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# AN EXAMPLE OF A GROUP CONVERGENCE VITH UNIQUE SEQUENTIAL LIMITS WHICII CANNOT BE ASSOCIATED WITH A HaUSDORFF TOPOLOGY 

Józef Burzyk, Katowice

(Received February 4, 1988)

As usual, by $C$ we denote the Cantor set equipped with the topology inherited from the real line. We assume that $\{0,1\}$ is the two-element group equipped with the discrete topology. Throughout the paper we denote by $X$ the set of all continuous functions from $C$ to $\{0,1\}$.

We write $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(C_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ and say that a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $x$ in $(X, G)$ if $x_{n}, x \in X$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ there are a subsequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ and an open dense subset $A$ of $C$ such that

$$
v_{n}(t) \rightarrow x(t) \quad \text { for } t \in A \text {. }
$$

It is not difficult to prove that $G$ is a FLUSII-convergence, i.e., it satisfies the conditions:
(F) $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ implies $x_{m_{n}} \rightarrow x$;
(L) $x_{n} \rightarrow x, y_{n} \rightarrow y$ implies $x_{n} \pm y_{n} \rightarrow x \pm y$;
(U) if for every subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of a given sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ there is a subsequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow x$ for a given $x$, then $x_{n} \rightarrow x$;
(S) if $x_{n}=x$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x_{n} \rightarrow x$;
(II) if $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $x_{n} \rightarrow y$, then $x=y$.

We claim the following:
Theorem. (a) If $V$ is a nonempty subset of $X$ such that $x_{n} \in V$ for sufficiently large $n$ whenever $x_{n} \rightarrow x(G)$ and $x \in V$, then for every $y \in X$ there is a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of elements $x_{n}$ in $V$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow y\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$.
(b) If $\tau$ is a topology on $X$ which preserves the covergence $G_{r}$, i.e., $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ implies $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $(X, \tau)$, then nonempty open sets in $(X, \tau)$ are sequentially dense in $X$.
(c) If $\tau$ is a topology on $X$ which preserves the convergence $G$, then the intersection of any two nonepmty open sets in $(X, \tau)$ is nonempty.
(d) $G$ is a FLUSHP-convergence, i.e., $G$ satisfies the following condition:
(P) if $x_{i j} \rightarrow x_{i}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any two subsequences $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{i}\right\}$ of $\{i\}$ we have $x_{p_{i} q_{i}} \rightarrow x$ for a given $x$, then $x_{i} \rightarrow x$.

Summarizing, we may say that there is no Hausdorff topology which induces the convergence $G$. An example of a FLUSH-convergence group for which there is no Hausdorff topology inducing the convergence is given in [1]. J. Pochcial notes in [2] that convergences in $T_{3}$-topological spaces are FLUSHP-convergences and convergences in topological groups are FLUSHP-convergences.

Observe that (a) implies (b) and (b) implies (c). Hence it suffices to prove (a) and (d).

Proof of (a). Let $a$ be an arbitrary fixed point in $X$ and let $U=V-a$. We assert that if $x \in U$ and $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $(X, G)$, then $x_{n} \in U$ for sufficiently large $n$. Indeed, if $x \in U$ then $x=v-a$ for some $v \in V$ and, by (L), $x_{n}+a \rightarrow v$ in (X,G). Therefore $x_{n}+a \in V$ for sufficiently large $n$ or, equivalently, $x_{n} \in U$ for sufficiently large $n$. Assume that $u \in U$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of all rational numbers. Let $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ be a base at $w_{1}$ of closed-open subsets of $C$ such that $P_{n} \supset P_{n+1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We put

$$
u_{n}=u \cdot I_{C \backslash P_{n}}
$$

where $I_{C \backslash P_{n}}$ is the characteristic function of the set $C \backslash P_{n}$. We note that $u_{n} \in X$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_{n}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ for $t \in C \backslash\left\{w_{1}\right\}$. Therefore $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $(X, G)$. Consequently, there is an index $n_{1}$ such that $x_{1} \in U$ with

$$
x_{1}=u_{n_{1}}=u \cdot I_{C \backslash Q_{1}} \in U \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{1}=P_{n_{1}} .
$$

We note that $Q_{1}$ is a closed-open subset of $C$ and $w_{1} \in Q_{1}$. By induction we find a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and a sequence $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ of closed-open subsets of $C$ such that

$$
x_{n}=u \cdot I_{C \backslash\left(Q_{1} \cup \ldots \cup Q_{n}\right)}, x_{n} \in U \quad \text { and } \quad w_{n} \in Q_{n}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We put

$$
A=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{n}
$$

and note that $A$ is an open dense subset of $C$ and $x_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \in A$. This means that $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $(X, G)$ and $x_{n} \in U$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence such that $x_{n}=y_{n}-a$. Then $y_{n} \in V$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and, by (L), $y_{n} \rightarrow a$, which was to be proved.

To complete the proof of our Theorem we should show that $G$ has property ( P ). To this aim we shall prove a number of lemmas.

Lemma 1. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $\left(X, G^{\prime}\right)$;
(ii) for every subsequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and for every nonempty open subset $U$ of ( $\subset$ there are a subsequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and a nonempty open subset $V$ of $U$ such that $z_{n}(t)=0$ for $t \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Assume that (i) holds, $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $U$ is a nonempty subset of $C$. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and let $A$ be an open dense subset of $C$ such that $u_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for every $t \in A$. We see that $W=U \cap A$ is a nonempty open subset of $U$. We put

$$
F_{n}=\left\{t \in W: u_{m}(t)=0 \text { for } m \geqslant n \text { and } m, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} .
$$

Note that $F_{n}$ are closed subsets of $W$ and $W=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}$. Hence, by the Baire category theorem, there is an index $n_{0}$ such that int $F_{n_{0}} \neq 0$. Assuming $z_{n}=u_{n_{0}+n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V=\operatorname{int} F_{n_{0}}$ we see that $z_{n}(t)=0$ for every $t \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that (i) implies (ii). To prove that (ii) implies (i) we take a countable base $\left\{U_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of open sets in $C$ and a subsequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. If (ii) holds, then there are a subsequence $\left\{z_{1 n}\right\}$ of $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and an open subset $V_{1}$ such that $V_{1} \subset U_{1}$ and $z_{1 n}(t)=0$ for $t \in V_{1}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By induction we find a sequence of sequences $\left\{z_{k n}\right\}$ and a sequence $\left\{V_{n}\right\}$ of open sets $V_{n}$ such that $\left\{z_{k+1, n}\right\}$ is a subsequence of $\left\{z_{k n}\right\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_{k n}(t)=0$ for $t \in V_{k}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We put

$$
A=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V_{k}
$$

and

$$
v_{n}=z_{n n}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A$ is an open dense subset of $C^{\prime}, v_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \in A$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a subsequence of $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$. This shows that $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $(X, G)$ or, equivalently, (ii) implies (i).

We introduce auxiliary convergences on $X$. We write $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(T_{0}\right)$ or $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $\left(X, T_{0}\right)$ iff $x_{n}, x \in X$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and there is a dense subset $A$ of $C$ such that $x_{n}(t) \rightarrow x(t)$ for $t \in A$. We write $x_{n} \rightarrow x(T)$ or $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $(X, T)$ iff for every subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ there is a subsequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow x\left(T_{0}\right)$. Obviously, $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ implies $x_{n} \rightarrow x(T)$ but not conversely.

Lemma 2. $(X, T)$ is a FUS-convergence space with the following properties:
( $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ ) If $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $(X, T)$ and $y \in X$, then $x_{n}+y \rightarrow x+y$ in $(X, T)$. If $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $(X, T)$, then $-x_{n} \rightarrow-x$ in $(X, T)$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right)$ If $x_{n}=x$ and $x_{n} \rightarrow y$ in $(X, T)$, then $x=y$.
Proof. Properties FUS of $T$ are obvious. Properties $\left(L_{0}\right)$ and $\left(H_{0}\right)$ follow from the fact that if $x$ and $y$ are continuous functions and $x(t)=y(t)$ for $t$ belonging to a dense subset of $C$, then $x=y$.

Lemma 3. For every sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $(X, T)$;
(ii) for every subsequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ the set

$$
A=\left\{t \in C: y_{n}(t)=0 \text { for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

is dense in $C$;
(iii) for every subsequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and for every open set $U \subset$ (: there is $t \in U$ such that $y_{n}(t)=0$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Obviously, (i) implies (ii) and (ii) implies (iii). To prove that (iii) implies (i) we take a countable base $\left\{U_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of open sets in $C$ and a subsequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. If (iii) holds, then there is an element $t_{1}$ of $U_{1}$ and a subsequence $\left\{z_{1 n}\right\}$ of $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ such that $z_{1 n}\left(t_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0$. By induction we select a sequence of sequences $\left\{z_{k_{n}}\right\}$ and a sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ such that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N},\left\{z_{k+1, n}\right\}$ is a subsequence of $\left\{z_{k_{n}}\right\}$, $t_{k} \in U_{k}$ and $z_{k n}\left(t_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Denoting $z_{k}=z_{k k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A=\left\{t_{k}:\right.$ $k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ we see that $A$ is a dense subset of $C$ and $z_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \in A$. This shows that (iii) implies (i).

Lemma 4. If no subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to zero in $(X, T)$, then for every subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ there are a subsequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and a nonempty open set $V$ in $C$ such that $v_{n}(t)=1$ for $t \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We claim that, under the conditions of the lemma, for every subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ there are a subsequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and an open set $U$ in $C$ such that, for every $t \in U, z_{n}(t)=0$ for sufficiently large $n$. Otherwise, by Lemma 3 (iii), there would exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $(X, T)$. We put

$$
F_{n}=\left\{t \in U: z_{m}(t)=1 \text { for } m \geqslant n\right\}
$$

and note that $F_{n}$ are closed subsets of $C$ and

$$
U=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}
$$

By the Baire theorem there is an index $n_{0}$ such that int $F_{n_{0}} \neq 0$. Denoting $V=$ int $F_{n_{0}}$ and $v_{n}=z_{n_{0}+n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we see that $v_{n}(t)=0$ for every $t \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which was to be proved.

Lemma 5. Assume that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow 0(T)$ and the only limit of every subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is zero. Then $x_{n} \rightarrow 0(G)$.

Proof. Let $U$ be a nonempty open subset of $C$. We may assume that $U$ is an open-closed set. Let $x$ be the characteristic fuction of $U$, let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $\left(X, T_{0}\right)$. Assume that for a subsequence $\left\{w_{n}-x\right\}$ of $\left\{v_{n}-x\right\}$ we have $w_{n}-x \rightarrow 0$ in $(X, T)$. Then, by $\left(\mathrm{L}_{0}\right), w_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $(X, T)$ and $x \neq 0$ which is impossible. Therefore, no subsequence of $\left\{v_{n}-x\right\}$ converges to zero in $(X, T)$. Hence, by Lemma 4 , there exist an open set $V$ and a subsequence $\left\{w_{n}-x\right\}$ of $\left\{v_{n}-x\right\}$ such that $w_{n}(t)-x(t)=1$ for every $t \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that $V \subset U$. Otherwise, $V \backslash U$ would be a nonempty open subset of $C$ and, consequently, there would be an element $t \in V \backslash U$ such that $w_{n}(t)=0$ for sufficiently large $n$ and $x(t)=0$. On the other hand, $w_{n}(t)+x(t)=1$. Hence $w_{n}(t)=1$ for sufficiently large $n$, which is impossible since $w_{n}(t)=0$ for sufficiently large $n$. This contradiction shows that $V \subset U$. Therefore, $w_{n}(t)=0$ for $t \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this way we have proved that, under the conditions of Lemma 4, condition (ii) of Lemma 1 is satisfied or, equivalently, $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in (X,G), which completes the proof of Lemma 5 .

From Lemma 5 we get

Corollary 1. We have $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $(X, G)$ iff $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $(X, T)$ and there is no subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converges in $\{X, T\}$ to an element different from $x$.

Lemma 6. The convergence $(X, T)$ satisfies the following diagonal type condition:
( $\Phi$ ) If $x_{i j} \in X$ for $i, j \in \mathbf{N}, x_{i j} \rightarrow x_{i}$ in $(X, T)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x_{i} \rightarrow 0$ in $(X, T)$, then there are subsequences $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ of $\{i\}$ such that $x_{m, n} \rightarrow 0$ in $(X, T)$.

Proof. We may and will assume that $x_{i j} \rightarrow x_{i}$ in $\left(X, T_{0}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x_{i} \rightarrow 0$ in $\left(X, T_{0}\right)$. Otherwise, applying the diagonal procedure, we would take such a submatrix. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots$ be a base for the topology in $C$. Note that if $y_{n} \rightarrow y$ in $\left(X, T_{0}\right), V$ is an open set in $C$ and $y^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then there are an element $t \in y^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap V$ and an index $n_{0}$ such that $y_{n}(t)=0$ for $n \geqslant n_{0}$. Consequently, $y_{n}^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for $n \geqslant n_{0}$. This remark implies that there is a subsequence $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ if $\{i\}$ such that $x_{m,}^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap V_{k} \neq \emptyset$ for $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and $k=1, \ldots, i$. By the same remark
there exists a subsequence $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ of $\{i\}$ such that

$$
x_{n, n,}^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap x_{m,}^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap V_{k} \neq \emptyset .
$$

For every subsequence $\left\{r_{i}\right\}$ of $\{i\}$ we put

$$
A=\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=m}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{p_{2}} x_{p_{2} q_{i}}^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap x_{p_{i}}^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap V_{j},
$$

where $p_{i}=m_{r_{i}}$ and $q_{i}=n_{r_{i}}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. First note that $A$ is the intersection of a countable family of dense and open subset of $C$. Therefore, by the Baire Category Theorem, $A$ is a dense subset of $C$. Moreover, notice that if $t \in A$, then $x_{p_{1}, q_{1}}(t)=\emptyset$ for infinitely many $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by Lemma $2(\mathrm{~b}), x_{m, n} \rightarrow 0$ in $(X, T)$, which was to be proved.

Assume that $Y$ is an abelian group equipped with a convergence $W$. By $W_{*}$ we denote the convergence in $Y$ such that

$$
x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(W_{*}\right) \quad \text { iff } \quad z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W) \text { implies } x_{n}+z_{n} \rightarrow x(W) .
$$

We see that $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(W_{*}\right)$ implies $x_{n} \rightarrow x(W)$.

Lemma 7. Assume that $W$ is a $\mathrm{FL}_{0} \mathrm{USH}_{0}$-convergence in $Y$. Then
(i) $W_{*}$ is a FLUSII-convergence in $Y$;
(ii) if $x_{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}\left(W_{*}\right)$, then the only limit of every subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is $x$, i.e., if $x_{n} \rightarrow 0\left(W_{*}\right)$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $y_{n} \rightarrow y(W)$, then $y=x$;
(iii) if $W$ has property $(\Phi)$, then $W_{*}$ has property ( P ).

Proof of (i). Assume that $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(W_{*}\right),\left\{x_{m_{n}}\right\}$ is a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$. We put $u_{m_{n}}=z_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_{k}=0$ if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \neq m_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By $\left(H_{0}\right),(U)$ and (F), $u_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$. Hence $x_{n}+u_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$. By (F), $x_{m_{n}}+z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$ which proves (F). To prove (L) we note that $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(W_{*}\right)$ iff $x_{n}-x \rightarrow 0$ ( $W_{*}$ ). Indeed, assume that $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(W_{*}\right)$ and $z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$. Then $x_{n}+z_{n} \rightarrow x(W)$. Hence by ( $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ ) we have $x_{n}-x+z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$ or, equivalently, $x_{n}-x \rightarrow 0\left(W_{*}\right)$. Assume now that $x_{n}-x \rightarrow 0\left(W_{*}\right)$ and $z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$. Then $x_{n}-x+z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$. Hence, by ( $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ ), $x_{n}+z_{n} \rightarrow x(W)$ or, equivalently, $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(W_{*}\right)$. Now assume that $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(W_{*}\right)$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow y\left(W_{*}\right)$ and $z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$. Then $x_{n}-x \rightarrow 0\left(W_{*}\right)$ and $y_{n}-y+z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$. Hence we get

$$
\left(x_{n}-x\right)+\left(y_{n}-y\right)+z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)
$$

or, equivalently, $x_{n}+y_{n}-x-y \rightarrow 0\left(W_{*}\right)$ and $x_{n}+y_{n} \rightarrow x+y\left(W_{*}\right)$. This proves (L). Assume that $x \in Y,\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $Y$, and for every subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of
$\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ there is a subsequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow x\left(W_{*}\right)$. Moreover assume that $z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$. Then, by (F), $x_{n}+z_{n} \rightarrow x(W)$ or, equivalently, $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(W_{*}\right)$. This proves (U). Properties $(\mathrm{S})$ and (H) follow from $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{L}_{0}\right)$.

Proof of (ii). Assume that $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(W_{*}\right), x_{m_{n}} \rightarrow y(W)$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence such that $z_{m_{n}}=y-x_{m_{n}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_{k}=0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \neq m_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From $\left(\mathrm{L}_{0}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right),(\mathrm{F})$ and $(\mathrm{U})$ it follows that $z_{n} \rightarrow 0(W)$. Thus $x_{n}+z_{n} \rightarrow x(W)$ and $x_{m_{n}}+z_{m_{n}}=y$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by ( F ) and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right), y=x$, which proves (ii).

Proof of (iii). Assume that $x_{i j} \in Y$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}, x_{i j} \rightarrow x_{i}\left(W_{*}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any subsequences $\left\{m_{i}\right\},\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ of $\{i\}$ we have

$$
x_{m_{\imath} n,} \rightarrow 0\left(W_{*}\right)
$$

To show that $x_{i} \rightarrow 0\left(W_{*}\right)$ we take an arbitrary sequence $\left\{z_{i}\right\}$ such that $z_{i} \rightarrow 0(W)$, and choose a subsequence $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ of $\{i\}$. Then, by the definition of $W_{*}$ and properties (F) and (L) for $W$, we can write

$$
x_{p_{\mathbf{2}}}-x_{p_{\mathbf{1}} p_{j}}+z_{p_{1}} \rightarrow z_{p_{1}}(W)
$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_{p_{1}} \rightarrow 0(W)$. Now, if the convergence $W$ has property $(\Phi)$, there exist two subsequences $\left\{r_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{i}\right\}$ such that

$$
\left(x_{k_{2}}+z_{k_{2}}\right)-x_{k_{1} l_{2}} \rightarrow 0(W)
$$

and

$$
x_{k_{i} l_{i}} \rightarrow 0\left(W_{*}\right)
$$

with $k_{i}=p_{r_{1}}$ and $l_{i}=p_{s_{i}}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This together with the definition of $W$ implies

$$
x_{k_{1}}+z_{k_{1}} \rightarrow 0(W) .
$$

In this way we have shown that every subsequence of $\left\{x_{i}+z_{i}\right\}$ has a subsequence which converges to zero in ( $X, W$ ) or, equivalently, $x_{i}+z_{i} \rightarrow 0(W)$. Consequently, $x_{i} \rightarrow 0\left(W_{*}\right)$, which proves (iii).

Now we can prove statement (d).
Proof of (d). By Lemmas 2 and $6, T$ is a $\mathrm{FL}_{0} \mathrm{USH}_{0} \Phi$-convergence in $X$. Therefore, by Lemma $7, T_{*}$ is a FLUSHP-convergence in $X$. We claim that $G=T_{*}$. Indeed, assume that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $(X, G), z_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $(X, T)$ and $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ is a subsequence of $\{n\}$. Let $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ and let $A$ be an open dense subset of $C$ such that $x_{r_{n}}(t) \rightarrow x$ for $t \in A$. Let $\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ and let $B$ be a
dense subset of $C$ such that $z_{q_{n}}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \in B$. Then $A \cap B$ is a dense subset of $C$ and $x_{q_{n}}(t)+z_{q_{n}}(t) \rightarrow x(t)$ for $t \in A \cap B$. Consequently, $x_{n}+z_{n} \rightarrow x(T)$. This shows that $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(T_{*}\right)$, i.e., $G \subset T_{*}$. Assume now that $x_{n} \rightarrow x\left(T_{*}\right)$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $y_{n} \rightarrow y(T)$. Then, by Lemma 7 (ii), $y=x$. Hence, by Corollary $1, x_{n} \rightarrow x(G)$ which shows that $G \supset T_{*}$. Finally, $G=T *$. Since $T_{*}$ is a FLUSHP-convergence on $X, G$ is a FLUSIIP-convergence in $X$ and this proves (d).
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