Mathematica Slovaca

Imrich Fabrici
Semigroups containing covered two-sided ideals

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 4, 355--363

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/130178

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

SEMIGROUPS SONTAINING SOVERED TWO-SIDED IDEALS

IMRICH FABRICI

In [5], semigroups containing one-sided covered ideals have been investigated. It turns out that a semigroup need not have one-sided covered ideals at all. As for twosided ideals, the situation is different. The purpose of the paper is to investigate the structure of semigroups containing two-sided covered ideals.

Definition 1. A proper two-sided ideal M of a semigroup S is covered (briefly C-ideal) if $M \subset S(S-M)S$.

$$I^a = \{x \in S/(a)_T = a \cup Sa \cup aS \cup SaS = x \cup Sx \cup xS \cup SxS = (x)_T\}$$

is the \mathcal{I} -class of S containing a.

The \mathcal{I} -class I^a is maximal, if $(a)_T$ is not a proper subset of any principal two-sided ideal of S.

It is known ([1]) that the \mathcal{I} -class I^a is maximal iff its complement is a maximal ideal of S.

When dealing with maximal ideals M_a , we shall denote the corresponding maximal \mathcal{I} -classes by M^a .

Theorem 1. If S contains two different proper ideals M_1 , M_2 such that $M_1 \cup M_2 = S$, then none of them is a C-ideal.

Proof. If $M_1 \cup M_2 = S$, then $S - M_2 \subset M_1$, $S - M_1 \subset M_2$. If one of them were a C-ideal, e.g. M_1 , then $M_1 \subset S(S - M_1)S \subset SM_2S \subset M_2$. Since $M_1 \cup M_2 = S$, it implies $M_2 = S$. Hence, we get a contradiction with our assumption that M_1 , M_2 are proper two-sided ideals.

Corollary. If S contains more than one maximal two-sided ideal, then none of them is a C-ideal of S.

Theorem 2. If M_1 and M_2 are two C-ideals of S, then $M_1 \cup M_2$ is a C-ideal of S. Proof. We show that if $M_1 \subset S(S - M_1)S$, $M_2 \subset S(S - M_2)S$, then $M_1 \cup M_2 \subset S[S - (M_1 \cup M_2)]S$.

Let $x \in M_1$, then $M_1 \subset S(S - M_1)S$ implies that there is $a \in S - M_1$ such that $x \in SaS$. There are two possibilities:

(1) $a \in S - (M_1 \cup M_2)$, then $x \in S[S - (M_1 \cup M_2)]S$.

(2) $a \in (S - M_1) \cap M_2$, then $a \in M_2 \subset S(S - M_2)S$. So there is $b \in S - M_2$ such that $a \in SbS$. The element b does not belong to M_1 , since otherwise we would have $a \in SbS \subset SM_1S \subset M_1$ and it is contradicting with the choice of a. Therefore, $b \in S - M_2$, $b \in S - M_1$, so $b \in (S - M_1) \cap (S - M_2) = S - (M_1 \cup M_2)$. We have $x \in SaS \subset S(SbS)S \subset SbS \subset S[S - (M_1 \cup M_2)]S$. Hence $M_1 \subset S[S - (M_1 \cup M_2)]S$. And in the same way we can prove that $M_2 \subset S[S - (M_1 \cup M_2)]S$.

Theorem 3. If M_1 , M_2 are two C-ideals of S, then $M_1 \cap M_2$ is a C-ideal of S. Proof. It is well known ([7]) that $M_1 \cap M_2 \neq \emptyset$. It is enough to show that

$$M_1 \cap M_2 \subset S[S - (M_1 \cap M_2)]S$$
.

From the ralation $M_1 \subset S(S - M_1)S$ we have

$$M_1 \cap M_2 \subset M_1 \subset S(S - M_1) S \subset S[S - (M_1 \cap M_2)] S$$
.

If we consider both Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 we get:

Corollary. The set of all C-ideals of S is a sublattice of the lattice of all ideals of S.

We have seen that if S contains more than one maximal ideal, then none of them can be a C-ideal of S.

Now we shall consider the case that S contains only one maximal two-sided ideal.

Definition 2. A two-sided ideal M of a semigroup S is said to be the greatest ideal of S, if any proper two-sided ideal of S is contained in M.

If such an ideal in S exists, then we shall denote it by M^* .

Theorem 4. Let a semigroup S contain only one maximal two-sided ideal M. If M is a C-ideal, then $M = M^*$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that any proper ideal of S is contained in M. If T is any proper two-sided ideal of S, then with regard to Theorem 1 we get that $T \subset M$. It means that $M = M^*$.

For one-sided ideals the converse statement holds too. The next example illustrates that for two-sided ideals it need not hold.

Example 1. Let $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be the semigroup with the multiplication table:

	a	b	С	d
a b c d	a b a b	b a b a	a b a b	b a b

 $M = \{a, b, c\}$ is the only maximal two-sided ideal of S. Any proper ideal of S is contained in M, so $M = M^*$. $S - M^* = \{d\}$. $SdS = \{a, b\}$, so $M^* \not\subset S(S - M^*)S$, hence M^* is not a C-ideal of S.

Theorem 5. The ideal M^* of a semigroup S is a C-ideal iff $S^2 = S^3$.

Proof. (a) Let M^* be a C-ideal, so $M^* \subset S(S - M^*)S$. Since M^* is at the same time a maximal ideal, then $S - M^* = I^a$ is the unique maximal \mathcal{I} -class in S. Then either $S^2 \subseteq S$, or $S^2 = S$. If $S^2 = S$, then $S^3 = S^2$.

If $S^2 \subseteq S$, then either $S^3 = S^2$, or $S^3 \subseteq S^2$.

If $S^3 \subseteq S^2$, then $M^* \subset S(S - M^*) S \subset S^3$, hence $S - M^*$ would contain at least two different \mathcal{I} -classes, one in $S^2 - S^3$ and another in $S - S^2$. But this is a contradiction, since $S - M^*$ contains just one maximal \mathcal{I} -class. So, we have $S^2 = S^3$.

(b) Suppose that S contains M^* and $S^2 = S^3$. We show that M^* is a C-ideal. Let $x \in M^*$ be any element. Then for any element $a \in I^a = S - M^*$, $(a)_T = S$, therefore $x \in (a)_T$. However, $x \in M^*$ and $a \in I^a = S - M^*$, hence $x \neq a$. Then $x \in (aS \cup Sa \cup SaS).$

If $x \in aS$ or $x \in Sa$, then $x \in S^2$. If $x \in SaS$, then $x \in S^3$. But according to the assumption $S^2 = S^3$, therefore $x \in S^3$.

Then there is $c \in S$ such that $x \in ScS$. Since $(a)_T = S$, we have $c \in (a)_T$. If c = a, then $x \in SaS$. If $c \neq a$ then $c \in (aS \cup Sa \cup SaS)$. If $c \in aS$, then $ScS \subset SaS$. The same relation can be obtained if $c \in Sa$, or $c \in SaS$. Hence, $x \in ScS \subset SaS$, $a \in I^a =$ $S-M^*$ in all three cases. This implies: for any $x \in M^*$, $x \in SaS$ and $a \in I^a =$ $S-M^*$, therefore $M^* \subset S(S-M^*)S$ i.e. M^* is a C-ideal of S.

It was already mentioned that S need not have one-sided covered ideals at all. For two-sided ideals we have:

Theorem 6. If S is not a simple semigroup, then S contains at least one C-ideal of S.

Proof. Let T be any proper two-sided ideal of S. Consider S(S-T)S. S(S-T)S is an ideal of S, and it is known [7] that the intersection of two two-sided ideals is non-empty. Therefore, $T \cap S(S-T)S \neq \emptyset$. If we denote M = $T \cap S(S-T)S$, then M is an ideal of S and for M we get $M \subset S(S-T)S$. Since $S-T\subset S-M$, then the relation $M\subset S(S-T)S$ implies

$$M \subset S(S-T)S \subset S(S-M)S$$
,

hence M is a C-ideal of S.

We now investigate the case that S contains more than one maximal ideal.

Definition 3. A two-sided ideal M of S will be called the greatest covered ideal of S if any covered ideal of S is contained in M.

If S contains the greatest covered ideal of S, this ideal will be denoted by M^{θ} .

Remark. Let a semigroup S contain maximal two-sided ideals. If M_{α} , $\alpha \in \lambda$ are

all maximal two-sided ideals of S, then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} M_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ ([7]). Denote $\hat{M} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} M_{\alpha}$. If S contains M^{g} , then necessarily $M^{g} \subset \hat{M}$ holds. For if there is at least one M_{γ} such that $M^{g} \subset M_{\gamma}$, then by Theorem 1 M^{g} is not a C-ideal and it is a contradiction.

However, we can show that if S contains maximal two-sided ideals, it does not mean that S must contain M^a .

Example 2. Let $N = \{0, 1, 2, ..., n, ...\}$. Let $S = N \cup \{z_1\} \cup \{z_2\}$. Define the binary operation \circ in S as follows:

$$a \circ b = \begin{cases} \min \{a, b\} & \text{if } a, b \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{if } (1) \ a \in \mathbb{N}, \ b \in \{z_1, z_2\}, \ (2) \ a = z_1, \ b = z_2 \\ z_i & \text{if } a = b = z_1, \ i = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

It can be easily verified that S with the operation \circ is a semigroup.

$$(z_1)_T = \{0, z_1\}, (z_2)_T = \{0, z_2\}. M_1 = S - \{z_1\}, M_2 = S - \{z_2\}$$

are all maximal ideals of S. $\hat{M} = M_1 \cap M_2 = N$. The subset $T = \{0, 1, ..., k\}$ is an ideal of S and moreover $S(S - T)S = \{0, 1, 2, ..., k, k + 1, ...\} \supset \{0, 1, 2, ..., k\}$, so T is a C-ideal of S. But S does not contain M^a .

For one-sided covered ideals the following statement holds: If $\hat{L} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} L_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$, where L_{α} , $\alpha \in \lambda$ are all maximal left ideals, then \hat{L} is the greatest covered left ideal of S.

The following example illustrates that for two-sided ideals this need not be true. Example 3. Let $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with the multiplication table:

	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	a	a
b	a	a	a	a
c	a	a	a	a
d	a	a	b	b

S contains two maximal ideals: $M_1 = \{a, b, c\}$, $M_2 = \{a, b, d\}$. $\hat{M} = M_1 \cap M_2 = \{a, b\}$. Then $S - \hat{M} = \{c, d\}$. But $S(S - \hat{M})S = S\{c, d\}S = \{a\} \neq \{a, b\}$. Therefore, \hat{M} is not a C-ideal of S.

If we want to describe conditions for existence of M^a we need the notion of a two-sided base of a semigroup.

Definition 4. A non-empty subset A of S is a two-sided base of S if

- $(1) \quad (A)_T = A \cup SA \cup AS \cup SAS = S$
- (2) There is no proper subset $B \subseteq A$ such that $(B)_T = S$.

The condition (2) implies that a two-sided base A of S does not contain two different elements of \mathcal{I} -class I^a . Hence, for $a, b \in A$, $a \neq b$, $I^a \cap I^b = \emptyset$. Further, we shall denote maximal \mathcal{I} -class as a complement of a maximal two-sided ideal M_a by M^a .

Theorem 7. If a semigroup S, which is not simple, contains a two-sided base A of S, then S contains the ideal M^a . Moreover, $M^a = S^3 \cap \hat{M}$, where $\hat{M} = \bigcap_{n \in S} M_\alpha$.

Proof. The existence of a two-sided base A implies the existence of maximal ideals ([3]) and $M_{\alpha} = S - M^{\alpha}$ where M^{α} is a maximal \mathscr{I} -class. Since $\emptyset \neq \hat{M} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} M_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} (S - M^{\alpha}) = S - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} M^{\alpha}$, then \hat{M} is an ideal of S ([7]). And S^{3} is an ideal of S too. Denote by $M = \hat{M} \cap S^{3} \neq \emptyset$. We shall show that M is a C-ideal of S.

Let $x \in M$ be any element. Hence, $x \in S^3$ and therefore there is $c \in S$ such that $c \in S$. If $c \in A$, then there is $b \in A$ such that $c \in (b)_T$, hence $c \in (Sb \cup bS \cup SbS)$ and c is at least in one of the subsets: Sb, bS, SbS. Then $ScS \subset SbS$ and $c \in ScS \subset SbS$ implies $c \in SbS$ for $c \in SbS$ for $c \in SbS$ have got that for any $c \in SbS$ there is $c \in SbS$ such that $c \in SbS \subset SaS \subset S(S - M)S$, therefore $c \in S(S - M)S$. It remains to show that $c \in SbS$ is greatest $c \in SbS$.

We shall show that any C-ideal of S is contained in $M = S^3 \cap \hat{M}$, i.e. that M is the greatest covered ideal of S.

Let T be any C-ideal of S. Then $T \subset S(S-T)S \subset S^3$, therefore $T \subset S^3$. Since T is a C-ideal of S, then T cannot contain any maximal \mathscr{G} -class. It means, $T \subset S - M^{\alpha}$ for every $\alpha \in \lambda$. Hence we have $T \subset \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} (S - M^{\alpha}) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} M_{\alpha} = \hat{M}$. The relations $T \subset S^3$ and $T \subset \hat{M}$ imply $T \subset S^3 \cap \hat{M} = M$, therefore, any C-ideal T is contained in M, i.e. $M = M^{g}$.

Lemma 1. Let S contain M^a and $M^a \subseteq S^3$. Then every \mathcal{I} -class in $S^3 - M^a$ is a maximal one and for any $a \in S^3 - M^a$ (a)_T = SaS.

Proof. Let $S^3 - M^0 \neq \emptyset$. Since both M^0 and S^3 are ideals in S, then $S^3 - M^0$ consists of some \mathcal{I} -classes of S. Let M^{γ} be any one of them. Since $M^{\gamma} \subset S^3$ it implies that any $a \in M^{\gamma}$ is of the form: a = xby for x, y, $b \in S$. Then $a \in SbS$. Next we show that $b \in M^{\gamma}$. If $b \in M^{\delta}$, $\delta \neq \gamma$, then $a \in SbS$ would imply $(a)_T \subseteq (b)_T$. The element b does not belong to $(a)_T$ (otherwise $b \in (a)_T$ would imply $(b)_T \subset (a)_T$ and we get $(a)_T = (b)_T$ which is contradicting with $\delta \neq \gamma$). Therefore $(a)_T$ is a C-ideal and $M^0 \cup (a)_T$ is a C-ideal too, properly containing M^0 , what is impossible.

So we have got: for any $a \in M^{\gamma}$ there is $b \in M^{\gamma}$ such that $a \in SbS$. This implies $(a)_T \subset SbS \subset (b)_T = (a)_T$, hence $(a)_T = SbS$. We show that $(a)_T = SaS$. Since $(a)_T = SbS = (b)_T$, from there we get: if a = b, $(a)_T = SaS$. If $a \neq b$, then $b \in (Sa \cup aS \cup SaS)$. If $b \in Sa$, then $SbS \subset SaS$. If $b \in aS$ or $b \in SaS$ we get again

 $SbS \subset SaS$. The relation $(a)_T = (b)_T = SbS \subset SaS \subset (a)_T$ implies $(a)_T = SaS$. This is true for any \mathscr{I} -class M^r from $S^3 - M^g$.

If we suppose that for $a \in M^{\gamma} \subset S^3 - M^{\theta}$, $(a)_T = SaS \subset (c)_T$ for $c \in S$, then $a \in (Sc \cup cS \cup ScS)$ and a is contained in at least one of the sets: Sc, cS, ScS. Then $SaS \subset ScS$ and $(a)_T \subset ScS$. But $c \in (a)_T$, i.e. $(a)_T$ is a C-ideal and $M^{\theta} \cup (a)_T$ is a C-ideal too, properly containing M^{θ} and we have a contradiction.

We have proved that any \mathcal{I} -class in $S^3 - M^g$ is maximal.

Theorem 8. If a semigroup S contains the ideal M^a , then S contains a two-sided base.

Proof.

$$M^g \subset S(S-M^g)S \subset S^3 \subset S^2 \subset S$$
.

Denote by M^{α} \mathscr{I} -classes from $S - S^2$, by M^{β} \mathscr{I} -classes from $S^2 - S^3$ and by M^{γ} \mathscr{I} -classes from $S^3 - M^{\varrho}$. Construct a subset A in the following way: from each \mathscr{I} -class M^{α} and M^{γ} choose just one element into A. Denote by

$$(A)_T = A \cup SA \cup AS \cup SAS$$
.

 \mathcal{I} -classes $M^{\alpha} \subset S - S^2$ are of the form: $M^{\alpha} = \{a\}$, where a is undecomposable element, so any $M^{\alpha} \subset S - S^2$ is a maximal \mathcal{I} -class.

Equally, any \mathcal{I} -class $M^{\gamma} \subset S^3 - M^g$ is a maximal \mathcal{I} -class (by Lemma 1).

We wish to show that for any $x \in M^{\beta} \subset S^2 - S^3$ there is some $u \in M^{\alpha} \subset S - S^2$ such that $x \in (u)_T$. Note that $S^2 - S^3 \neq \emptyset$ implies $S - S^2 \neq \emptyset$. Since $x \in M^{\beta} \subset S^2 - S^3$, then x = uv, where $u, v \in S - S^2$, it means that both u and v are undecomposable. Now x = uv implies $x \in (u)_T$, $u \in S - S^2$, i.e. $u \in M^{\alpha}$.

Till now we have got: $M^{g} \subset S(S - M^{g})S$, it means for any $y \in M^{g}$ there is $z \in S - M^{g}$ such that $y \in (z)_{T}$. Since $z \in S - M^{g}$, then z is from M^{α} , or M^{β} , or M^{γ} . If $z \in M^{\alpha}$ or $z \in M^{\gamma}$, then in both cases we can choose $z \in A$. If $z \in M^{\beta}$, then there is $u \in M^{\alpha}$ such that $z \in (u)_{T}$, hence, $y \in (z)_{T} \subset (u)_{T}$, and $u \in A$.

We have shown that for any $y \in M^g$ there is $a \in A$ such that $y \in (a)_T$, hence $M^g \subset (A)_T$. And with regard to the construction of A we have: $S^3 - M^g \subset (A)_T$, $S^2 - S^3 \subset (A)_T$ and $S - S^2 \subset (A)_T$ so together we have $S \subset (A)_T$, therefore

$$(A)_T = S$$

hence, the subset A generates S.

To prove that A is a two-sided base of S, it remains to show that there is no proper subset $B \subseteq A$ with the property

$$(B)_T = B \cup SB \cup BS \cup SBS = S.$$

But this is evident, because A has been constructed by means of elements of maximal \mathcal{I} -classes of S, and from each maximal \mathcal{I} -class just one element was chosen into A. Therefore, A is a two-sided base of S.

Theorem 7 and Theorem 8 imply:

Corollary. If S is not simple, then S contains the ideal M^a iff S has a two-sided base.

The question arises, whether the relation $M^g \subset S^3$ does not mean $M^g = S^3$ always. Next example illustrates that it need not be so.

Example 4. T is the multiplicative semigroup of real numbers: $T = \{x^{-1} \mid 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}\}$, G an arbitrary commutative group. Define in $S = T \cup G$ an associative binary operation \circ as follows: $x \circ y = 0$ if $x \in T$, $y \in G$ and the products in T and G remain old ones. Then S is a semigroup. T is a maximal ideal in S. However, there is an infinite number of further maximal ideals of the form: $M_{\alpha} = S - \{\alpha\}$, where $\frac{1}{4} < \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$. The intersection of all maximal ideals in S is:

$$\hat{M} = \bigcap_{\alpha} M_{\alpha} \cap T = \left\langle 0, \frac{1}{4} \right\rangle.$$

For S, $S \neq S^2$, $S^3 = \left\langle 0, \frac{1}{8} \right\rangle \cup G$. Then $M^g = S^3 \cap \hat{M} = \left\langle 0, \frac{1}{8} \right\rangle$. Hence, $M^g \subsetneq S^3$.

Theorem 9. Let any proper two-sided ideal of a semigroup S be covered. Then just one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) S contains the ideal M*.
- (2) $.S = S^2$ and for any proper two-sided ideal M and for any principal two-sided ideal $(a)_T \subset M$, there is a principal proper two-sided ideal $(b)_T$ such that $(a)_T \subseteq (b)_T$ and $b \in S M$.

Proof. First we show that if any proper two-sided ideal of S is covered, then S cannot contain even two different maximal \mathcal{I} -classes.

If M^{α} , M^{β} were maximal \mathcal{I} -classes, $M^{\alpha} \neq M^{\beta}$, then as we know $M_{\alpha} = S - M^{\alpha}$, $M_{\beta} = S - M^{\beta}$ would be maximal proper twosided ideals of S and none of them is a C-ideal of S.

Let S contain just one maximal \mathcal{I} -class M^{α} . Then $M_{\alpha} = S - M^{\alpha}$ is again a maximal proper two-sided ideal of S and moreover it is a C-ideal. By Theorem 4 we have that $M_{\alpha} = M^{*}$.

Suppose that S does not contain maximal \mathscr{I} -classes. First we show that $S^2 = S$. If $S^2 \subseteq S$, then for $y \in S - S^2$ $(y)_T \neq S$, because if $(y)_T = S$, then S would contain a maximal \mathscr{I} -class. So $(y)_T \subseteq S$, and since any proper two-sided ideal is covered, then $(y)_T \subset S[S - (y)_T]S$, hence $y \in SzS$ for $z \in S - (y)_T$, therefore $y \in S^3$. But $S^3 \subset S^2$, it means $y \in S^2$, which is a contradiction with the fact that $y \in S - S^2$. Therefore, $S = S^2$.

Let M be any proper two-sided ideal of S. Then $M \subset S(S-M)S$. Let $a \in M$ be any element. Then there is $b \in S-M$ such that $a \in SbS$. This implies $(a)_T \subset SbS \subset (b)_T$. The ideal $(b)_T \neq S$, since S does not contain maximal \mathscr{I} -classes. Moreover $(a)_T \neq (b)_T$, because $a \in M$, $b \in S-M$. Therefore, $(b)_T \succeq M$.

Theorem 10. Let a semigroup S satisfy just one of the following conditions:

- (1) S contains M^* and it is a C-ideal.
- (2) $S = S^2$ and for any proper two-sided ideal M and for every principal two-sided ideal $(a)_T \subset M$, there is a principal two-sided proper ideal $(b)_T$, whose generator $b \in S M$ and $(a)_T \subseteq (b)_T$.

Then any two-sided proper ideal is covered.

Proof. Let M be any proper two-sided ideal of S. If (1) holds, then $M \subset M^*$, and $S - M^* \subset S - M$. Then $M \subset M^* \subset S(S - M^*)S \subset S(S - M)S$. Hence, M is a C-ideal.

Let (2) be satisfied. If $x \in M$, then $(x)_T \subset M$. Then there is $b \in S - M$ such that $(x)_T \subset (b)_T \subset S$. It is evident that $(x)_T \neq (b)_T$. Since $S = S^2$, then $S = S^3$, and $b \in SdS$ for some $d \in S$. We show that $d \in M$. If $d \in M$, then $SdS \subset M$, and $b \in SdS \subset M$, hence, $b \in M$, which is a contradiction with the fact $b \in S - M$. Therefore, for arbitrary $x \in M$, there is $d \in S - M$ such that $x \in SdS$. This means that

$$M \subset S(S-M)S$$
,

hence, M is a C-ideal.

REFERENCES

- [1] АБРГАН, И.: О максимальных подальгебрах в унарных альгебрах, Mat. čas. 24, 1974, 113—128.
- [2] FABRICI, I.: Two-sided bases of semigroups, Mat. časop. 25, 1975, 173—178.
- [3] FABRICI, I., MACKO, T.: On bases and maximal ideals in semigroups, Math. Slovaca 31, 1981, 115—120.
- [4] FABRICI, I.: Semigroups containing covered one-sided ideals, Math. Slovaca 31, 1981, 225—231.
- [5] SCHWARZ, Š.: О максимальных идеалах в теории полугрупп Сzechoslov. Math. J. 3, 1953, 139—153, 365—383.
- [6] SCHWARZ, Š.: Prime ideals and maximal ideals in semigroups, Czechoslov. Math. J. 12, 1969, 72—79.
- [7] SCHWARZ, S.: Semigroups containing maximal ideals, Math. Slovaca 28, 1978, 157—168.

Received January 25, 1982

Katedra matematiky Chemickotechnologickej fakulty SVŠT Gorkého 5 812 19 Bratislava

ПОЛУГРУППЫ, СОДЕРЖАЩИЕ ЗАКРЫТЫЕ ДВУСТОРОННИЕ ИДЕАЛЫ

Imrich Fabrici

Резюме

Двусторонний идеал M полугруппы S называется закрытым, если $M \subset S(S-M)S$.

В работе доказано, что множество всех двусторонних закрытых идеалов полугруппы S является подструктурой структуры всех идеалов в S.

Приведено необходимое и достаточное условие для того, чтобы:

- (1) полугруппа S содержала наибольший закрытый идеал.
- (2) каждый идеал полугруппы S был закрытым.