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# ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE FOURTH ORDER 

JOZEF ROVDER

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we shall consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(i v)}+q(t) y^{\prime}+r(t) y=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q(t)$ and $r(t)$ are functions having continuous first derivatives on $[a, \infty)$. We shall investigate the behaviour of solutions of (1) as $t \rightarrow \infty$ when the ratios of certain powers of $q(t)$ and $r(t)$ are small (improper integrals on $[a, \infty)$ exist), unlike in other papers (e.g. [1], [2]), where $t^{2} q(t)$ and $t^{3} r(t)$ are supposed to be small, or $q(t)$ and $r(t)$ to approach a constant.

The method of proving our theorems lies in reducing equation (1) to the equivalent system of equations to which the following Coddington-Levinson theorem ([1], p. 92) will be applied.

Theorem 1. Let $A$ be a constant matrix with characteric roots $\tau_{i}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, all of which are distinct. Let the matrix $V(s)$ be differentiable and satisfy

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|V^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s<\infty
$$

and let $V(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Let the matrix $R(s)$ be integrable and

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}|R(s)| \mathrm{d} s<\infty
$$

Let the roots of $\operatorname{det}[A+V(s)-\tau E]=0$ be denoted by $\tau_{j}(s), j=1,2, \ldots, n$. For $a$ given $k$, let

$$
D_{k j}(s)=\operatorname{Re}\left[\tau_{k}(s)-\tau_{j}(s)\right] .
$$

Suppose all $j, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ fall into one of two classes $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, where

$$
j \in I_{1}, \text { if } \int_{0}^{s} D_{k j}(s) \mathrm{d} s \rightarrow \infty \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty \text { and }
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} D_{k j}(s) \mathrm{d} s>-K \quad\left(s_{2} \geqslant s_{1} \geqslant 0\right) \\
j \in I_{2}, \text { if } \int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} D_{k j}(s) \mathrm{d} s<K \quad\left(a_{2} \geqslant s_{1} \geqslant 0\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $k$ is fixed and where $K$ is a constant. Let $p_{k}$ be a characteristic vector of $A$ associated with $\tau_{k}$, so that

$$
A p_{k}=\tau_{k} p_{k}
$$

Then there is a solution $\varphi_{k}(s)$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=[A+V(s)+R(s)] x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a $s_{0}, 0 \leqslant s_{0}<\infty$, such that

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{k}(s) \exp \left[-\int_{s_{0}}^{s} \tau_{k}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta\right]=p_{k}
$$

If the hypothesis is satisfied for all $k, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, then $\varphi_{k}(s), k=1,2, \ldots, n$ form a fundamental system of (2).

The symbol $L[a, \infty)$ will refer to the set of all complexvalued functions which are Lebesque integrable on $[a, \infty)$.

The following theorem is needed.
Theorem 2. (Hinton [3]). Let $q(s)>0$ on $[0, \infty)$ and $q(s) / q^{1+1 / n}(s)$ be in $L[0, \infty)$ for $n=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then
(i) $q^{1 / n}(s)$ is not in $L[0, \infty)$
(ii) $\left[q^{\prime}(s) / q^{1+1 / n}(s)\right]^{\prime}$ is in $L[0, \infty)$
(iii) $\left[q^{\prime}(s) / q^{1+1 / 2 n}(s)\right]^{2}$ is in $L[0, \infty)$.

The system associated with (1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}=A(t) z \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and

$$
A(t)=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-r & -q & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

If in (3) we change the depedent variable $z$ by setting $w=T z$, where $T(t)$ is a diagonal and nonsingular matrix, and substitute it in (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}=\left[T(t) A(t) T^{-1}(t)+T^{\prime}(t) T^{-1}(t)\right] w . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form of (4) depends on the matrix $T(t)$. If $q(t) \neq 0$, we shall consider $T$ $=\operatorname{dia}\left[q, q^{2 / 3}, q^{1 / 3}, 1\right]$, and if $r(t) \neq 0$, then $T=\operatorname{dia}\left[|r|^{3 / 4},|r|^{1 / 2},|r|^{1 / 4}, 1\right]$.

## 2. Theorems

$$
\alpha . q(t)>0
$$

Theorem 3. If $q^{\prime \prime} / q^{4 / 3}, r^{2} / q^{7 / 3}$, and $r^{\prime} / q^{4 / 3}$ are in $L[a, \infty)$, then there are four linearly independent solutions $z_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$ of (3) and $t_{0} \geqslant a$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
T z_{1} q^{-1} \exp \left[\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{r(\delta)}{q(\delta)} \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{1} \\
T z_{k} q^{-1 / 3} \exp \left[-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \tau_{k} q^{1 / 3}+\frac{1}{3} \frac{r(\delta)}{q(\delta)} \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

$k=2,3,4$ and $\tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}=-1, t_{3,4}=\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i, p_{1}=(1,0,0,0)^{T}, p_{k}=\left(\bar{\tau}_{k}, 1, \tau_{k}\right.$, $\left.-\bar{\tau}_{k}\right)^{T}, k=2,3,4$.

Theorem 4. If $q^{\prime \prime} / q^{4 / 3}$ and $r / q$ are in $L[a, \infty)$, then there are linearly independent solutions $z_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$ of (3) and $t_{0} \geqslant a$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
T z_{1} q^{-1} \rightarrow p_{1} \\
T z_{k} q^{-1 / 3} \exp \left[-\tau_{k} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} q^{1 / 3}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}, \quad k=2,3,4
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\tau_{k}, p_{k}$ are the same as in Theorem 3.

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}(t)<0
$$

Theorem 5. If the hypotheses of Theorem 3 hold, then there are linearly independent solutions $z_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$ of (3) and $t_{0} \geqslant a$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
T z_{1} q^{-1} \exp \left[\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{r(\delta)}{q(\delta)} \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{1} \\
T z_{k} q^{-1 / 3} \exp \left[\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\tau_{k} q^{1 / 3}(\delta)-\frac{1}{3} \frac{r(\delta)}{q(\delta)}\right] \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $k=2,3,4$ and where $\tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}=1, \tau_{3,4}=-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i, p_{1}=(1,0,0,0,)^{T}, p_{k}$ $=\left(1, \tau_{k}, \tau_{k}^{2}, 1\right)^{T}$.

Theorem 6. If the hypotheses of Theorem 4 hold, then there exist four linearly independent solutions $z_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$ and $t_{0} \geqslant a$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
T z_{1} q^{-1} \rightarrow p_{1} \\
T z_{k} q^{-13} \exp \left[\tau_{k} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} q^{1 / 3}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\tau_{k}, p_{k}$ are the same as in Theorem 5.

$$
\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}(\boldsymbol{t})>0
$$

Theorem 7. If $q^{\prime} / r^{3.4}, r^{\prime \prime} / r^{54}$, and $q^{2} / r^{5 / 4}$ are in $L[a, \infty)$, then there are four linearly independent solutions $z_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$ of (3) and $t_{0} \geqslant a$ such that

$$
T z_{k} r^{3.8} \exp \left[-\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\tau_{k} r^{1 / 4}(\delta)+\frac{1}{4} \frac{q(\delta)}{r(\delta)} \tau_{k}^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}
$$

$k=1,2,3,4$, where $\tau_{k}$ are the roots of $\tau^{4}+1=0$ and $p_{k}=\left(1, \tau_{k}, \tau_{k}^{2}, \tau_{k}^{3}\right)^{T}$.
Theorem 8. If $r^{\prime \prime} / r^{54}$ and $q / r$ are in $L[a, \infty)$, then there are four linearly independent solutions $z_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$ of (3) and $t_{0} \geqslant a$ such that

$$
T z_{k} r^{38} \exp \left[-\tau_{k} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{1 / 4}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}, \quad k=1,2,3,4
$$

where $\tau_{k}$ and $p_{k}$ are the same as in Theorem 7.

$$
\boldsymbol{\delta} . \boldsymbol{r}(\boldsymbol{t})<0
$$

Theorem 9. If the hypotheses of Theorem 7 hold, then there are four linearly independent solutions $z_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$ of (3) and $t_{0} \geqslant a$ such that

$$
T z_{k}(-r)^{3 / 8} \exp \left[-\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\tau_{k}(-r)^{1 / 4}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{q}{r} \tau_{k}^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}
$$

where $\tau_{k}$ are the roots of $\tau^{4}-1=0$, and $p_{k}=\left(1, \tau_{k}, \tau_{k}^{2}, \tau_{k}^{3}\right)^{T}$.
Theorem 10. If the hypotheses of Theorem 8 hold, then there are four linearly independent solutions $z_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$ of (3) and $t_{0} \geqslant a$ such that

$$
T z_{k}(-r)^{3 / 8} \exp \left[-\tau_{k} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}[-r(\delta)]^{1 / 4} \mathrm{~d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}
$$

where $\tau_{k}, p_{k}$ are the same as in Theorem 9.

## 3. Corollaries and examples

Corollary 1. If $q^{\prime \prime} / q^{4 / 3}, r / q$ are in $L[a, \infty)$ and $q \neq 0$, then there exists a solution $y(x)$ of (1) in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
y(x)=\left[c_{1}+c_{2} q^{-2 / 3} \exp \left(-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} q^{1 / 3} \mathrm{~d} \delta\right)+q^{-2 / 3} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} q^{1 / 3} \mathrm{~d} \delta\right) \cdot\right. \\
\cdot\left(c_{3} \cos \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} q^{1 / 3} d \delta+c_{4} \sin \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} q^{1 / 3} \mathrm{~d} \delta\right] \cdot[1+o(1)]
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 2. If $r^{\prime \prime} / r^{5 / 4}, q / r$ are in $L[a, \infty)$ and $r \neq 0$, then there exists a solution $y(x)$ of (1) in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
y(x)=r^{-9 / 8}\left[\operatorname { e x p } ( \frac { \sqrt { 2 } } { 2 } \int _ { t _ { 0 } } ^ { t } r ^ { 1 / 4 } \mathrm { d } \delta ) \left(c_{1} \cos \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{1 / 4} \mathrm{~d} \delta+\right.\right. \\
\left.\quad+c_{2} \sin \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{1 / 4} \mathrm{~d} \delta\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{r}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{~d} \delta\right) \\
\left.\cdot\left(c_{3} \cos \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{1 / 4} \mathrm{~d} \delta+c_{4} \sin \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{1 / 4} \mathrm{~d} \delta\right)\right][1+o(1)]
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

if $r(t)>0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(x)= & (-r)^{-9 / 8}\left[c_{1} \exp \left(-\int_{t_{0}}^{t}(-\mathrm{r})^{1 / 4} \mathrm{~d} \delta\right)+c_{2} \exp \left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}(-\mathrm{r})^{1 / 4} \mathrm{~d} \delta\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+c_{3} \cos \int_{t_{0}}^{t}(-r)^{1 / 4} \mathrm{~d} \delta+c_{4} \sin \int_{t_{0}}^{t}(-r)^{1 / 4} \mathrm{~d} \delta\right][1+o(1)]
\end{aligned}
$$

if $r(t)<0$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ are arbitrary numbers.
Example 1. Let $r(t)$ be in $L[b, \infty)$ and $a$ be an arbitrary nonzero number. Then the differential equation

$$
y^{(i v)}+a^{4} y^{\prime}+r(t) y=0
$$

satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 1, and therefore its solution is

$$
y(x)=\left[c_{1}+c_{2} e^{-a t}+c_{3} e^{\frac{1}{2 a t}} \cos \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a t+c_{4} e^{\frac{1}{2} a t} \sin \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a t\right] \cdot[1+o(1)]
$$

Example 2. If $t^{\alpha} q$ is in $L[b, \infty), \alpha<4$, and $a$ is an arbitrary nonzero number, then equation

$$
y^{(i v)}+q(t) y^{\prime}+\frac{a}{t^{\alpha}} y=0
$$

satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 2, and therefore its solution is in the form of the above Corollary.

## 4. Proofs of theorems

We begin the proofs of theorems with two lemas.
Lema 1. Let $q(t)>0$ on $[a, \infty)$ and $\int_{a}^{\infty} q^{13} \mathrm{~d} t=\infty$. Let $T(t)=\operatorname{dia}\left[q(t), q^{23}(t)\right.$, $\left.q^{13}(t), 1\right]$. If we make the change of variable $s=\omega(t)=\int_{a}^{t} q^{13}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta$ in (4), then it leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(s)=\left[A_{0}+A_{1} f(s)+A_{2} g(s)\right] x(s) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x(s)=w(\alpha(s)), f(s)=r(\alpha(s)) / q^{43}(\alpha(s)), g(s)=q^{\prime}(\alpha(s)) / q^{43}(\alpha(s))$, $\alpha(s)$ is an inverse function of $s=\omega(t)$ and

$$
A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 / 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proof. First at all we see that if we put $T=$ dia $\left[q, q^{23}, q^{13}, 1\right]$ in (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
T A T^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & q^{1 / 3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & q^{1 / 3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & q^{1 / 3} \\
-r q^{-1} & -q^{1^{\prime 3}} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=A_{0} q^{1^{/ 3}+A_{1} r / q} \\
T^{\prime} T^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
q^{\prime} q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & (2 / 3) q^{\prime} q^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & (1 / 3) q^{\prime} q^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=A_{2} q^{\prime} q^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and the equation (4) will have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t)=\left(A_{0} q^{1 / 3}(t)+A_{1} \frac{r(t)}{q(t)}+A_{2} \frac{q^{\prime}(t)}{q(t)}\right) w(t) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $s=\omega(t)=\int_{a}^{t} q^{1 / 3}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta$ has a derivative $\omega^{\prime}(t)=q^{1 / 3}(t)>0$, hence it increases on $[a, \infty)$. This means that $s=\omega(t)$ has an inverse function $t=\alpha(s)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$, since $\int_{a}^{\infty} q^{1 / 3}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty$. Putting $t=\alpha(s)$ into (6) we get

$$
w^{\prime}(\alpha(s)) q^{1 / 3}(\alpha(s))=\left[A_{0} q^{1 / 3}(\alpha(s))+A_{1} \frac{r(\alpha(s))}{q(\alpha(s))}+A_{2} \frac{q^{\prime}(\alpha(s))}{q(\alpha(s))}\right] w(\alpha(s))
$$

Consequently, if the last equation is divided by $q^{1 / 3}(\alpha(s))$, we obtain (5).
Similarly we can prove the following lema

Lema 2. Let $r(t)>0$ on $[a, \infty)$ and $\int_{a}^{\infty} r^{1 / 4}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty$. Let $T(t)=\operatorname{dia}\left[r^{3 / 4}(t)\right.$, $\left.r^{12}(t), r^{14}(t), 1\right]$. Then making the change of the variable $s=\omega(t)=\int_{a}^{t} r^{1 / 4}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta$ in (4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(s)=\left[B_{0}+B_{1} h(s)+B_{2} k(s)\right] x(s), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x(s)=w(\alpha(s)), h(s)=q / r^{3 / 4}(\alpha(s)), k(s)=r^{\prime} / r^{5 / 4}(\alpha(s)), \alpha(s)$ is an inverse function of $s=\omega(t)$ and

$$
B_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad B_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
3 / 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 / 4 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proof of Theorem 3. We show that all hypotheses of Theorem 1 are fulfilled for the equation (5). The characteristic equation of $A_{0}$ is $\tau^{4}+\tau=0$ so the characteristic roots $\tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}=-1, \tau_{3,4}=\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i$ of $A_{0}$ are distinct. The vectors $p_{1}=(1,0,0,0)^{T}, p_{k}=\left(\bar{\tau}_{k}, 1, \tau_{k}, \bar{\tau}_{k}\right)^{T}, k=2,3,4$ are characteristic vectors of $A_{0}$ coresponding to $\tau_{k}$.

Denote $V(s)=A_{1} f(s)+A_{2} g(s)$, i.e. $R(s)=0$ in Theorem 1. In order to be $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|V^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s<\infty$ it is sufficient to prove that $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s<\infty$ and $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|g^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s<$ $\infty$. In both integrals we put $\alpha(s)=t$. Then from the definition of the functions $f(s)$ and $g(s)$ there follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\left[r(\alpha(s)) / q^{4 / 3}(\alpha(s))\right]^{\prime}\right| \mathrm{d} s \leqslant \\
\leqslant \\
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\left[r^{\prime}(\alpha(s)) q^{4 / 3}(\alpha(s)) \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right] /\left[q^{4 / 3}(\alpha(s))\right]^{2}\right| \mathrm{d} s+ \\
+\frac{4}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\left[q^{1 / 3}(\alpha(s)) q^{\prime}(\alpha(s)) r(\alpha(s)) \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right] /\left[q^{4 / 3}(\alpha(s))\right]^{2}\right| \mathrm{d} s= \\
= \\
\int_{a}^{\infty}\left|r^{\prime}(t) / q^{4 / 3}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t+\frac{4}{3} \int_{a}^{\infty}\left|\left[r(t) q^{\prime}(t)\right] / q^{7 / 3}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t .
\end{gathered}
$$

The first integral is in $L[a, \infty)$ by hypothesis. By applying the Cauchy inequality to the second integral we get

$$
\int_{a}^{\infty}\left|\left[r(t) q^{\prime}(t)\right] / q^{7 / 3}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t=\int_{a}^{\infty}\left|r(t) / q^{7 / 6}\right| \cdot\left|q^{\prime}(t) / q^{7 / 6}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \leqslant
$$

$$
\leqslant\left[\int_{a}^{\infty}\left|r^{2}(t) / q^{7 / 3}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t\right]^{1 / 2} \cdot\left[\int_{a}^{\infty}\left|q^{\prime}(t) / q^{7 / 6}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

From Theorem 2 it follows that if $q^{\prime \prime} / q^{4 / 3}$ is in $L[a, \infty)$, then $\left[q^{\prime} / q^{7 / 6}\right]^{2}$ is in $L[a, \infty)$ and hence both above integrals are in $L[a, \infty)$. Therefore $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s<\infty$.

Similarly, from Theorem 2 it follows that $\left[q^{\prime} / q^{4 / 3}\right]^{\prime}$ is in $L[a, \infty)$ and so $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|g^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s<\infty$. Consequently $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|V^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s<\infty$.

From Theorem 2 we also get

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f^{2}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\left[r(\alpha(s)) / q^{4 / 3}(\alpha(s))\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} s=\int_{a}^{\infty}\right| r^{2}(t) / q^{7 / 3}(t) \mid \mathrm{d} t<\infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|g^{2}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s=\int_{a}^{\infty}\left|\left[q^{\prime}(t) / q^{4 / 3}(t)\right]^{2} q^{1 / 3}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t= \\
=\int_{a}^{\infty}\left|\left[q^{\prime}(t) / q^{7 / 6}(t)\right]^{2}\right| \mathrm{d} t<\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $g^{\prime}(s)$ and $g^{2}(s)$ are in $L[0, \infty)$, then $g(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly we obtain $f(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, and therefore $V(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us calculate the characteristic roots of

$$
A_{0}+V(s)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
g(s) & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & (2 / 3) g(s) & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & (1 / 3) g(s) & 1 \\
-f(s) & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The characteristic equation of $A_{0}+V(s)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\tau) \equiv \tau^{4}-2 g \tau^{3}+\frac{11}{9} g^{2} \tau^{2}+\left(1-\frac{2}{9} g^{3}\right) \tau+f-g=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f(s), g(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, we get that $P(\tau) \rightarrow \tau^{4}+\tau$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the roots of (9) converge to the roots of $\tau^{4}+\tau=0$. Thus we may write for $s \in[0, \infty)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(s)=\tau+\delta(s) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.
In order to find whether the hypothesis of Theorem 1 is fulfilled we show that the function $\delta(s)$ may be written as a sum

$$
\delta(s)=\beta(s)+\gamma(s),
$$

where $\beta(s)$ and $\gamma(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and $\gamma(s)$ is in $L[0, \infty)$. Substituting $\tau(s)$ $=\tau+\beta(s)+\gamma(s)$ into (9), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
P[\tau+\beta(s)+\gamma(s)]= \\
=\gamma^{4}+[4(\tau+\beta)-2 g] \gamma^{3}+\left[6(\tau+\beta)^{2}-6 g(\tau+\beta)+\frac{11}{9} g^{2}\right] \gamma^{2}+ \\
+\left[4(\tau+\beta)^{3}-6 g(\tau+\beta)^{2}+\frac{22}{9} g^{2}(\tau+\beta)+\left(1-\frac{2}{9} g^{3}\right)\right] \gamma+P(\tau+\beta), \\
P(\tau+\beta)=\beta^{4}+(4 \tau-2 g) \beta^{3}+  \tag{11}\\
+\left(6 \tau^{2}-6 g \tau+\frac{11}{9} g^{2}\right) \beta^{2}+\left(4 \tau^{3}-6 g \tau^{2}+\frac{22}{9} g^{2} \tau+1-\frac{2}{9} g^{3}\right] \beta-2 g \tau^{3}+ \\
+\frac{11}{9} g^{2} \tau^{2}-\frac{2}{9} g^{3} \tau+f-g
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the equation (9) may be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma\left\{\gamma^{3}+[4(\tau+\beta)-2 g] \gamma^{2}+\left[6(\tau+\beta)^{2}-6 g(\tau+\beta)+\frac{11}{9} g^{2}\right] \gamma+\right.  \tag{12}\\
\left.+4(\tau+\beta)^{3}-6 g(\tau+\beta)^{2}+\frac{22}{9} g^{2}(\tau+\beta)+\left(1-\frac{2}{9} g^{3}\right)\right\}=-P(\tau+\beta)
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us denote the expression in the complex bracket as $A(s)$. Since $f, g, \beta, \gamma \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} A(s)=4 \tau^{3}+1
$$

Thus for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a number $s^{\prime} \in[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\left|A(s)-\left(4 \tau^{3}+1\right)\right|<\varepsilon \quad \text { for } s \in\left[s^{\prime} \infty\right)
$$

from which it follows that $|A(s)|>\left|4 \tau^{2}+1\right|-\varepsilon \geqslant 1-\varepsilon$. (If $\tau_{1}=0$, then $\left|4 \tau_{1}^{3}+1\right|=1$, for other values of $\tau_{k}$ there is $\left|4 \tau_{k}^{3}+1\right|=3$.) For $\varepsilon=1 / 2$ we have $\mid A(s) \geqslant 1 / 2$ on $\left[s^{\prime}, \infty\right)$.

From (12) it follows that

$$
\left.\left|P(\tau+\beta)=|\gamma A(s)| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\right| \gamma(s) \right\rvert\,
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\gamma(s)| \leqslant 2|P(\tau+\beta(s))| \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we get that $\gamma(s)$ is in $L[0, \infty)$ if $P(\tau+\beta(s))$ is in $L[0, \infty)$.

Choose $\beta$ in (11) such that

$$
4 \tau^{3} \beta+\beta-2 g \tau^{3}+f-g=0
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(s)=\frac{2 g(s) \tau^{3}+g(s)-f(s)}{4 \tau^{3}+1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(\tau+\beta)=\beta^{4}+[4 \tau-2 g] \beta^{3}+\left[6 \tau^{2}-6 g \tau+\frac{11}{9} g^{2}\right] \beta^{2}+  \tag{15}\\
\quad+\left[-6 g \tau^{3}+\frac{22}{9} g^{2} \tau-\frac{2}{9} g^{3}\right] \beta+\frac{11}{9} g^{2} \tau^{2}-\frac{2}{9} g^{3} \tau
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting $\beta(s)$ from (14) into (15) we get that each term of $P(\tau+\beta)$ contains $f^{2}$ or $g^{2}$ or $f g$. Since $f^{2}$ and $g^{2}$ are in $L[0, \infty)$, then $f g$ is in $L[0, \infty)$ too and consequently $P(\tau+\beta)$ is in $L[0, \infty)$. Hence from (13) it follows that $\gamma(s)$ is in $L[0, \infty)$.
From the above we get that the roots $\tau_{k}(s)$ of $P(\tau)=0$ may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{k}(s)=\tau_{k}+\frac{2 g(s) \tau_{k}^{3}+g(s)-f(s)}{4 \tau_{k}^{3}+1}+\gamma_{k}(s) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}=-1, \tau_{3,4}=\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i$, the second term in (16) converges to zero as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and $\gamma_{k}(s)$ is $L[0, \infty), \gamma_{k}(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Then $D_{i k}(s)=\operatorname{Re}\left[\tau_{j}(s)-\tau_{k}(s)\right]$ for all $j, k=1,2,3,4$ may have the following forms
a) $D_{i k}(s)=G(s)$
b) $D_{i k}(s)=c+F(s)+G(s)$
c) $D_{i k}(s)=-c+F(s)+G(s)$,
where $c>0, F(s), G(s)$ are functions such that $F(s) \rightarrow 0, G(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and $G(s)$ is in $L[0, \infty)$.
a) In this case $j \in I_{2}$, because from the hypotheses stating that $G(s)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and $G(s)$ is $L[0, \infty)$ it follows that there exists $K>0$ such that $\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{1}} D_{j k}(s) \mathrm{d} s<K$ for all $s_{2} \geqslant s_{1} \geqslant 0$.
b) Since $F(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists a number $s^{\prime} \in[0, \infty)$ such that for every number $s>s^{\prime}$ there is $c+F(s)+G(s) \geqslant c / 2+G(s)$. Then $\int_{0}^{\infty} D_{j k}(s) \mathrm{d} s=$ $=\int_{0}^{\infty}[c+F(s)+G(s)] \mathrm{d} s=\infty$ since $\int_{0}^{\infty}[c / 2+G(s)] \mathrm{d} s=\infty$, and $\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} D_{j k}(s) \mathrm{d} s>$ $-K$ for all $s_{2} \geqslant s_{1} \geqslant 0$ and $K>0$, i.e. $j \in I_{1}$.
c) Similarly as in case b) it follows from the condition $F(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ that there is a number $s^{\prime \prime} \in[0, \infty)$ such that $-c+F(s)+G(s)<-c / 2+G(s)$ on $\left[s^{\prime \prime}, \infty\right)$, from which it follows that $\int_{0}^{\infty} D_{i k}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0}^{\infty}[-c+F(s)+G(s)] \mathrm{d} s$ $=-\infty$ and $\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}}[-c+F(s)+G(s)] \mathrm{d} s<K$ for some $K>0$ and every $s_{2}>s_{1}>0$, i.e. $j \in I_{2}$.

Thus all assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Then, because of it, there are four linearly independent solutions $x_{k}(s), k=1,2,3,4$ of (5) such that

$$
x_{k}(s) \exp \left[-\int_{s_{0}}^{s} \tau_{k}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}
$$

Substituting $\tau_{k}(s)$ from (16) into the last expression we have

$$
x_{1}(s) \exp \left[-\int_{s_{0}}^{s}\left[g(\delta)-f(\delta)+\gamma_{1}(\delta)\right] \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{1}
$$

and after substituting $f(\delta)$ and $g(\delta)$ we get

$$
x_{1}(s) \exp \left[-\int_{s_{0}}^{s}\left[\frac{q^{\prime}(\alpha(\delta))-r(\alpha(\delta))}{q^{4 / 3}(\alpha(\delta))}+\gamma_{1}(\delta)\right] \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{1}
$$

If we denote $\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \gamma_{1}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta=K$ and put $\alpha(\delta)=\xi$, i.e. $\delta=\omega(\xi)$, where $\omega(\xi)$ $=\int_{a}^{\xi} q^{1 / 3}(\vartheta) \mathrm{d} \vartheta$, into the preceding formula, we get

$$
x_{1}[\omega(t)] \cdot K \cdot \exp \left[-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{q^{\prime}(\delta)-r(\delta)}{q^{4 / 3}(\delta)} q^{1 / 3}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{1}
$$

Dividing the fraction under the integral sign into two parts we finally have

$$
T z_{1} q^{-1} \exp \left[\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{r(\delta)}{q(\delta)} \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{1}
$$

where $T z_{1}=w_{1}(t)=x_{1}[\omega(t)] e^{t_{0}} e^{-K}$.
Similarly for $k=2,3,4$ we have

$$
T z_{k} q^{-1 / 3} \exp \left[-\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\tau_{k} q^{1 / 3}(\delta)+\frac{1}{3} \frac{r(\delta)}{q(\delta)}\right] \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}
$$

Proof of Theorem 4. Let us denote in the equation (5) $V(s)=A_{2} g(s)$, $R(s)=A_{1} f(s)$ and now apply Theorem 1.

The matrix $A_{0}$ is the same as in Theorem 3, so its characteristic equation has distinct roots. Further $V^{\prime}(s)$ is in $L[0, \infty)$ if and only if $g^{\prime}(s)$ is in $L[0, \infty)$. From
the proof of Theorem 3 it follows that $q^{\prime \prime} / q^{4 / 3}$ in $L[0, \infty)$ is sufficient, which is fulfilled by hypothesis. Similarly

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|g^{2}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s=\int_{a}^{\infty}\left|\left[\frac{q^{\prime}(t)}{q^{7 /(s)}(t)}\right]^{2}\right| \mathrm{d} t<\infty
$$

and so $V(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. From this hypothesis it also follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}|R(s)| \mathrm{d} s=\int_{a}^{\infty}\left|A_{1} \frac{r(t)}{q(t)}\right| \mathrm{d} t<\infty .
$$

The characteristic equation of

$$
A_{v}+V(s)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
f(s) & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & (2 / 3) g(s) & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & (1 / 3) g(s) & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\tau) \equiv \tau^{4}-2 g \tau^{3}+\frac{11}{9} g^{2} \tau^{2}+\left(1-\frac{2}{9} g^{3}\right) \tau-g=0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same consideration as in Theorem 3 we get that the roots of (17) may be written as

$$
\tau_{k}(s)=\tau_{k}+\frac{2 \tau_{k}^{3}+1}{4 \tau_{k}^{3}+1} g(s)+\gamma_{k}(s), \quad k=1,2,3,4
$$

e.i. $\tau_{1}(s)=g(s)+\gamma_{1}(s), \tau_{k}(s)=\tau_{k}+\frac{1}{3} g(s)+\gamma_{k}(s), k=2,3,4$ and $\gamma_{k}(s)$ is in
$L[0, \infty)$. Then, by Theorem 1, we obtain that there are four linearly independent solutions $z_{k}(t)$ of (3) such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
T z_{1} q^{-1} \rightarrow p_{1} \\
T z_{k} q^{-1 / 3} \exp \left[-\tau_{k} \int_{t,}^{t} q^{1 / 3}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}, \quad k=2,3,4 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof of Theorem 5. If $q(t)<0$ instead of (1), we shall consider the equation $y^{(i v)}-\bar{q}(t) y^{\prime}+r(t) y=0$, where $\bar{q}(t)=-q(t)>0$. Hence Lema 1 and Theorem 3 will remain if we replace $A_{0}\left(a_{i k}\right)$ by $\bar{A}_{0}\left(\left|a_{i k}\right|\right), q(t)$ by $-\bar{q}(t)$, characteristic roots of $A_{0}$ by characteristic roots of $\bar{A}_{0}$, which are $\tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}=1$, $\tau_{3,4}=-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i$, characteristic vectors of $A_{0}$ by characteristic vectors of $\bar{A}_{0}$ which are $p_{1} \equiv(1,0,0,0), p_{k}=\left(1, \tau_{k}, \tau_{k}^{2}, 1\right), k=2,3,4$, characteristic vectors of $A_{0}$ 390
$+f(s) A_{1}+g(s) A_{2}$ by characteristic vectors of $\bar{A}_{0}+\bar{f}(s) A_{1}+\bar{g}(s) A_{2}$, which may be written as

$$
\tau_{k}(s)=\tau_{k}+\frac{2 \bar{g}(s) \tau_{k}^{3}-\bar{f}(s)-\bar{g}(s)}{4 \tau_{k}^{3}-1}+\gamma_{k}(s)
$$

where

$$
\bar{f}(s)=\frac{r(\alpha(s))}{\bar{q}^{4 / 3}(\alpha(s))}, \quad \bar{g}(s)=\frac{\bar{q}^{\prime}(\alpha(s))}{\bar{q}^{-/ 3}(\alpha(s))} .
$$

Therefore there is a fundamental system $x_{k}(s)$ of

$$
x^{\prime}=\left(A_{0}+\bar{f}(s) A_{1}+\bar{g}(s) A_{2}\right) x
$$

such that

$$
x_{k}(s) \exp \left[-\int_{s_{0}}^{s} \tau_{k}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}
$$

Substituting $\alpha(\delta)=\xi$ and putting $-\bar{q}=q$ into the last expression we get the conclusion of the Theorem.

Proof of Theorem 6 is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.
Proof of Theorem 7. Denote $V(s)=B_{1} h(s)+B_{2} k(s)$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|h^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\left[q(\alpha(s)) / r^{3 / 4}(\alpha(s))\right]^{\prime}\right| \mathrm{d} s \leqslant \int_{a}^{\infty}\left|q^{\prime}(t) / r^{3 / 4}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t+ \\
&+ \frac{4}{3} \int_{a}^{\infty}\left|\left[q(t) r^{\prime}(t)\right] / r^{7 / 4}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \leqslant \int_{a}^{\infty}\left|q^{\prime}(t) / r^{3 / 4}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t+ \\
&+ \frac{4}{3}\left[\int_{a}^{\infty}\left[q(t) / r^{5 / 8}(t)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right]^{1 / 2} \cdot\left[\int_{a}^{\infty}\left[r^{\prime}(t) / r^{9 / 8}(t)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right]^{1 / 2}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise $k^{\prime}(s), k^{2}(s), h^{2}(s)$ are in $L[0, \infty)$, and so $V^{\prime}(s)$ is in $L[0, \infty)$ and $V(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

The characteristic equation of $B_{0}+V(s)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\tau) \equiv \tau^{4}+\frac{3}{2} k \tau^{3}+\frac{5}{8} k^{2} \tau^{2}+\left(h-\frac{3}{42} k^{3}\right) \tau-\frac{3}{4} h k+1=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly as in Theorem 3 the roots of (19) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{k}(s)=\tau_{k}-\frac{3}{8} k(s)+\frac{1}{4} h(s) \tau_{k}^{2}+\gamma_{k}(s) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{k}$ are the roots of $\tau^{4}+1=0$ and $\gamma_{k}(s)$ are in $L[0, \infty)$ The functions $D_{i k}(s)$ are equal to $c$ or $c+G(s)$, where $c \neq 0$ and $G(s)$ is in $L[0, \infty)$. Thus $j \in I_{1}$, resp. $j \in I_{2}$ for all $k$. All hypotheses of Theorem 1 are fulfilled and hence there is a fundamental system $x_{k}(s)$ of (7) such that

$$
x_{k}(s) \exp \left[-\int_{s_{0}}^{s} \tau_{k}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta\right] \rightarrow p_{k}
$$

After substituting $s=\omega(t)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{1 / 4}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta$ we get the conclusion of this Theorem.
The proofs of the Theorems $8,9.10$ are analogous to the proofs of the Theorems 4, 5, 6 .

Proof of Corollary 1. Since $T=\operatorname{dia}\left[q, q^{23}, q^{1 / 3}, 1\right], z_{k}=\left[y_{k}, y_{k}^{\prime}, y_{k}^{\prime \prime}, y_{k}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]^{T}$, then from Theorem 4 it follows that dia $\left[q, q^{23}, q^{1 / 3}, 1\right] \cdot\left[y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime \prime}, y_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]^{T} \cdot q^{-1} \rightarrow$ $(1,0,0,0)^{T}$, i.e. $y_{1} \rightarrow 1$ and so $y_{1}=1+o(1)$.

Similarly for $k=2,3,4$ we get

$$
y_{k}=-\tau_{k}^{2} q^{2 / 3} \exp \left(\tau_{k} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} q^{1 / 3} \mathrm{~d} \delta\right)(1+o(1))
$$

If we take $\operatorname{Re} y_{3}$ and $\operatorname{Im} y_{4}$, we get the assertion of the Corollary. This assertion is valid for $q(t)<0$ too.

The proof of Corollary 2 is analogous.
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[^0]:    В работе рассматриваются асимптотические поведения решений уравнения (1) при $t \rightarrow \infty$, если несобственные интегралы из некоторых дробей функций $q$ и $r$ являются конечными.

