

Jan Kühř; Jiřĩ Rachůnek

Weak Boolean products of bounded dually residuated  $l$ -monoids

*Mathematica Bohemica*, Vol. 132 (2007), No. 3, 225–236

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134122>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

WEAK BOOLEAN PRODUCTS OF BOUNDED DUALY  
RESIDUATED l-MONONIDS

J. KÜHR, J. RACHŮNEK, Olomouc

(Received January 18, 2006)

*Abstract.* In the paper we deal with weak Boolean products of bounded dually residuated l-monoids (DRl-monoids). Since bounded DRl-monoids are a generalization of pseudo MV-algebras and pseudo BL-algebras, the results can be immediately applied to these algebras.

*Keywords:* bounded DRl-monoid, weak Boolean product, prime spectrum

*MSC 2000:* 06F05, 06D35, 03G25

INTRODUCTION

Commutative dually residuated lattice-ordered monoids (commutative DRl-monoids) were introduced by K. L. N. Swamy in [26] as a common generalization of Abelian lattice-ordered groups and Brouwerian algebras. Dropping the commutativity assumption, T. Kovář in his thesis [13] defined general DRl-monoids which include all lattice-ordered groups. Recently, it was shown in [20], [21], [23], [24] and [15] that also algebras of logics behind fuzzy reasoning and their non-commutative versions, namely, MV-algebras and pseudo MV-algebras, and BL-algebras and pseudo BL-algebras, can be regarded to be particular cases of bounded DRl-monoids.

Boolean and weak Boolean products of MV-algebras, BL-algebras and bounded commutative DRl-monoids were studied in [4], [7] and [22]. In this paper we concentrate on weak Boolean products of bounded (non-commutative) DRl-monoids. We prove that non-trivial bounded DRl-monoids are representable as weak Boolean products of directly indecomposable bounded DRl-monoids, we characterize weak Boolean products of bounded DRl-chains, and show that the prime spectrum of a weak Boolean product of bounded DRl-monoids is built up from the prime spectra of

---

Supported by the Council of Czech Government, MSM6198959214.

the components of this product. Our results can be immediately applied to pseudo MV-algebras and pseudo BL-algebras.

## 1. DEFINITIONS AND BASIC PROPERTIES

An algebra  $(A; \oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge, \otimes, \oslash)$  of type  $\langle 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2 \rangle$  is called a *dually residuated l-monoid* or a *DRL-monoid* if

- (i)  $(A; \oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge)$  is an l-monoid, i.e.,  $(A; \oplus, 0)$  is a monoid,  $(A; \vee, \wedge)$  is a lattice and  $\oplus$  distributes over both  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$ ,
- (ii) for any  $a, b \in A$ ,  $a \otimes b$  is the least  $x \in A$  with  $x \oplus b \geq a$ , and  $a \oslash b$  is the least  $y \in A$  such that  $b \oplus y \geq a$ ,
- (iii)  $A$  satisfies the identities

$$\begin{aligned} ((x \otimes y) \vee 0) \oplus y &\leq x \vee y, & y \oplus ((x \otimes y) \vee 0) &\leq x \vee y, \\ x \otimes x &\geq 0, & x \oslash x &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the condition (ii) is equivalent to the identities

$$\begin{aligned} (x \otimes y) \oplus y &\geq x, & y \oplus (x \otimes y) &\geq x, \\ x \otimes y &\leq (x \vee z) \otimes y, & x \oslash y &\leq (x \vee z) \oslash y, \\ (x \oplus y) \otimes y &\leq x, & (y \oplus x) \oslash y &\leq x, \end{aligned}$$

and hence the class of all DRL-monoids is a variety. T. Kovář proved that this variety is arithmetical and weakly regular.

A DRL-monoid  $A$  is said to be *bounded* if there exists an element  $1$  in  $A$  such that  $a \leq 1$  for all  $a \in A$ . As a matter of fact, if  $1$  is the greatest element of  $A$  then  $0$  is the least one.

In what follows, the greatest element  $1$  of a bounded DRL-monoid  $A$  will be considered to be a new nullary operation, and thus bounded DRL-monoids are algebras of the language  $\{\oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge, \otimes, \oslash, 1\}$ .

**R e m a r k.** Of course, our DRL-monoids are termwise equivalent to a certain class of residuated lattices. These residuated lattices are called *generalized BL-algebras* (GBL-algebras) in [1], [8] and [12].

**E x a m p l e 1.1.** Pseudo MV-algebras were independently introduced by the second author in [24] and by G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu in [9] as a non-commutative extension of the well-known MV-algebras (see e.g. [3]):

A *pseudo MV-algebra* is an algebra  $(A; \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0, 1)$  of type  $\langle 2, 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$  satisfying the following axioms:

- (A1)  $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$ ,
- (A2)  $x \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus x = x$ ,
- (A3)  $x \oplus 1 = 1 \oplus x = 1$ ,
- (A4)  $\neg 1 = \sim 1 = 0$ ,
- (A5)  $\neg(\sim x \oplus \sim y) = \sim(\neg x \oplus \neg y)$ ,
- (A6)  $x \oplus (y \odot \sim x) = y \oplus (x \odot \sim y) = (\neg x \odot y) \oplus x = (\neg y \odot x) \oplus y$ ,
- (A7)  $(\neg x \oplus y) \odot x = y \odot (x \oplus \sim y)$ ,
- (A8)  $\sim \neg x = x$ ,

where the additional operation  $\odot$  is defined via

$$x \odot y = \sim(\neg x \oplus \neg y).$$

Obviously, if  $\oplus$  is commutative then  $\neg$  and  $\sim$  coincide and  $(A; \oplus, \neg, 0, 1)$  is an MV-algebra.

Mutual relationships between pseudo MV-algebras and DRI-monoids were described in [24]. If we put  $x \leq y$  iff  $\neg x \oplus y = 1$ , then  $(A; \leq)$  is a bounded distributive lattice (with 0 at the bottom and 1 at the top) in which  $x \vee y = x \oplus (y \odot \sim x)$  and  $x \wedge y = (\neg x \oplus y) \odot x$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . Moreover, by defining  $x \otimes y = \neg y \odot x$  and  $x \oslash y = x \odot \sim y$ , the structure  $(A; \oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge, \otimes, \oslash, 1)$  becomes a bounded DRI-monoid satisfying the identities

- (i)  $1 \otimes (1 \oslash x) = x = 1 \oslash (1 \otimes x)$ ,
- (ii)  $1 \otimes ((1 \oslash x) \oplus (1 \oslash y)) = 1 \oslash ((1 \otimes x) \oplus (1 \otimes y))$ .

Conversely, if  $(A; \oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge, \otimes, \oslash, 1)$  is a bounded DRI-monoid that fulfils these equations and if we put  $\neg x = 1 \otimes x$  and  $\sim x = 1 \oslash x$ , then  $(A; \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0, 1)$  is a pseudo MV-algebra.

**Example 1.2.** Pseudo BL-algebras established in [5] are another special case of bounded DRI-monoids:

An algebra  $(A; \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, \rightsquigarrow, 0, 1)$  of type  $\langle 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0 \rangle$  is called a *pseudo BL-algebra* if  $(A; \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  is a bounded lattice,  $(A; \odot, 1)$  is a monoid and the following conditions hold for all  $x, y, z \in A$ :

- (i)  $x \odot y \leq z$  iff  $x \leq y \rightarrow z$  iff  $y \leq x \rightsquigarrow z$ ,
- (ii)  $x \wedge y = (x \rightarrow y) \odot x = x \odot (x \rightsquigarrow y)$ ,
- (iii)  $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = (x \rightsquigarrow y) \vee (y \rightsquigarrow x) = 1$ .

Pseudo BL-algebras generalize BL-algebras (see e.g. [10]) in the same way in which pseudo MV-algebras generalize MV-algebras: if  $\odot$  is commutative then  $\rightarrow$  and  $\rightsquigarrow$

coincide and the algebra  $(A; \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$  is a BL-algebra. Moreover, pseudo BL-algebras include pseudo MV-algebras: by [5], pseudo BL-algebras satisfying  $(x \rightarrow 0) \rightsquigarrow 0 = (x \rightsquigarrow 0) \rightarrow 0 = x$  are polynomially equivalent to pseudo MV-algebras.

It was proved by the first author in [15] that pseudo BL-algebras correspond one-to-one to bounded DRL-monoids satisfying the identities

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} (x \odot y) \wedge (y \odot x) &= 0, \\ (x \otimes y) \wedge (y \otimes x) &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

they are the duals of such DRL-monoids. Let  $(A; \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, \rightsquigarrow, 0, 1)$  be a pseudo BL-algebra and define  $x \oplus y = x \odot y$ ,  $x \vee' y = x \wedge y$ ,  $x \wedge' y = x \vee y$ ,  $x \otimes y = y \rightarrow x$ ,  $x \otimes y = y \rightsquigarrow x$ ,  $0' = 1$  and  $1' = 0$ . Then  $(A; \oplus, 0', \vee', \wedge', \otimes, \otimes, 1')$  is a bounded DRL-monoid satisfying  $(*)$ . Also conversely, if  $(A; \oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge, \otimes, \otimes, 1)$  is a bounded DRL-monoid which fulfils  $(*)$  then  $(A; \vee', \wedge', \odot, \rightarrow, \rightsquigarrow, 0', 1')$  is a pseudo BL-algebra.

Let us remark that the logical system corresponding to pseudo BL-algebras was recently described by P. Hájek in [11].

When doing calculations, we make use of the following list of basic rules:

**Lemma 1.3** [13]. *In any DRL-monoid we have:*

- (1)  $x \otimes x = 0 = x \otimes x$ ;
- (2)  $((x \otimes y) \vee 0) \oplus y = x \vee y = y \oplus ((x \otimes y) \vee 0)$ ;
- (3)  $x \otimes (y \oplus z) = (x \otimes z) \otimes y$ ,  $x \otimes (y \oplus z) = (x \otimes y) \otimes z$ ;
- (4) if  $x \leq y$  then  $x \otimes z \leq y \otimes z$  and  $z \otimes x \geq z \otimes y$ , likewise  $x \otimes z \leq y \otimes z$  and  $z \otimes x \geq z \otimes y$ ;
- (5)  $x \leq y$  iff  $x \otimes y \leq 0$  iff  $x \otimes y \leq 0$ ;
- (6)  $x \otimes (y \wedge z) = (x \otimes y) \vee (x \otimes z)$ ,  $x \otimes (y \wedge z) = (x \otimes y) \vee (x \otimes z)$ ;
- (7)  $(x \vee y) \otimes z = (x \otimes z) \vee (y \otimes z)$ ,  $(x \vee y) \otimes z = (x \otimes z) \vee (y \otimes z)$ ;
- (8)  $(x \otimes y) \oplus (y \otimes z) \geq x \otimes z$ ,  $(y \otimes z) \oplus (x \otimes y) \geq x \otimes z$ .

Now, we briefly recall the necessary facts concerning ideals of DRL-monoids (see [14] and [16]). Let  $A$  be any DRL-monoid. We define the *absolute value* of  $a \in A$  via  $|a| = a \vee (0 \otimes a)$ . A non-empty subset  $I$  of  $A$  is said to be an *ideal* in  $A$  if

- (i)  $a \oplus b \in I$  whenever  $a, b \in I$ ,
- (ii) if  $|b| \leq |a|$  and  $a \in I$  then  $b \in I$ .

In the case that  $A$  is bounded we have  $|a| = a$  for all  $a \in A$ , and therefore any ideal in  $A$  is an ideal in the lattice  $\mathfrak{l}(A) = (A; \vee, \wedge)$ . By [14], the ideals of any DRL-monoid  $A$  form an algebraic distributive lattice  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ . If  $I(X)$  denotes the ideal generated by  $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq A$ , then

$$I(X) = \{a \in A : |a| \leq |x_1| \oplus \dots \oplus |x_n| \text{ for some } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We call an ideal  $H$  *normal* if  $(a \otimes b) \vee 0 \in H$  iff  $(a \oslash b) \vee 0 \in H$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between the normal ideals of any DRL-monoid and its congruence relations under which a normal ideal  $H$  corresponds to the congruence  $\Theta_H$  defined by

$$(a, b) \in \Theta_H \quad \text{iff} \quad (a \otimes b) \vee (b \otimes a) \in H.$$

We write  $a/H$  instead of  $[a]_{\Theta_H}$  and  $A/H$  for the quotient DRL-monoid  $A/\Theta_H$ .

For a bounded DRL-monoid  $A$ , denote by  $B(A)$  the set of all  $a \in A$  such that the complement  $a'$  of  $a$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{l}(A)$  exists. By [17],  $B(A)$  is a subalgebra of  $A$  in which  $a \oplus b = a \vee b$  and  $a \otimes b = a \wedge b' = a \oslash b$ ; thus  $B(A)$  is a Boolean algebra. Moreover, if  $X \subseteq B(A)$  then  $\langle X \rangle$ , the lattice ideal in  $\mathfrak{l}(A)$  generated by  $X$ , is a normal ideal of  $A$ . Note that in general  $\langle X \rangle$  need not be an ideal in  $A$ .

An ideal  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$  is *prime* if, for all  $J, K \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ , if  $J \cap K \subseteq I$  then  $J \subseteq I$  or  $K \subseteq I$ ; equivalently,  $I$  is prime iff  $|a| \wedge |b| \in I$  implies  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ . The set of all proper prime ideals in  $A$  is denoted by  $\text{Spec}(A)$ .

## 2. WEAK BOOLEAN PRODUCTS

Let  $\{A_x : x \in X\}$  be a non-empty family of DRL-monoids. Recall that a DRL-monoid  $A$  is a *subdirect product* of  $\{A_x : x \in X\}$  if there is an embedding  $\varphi$  of  $A$  into the direct product  $\prod\{A_x : x \in X\}$  such that the homomorphisms  $\varphi\pi_x$  map  $A$  onto  $A_x$  for all  $x \in X$ , where  $\pi_x$  is the natural projection of  $\prod\{A_x : x \in X\}$  onto  $A_x$ .

A *weak Boolean product* of a collection  $\{A_x : x \in X\}$  of bounded DRL-monoids is their subdirect product  $A$  such that  $X$  can be endowed with a Boolean topology (i.e.,  $X$  is a compact  $T_2$ -space in which the clopen subsets form a basis) having the following properties:

- (i) for all  $a, b \in A$ , the set  $[[a = b]] = \{x \in X : a(x) = b(x)\}$  is open in  $X$ ,
- (ii) if  $U$  is a clopen subset of  $X$  and  $a, b \in A$ , then  $a \upharpoonright_U \cup b \upharpoonright_{X \setminus U} \in A$ , where

$$(a \upharpoonright_U \cup b \upharpoonright_{X \setminus U})(x) = \begin{cases} a(x) & \text{if } x \in U, \\ b(x) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus U. \end{cases}$$

We proved in [14] that  $a = b$  iff  $(a \otimes b) \vee (b \otimes a) = 0$ , and therefore, (i) can be replaced by the condition

- (i')  $[[a = 0]]$  is an open subset in  $X$  for all  $a \in A$ .

Since DRL-monoids form a variety, it follows that a weak Boolean product of bounded DRL-monoids is still a bounded DRL-monoid.

Let now  $B$  be any Boolean algebra and let  $\Omega(B)$  be the Stone space of  $B$ , i.e. the set of all maximal (= proper prime) ideals in  $B$  equipped with the topology whose

basis consists of the sets of the form  $\sigma(a) = \{P \in \Omega(B) : a \notin P\}$ . It is well-known that  $\Omega(B)$  is a Boolean space which determines  $B$  to within isomorphism.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a non-trivial bounded DRI-monoid and let  $C$  be a subalgebra of  $B(A)$ . Then  $A$  is isomorphic to a weak Boolean product of  $\{A/I(P) : P \in \Omega(C)\}$ .*

**Proof.** In order to see that  $A$  is a subdirect product of  $\{A/I(P) : P \in \Omega(C)\}$ , we have to show that  $\bigcap \{I(P) : P \in \Omega(C)\} = \{0\}$ .

Let  $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $a \notin P$  for  $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$ . Then  $P \cap C$  is obviously a proper prime ideal of  $C$ . Assume that  $a \in I(P \cap C) = (P \cap C]$ , i.e.  $a \leq c$  for some  $c \in P \cap C$ . Hence  $a \wedge c' = 0 \in P$ , which entails  $c' \in P$  since  $a \notin P$ . Then  $1 = c \vee c' = c \oplus c' \in P$ , a contradiction. Thus  $a \notin I(P \cap C)$  proving  $\bigcap \{I(P) : P \in \Omega(C)\} = \{0\}$ .

In what follows, we will regard  $A$  as the corresponding subalgebra of the direct product  $\prod \{A/I(P) : P \in \Omega(C)\}$ ; so  $a \in A$  is a mapping  $P \mapsto a(P) = a/I(P)$ ,  $P \in \Omega(C)$ .

For (i), we have to prove that, for any  $a \in A$ ,  $[[a = 0]]$  is an open set in  $\Omega(C)$ . Let  $P \in [[a = 0]]$ , i.e.  $a(P) = a/I(P) = I(P)$ , so  $a \in I(P)$  and there is  $p \in P$  with  $a \leq p$ . Therefore,  $P \in \sigma(p') = [[p = 0]] \subseteq [[a = 0]]$  proving that  $[[a = 0]]$  is open.

For (ii), let  $U$  be a clopen subset of  $\Omega(C)$ . Then  $U = \sigma(c)$  for some  $c \in C$  since  $U$  is a compact clopen set. If  $a, b \in A$  then  $a \upharpoonright_U \cup b \upharpoonright_{\Omega(C) \setminus U} = (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c') \in A$ . Indeed, if  $P \in U$  then  $(a \upharpoonright_U \cup b \upharpoonright_{\Omega(C) \setminus U})(P) = a/I(P) = (a/I(P) \wedge c/I(P)) \vee (b/I(P) \wedge c'/I(P))$  since  $b/I(P) \wedge c'/I(P) = b/I(P) \wedge I(P) = I(P)$  and  $a/I(P) \wedge c/I(P) = a/I(P)$  because  $a \otimes c \leq c' \in I(P)$ , i.e.  $a/I(P) \leq c/I(P)$ . Similarly for  $P \in \Omega(C) \setminus U$ .  $\square$

An ideal  $I$  of a bounded DRI-monoid  $A$  is called *Stonean* if for every  $a \in I$  there exists  $b \in B(A) \cap I$  such that  $a \leq b$ , i.e.  $I = (B(A) \cap I]$ . In addition,  $I$  is a *maximal Stonean ideal* of  $A$  if  $B(A) \cap I$  is a maximal (= prime) ideal of  $B(A)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a bounded DRI-monoid,  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B(A)$ . Then*

$$1 \otimes (a \vee b) = (1 \otimes a) \wedge (1 \otimes b), \quad 1 \otimes (a \vee b) = (1 \otimes a) \wedge (1 \otimes b).$$

**Proof.** First observe that  $(a \otimes b) \wedge (b \otimes a) \leq (1 \otimes b) \wedge b = 0$ , so  $(a \otimes b) \wedge (b \otimes a) = 0$  since  $b \in B(A)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes (a \vee b) &= (1 \otimes (a \vee b)) \oplus ((a \otimes b) \wedge (b \otimes a)) \\ &= ((1 \otimes (a \vee b)) \oplus (a \otimes b)) \wedge ((1 \otimes (a \vee b)) \oplus (b \otimes a)) \\ &= ((1 \otimes (a \vee b)) \oplus ((a \vee b) \otimes b)) \wedge ((1 \otimes (a \vee b)) \oplus ((a \vee b) \otimes a)) \\ &\geq (1 \otimes b) \wedge (1 \otimes a) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1.3 (7) and (8). The other inequality is obvious.  $\square$

An ideal  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$  is called a *direct factor* of  $A$  if there is an ideal  $J \in \mathcal{I}(A)$  such that the mapping  $(a, b) \mapsto a \oplus b$  is an isomorphism of the direct product  $I \times J$  onto  $A$ , in which case we write  $A = I \oplus J$ . In other words,  $A = I \times J$  and  $I$  is identified with  $\{(a, 0) : a \in I\}$  and  $J$  with  $\{(0, a) : a \in J\}$ . By [19], Proposition 3.2.3,  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$  is a direct factor if and only if  $I \vee I^\perp = A$ , where  $I^\perp = \{x \in A : |x| \wedge |a| = 0 \text{ for all } a \in I\}$  is the pseudo-complement of  $I$  in the ideal lattice  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ . Therefore, given a bounded DRI-monoid  $A$ , if  $a \in B(A)$  then  $A = (a] \oplus (a']$ . We have obtained

**Proposition 2.3.** *A bounded DRI-monoid  $A$  is directly indecomposable if and only if  $B(A) = \{0, 1\}$ .*

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a bounded DRI-monoid. If  $I$  is a maximal Stonean ideal of  $A$  then  $A/I$  is directly indecomposable.*

*Proof.* Since  $I$  is a Stonean ideal of  $A$ , it is normal.

Let  $a \in A$  be such that  $a/I \in B(A/I)$ . Then  $a/I \wedge (1/I \otimes a/I) = (a \wedge (1 \otimes a))/I = I$ , so that  $a \wedge (1 \otimes a) \in I$ . Hence  $a \wedge (1 \otimes a) \leq b$  for some  $b \in B(A) \cap I$ . Let  $c = a \vee b$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} c \wedge (1 \otimes c) &= (a \vee b) \wedge (1 \otimes (a \vee b)) \\ &= (a \vee b) \wedge (1 \otimes a) \wedge (1 \otimes b) \\ &= (a \wedge (1 \otimes a) \wedge (1 \otimes b)) \vee (b \wedge (1 \otimes a) \wedge (1 \otimes b)) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which yields  $c \in B(A)$ . Since  $B(A) \cap I$  is a prime ideal of the Boolean algebra  $B(A)$ , we have either  $c \in B(A) \cap I$  or  $c' \in B(A) \cap I$ . If  $c \in B(A) \cap I$  then  $a \in B(A) \cap I$  as  $a \leq c$ . Then clearly  $a/I = I$ . If  $c' \in B(A) \cap I$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes a) \vee b &= ((1 \otimes a) \vee b) \wedge ((1 \otimes b) \vee b) \\ &= ((1 \otimes a) \wedge (1 \otimes b)) \vee b \\ &= (1 \otimes (a \vee b)) \vee b \\ &= (1 \otimes c) \vee b \in B(A) \cap I. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $1 \otimes a \in I$ , whence  $1/I \otimes a/I = (1 \otimes a)/I = I$ , so  $1/I \leq a/I$ , i.e.  $1/I = a/I$ . In either case,  $B(A/I) = \{I, 1/I\}$ , which entails that  $A/I$  is directly indecomposable by the previous proposition.  $\square$



**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $A$  be a weak Boolean product of a non-empty family  $\{A_x: x \in X\}$  of non-trivial bounded DR1-monoids. Define

$$C = \{a \in A: a(x) \in \{0_x, 1_x\} \text{ for all } x \in X\}$$

and

$$P_x = \{a \in C: a(x) = 0_x\}, \quad x \in X.$$

Then

- (i)  $C$  is a subalgebra of  $B(A)$ ;
- (ii) the mapping  $\varphi: x \mapsto P_x$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$  onto  $\Omega(C)$ ;
- (iii) for any  $x \in X$ ,  $A_x$  is isomorphic to  $A/I(P_x)$ ;
- (iv)  $C = B(A)$  if and only if all the algebras  $A_x$  are directly indecomposable.

*Proof.* (i) This should be evident.

(ii) First, we prove that  $P_x \in \Omega(C)$ . It is obvious that  $P_x$  is a proper ideal of  $C$  since  $1 \notin P_x$ . Assume that  $a \wedge b \in P_x$  for  $a, b \in C$ . Then  $(a \wedge b)(x) = a(x) \wedge b(x) = 0_x$ , which yields  $a(x) = 0_x$  or  $b(x) = 0_x$ , and so  $a \in P_x$  or  $b \in P_x$ . Thus  $P_x$  is prime.

Let  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ . Since  $X$  is a Boolean space (= a  $T_2$ -space with a basis of clopen sets), there exists a clopen subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$ . One readily sees that  $a = 0|_U \cup 1|_{X \setminus U} \in A$ . Moreover,  $a \in C$  as  $a(z) \in \{0_z, 1_z\}$  for each  $z \in X$ . From  $x \in U$  it follows that  $a(x) = 0_x$ , so  $a \in P_x$ , and from  $y \notin U$  we obtain  $a(y) = 1_y$ , so  $a \notin P_y$ . Thus  $P_x \neq P_y$  and the mapping  $\varphi: x \mapsto P_x$  is one-to-one.

Assume that  $\varphi$  is not onto, i.e., there exists  $P \in \Omega(C)$  with  $P \neq P_x$  for any  $x \in X$ . We have  $P_x \not\subseteq P$  since both  $P_x$  and  $P$  are maximal ideals of  $B(A)$ . Hence for any  $x \in X$ , there is  $a_x \in P_x$  such that  $a_x \notin P$ . Then  $a_x(x) = 0_x$ , so  $x \in [[a_x = 0]]$ , which entails  $X = \bigcup \{[[a_x = 0]]: x \in X\}$ . Consequently,  $X = [[a_{x_1} = 0]] \cup \dots \cup [[a_{x_n} = 0]]$  for some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ . It is easily seen that  $X = [[a_{x_1} = 0]] \cup \dots \cup [[a_{x_n} = 0]] \subseteq [[a_{x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{x_n} = 0]]$ , whence  $X = [[a_{x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{x_n} = 0]]$ , and thus  $a_{x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{x_n} = 0$ . But  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $C$ , and hence  $a_{x_i} \in P$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , which contradicts  $a_{x_i} \notin P$  for any  $x \in X$ .

We have proved that  $\varphi$  is a bijection of  $X$  onto  $\Omega(C)$ .

Let  $c \in C$ . Then  $x \in \varphi^{-1}(\sigma(c))$  iff  $P_x \in \sigma(c)$  iff  $c \notin P_x$  iff  $c' \in P_x$  iff  $x \in [[c' = 0]]$ ; thus  $\varphi^{-1}(\sigma(c)) = [[c' = 0]]$ . Since the sets  $\sigma(c)$  form a basis for  $\Omega(C)$ , it follows that  $\varphi$  is continuous. Since both  $X$  and  $\Omega(C)$  are compact  $T_2$ -spaces,  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism.

(iii) Denote  $\text{Ker}(\pi_x) = \{a \in A: a(x) = 0_x\}$ , where  $\pi_x$  is the natural map of  $A$  onto  $A_x$ . It is clear that  $\text{Ker}(\pi_x)$  is a normal ideal of  $A$  and  $A/\text{Ker}(\pi_x) \cong A_x$ . We will show that  $I(P_x) = \text{Ker}(\pi_x)$ .

If  $a \in I(P_x)$  then  $a \leq b$  for some  $b \in P_x$ , whence  $a(x) \leq b(x) = 0_x$ , so  $a(x) = 0_x$  proving  $I(P_x) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\pi_x)$ .

Conversely, let  $a \in \text{Ker}(\pi_x)$ . Then  $x \in [[a = 0]]$  so that  $P_x = \varphi(x) \subseteq \varphi([[a = 0]])$ , where  $\varphi([[a = 0]])$  is an open set in  $\Omega(C)$ . Therefore, there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $P_x \in \sigma(c') \subseteq \varphi([[a = 0]])$ . To complete the proof of (iii) it suffices to show that  $a \leq c$ , which along with  $c \in P_x$  (we have  $c' \notin P_x$ ) entails  $a \in I(P_x)$ .

Note that if  $z \in [[c = 0]]$  then  $c \in P_z$ , i.e.  $P_z \in \sigma(c') \subseteq \varphi([[a = 0]])$ , and consequently,  $z \in [[a = 0]]$  since  $\varphi$  is a bijection; so  $[[c = 0]] \subseteq [[a = 0]]$ . Therefore, if  $z \notin [[a = 0]]$  then  $z \notin [[c = 0]]$ , which yields  $z \in [[c' = 0]]$  since  $c(z) \in \{0_z, 1_z\}$  and  $c(z) \neq 0_z$ . Hence  $X = [[a = 0]] \cup [[c' = 0]] \subseteq [[a \wedge c' = 0]]$ , thus  $a \wedge c' = 0$  proving  $a \leq c$ .

(iv) If  $C = B(A)$  then for any  $x \in X$ ,  $I(P_x)$  is a maximal Stonean ideal of  $A$ . Indeed,  $P_x \in \Omega(B(A))$ , so  $P_x$  is maximal, whence it follows that  $I(P_x)$  is a maximal Stonean ideal of  $A$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2.4,  $A_x \cong A/I(P_x)$  is directly indecomposable.

Conversely, suppose that each  $A_x$  is directly indecomposable, but  $C \neq B(A)$ . Let  $a \in B(A) \setminus C$ , i.e., there is  $x \in X$  with  $a(x) \notin \{0_x, 1_x\}$ . However,  $a \in B(A)$  entails  $a(x) \in B(A_x)$ . Hence  $B(A_x) \neq \{0_x, 1_x\}$  showing that  $A_x$  is not directly indecomposable, the desired contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Every non-trivial bounded DRI-monoid is isomorphic with a weak Boolean product of directly indecomposable bounded DRI-monoids.*

**Corollary 2.7.** *If a non-trivial bounded DRI-monoid  $A$  is a weak Boolean product of bounded DRI-chains, then each maximal Stonean ideal of  $A$  is prime. In addition, if  $A$  satisfies the equations (\*) then  $A$  is a weak Boolean product of bounded DRI-chains if and only if every maximal Stonean ideal is prime.*

**Proof.** We have  $A_x \cong A/I(P_x)$ . By [16], Corollary 2.10, if  $A/I(P_x)$  is a DRI-chain then  $I(P_x)$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ . Moreover, in view of [16], Theorem 2.12, if it fulfils (\*) then a normal ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is prime if and only if  $A/I$  is linearly ordered.  $\square$

### 3. PRIME SPECTRA

Prime spectra of pseudo MV-algebras and DRI-monoids were examined by the authors in [25] and [18], respectively.

Recall that  $\text{Spec}(A)$  is the poset of all proper prime ideals of a DRI-monoid  $A$ ; it is partially ordered by set-inclusion. The *prime spectrum* of  $A$  is  $\text{Spec}(A)$  endowed with the topology  $\{\mathcal{S}(X) : X \in \mathcal{I}(A)\}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}(X) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(A) : X \not\subseteq P\}$ . We note that  $\mathcal{S}(X) = \mathcal{S}(I(X))$  for any  $X \subseteq A$ . Although  $\text{Spec}(A)$  does not characterize  $A$ , it

does give a great deal of information about  $A$ , especially if  $A$  fulfils the identities  $(*)$  (see [16]).

We wish to generalize [22], Theorem 2, stating that the prime spectrum of a weak Boolean product of commutative bounded DRI-monoids is the cardinal sum of the prime spectra of its components.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a lower-bounded DRI-monoid and  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ . If  $(a \circ b) \vee (b \circ a) \in I$  and  $a \in I$ , then  $b \in I$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$b \leq ((a \circ b) \oplus a) \vee b \leq ((a \circ b) \oplus a) \vee ((b \circ a) \oplus a) = ((a \circ b) \vee (b \circ a)) \oplus a.$$

Therefore, if both  $(a \circ b) \vee (b \circ a)$  and  $a$  belong to  $I$ , then so does  $b$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a weak Boolean product of a family  $\{A_x: x \in X\}$  of bounded DRI-monoids. Then the ordered prime spectrum of  $A$ ,  $\text{Spec}(A)$ , is isomorphic to the cardinal sum of the ordered prime spectra  $\{\text{Spec}(A_x): x \in X\}$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $I_x = \text{Ker}(\pi_x) = \{a \in A: a(x) = 0_x\}$  for any  $x \in X$ . Let  $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$  and assume that  $I_x \not\subseteq P$  for all  $x \in X$ , i.e., for any  $x \in X$  there exists  $b_x \in I_x \setminus P$ . Then clearly  $X = \bigcup\{[b_x = 0]: x \in X\}$ , and consequently,  $X = [[b_{x_1} = 0] \cup \dots \cup [b_{x_n} = 0]]$  for some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ . We also have  $X = [[b_{x_1} = 0] \cup \dots \cup [b_{x_n} = 0]] \subseteq [[b_{x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge b_{x_n} = 0]]$ , whence  $b_{x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge b_{x_n} = 0 \in P$ , which entails  $b_{x_i} \in P$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , since  $P$  is a prime ideal; a contradiction. Thus given  $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$ , there exists  $x \in X$  with  $I_x \subseteq P$ . We are going to show that this  $x$  is unique. For that purpose, let  $x \neq y$ ,  $I_x \subseteq P$  and  $I_y \subseteq P$ . Since  $X$  is a Boolean space, there exists a clopen subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in V$  while  $y \in X \setminus V$ . By the condition (ii),  $0|_V \cup 1|_{X \setminus V} \in A$ , and in addition,  $0|_V \cup 1|_{X \setminus V} \in I_x \subseteq P$  as  $(0|_V \cup 1|_{X \setminus V})(x) = 0_x$ . Similarly  $1|_V \cup 0|_{X \setminus V} \in I_y \subseteq P$ . However, it is easily seen that  $(0|_V \cup 1|_{X \setminus V}) \oplus (1|_V \cup 0|_{X \setminus V}) = 1$ , so  $1 \in P$ , the desired contradiction.

Let now  $\mathcal{H}(I_x) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(A): I_x \subseteq P\}$  for  $x \in X$ . We have proved that for any  $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$ , there exists a unique  $x \in X$  such that  $I_x \subseteq P$ . Therefore it is obvious that the ordered prime spectrum  $\text{Spec}(A)$  is isomorphic to the cardinal sum of the posets  $\mathcal{H}(I_x)$ ,  $x \in X$ . In order to complete the proof, we will show that  $\text{Spec}(A_x)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(I_x)$  are isomorphic.

Let  $P \in \mathcal{H}(I_x)$  and  $\psi_x(P) = \{c(x): c \in P\}$ . One readily sees that  $\psi_x(P) \in \mathcal{I}(A_x)$ . Moreover, if  $1_x \in \psi_x(P)$  then  $1_x = c(x)$  for some  $c \in P$ , so  $((c \circ 1) \vee (1 \circ c))(x) = 0_x$  and hence  $(c \circ 1) \vee (1 \circ c) \in I_x \subseteq P$ . But by Lemma 3.1 this yields  $1 \in P$ , which contradicts  $P \in \text{Spec}(A)$ . Thus  $\psi_x(P)$  is a proper ideal of  $A_x$ .

Let  $u, v \in A_x$  and assume that  $u \wedge v \in \psi_x(P)$ . Then there exist  $a, b \in A$  and  $c \in P$  such that  $a(x) = u$ ,  $b(x) = v$  and  $c(x) = u \wedge v = (a \wedge b)(x)$ . Clearly,  $((a \wedge b) \odot c) \vee (c \odot (a \wedge b))(x) = 0_x$ , and so  $((a \wedge b) \odot c) \vee (c \odot (a \wedge b)) \in I_x \subseteq P$ , which yields  $a \wedge b \in P$  by Lemma 3.1. Since  $P$  is prime, we have  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$  so that  $u \in \psi_x(P)$  or  $v \in \psi_x(P)$ . Therefore  $\psi_x(P)$  is a proper prime ideal of  $A_x$  and  $\psi_x: P \mapsto \psi_x(P)$  is a (one-to-one) mapping from  $\mathcal{H}(I_x)$  into  $\text{Spec}_{c_x}(A_x)$ .

Let  $Q \in \text{Spec}(A_x)$  and put  $\varrho_x(Q) = \{a \in A: a(x) \in Q\}$ . It can be easily seen that  $\varrho_x(Q)$  is a proper prime ideal of  $A$  with  $I_x \subseteq \varrho_x(Q)$ , that is,  $\varrho_x(Q) \in \mathcal{H}(I_x)$ . In addition,  $\psi_x(\varrho_x(Q)) = Q$  proving that  $\psi_x$  is a bijection; obviously,  $\psi_x^{-1} = \varrho_x$ . Since both  $\psi_x$  and  $\varrho_x$  preserve set-inclusion,  $\psi_x: \mathcal{H}(I_x) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_x)$  is the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

### References

- [1] *P. Bahls, J. Cole, N. Galatos, P. Jipsen, C. Tsinakis*: Cancellative residuated lattices. *Algebra Univers.* 50 (2003), 83–106.
- [2] *R. Balbes, P. Dwinger*: *Distributive Lattices*. University of Missouri Press, Columbia, 1974. zbl
- [3] *R. L. O. Cignoli, I. M. L. D’Ottaviano, D. Mundici*: *Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning*. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000. zbl
- [4] *R. L. O. Cignoli, A. Torrens*: The poset of prime l ideals of an Abelian l group. *J. Algebra* 184 (1996), 604–612. zbl
- [5] *A. Di Nola, G. Georgescu, A. Iorgulescu*: Pseudo BL-algebras: Part I. *Mult.-Valued Log.* 8 (2002), 673–714. zbl
- [6] *A. Di Nola, G. Georgescu, A. Iorgulescu*: Pseudo BL-algebras: Part II. *Mult.-Valued Log.* 8 (2002), 717–750. zbl
- [7] *A. Di Nola, G. Georgescu, L. Leuştean*: Boolean products of BL-algebras. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 251 (2000), 106–131. zbl
- [8] *N. Galatos, C. Tsinakis*: Generalized MV-algebras. *J. Algebra* 283 (2005), 254–291. zbl
- [9] *G. Georgescu, A. Iorgulescu*: Pseudo MV-algebras. *Mult.-Valued Log.* 6 (2001), 95–135. zbl
- [10] *P. Hájek*: *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998. zbl
- [11] *P. Hájek*: Observations on non-commutative fuzzy logic. *Soft Comput.* 8 (2003), 38–43. zbl
- [12] *P. Jipsen, C. Tsinakis*: A survey of residuated lattices. *Ordered Algebraic Structures* (J. Martínez, ed.), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002, 19–56. zbl
- [13] *T. Kovář*: A general theory of dually residuated lattice-ordered monoids. Ph.D. Thesis, Palacký University, Olomouc, 1996.
- [14] *J. Kühr*: Ideals of non-commutative DRI-monoids. *Czech. Math. J.* 55 (2005), 97–111. zbl
- [15] *J. Kühr*: Pseudo BL-algebras and DRI-monoids. *Math. Bohem.* 128 (2003), 199–208. zbl
- [16] *J. Kühr*: Prime ideals and polars in DRI-monoids and pseudo BL-algebras. *Math. Slovaca* 53 (2003), 233–246. zbl
- [17] *J. Kühr*: Remarks on ideals in lower-bounded dually residuated lattice-ordered monoids. *Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. Rer. Nat., Mathematica* 43 (2004), 105–112. zbl
- [18] *J. Kühr*: Spectral topologies of dually residuated lattice-ordered monoids. *Math. Bohem.* 129 (2004), 379–391. zbl
- [19] *J. Kühr*: Dually residuated lattice-ordered monoids. Ph.D. Thesis, Palacký University, Olomouc, 2003.
- [20] *J. Rachůnek*: DRI-semigroups and MV-algebras. *Czech. Math. J.* 48 (1998), 365–372. zbl

- [21] *J. Rachůnek*: MV-algebras are categorically equivalent to a class of  $\text{DRl}_{1(i)}$ -semigroups. *Math. Bohem.* 123 (1998), 437–441. zbl
- [22] *J. Rachůnek*: Ordered prime spectra of bounded DRl-monoids. *Math. Bohem.* 125 (2000), 505–509. zbl
- [23] *J. Rachůnek*: A duality between algebras of basic logic and bounded representable DRl-monoids. *Math. Bohem.* 126 (2001), 561–569. zbl
- [24] *J. Rachůnek*: A non-commutative generalization of MV-algebras. *Czech. Math. J.* 52 (2002), 255–273. zbl
- [25] *J. Rachůnek*: Prime spectra of non-commutative generalizations of MV-algebras. *Algebra Univers.* 48 (2002), 151–169. zbl
- [26] *K. L. N. Swamy*: Dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups. *Math. Ann.* 159 (1965), 105–114. zbl

*Author's address:* Jan Kühr, Jiří Rachůnek, Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Science, Palacký University Olomouc, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic, e-mail: [kuhr@inf.upol.cz](mailto:kuhr@inf.upol.cz), [rachunek@inf.upol.cz](mailto:rachunek@inf.upol.cz).