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## 1. Introduction

In a recent paper [22], we examined Volterra integral inclusions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \in p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) F(s, x(s)) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in T=[0, b] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a separble Banach space $X$. In inclusion (1), $p(\cdot) \in C(T, X), U(t, s) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant b$ with $\|U(t, s)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leqslant M$ and $F: T \times X \rightarrow 2^{X} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$ is a closed valued perturbation. Our assumption on the kernel $U(t, s)$ was general enough to allow interpretting $U(t, s)$ as an evolution operator generated by a family of unbounded, densely defined operations $\{A(t): t \in T\}$. If this is the case, then (1) describes the mild soutions of the semilinear evolution inclusion $\dot{x}(t) \in A(t) x(t)+F(t, x(t))$, $x(0)=x_{0}$, with $p(t)=U(t, 0) x_{0}$. Such inclusions were studied by Papageorgiou [17] under the hypothesis that $U(t, s)$ is compact for all $t-s>0$. In [22], the kernel $U(t, s)$ was not assumed to be compact for $t-s>0$, and instead it was assumed that the orientor field $F(t, x)$ satisfied a compactness type hypothesis involving the Hausdorff (ball) measure of noncompactness.

In this paper we continue alogn the lines of [22]. In addition to (1), we also consider the following Volterra integral inclusion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \in p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \operatorname{ext} F(s, x(s)) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in T=[0, b] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{ext} F(s, x(s))$ denotes the set of extremal points of $F(s, x(s))$. Problems of this from arise in the study of control systems, in particular in the derivation of "bang-bang principles".

We should note that the theory developed in [22] can no longer be applied on (2), because the multifunction $(t, x) \rightarrow \operatorname{ext} F(t, x)$ is not in general closed valued and we can not say anything about its continuity properties. So our results here extend the existence theorems obtained in [22], and also we prove a new, very general density (relaxation) result relating the solution sets of (1) and (2) above. Hence the work of this paper in addition of extending, also complements [22], by presenting a relaxation theorem, a result that is missing from the study conducted in [22]. Finally, we should mention that our work here also extends the single valued one by Szufla [25] and the multivalued ones by Ragimkhanov [23] and Bulgakov-Lyapin [5] (who studied Volterra integral inclusions in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) and by Chuong [6] and Papageorgiou [20] (who studied Volterra itegral inclusions in Banach spaces, but under much more restrictive hypotheses on the data).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we establish our notation and recall some basic definitions and results about measurable and continuous multifunctions that we will need in the sequel.

Let $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ be a measurable spece and $X$ a separable Banach space. Throughout this paper, we will be using the following notations:

$$
P_{f(c)}(X)=\{A \subseteq X: \text { nonempty, closed, (convex) }\}
$$

and

$$
P_{(w) k(c)}(X)=\{A \subseteq X: \text { nonempty, (weakly-) compact, (convex) }\}
$$

For any $A \in 2^{X} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$, we set $|A|=\sup \{\|x\|: x \in A\}$ (the "norm" of $A$ ), $\sigma\left(x^{*}, A\right)=$ $\sup \left[\left(x^{*}, a\right): a \in A\right], x^{*} \in X^{*}$ (the "support function" of $A$ ) and for every $z \in X$, $d(z, A)=\inf [\|z-a\|: a \in A]$ (the "distance function" from $A$ ).

A multifunction (set-valued fucntion), is said to be measurable, if for all $U \subseteq X$ nonempty open, $F^{-}(U)=\{\omega \in \Omega: F(\omega) \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \in \Sigma$. If in addition, we assume that $F(\cdot)$ is $P_{f}(X)$-valued, then the above definition is equivalent to any one of the following two statements:
(i) for every $z \in X, \omega \rightarrow d(z, F(\omega))$ is measurable,
(ii) there exist $f_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow X n \geqslant 1$ measurable functions s.t. $F(\omega)={\overline{\left\{f_{n}(\omega)\right.}}_{n \geqslant 1}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

These equivalent statements all imply
(iii) $\operatorname{Gr} F=\{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X: x \in F(\omega)\}$, with $B(X)$ being the Borel $\sigma$-field of $X$ (graph measurability).

If there is a complete, $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu(\cdot)$ defined on $\Sigma$, then graph measurability is in fact equivalent to measurability for $P_{f}(X)$-valued multifunctions. For more details on the measurability of multifunctions, we refer to the survey paper of Wagner [28].

Now let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a finite measure space. Given $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f}(X)$ a measurable multifunction, we denote by $S_{F}^{1}$ the set of all selectors of $F(\cdot)$ that belong in the Lebesgue-Bochner space $L^{1}(X)$; i.e. $S_{F}^{1}=\left\{f \in L^{1}(S): f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \mu\right.$-a.e. $\}$. Clearly this set is closed, maybe empty and using Aumann's selection theorem (see Wagner [28], theorem 5.10), we can check that $S_{F}^{1}$ is nonempty if and only if $\omega \mapsto \inf [\|x\|: x \in$ $F(\omega)] \in L_{+}^{1}$. This is the case if $\omega \rightarrow|F(\omega)| \in L_{+}^{1}$ and such a multifunction is called "integrably bounded". A detailed study of $S_{F}^{1}$ can be found in [21]. Using $S_{F}^{1}$ we can define a set-valued integral for $F(\cdot)$, by setting $\int_{\Omega} F(\omega) \mathrm{d} \mu(\omega)=\left\{\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \mathrm{d} \mu(\omega)\right.$ : $\left.f \in S_{F}^{1}\right\}$. The properties of this integral were studied by Kandilakis-Papageorgiou [11].

It is a simple consequence of the Banach-Dieudonne theorem (see for example, Bourbaki [3]), that $A \in P_{k c}(X)$ if and only if $\sigma(\cdot, A)$ is sequentially continuous on $X_{w^{*}}^{*}$ (here $X_{w^{*}}^{*}$ denotes the Banach space $X^{*}$ equipped with the weak* topology; recall that since by hypothesis $X$ is separable, on bounded subsets of $X^{*}$ the relative $w^{*}$-topology is metrizable). Using this fact, we see that $P_{k c}(X)$ can be embedded as a convex cone with vertex zero in separable Banach space $Z$ (in particular, $Z=C\left(B_{w^{*}}^{*}\right)$, where $B_{w^{*}}^{*}$ denotes the unit ball of $X^{*}$ equipped with the weak*-topology) and the embedding is additive, positively homogeneous and isometric. This is the well-known "Radström Embedding Theorem" (see for example, Klein-Thompson [13], theorem 17.2.1, p. 189). In particular, then if $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{k c}(X)$ is an integrably bouded multifunction, then the set-valued integral $\int_{\Omega} F(\omega) \mathrm{d} \mu(\omega)$ is equal to the Bochner integral of $F(\cdot)$, when it is viewed as an element in $L^{1}(Z)$ (see Hiai-Umagaki [9], theorem 4.5 (i) and Papageorgiou [16], proposition 3.1). Therefore if $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{k c}(X)$ is integrably bounded, then $\int_{\Omega} F(\omega) \mathrm{d} \mu(\omega) \in P_{k c}(X)$.

On $P_{f}(X)$ we can define a generalized metric, known in the literature as Hausdorff metric, by setting

$$
h(A, B)=\max \left[\sup _{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup _{b \in B} d(b, A)\right]
$$

for all $A, B \in P_{f}(X)$. It is well known that $\left(P_{f}(X), h\right)$ is a complete metric space, while $\left(P_{k}(X), h\right)$ is a Polish space (i.e. complete, separable, metric space). A multifunction $F: X \rightarrow P_{f}(X)$ is said to be Hausdorff continuous ( $h$-continuous), if it is continuous from $X$ into $\left(P_{f}(X), h\right)$.

If $V, W$ are Hausdorff topological spaces and $G: V \rightarrow 2^{W} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$, then $G(\cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.), if for all $U \subseteq W$ open, $G^{-}(U)=\{v \in V: G(v) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ is
open. If $V, W$ are metric spaces, then this definition is equivalent to saying that if $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $V$, then $G(v) \subseteq \underline{\lim } G\left(v_{n}\right)=\left\{w \in W: \lim d_{W}(w, G(w))=0\right\}=\{w \in W$ : $\left.w=\lim w_{n}, w_{n} \in G\left(v_{n}\right), n \geqslant 1\right\}$ (here $d_{W}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the metric on $W$ ).

Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the collection of all bounded subsets of $X$. The Hausdorff (ball) measure of noncompactness $\beta: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is defined by

$$
\beta(B)=\inf \{r>0: B \text { can be convered by finitely many balls of radius } r\} .
$$

Recall that $\beta(\cdot)$ is nonexpansive with respect to the Hausdorff pseudo-metric on $\left(2^{X} \backslash\{\emptyset\}\right) \cap \mathcal{B}$. For a comprehensive introduction to the subject of measures of noncompactness and their applications, we refer to Banas-Goebel [1].

## 3. Existence theorem

For the rest of this paper, $T=[0, b]$ and $X$ is a separable Banach space. By $L^{1}(X)$ we will denote the Banach space of all equivalence classes of Bochner integrable functions $x: T \rightarrow X$, equipped with the usual norm $\|x\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{b}\|x(t)\| \mathrm{d} t$. Also by $L_{w}^{1}(X)$, we will denote the space of all equivalence classes of Bochner integrable functions $x: T \rightarrow X$, equipped with the norm (weak norm) $\|x\|_{w}=\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant b}\left\|\int_{0}^{t} x(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right\|$ (or equivalently $\left.\|x\|_{w}=\sup _{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant b}\left\|\int_{s}^{t} x(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right\|\right)$.

In this section we address the problem of existence of solution for inclusions (2). By a solution of (2), we mean a function of $x(\cdot) \in C(T, X)$ such that $x(t)=p(t)+$ $\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f(s) \mathrm{d} s, t \in T, f \in L^{1}(X), f(s) \in \operatorname{ext} F(s, x(s))$ a.e. on $T$. We will need the following hypotheses on the data: $H(F): F: T \times X \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ is multifunction s.t.
(1) $t \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable,
(2) $x \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is $h$-continuous,
(3) $\beta(F(t, B)) \leqslant k(t) \beta(B)$ a.e. for all $B \subseteq X$ nonempty, bounded (i.e. $B \in \mathcal{B})$ and with $k(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$,
(4) $|F(t, x)| \leqslant a(t)+c(t)\|x\|$ a.e., with $a(\cdot), c(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$.

R emark. Note that hypothesis $H(F)(1)$ and (2) and theorem 3.3 of Papageorgiou [19], imply that $(t, x) \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable on $T \times X$. Also from hypothesis $H(F)$ (3), we have that for all $t \in T \backslash N(N$ is a Lebesgue null subset of $T$ ) and all $x \in X, F(t, x) \in P_{k c}(X)$. Hence by modifying, if necessary, the orientor field $F$ on the Lebesgue null set $N \subseteq T$, we may assume without any loss of generality that $F(t, x) \in P_{k c}(X)$ for all $(t, x) \in T \times X$. So in what follows we will assume that for all $(t, x) \in T \times X, F(t, x) \in P_{k c}(X)$ and so ext $F(t, x) \neq \emptyset$. Then from theorem 9.3
of Himmelberg [10], we have that $(t, x) \rightarrow \operatorname{ext} F(t, x)$ is graph measurable (recall that $X^{*}$ equipped with the $w^{*}$-topology (and hence with any topology compatible with duality $\left.\left(X^{*}, X\right)\right)$ is separable; see Wilansky [29], p. 144). So in particular, if $x$ : $T \rightarrow X$ is measurable, then $t \rightarrow \operatorname{ext} F(t, x(t))$ is graph measurable.
$H(U): U: \Delta=\{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant b\} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ is a map s.t.
(1) $U(\cdot, s)$ is strongly continuous on $[s, b], U(t, \cdot)$ is strongly continuous on $[0, t]$ for all $t \in T, U(t, t)=I$,
(2) $\int_{0}^{t}\left\|U\left(t^{\prime}, s\right)-U(t, s)\right\| \psi(s) \mathrm{d} s=\eta\left(t^{\prime}, t\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $t^{\prime}-t \rightarrow 0^{+}$with $t^{\prime}$ or $t$ fixed and with $\psi(s)=a(s)+c(s)$ (see $H(F)(4)$ ).
Remark. If $\left\|U\left(t^{\prime}, s\right)-U(t, s)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leqslant \frac{c\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)}{t-s}$, then we can easily check that hypothesis $H(U)$ (2) is satisfied, if for example, $a, c \in L_{+}^{2}(T)$ (hence $\psi \in L_{+}^{2}(T)$ ). In turn, this estimate is valid, if $U(t, s)$ is the evolution operator (fundamental solution) generated by $\{A(t): t \in T\}$ a family of linear, generally unbounded operators s.t. (i) $\overline{D(A(t))}=X$ and $D(A(t))$ is independent of $t \in T$, (ii) for each $t \in[0, b]$, the resolvent $R(\lambda, A(t))$ exists for all $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leqslant 0$ and $\|R(\lambda, A(t))\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leqslant \frac{c}{|\lambda|+1}(\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leqslant 0)$ and (iii) $\left\|\left(A\left(t^{\prime}\right)-A(t)\right) A^{-1}(0)\right\| \leqslant c\left|r^{\prime}-t\right|^{\alpha} \alpha \in(0,1)$. For details, we refer to the books of Friedman [7], Ladas-Lakshmikantham [14] and Tanabe [26]. In particular, this is the case if $X \rightarrow H \rightarrow X^{*}$ is an evolution triple of separable Hilbert spaces and $A(t): X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is a linear, continuous, strongly monotone operator (see Tanabe [26], chapter 5 , section 4 ) or if $U(t, s)=K(t-s)$ with $K(\cdot)$ being an analytic semigroup (autonomous case; see [7], [14], [26]). Therefore our formulation incorporates large classes of semilinear evolution equations.
$H(p): p(\cdot) \in C(T, X)$.
Theorem 3.1. If hypotheses $H(F), H(U)$ and $H(p)$ hold, then problem (2) admits a solution.

Proof. First we will obtain an a priori bound for the solutions of (1) (hence for thoose of (2) too). So let $x(\cdot) \in C(T, X)$ be such a solution. We have:

$$
x(t)=p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f(s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in T
$$

with $f \in L^{1}(X)$ and $f(t) \in F(t, x(t))$ a.e. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x(t)\| & \leqslant\|p\|_{\infty}+\int_{0}^{t}\|U(t, s)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \cdot\|f(s)\| \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leqslant\|p\|_{\infty}+\int_{0}^{t} M(a(s)+c(s)\|x(s)\|) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Invoking Gronwall's inequality, we deduce that there exists $M_{1}>0$ s.t.

$$
\|x(t)\| \leqslant M_{1}
$$

for all $t \in T$ and all solutions $x(\cdot)$ of (1). Let $\varphi(t)=a(t)+M_{1} c(t), \varphi(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$. Then we may assume without any loss of generality that $|F(t, x)| \leqslant \varphi(t)$ a.e. (otherwise we replace $F(\cdot, x(\cdot))$, by $F\left(\cdot, p_{M_{1}}(x(\cdot))\right.$ ), with $p_{M_{1}}(\cdot)$ being the $M_{1}$-radial retraction).

Next we claim that we can find $G: T \rightarrow P_{k c}(X)$ an $h$-continuous multifunction s.t. for all $t \in T$, we have

$$
G(t)=p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F(s, G(s)) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in T
$$

To this end, consider the following Caratheodory type approximations:

$$
G_{n}(t)= \begin{cases}p(t), & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \frac{b}{n} \\ p(t)+\int_{0}^{t-\frac{b}{n}} U\left(t-\frac{b}{n}, s\right) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s, & \frac{b}{n} \leqslant t \leqslant b\end{cases}
$$

Also for every $n \geqslant 1$, let

$$
H_{n}(t)=p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\overline{\text { conv}}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in T
$$

Recall tha since $F(t, \cdot)$ is $h$-continuous and $P_{k c}(X)$-valued, it maps compact sets into compact sets (see Klein-Thompson [13]). So by virtue of the Radström embedding theorem (see section 2), we have that for all $n \geqslant 1$ ans all $t \in T, G_{n}(t)$, $H_{n}(t) \in P_{k c}(X)$.

Let $t, t^{\prime} \in T, t<t^{\prime}$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(H_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right), H_{n}(t)\right) \\
= & h\left(p\left(t^{\prime}\right)+\int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} U\left(t^{\prime}, s\right) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s, p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) \\
\leqslant & \left\|p\left(t^{\prime}\right)-p(t)\right\| \\
& +h\left(\int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} U\left(t^{\prime}, s\right) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s, \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leqslant & \left\|p\left(t^{\prime}\right)-p(t)\right\|+\left|\int_{t}^{t^{\prime}} U\left(t^{\prime}, s\right) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right| \\
& +h\left(\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t^{\prime}, s\right) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s, \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) \\
\leqslant & \left\|p\left(t^{\prime}\right)-p(t)\right\|+M \int_{t}^{t^{\prime}} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} h\left(U\left(t^{\prime}, s\right) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right), U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) \\
\leqslant & \left\|p\left(t^{\prime}\right)-p(t)\right\|+M \int_{t}^{t^{\prime}} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left\|U\left(t^{\prime}, s\right)-U(t, s)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s=\theta\left(t^{\prime}, t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(here $M=\sup _{(s, t) \in \Delta}\|U(t, s)\|_{\mathcal{L}}$; see hypothesis $\left.H(U)(1)\right)$. Clearly $\theta\left(t^{\prime}, t\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $t^{\prime}-t \rightarrow 0^{+}$with $t^{\prime}$ or $t$ fixed (see hypothesis $H(U)(2)$ ).

Furthermore, we have: if $t \in\left[0, \frac{b}{n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(G_{n}(t), H_{n}(t)\right) & =h\left(p(t), p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) \\
& \leqslant\left|\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right| \\
& \leqslant M \int_{0}^{t} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s \leqslant M \int_{0}^{\frac{h}{n}} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s=\gamma_{1}(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $t \in\left[\frac{b}{n}, b\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(G_{n}(t), H_{n}(t)\right) \\
& =h\left(p(t)+\int_{0}^{t-\frac{b}{n}} U\left(t-\frac{b}{n}, s\right) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right. \\
& \left.\quad p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) \\
& < \\
& \quad\left|\int_{t-\frac{b}{n}}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right| \\
& \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t-\frac{b}{n}} h\left(U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right), U\left(t-\frac{b}{n}, s\right) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant M \int_{t-\frac{b}{n}}^{t} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{t-\frac{b}{n}}\left\|U(t, s)-U\left(t-\frac{b}{n}, s\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leqslant M \int_{t-\frac{b}{n}}^{t} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s+\eta\left(t, t-\frac{b}{n}\right)=\gamma_{2}(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for all $t \in T$, we have

$$
h\left(G_{n}(t), H_{n}(t)\right)=\gamma(n)=\max \left[\gamma_{1}(n), \gamma_{2}(n)\right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Next let $V_{n}(t)=\bigcup_{m \geqslant n} G_{n}(T)$ and $W_{n}(t)=\bigcup_{m \geqslant n} H_{n}(t)$. Since

$$
\beta\left(G_{m}(t)\right)=\beta\left(H_{m}(t)\right)=0
$$

for $m \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, we have that for all $n \geqslant 1$

$$
\beta\left(V_{n}(t)\right)=\beta\left(V_{1}(t)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta\left(W_{n}(t)\right)=\beta\left(W_{1}(t)\right)
$$

From the properties of $\beta(\cdot)$ (see Banas-Goebel [1], p. 21 and section 2) we have

$$
\left|\beta\left(W_{1}(t)\right)-\beta\left(V_{1}(t)\right)\right| \leqslant \gamma(n) \quad \text { for all } n \geqslant 1
$$

Since $\gamma(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\beta\left(W_{1}(t)\right)=\beta\left(V_{1}(t)\right) \quad \text { for all } t \in T
$$

Using once again the Lipschitz continuity of $\beta(\cdot)$ with respect to the Hausdorff metric, we get for $t, t^{\prime} \in T, t<t^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\beta\left(W_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)-\beta\left(W_{1}(t)\right)\right| \leqslant \theta\left(t^{\prime}, t\right) \\
\Longrightarrow & \left|\beta\left(V_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)-\beta\left(V_{1}(t)\right)\right| \leqslant \theta\left(t^{\prime}, t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(i.e. both $t \rightarrow V_{1}(t)$ and $t \rightarrow W_{1}(t)$ are continuous functions on $T$ ). Then we have:

$$
\beta\left(V_{1}(t)\right)=\beta\left(W_{1}(t)\right) \leqslant \beta\left[\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, V_{1}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right]
$$

Let $u_{k}: T \rightarrow X, k \geqslant 1$, be measurable function s.t. $V_{1}(s)={\overline{\left\{u_{k}(s)\right\}}}_{k \geqslant 1}$ for all $s \in T$ (their exitence follows from the measurability of $V_{1}(\cdot) ;$ see section 2). Then $F\left(s, V_{1}(s)\right)=\bigcup_{k \geqslant 1} F\left(s, u_{k}(s)\right)$ and for each $k \geqslant 1, s \rightarrow F\left(s, u_{k}(s)\right)$ is measurable (cf.
hypothesis $H(F)$ ). So $s \rightarrow F\left(s, V_{1}(s)\right)$ is measurable (see propostion 2.3 (i) of Himmelberg [10]) $\Longrightarrow s \rightarrow \overline{\text { conv }} F\left(s, V_{1}(s)\right)$ is measurable (see theorem 9.1 of Himmelberg
 Then $U(t, s) \overline{\text { conv }} F\left(s, V_{1}(s)\right)=U(t, s){\left.\overline{\left\{v_{k}(s)\right.}\right\}_{k \geqslant 1}}^{=\left\{U(t, s) v_{k}(s)\right\}_{k \geqslant 1}}$. So applying lemma 2.2 of Kisielewicz [12] (see also Heinz [8], theorem 3.1 and Mönch [15], proposition 1.6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta\left(V_{1}(t)\right) & \leqslant \beta\left[\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) v_{k}(s) \mathrm{d} s: k \geqslant 1\right] \\
& \leqslant M \int_{0}^{t} \beta\left(v_{k}(s): k \geqslant 1\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leqslant M \int_{0}^{t} \beta\left(\overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, V_{1}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s=M \int_{0}^{t} \beta\left(F\left(s, V_{1}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leqslant M \int_{0}^{t} k(s) \beta\left(V_{1}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Invoking Gronwall's inequality, we get that

$$
\beta\left(V_{1}(t)\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } t \in T
$$

Next note that for every $n \geqslant 1$ and every $t \in T$, we have:

$$
G_{n}(t) \subseteq \hat{V}_{1}(t)
$$

where $\hat{V}_{1}(t)=\overline{\text { conv }}\left[V_{1}(t) \cup\left(-V_{1}(t)\right)\right]$. From Mazur's theorem $\hat{V}_{1}(t) \in P_{k c}(X)$ and is symmetric. Let $\lambda_{n}(t)(\cdot)=\sigma\left(\cdot, G_{n}(t)\right)$ and $\mu(t)(\cdot)=\sigma\left(\cdot, \hat{V}_{1}(t)\right)$. Recall (see section 2) that for all $n \geqslant 1$ and all $t \in T, \lambda_{n}(t)(\cdot), \mu(t)(\cdot) \in C\left(B_{w^{*}}^{*}\right)$, with $B_{w^{*}}^{*}$ being the unit ball of $X^{*}$ equipped with the relative $w^{*}$-topology (hence $B_{w^{*}}^{*}$ is compact metrizable). Note that for $t, t^{\prime} \in T, t<t^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\lambda_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)-\lambda_{n}(t)\right\|_{C\left(B_{w *}^{*}\right)} & =\sup _{\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leqslant 1}\left|\sigma\left(x^{*}, G_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)-\sigma\left(x^{*}, G_{n}(t)\right)\right| \\
& =h\left(G_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right), G_{n}(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that if $t \leqslant \frac{b}{n} \leqslant t^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(G_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right), G_{n}(t)\right) & =h\left(p\left(t^{\prime}\right)+\int_{0}^{t^{\prime}-\frac{b}{n}} U\left(t^{\prime}-\frac{b}{n}, s\right) \overline{\operatorname{conv}}(F(s, G(s))) \mathrm{d} s, p(t)\right) \\
& \leqslant\left\|p\left(t^{\prime}\right)-p(t)\right\|+M \int_{0}^{t^{\prime}-t} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } \frac{b}{n} \leqslant t \leqslant t^{\prime}, \\
& h\left(G_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right), G_{n}(t)\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant\left\|p\left(t^{\prime}\right)-p(t)\right\|+M \int_{t-\frac{b}{n}}^{t^{\prime}-\frac{b}{n}} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{t-\frac{b}{n}}\left\|U\left(t^{\prime}-\frac{b}{n}, s\right)-U\left(t-\frac{b}{n}, s\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \quad=\left\|p\left(t^{\prime}\right)-p(t)\right\|+M \int_{t-\frac{b}{n}}^{t^{\prime}-\frac{b}{n}} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s+\eta\left(t^{\prime}-\frac{b}{n}, t-\frac{b}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and this by virtue of hypothesis $H(U)(2)$, implies that $\left\{t \rightarrow \lambda_{n}(t)(\cdot)\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is equicontinuous in $C\left(T, C\left(B_{w^{*}}^{*}\right)\right)$.

Also for every $t \in T$, and for every $x^{*}, z^{*} \in B^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda_{n}(t)\left(x^{*}\right)-\lambda_{n}(t)\left(Z^{*}\right)\right| & =\max \left[\lambda_{n}(t)\left(x^{*}-z^{*}\right), \lambda_{n}(t)\left(z^{*}-x^{*}\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant \mu(t)\left(x^{*}-z^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Longrightarrow\left\{\lambda_{n}(t)(\cdot)\right\}_{n \geqslant 1} \quad$ is equicontinuous on $C\left(B_{w^{*}}^{*}\right)$.
Hence from the Arzèla-Ascoli theorem, we get that for all $t \in T, \overline{\left\{\lambda_{n}(t)(\cdot)\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}^{C\left(B_{w}^{*} \cdot\right)}}$ is compact in $C\left(B_{w^{*}}^{*}\right)$. A new application of the Arzèla-Ascoli theorem, this time in the space $C\left(T, C\left(B_{w^{*}}^{*}\right)\right)$, tells us that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is relatively in $C\left(T, C\left(B_{w^{*}}^{*}\right)\right)$. So passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda$ in $C\left(T, C\left(B_{w^{*}}^{*}\right)\right)$. Clearly for every $t \in T, \lambda(t)(\cdot) \in C\left(B_{w^{*}}^{*}\right)$ is sublinear. Thus there exists $G(t) \in P_{k c}(X)$ s.t. $\sigma\left(x^{*}, B(t)\right)=\lambda(t)\left(x^{*}\right), x^{*} \in X^{*}$. Since $t \rightarrow \lambda(t)(\cdot)$ belongs in $C\left(T, C\left(B_{w^{*}}^{*}\right)\right)$, we get that $t \rightarrow G(t)$ is $h$-continuous from $T$ into $P_{k c}(X)$ and in fact $G_{n}(t) \xrightarrow{h} G(t)$ for all $t \in T$, hence $H_{n}(t) \xrightarrow{h} G(t)$ for all $t \in T$.

Now for every $x^{*} \in X^{*}$, we have

$$
\sigma\left(x^{*}, F\left(t, G_{n}(t)\right)\right)=\sigma\left(x^{*}, \bigcup_{x \in G_{n}(t)} F(t, x)\right)=\sup _{x \in G_{n}(t)} \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(t, x)\right)
$$

Since $G_{n}(t) \in P_{k c}(X)$ and $F(t, \cdot)$ is $h$-continuous (hence $x \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(t, x)\right)$ is continuous), we can find $x_{n} \in G_{n}(t)$ s.t.

$$
\sigma\left(x^{*}, F\left(t, G_{n}(t)\right)\right)=\sigma\left(x^{*}, F\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Similarly for $G(t) \in P_{k c}(X)$. Therefore we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\overline{\operatorname{conv}} F & \left.\left(t, G_{n}(t)\right), \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F(t, G(t))\right) \\
& =\sup _{\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leqslant 1}\left|\sigma\left(x^{*}, F\left(t, G_{n}(t)\right)\right)-\sigma\left(x^{*}, F(t, G(t))\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\sigma\left(\cdot, F\left(t, G_{n}(t)\right)\right)-\sigma(\cdot, F(t, G(t))) \in C\left(B_{w^{*}}^{*}\right)$ and so we can find $x_{n}^{*} \in B^{*}$ such that

$$
h\left(\overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(t, G_{n}(t)\right), \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F(t, G(t))\right)=\left|\sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}, F\left(t, G_{n}(t)\right)\right)-\sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}, F(t, G(t))\right)\right|
$$

Also by what was said above, we can find $v_{n} \in G_{n}(t), w_{n} \in G(t)$ s.t.

$$
\sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}, F\left(t, G_{n}(t)\right)\right)=\sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}, F\left(t, v_{n}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}, F(t, G(t))\right)=\sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}, F\left(t, w_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Recall that $G_{n}(t), G(t) \subseteq V_{1}(t) \in P_{k}(X)$. So by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$
x_{n}^{*} \xrightarrow{w} x^{*} \text { in } X^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{n} \xrightarrow{s} v, w_{n} \xrightarrow{s} w \text { in } X .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}, F\left(t, v_{n}\right)\right)-\sigma\left(x^{*} F(t, v)\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant\left|\sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}, F\left(t, v_{n}\right)\right)-\sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}, F(t, v)\right)\right|+\left|\sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}, F(t, v)\right)-\sigma\left(x^{*}, F(t, v)\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant h\left(F\left(t, v_{n}\right), F(t, v)\right)+\sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}-x^{*}, \hat{V}_{1}(t)\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\sigma\left(\cdot, \hat{V}_{1}(t)\right)$ is continuous on bounded subsets of $X^{*}$ endowed with the relative $w^{*}$-topology. Similarly, we get $\sigma\left(x_{n}^{*}, F\left(t, w_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, F(t, w)\right)$. Therefore finally we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(t, G_{n}(t)\right) \xrightarrow{h} \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F(t, G(t)) \quad \text { in } P_{k c}(X) \\
\Longrightarrow U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \xrightarrow{h} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F(s, G(s)) \text { in } P_{k c}(X)(t \geqslant s \geqslant 0) .
\end{gathered}
$$

So using theorem 3.5 of Papageoriou [18] (or even the Radström embedding theorem), we get

$$
p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \xrightarrow{h} p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

in $P_{k c}(X)$

$$
\Longrightarrow G(t)=p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \overline{\overline{\text { conv }}} F\left(s, G_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s, t \in T
$$

and $t \rightarrow G(t)$ is $h$-continuous from $T$ into $P_{k c}(X)$.
Now let $\Gamma \subseteq L^{1}(X)$ be defined by

$$
\Gamma=\left\{f \in L^{1}(X): f(t) \in \overline{\overline{c o n v}} F(t, G(t)) \text { a.e. }\right\}
$$

and $E \subseteq C(T, X)$ by

$$
E=\left\{y \in C(T, X): y(t)=p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f(s) \mathrm{d} s, t \in T, f \in \Gamma\right\}
$$

A straightforward application of the Arzèla-Ascoli theorem, shows that $E \in$ $P_{k c}(C(T, X))$. Let $R: E \rightarrow 2^{L^{1}(X)} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$ be defined by

$$
R(x)=S_{F(\cdot, x(\cdot))}^{1}
$$

Apply theorem 1.1 of Tolstonogov [27], to get $r: E \rightarrow L_{w}^{1}(X)$ continuous s.t. $r(y) \in \operatorname{ext} R(y)$ for all $y \in E$. But from Benamara [2], we know that $\operatorname{ext} R(y)=$ $\exp S_{F(\cdot, y(\cdot))}^{1}=S_{\text {ext } F(\cdot, y(\cdot))}^{1}$. Let $\xi: E \rightarrow C(T, X)$ be defined by

$$
\xi(x)(t)=p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) r(x) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Note that from the definitions of the sets $\Gamma$ and $E$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
x(t) \in G(t) \quad \text { for all } t \in T \\
\Longrightarrow r(x)(\cdot) \in \Gamma \\
\Longrightarrow \xi(x) \in E \text {; i.e. } \xi: E \rightarrow E .
\end{gathered}
$$

We claim that $\xi(\cdot)$ is continuous. Indeed let $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $E$. Then since $r\left(x_{n}\right)$, $r(x) \in S_{\hat{V}_{1}(\cdot)}^{1}$ and $\hat{V}_{1}(\cdot)$ is $P_{k c}(X)$-valued and integrably bounded, we have that $r\left(x_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{w} r(x)$ in $L^{1}(X)$ (see Schechter [24]). So for every $t \in T$

$$
\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) r\left(x_{n}\right)(s) \mathrm{d} s \xrightarrow{w} \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) r(x)(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Set $q_{n}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) r\left(x_{n}\right)(s) \mathrm{d} s, q(t)=\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) r(x)(s) \mathrm{d} s, g_{n}, q \in C(T, X)$. Using hypothesis $H(U)(2)$, we can easily check that $\left\{q_{n}(\cdot)\right\}_{n \geqslant 1} \subseteq C(T, X)$ is equicontinuous and for all $t \in T, q_{n}(t) \in \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \hat{V}_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s \in P_{k c}(X)$. So by the ArzèlaAscoli theorem, $\left\{q_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is relatively compact $C(T, X)$, hence $q_{n} \rightarrow q$ in $C(T, X)$. Therefore $\xi\left(x_{n}\right)=p+q_{n} \rightarrow \xi(x)=p+q$ in $C(T, X) \Longrightarrow \xi(\cdot)$ is indeed continuous. Apply Schauder's fixed point theorem to get $x \in E$ s.t. $\xi(x)=x$. Clearly $x \in C(T, X)$ is the desired solution of (2).

## 4. A density result

Let $S$ and $S_{e}$ be the solution sets of (1) and (2) respectively. In this section we show that we can approximate, with arbitrary degree of accuracy, elements in $S$ using those in $S_{e}$. We already konw (see [22]), that under hypotheses $H(F), H(U)$ and $H(p), S$ is a nonempty, compact subset of $C(T, X)$ (in fact, hypothesis $H(F)$ can be weakened further for establishing that). Here we will need the following stronger hypothesis on the orientor field:
$H(F)_{1}: F: T \times X \rightarrow P_{f c}(X)$ is a multifunction s.t.
(1) $t \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable,
(2) $h(F(t, y), F(t, x)) \leqslant \ell(t)\|x-y\|$ a.e. with $\ell(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$,
(3) $\beta(F(t, B)) \leqslant k(t) \beta(B)$ a.e. for all $B \subseteq X$ nonempty bounded and with $k(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$,
(4) $|F(t, x)| \leqslant a(t)+c(t)\|x\|$ a.e. with $a(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$.

Remark. Again, because of $H(F)_{1}$ (3) and by modifying, if necessary, the orientor field on a Lebesgue null subset of $T$, we can assume without any loss of generality that $F(t, x) \in P_{k c}(X)$ for all $(t, x) \in T \times X$.

Theorem 2. If hypotheses $H(F)_{1}, H(U)$ and $H(p)$ hold, then $\bar{S}_{e}=S$ the closure taken in $C(T, X)$.

Proof. Let $x \in S$. Then by definition, we have

$$
x(t)=p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) f(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

for all $t \in T$ and with $f \in L^{1}(X), f(x) \in F(s, x(s))$ a.e. Let $E \subseteq C(T, X)$ be as in the proof of theorem 1. Given $y \in E$ and $\varepsilon>0$, let $H: T \rightarrow 2^{X} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$ be defined by

$$
H(t)=\{u \in X:\|f(t)-u\|<\varepsilon+d(f(t), F(t, y(t))), u \in F(t, y(t))\}
$$

Using hypothesis $H(F)_{1}$, we can easily check that $\operatorname{Gr} H \in \mathcal{L}(T) \times B(X)$, with $\mathcal{L}(T)$ being the Lebesgue $\sigma$-field of $T$ (i.e. the Lebesgue completion of the Borel $\sigma$-field $B(T)$ ). Applying Aumann's selection theorem, we can get $u: T \rightarrow X$ measurable s.t. for all $t \in T, u(t) \in H(t)$. Let $\Phi: E \rightarrow 2^{L^{1}(X)} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$ be defined by

$$
\Phi(y)=\left\{u \in S_{F(\cdot, y(\cdot))}^{1}:\|f(t)-u(t)\|<\varepsilon+d(f(t), F(t, y(t))) \text { a.e. }\right\} .
$$

From proposition 4 of Bressan-Colombo [4], we know that $\Phi(\cdot)$ is l.s.c. and has decomposable values (i.e. if $A \subseteq T$ is measurable and $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \Phi(y)$, then $\chi_{A} u_{1}+$
$\left.\chi_{A^{c}} u_{2} \in \Phi(y)\right)$. Hence $y \rightarrow \overline{\Phi(y)}$ is l.s.c. and has decomposable values. Apply theorem 3 of Bressan-Colombo [4], to get $u_{\varepsilon}: E \rightarrow L^{1}(X)$ a continuous function s.t. $u_{\varepsilon}(y) \in \overline{\Phi(y)}$ for all $y \in E$. Hence $\left\|f(t)-u_{\varepsilon}(y)(t)\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon+d s(f(t), F(t, y(t))) \leqslant$ $\varepsilon+\ell(t)\|x(t)-y(t)\|$ a.e. Also apply theorem 1.1 of Tolstonogov [27], to get $v_{\varepsilon}$ : $E \rightarrow L_{w}^{1}(X)$ s.t. $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(z)-v_{\varepsilon}(z)\right\|_{w}<\varepsilon$ for all $z \in E$.

Now let $\varepsilon_{n} \downarrow 0$ and set $u_{n}=u_{\varepsilon_{n}}, v_{n}=v_{\varepsilon_{n}}$. Let $x_{n} \in E$ s.t. $\theta\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n}$. Their existence is guaranteed by Schauder's fixed point theorem (see the proof of theorem 1). Clearly $x_{n} \in S_{e}$. Recall that $E \subset C(T, X)$ is compact. So by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $x_{n} \rightarrow \hat{x}$ in $C(T, X)$. For every $x^{*} \in X^{*}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(x^{*}, x(t)-x_{n}(t)\right)\right| \leqslant & \left|\left(x^{*}, \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s)\left(f(s)-v_{n}\left(x_{n}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right| \\
= & \left|\left(x^{*}, \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s)\left(f(s)-u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right| \\
& +\left|\left(x^{*}, \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s)\left(u_{n}\left(x_{n}(s)-v_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right| \\
\leqslant & M\left\|x^{*}\right\| \int_{0}^{t}\left\|f(s)-u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)(s)\right\| \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\left|\int_{0}^{t}\left(x^{*}, U(t, s)\left(u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)(s)-v_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right| \\
\leqslant & M\left\|x^{*}\right\| \varepsilon_{n} b+M\left\|x^{*}\right\| \int_{0}^{t} \ell(s)\left\|x(s)-x_{n}(s)\right\| \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\left|\int_{0}^{t}\left(x^{*}, U(t, s)\left(u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)(s)-v_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

But by construction $u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)-v_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{w}} 0$ and since $u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)-v_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \in S_{\hat{V}_{1}}^{1}$ with $\hat{V}_{1}(\cdot) P_{k c}$-valued and integrably bounded $\Longrightarrow u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)-v_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in $L^{1}(X)$. So $\int_{0}^{t}\left(x^{*}, U(t, s)\left(u_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)(s)-v_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|x(t)-\hat{x}(t)\| \leqslant M\left\|x^{*}\right\| \int_{0}^{t} \ell(s)\|x(s)-\hat{x}(s)\| \mathrm{d} s \\
\Longrightarrow x=\hat{x} \quad \text { (Gronwall's inequality) }
\end{gathered}
$$

So $x=\lim x_{n}$ in $C(T, X)$ with $x_{n} \in S_{e}$. Therefore $S=\bar{S}_{e}^{C(T, X)}$.

## 5. Control systems

In this section, we use theorem 2 to obtain a bang-bang principle for controlled Volterra integral equations. So we consider the following two systems:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x(t)=p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s)[f(s, x(s))+B(s) u(s)] \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in T  \tag{3}\\
u(t) \in V(t) \text { a.e., } u(\cdot) \text {-measurable }
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
x(t)=p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s)[f(s, x(s))+B(s) u(s)] \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in T  \tag{4}\\
u(t) \in \operatorname{ext} V(t) \text { a.e., } u(\cdot) \text {-measurable. }
\end{gather*}
$$

These systems may correspond to controlled semilinear evolution equations, in which case $p(t)=U(t, 0) x_{0}$, with $x_{0} \in X$ (initial state) and $U(t, s)$ is the evolution operator, generated by a family $\{A(t): t \in T\}$ of generally unbounded, densely defined linear operators. We model the control space by a separable Banach space $Y$.

Let $S$ and $S_{e}$ be the trajectories of (3) and (4) respectively. Also $R(t)=\{x(t)$ : $x \in S\}$ and $R_{e}(t)=\left\{x(t): x \in S_{e}\right\}$ be the corresponding reachable sets at time $t \in T$. We will need the following hypotheses:
$H(f): f: T \times X \rightarrow X$ is a map s.t.
(1) $t \rightarrow f(t, x)$ is measurable,
(2) $\|f(t, x)-f(t, y)\| \leqslant \ell(t)\|x-y\|$ a.e. with $\ell(\cdot) \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$,
(3) $\|f(t, x)\| \leqslant a(t)+c(t)\|x\|$ a.e. with $a, c \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$.
$H(B): B: T \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ is measurable for the strong operator topology on $\mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ (i.e. for all $u \in Y, t \rightarrow B(t) u$ is measurable) and for every $t \in T, B(t)$ is a compact operator and $\|B(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leqslant k, k>0$.
$H(V): V: T \rightarrow P_{w k c}(Y)$ is a measurable multifunction s.t. $|V(t)| \leqslant N$ for all $t \in T$.

Theorem 3. If hypotheses $H(f), H(U), H(B), H(V)$ and $H(p)$ hold, then $S=$ $\bar{S}_{e}^{C(T, X)}$ and for all $t \in T, R(t)=\overline{R_{e}(t)}\|\cdot\|$.

Proof. Let $F: T \times X \rightarrow P_{k c}(X)$ be defined by

$$
F(t, x)=f(t, x)+B(t) V(t)
$$

Let $C \subseteq X$ be nonempty bounded. We have

$$
\beta(F(t, C)) \leqslant \beta(f(t, C))+\beta(B(t) V(t))
$$

Since by hypothesis $H(B), B(t)$ is compact, $B(t) V(t) \in P_{k c}(X)$ and so $\beta(B(t) V(t))=0$. Also from hypothesis $H(f)(2)$, we get $\beta(f(t, C)) \leqslant \ell(t) \beta(C)$ a.e. Therefore we get

$$
\beta(F(t, C)) \leqslant \ell(t) \beta(C) \text { a.e. }
$$

Let $v_{n}: T \rightarrow Y, n \geqslant 1$, be measurable functions s.t. $V(t)={\overline{\left\{v_{n}(t)\right\}_{n}}}_{n 1}$ for all $t \in T$. Then for every $x^{*} \in X^{*}, t \rightarrow \sigma\left(x^{*}, B(t) V(t)\right)=\sup _{n \geqslant 1} \sigma\left(x^{*}, B(t) v_{n}(t)\right)$ is measurable $\Longrightarrow t \rightarrow B(t) V(t)$ is measurable $\Longrightarrow t \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable.

Also if $x, y \in X$ and $z \in F(t, x)$, then by definition $z=f(t, x)+B(t) u, u \in V(t)$. So we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(z, F(t, y)) \leqslant\|f(t, x)-f(t, y)\| \leqslant \ell(t)\|x-y\| \\
& \quad \Longrightarrow h(F(t, x), F(t, y)) \leqslant \ell(t)\|x-y\| \text { a.e. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally because of hypothesis $H(f)$ (3) we get

$$
|f(t, x)| \leqslant a(t)+k N+c(t)\|x\| \text { a.e. }
$$

So we satisfied hypothesis $H(F)_{1}$. Then consider the following integral inclusions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \in p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) F(s, x(x)) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in T \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \in p(t)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \operatorname{ext} F(s, x(x)) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in T \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S^{1}$ be the solution set of (5) and $S_{e}^{1}$ the solution set of (6). A straightforward application of Aumann's selection theorem, gives us that $S=S^{1}$. On the other hand, since ext $B(t) V(t) \subseteq B(t) \operatorname{ext} V(t)$, we have that $S_{e}^{1} \subseteq S_{e}$. From theorem 2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\overline{S_{e}^{1}}}^{C(T, X)} & =S \\
\Rightarrow{\overline{S_{e}}}^{C(T, X)} & =S .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that the evaluation map $e_{t}: C(T, X) \rightarrow X$, defined by $e_{t}(x)=x(t)$ is continuous, we also get that $R(t)=\overline{R_{e}(t)}{ }^{\|} \cdot \|$ for all $t \in T$.

So if $J: C(T, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous cost functional and $m=\inf [J(x): x \in S]$, then given $\varepsilon>0$ we can find a bang-bang control $u \in S_{\text {ext } V(\cdot)}^{1}$ with corresponding trajectory $z_{\varepsilon}(\cdot) \in S_{e}$ s.t. $0 \leqslant J\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)-m \leqslant \varepsilon$. Bang-bang controls can be realized physically much easier than the other control functions.
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