{x_{p, 2}\right\}, \ldots
$$

such that
(i) $\left\{x_{p, 1}\right\}$ is a subsequence of the sequence $\left\{x_{p}\right\}$;
(ii) $\left\{x_{p, r+1}\right\}$ is a subsequence of the sequence $\left\{x_{p, r}\right\}$ for $r=1,2, \ldots$;
(iii) the sequence $\left\{x_{p, r}\right\}$ together with its first $k$ derivatives converges uniformly on $\langle b, b+r\rangle$.

Then the diagonal sequence $\left\{x_{p, p}\right\}$ converges in the space $X$ to a point $x \in X$ with the property that $x \mid\langle b, b+m\rangle \in S_{m}^{*}$ and by (2), $x \in S$.

Finally, we prove that $S$ is connected. If not, the set $S$ can be decomposed into the union

$$
S=\hat{K}_{1} \cup \hat{K}_{2}
$$

where $\hat{K}_{1}, \hat{K}_{2}$ are two non-empty, disjoint and compact sets. Let $m \geqslant 1$ be a natural number. Denote by $\hat{K}_{1 m}$ and $\hat{K}_{2 m}$ the sets of restrictions to $\langle b, b+m\rangle$ of the functions from $\hat{K}_{1}$ and $\hat{K}_{2}$, respectively. Hence we have

$$
P_{m}=\hat{K}_{1 m} \cup \hat{K}_{2 m}
$$

The compactness of $\hat{K}_{1}, \hat{K}_{2}$ implies that $\hat{K}_{1 m}, \hat{K}_{2 m}$ are nonempty, compact sets in $X_{m}$. If they were disjoint, then $P_{m}$ would not be connected in $X_{m}$. Hence there exist two elements $x_{m} \in \hat{K}_{1}, y_{m} \in \hat{K}_{2}, x_{m} \neq y_{m}$ such that their restrictions to $\langle b, b+m\rangle$ coincide. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m}\left|\langle b, b+m\rangle=y_{m}\right|\langle b, b+m\rangle . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the sequences $\left\{x_{m}\right\},\left\{y_{m}\right\}$. As $\left\{x_{m}\right\} \subset \hat{K}_{1},\left\{y_{m}\right\} \subset \hat{K}_{2}$ and $\hat{K}_{1}, \hat{K}_{2}$ are compact in $X$, there exist two subsequences $\left\{x_{m_{1}}\right\},\left\{y_{m_{1}}\right\}$ of the sequences $\left\{x_{m}\right\},\left\{y_{m}\right\}$, respectively, and there exist two elements $x \in \hat{K}_{1}, y \in \hat{K}_{2}$ such that $\lim _{l \rightarrow a} x_{m_{l}}=x, \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} y_{m_{l}}=y$ in $X$. Then with respect to (7) we have $x=y$. This contradicts the fact that $\hat{K}_{1} \cap \hat{K}_{2}=\emptyset$. Hence $S$ is connected.

Now by means of Lemmas 1 and 2 a sufficient condition for the sets $S_{m}^{*}$ in Lemma 4 to be non-empty, compact and connected can be given. This is the content of the next theorem.

Theorem 1. Suppose that all assumptions of Lemma 4 are satisfied except the assumption on the sets $S_{m}^{*}, m=1,2, \ldots$. Suppose, further, that for each $m=1$, $2, \ldots$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{m}: M_{m} \subset X_{m} \rightarrow X_{m} \text { is a compact mapping, } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists a sequence $\left\{T_{m p}\right\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ of mappings

$$
T_{m p}: M_{m} \rightarrow X_{m}
$$

with the following properties: For each $p=1,2, \ldots$
(9) $T_{m p}: M_{m} \subset X_{m} \rightarrow X_{m}$ is a compact mapping;
(10) $\left|T_{m}(x)(t)-T_{m p}(x)(t)\right|+\ldots+\left|\left(T_{m}(x)\right)^{(k)}(t)-\left(T_{m p}(x)\right)^{(k)}(t)\right| \leqslant \varphi_{p}(t)$ for each $x \in M_{m}$ and each $t \in\langle b, b+m\rangle$,
and either
(11) there exists a function $\varphi_{* p} \in C\left(I_{b},(0, \infty)\right)$ such that

$$
\varphi_{* p}+\varphi_{p} \leqslant \varphi \quad \text { in } I_{b}
$$

and

$$
\left|T_{m p}(x)(t)-\psi(0)\right|+\ldots+\left|\left(T_{m p}(x)\right)^{(k)}(t)-\psi^{(k)}(0)\right| \leqslant \varphi_{* p}(t)
$$

for all $x \in M_{m}$ and all $t \in\langle b, b+m\rangle$;
(12) the operator $H_{m p}: M_{m} \rightarrow X_{m}$ which is defined by the relation

$$
H_{m p}(x)=x-T_{m p}(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in M_{m}
$$

is injective on $M_{m}$,
or
(13) there exists an $x_{m} \in \dot{M}_{m}$ (the interior of $M_{m}$ ) such that

$$
T_{m}(x)-x_{m} \neq \lambda\left(x-x_{m}\right)
$$

for each $x \in \partial M$ and each $\lambda \geqslant 1$;
(14) the equation

$$
H_{m p}(y)=x
$$

has at most one solution in $M_{m}$ for each $x \in X_{m}$ such that

$$
|x(t)|+\ldots+\left|x^{(k)}(t)\right| \leqslant \varphi_{p}(t), \quad b \leqslant t \leqslant b+m .
$$

(Here $H_{m p}$ has the same meaning as in (12)).
Then the set $S$ of all fixed points of the operator $T$ is non-empty, compact and connected in the space $X$.

Proof. With respect to Lemma 4 it suffices to show that the set $S_{m}^{*}$ of all fixed points of the operator $T_{m}$ is non-empty, compact and connected for each $m=1$, $2, \ldots$. Hence, let $m \geqslant 1$ be an arbitrary but fixed number. Consider the case when
the assumptions (11), (12) are satisfied. Then we apply Lemma 1 to the operator $T_{m}$ in the space $X_{m}$. In this space we have two systems of balanced neighborhoods of 0 :

$$
\begin{gathered}
U\left(0, \frac{1}{j}\right)=\left\{x \in X_{m}: p_{m}(x)<\frac{1}{j}\right\}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots \\
U_{p}=\left\{x \in X_{m}:|x(t)|+\ldots+\left|x^{(k)}(t)\right| \leqslant \varphi_{p}(t), b \leqslant t \leqslant b+m\right\}, p=1,2, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

By the Dini theorem the sequence $\left\{\varphi_{p}\right\}$ converges uniformly to 0 on $\langle b, b+m\rangle$ and both systems of neighborhoods determine the same topology in $X_{m}$. For each $U_{p}$ there exists a compact mapping $T_{m p}: M_{m} \subset X_{m} \rightarrow X_{m}$ such that, in view of (10), $T_{m}(x)-T_{m p}(x) \in U_{p}$ for each $x \in M_{m}$.

As to the assumption (ii) in Lemma 1, by the assumption (12) it suffices to show that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m p}(y)=x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least one solution in $M_{m}$ for each $x \in U_{p}$. So let us fix an arbitrary $x \in U_{p}$. Since $M_{m}$ is a closed and convex set in $X_{m}$, the operator $T_{m p}+x: M_{m} \subset X_{m} \rightarrow X_{m}$ is compact and moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid T_{m p}(y)(t)- & \psi(0)\left|+|x(t)|+\ldots+\left|\left(T_{m p}(y)\right)^{(k)}(t)-\psi^{(k)}(0)\right|+\left|x^{(k)}(t)\right|\right. \\
& \leqslant \varphi_{* p}(t)+\varphi_{p}(t) \leqslant \varphi(t) \text { for each } t \in\langle b, b+m\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $T_{m p}+x: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{m}$, by the Schauder fixed point theorem the equation (15) has a solution in $M_{m}$ and the statement of the theorem follows.

When the assumptions (13) and (14) are fulfilled, then we use Lemma 2. We take $\left(X_{m}, p_{m}\right)$ for the real Banach space, $\dot{M}_{m}$ for $\Omega$ and $T_{m}: M_{m} \subset X_{m} \rightarrow X_{m}$ for the compact mapping $F$. By (13) $T_{m}$ satisfies the strengthned Leray-Schauder condition. When $\left\{T_{m p}\right\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of compact mappings which approximates the mapping $T_{m}$, then by (10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{p} & =\sup \left\{p_{m}\left(T_{m p}(x)-T_{m}(x)\right): x \in M_{m}\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\varphi_{p}(t): b \leqslant t \leqslant b+m\right\} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } p \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x \in \dot{M}_{\hat{m}}$. Then again by (10) $T_{m}(x)-T_{m p}(x) \in U_{p}$ and then (14) implies that the assumption b) of Lemma 2 is satisfied, too. By this Lemma the theorem is true.

## An application

Theorem 1 will be applied to the initial value problem for a functional differential equation. First we consider a similar problem for an ordinary differential equation.

Let $\omega \in C\left(I_{b},\langle 0, \infty)\right)$, let $F \in C(\langle 0, \infty),(0, \infty))$ be a non-decreasing function and let $c \geqslant 0$. Then one can find that a necessary and sufficient condition for the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)=\omega(t) F(y+c), \quad y(b)=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

to have a unique solution on $(b, \infty)$ is that

$$
\int_{b}^{\infty} \omega(s) \mathrm{d} s \leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} v}{F(v+c)}
$$

Further, denote $H=C\left(\langle-h, 0\rangle, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right),\|x\|=\max \{|x(s)|:-h \leqslant s \leqslant 0\}$ for each $x \in$ $H$. Then $(H,\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. If $x:\langle b-h, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is a continuous function, then $x_{t} \in H$ is defined by $x_{t}(s)=x(t+s),-h \leqslant s \leqslant 0$, for each $t \in I_{b}$. In the space $X^{*}=C\left(\langle b-h, \infty), \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ let the topology be defined by the seminorms $q_{m}(x)=$ $\max \{|x(t)|: b-h \leqslant t \leqslant b+m\}, m=1,2, \ldots, x \in X^{*}$. Clearly $\left(X^{*},\left\{q_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}\right)$ is a Fréchet space.

Theorem 2. Let $\psi \in H, f \in C\left(I_{b} \times H, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $\omega \in C\left(I_{b},\langle 0, \infty)\right)$, let $F \in$ $C(\langle 0, \infty),(0, \infty))$ be a nondecreasing function and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{b}^{\infty} \omega(s) \mathrm{d} s \leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} v}{F(v+|\psi(0)|)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, \chi)| \leqslant \omega(t) F(\|\chi\|) \text { for each }(t, \chi) \in I_{b} \times M^{* *}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$M^{* *}=\left\{x_{t} \in H: x \in C\left(\langle b-h, \infty), \mathbf{R}^{n}\right),|x(t)-\psi(0)| \leqslant \varphi(t)\right.$ for each $\left.t \in I_{b}, x_{b}=\psi\right\}$ and $\varphi$ is the solution of (16) on $I_{b}$ with $c=|\psi(0)|$.

Then the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=f\left(t, x_{t}\right), \quad b \leqslant t<\infty \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{b}=\psi \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)-\psi(0)| \leqslant \varphi(t) \text { for each } t \in I_{b} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of all such solutions is compact and connected in the space $X^{*}$.
Proof. Consider the Fréchet space $\left(X,\left\{p_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}\right)$ where $X=C\left(I_{b}, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, and the seminorms $p_{m}(x)=\max \{|x(t)|: b \leqslant t \leqslant b+m\}, m=1,2, \ldots, x \in X$. This space corresponds to the case $k=0$ mentioned above. By virtue of (21) the problem (19), (20) is equivalent to the fixed point (f.p. for short) problem for the operator $T^{*}: M^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}$ which is defined by

$$
T^{*}(x)(t)= \begin{cases}\psi(0)+\int_{b}^{t} f\left(s, x_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s, & b \leqslant t<\infty \\ \psi(t-b), & b-h \leqslant t \leqslant b\end{cases}
$$

on the set $M^{*}=\left\{x \in X^{*}: x_{b}=\psi\right.$ and $|x(t)-\psi(0)| \leqslant \varphi(t)$ for each $\left.t \in I_{b}\right\}$.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\{x \in X: x(b)=\psi(0)\} \\
& V^{*}=\left\{x \in X^{*}: x_{b}=\psi\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Define the mapping $P: V \rightarrow V^{*}$ by

$$
P(x)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x(t), & b \leqslant t<\infty, \\
\psi(t-b), & b-h \leqslant t \leqslant b,
\end{array} \quad \text { for each } x \in V\right.
$$

Then $P$ is a bijection of $V$ onto $V^{*}$ and since $x_{p} \rightarrow x$ in $V \subset X$ for $p \rightarrow \infty$ is equivalent to $P\left(x_{p}\right) \rightarrow P(x)$ in $V^{*} \subset X^{*}$ for $p \rightarrow \infty, P$ is a homeomorphism of $V$ onto $V^{*}$. Clearly the inverse mapping $P^{-1}$ of $P$ is defined by

$$
P^{-1}(x)=x \mid\langle b, \infty) \text { for each } x \in V^{*} .
$$

Let $M=\left\{x \in X:|x(t)-\psi(0)| \leqslant \varphi(t)\right.$ for each $t \in I_{b}$ and $\left.x(b)=\psi(0)\right\}$. Consider now the mapping $T=P^{-1} \circ T^{*} \circ P \mid M$. Then $T: M \rightarrow X$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x)(t)=\psi(0)+\int_{b}^{t} f\left(s, x_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s, \quad b \leqslant t<\infty, x \in M, x_{b}=\psi \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In fact, the operator $T$ should be defined by

$$
T(x)(t)=\psi(0)+\int_{b}^{t} f\left(s,(P(x))_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s, \quad b \leqslant t<\infty, x \in M
$$

but it is clear what (22) means. The same notation will be used for the operators $T_{p}, T_{m}$ and $T_{m p}$, which will be defined on $M$ in a similar way.)

Clearly $u \in M$ is a f.p. of $T$ iff $P(u) \in M^{*}$ is a f.p. of $T^{*}$, and in view of the property of $P$, the set of all f.p. of $T^{*}$ in $M^{*}$ is non-empty, compact and connected in $M^{*}$ iff the set of all f.p. of $T$ in $M$ has the same property. Thus we can apply Theorem 1 to the operator $T$.

The set $M$ is closed in the Fréchet space $X$. Define operators $T_{p}: M \rightarrow X$ by

$$
T_{p}(x)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi(0), \quad b \leqslant t \leqslant b+\frac{1}{p} \\
\psi(0)+\int_{b}^{t-1 / p} f\left(s, x_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s, \quad b+\frac{1}{p} \leqslant t<\infty, x \in M, x_{b}=\psi
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then (18) yields

$$
\left|T(x)(t)-T_{p}(x)(t)\right| \leqslant\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{b}^{t} \omega(s) F(\varphi(s)+|\psi(0)|) \mathrm{d} s, b \leqslant t \leqslant b+\frac{1}{p} \\
\int_{t-1 / p}^{t} \omega(s) F(\varphi(s)+|\psi(0)|) \mathrm{d} s, b+\frac{1}{p} \leqslant t<\infty \\
x \in M, x_{b}=\psi
\end{array}\right.
$$

Denote by $\varphi_{p}(t)$ the right-hand side of the last inequality. Hence

$$
\varphi_{p}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{b}^{t} \omega(s) F(\varphi(s)+|\psi(0)|) \mathrm{d} s, b \leqslant t \leqslant b+\frac{1}{p}, \\
\int_{t-1 / p}^{t} \omega(s) F(\varphi(s)+|\psi(0)|) \mathrm{d} s, b+\frac{1}{p} \leqslant t<\infty, p=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly $\left\{\varphi_{p}\right\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence on $I_{b}$ and $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{p}(t)=0$ for each $t \in\langle b, \infty)$.
Further, when we define

$$
\varphi_{* p}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, b \leqslant t \leqslant b+\frac{1}{p} \\
\int_{b}^{t-1 / p} \omega(s) F(\varphi(s)+|\psi(0)|) \mathrm{d} s, b+\frac{1}{p} \leqslant t<\infty, p=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

then

$$
\left|T_{p}(x)(t)-\psi(0)\right| \leqslant \varphi_{* p}(t), t \in I_{b}, p=1,2, \ldots, x \in M, x_{b}=\psi
$$

and by (16)

$$
\varphi_{* p}(t)+\varphi_{p}(t)=\int_{b}^{t} \omega(s) F(\varphi(s)+|\psi(0)|) \mathrm{d} s=\varphi(t)
$$

for each $t \in I_{b}$.
Further, the operators $T_{m}, T_{m p}: M_{m} \subset X_{m} \rightarrow X_{m}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{m}(x)(t)= & \psi(0)+\int_{b}^{t} f\left(s, x_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s, b \leqslant t \leqslant b+m, x_{b}=\psi \\
T_{m p}(x)(t)= & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi(0), b \leqslant t \leqslant b+\frac{1}{p}, \\
\psi(0)+\int_{b}^{t-1 / p} f\left(s, x_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s, b+\frac{1}{p} \leqslant t \leqslant b+m \\
\\
\\
\text { for } m=1,2, \ldots, p=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

are compact. This can be shown in the usual way.
The last step in checking the assumptions of Theorem 1 consists of proving (12).
Let the mapping $H_{m p}: M_{m} \rightarrow X_{m}$ be defined by

$$
H_{m p}(x)=x-T_{m p}(x) \text { for all } x \in M_{m}, x_{b}=\psi, m=1,2, \ldots, p=1,2, \ldots
$$

Consider two elements $x, y \in M_{m}, x \neq y$. Then there exists a $t_{0}: b<t_{0} \leqslant b+m$ such that $x\left(t_{0}\right) \neq y\left(t_{0}\right)$. Two cases may occur:
a) If $t_{0} \in\left\langle b, b+\frac{1}{p}\right\rangle$, then $H_{m p}(x)\left(t_{0}\right)=x\left(t_{0}\right)-\psi(0) \neq y\left(t_{0}\right)-\psi(0)=H_{m p}(y)\left(t_{0}\right)$;
b) there is a $t_{1} \geqslant b+\frac{1}{p}$ such that $T_{1}=\sup \{\tau>b: x(t)=y(t)$ for $t \in\langle b, \tau)\}$. Then there exists a $t_{0} \in\left(t_{1}, t_{1}+\frac{1}{p}\right)$ such that $x\left(t_{0}\right) \neq y\left(t_{0}\right)$. This implies that $T_{m p}(x)\left(t_{0}\right)=\psi(0)+\int_{b}^{t_{0}-1 / p} f\left(s, x_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s=\psi(0)+\int_{b}^{t_{0}-1 / p} f\left(s, y_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s=T_{m p}(y)\left(t_{0}\right)$ and hence $H_{m p}(x)\left(t_{0}\right) \neq H_{m p}(y)\left(t_{0}\right)$.

In both cases the operator $H_{m p}$ is injective on $M_{m}$ and all assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. By this theorem the statement of Theorem 2 follows.
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