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# ABOUT VARIETIES OF WEAKLY ABELIAN $l$-GROUPS 

S. A. GURCHENKOV


#### Abstract

For every prime $p$ a variety of weakly abelian $l$-groups which is not generated by the set of itself nilpotent $l$-groups is constructed.


A lattice ordered group $G$ is called weakly abelian if the $l$-group $G$ satisfies the identity $\left(|x|^{-1}|y||x| \wedge|y|^{-2}\right) \vee e=e$. It is well known that every weakly abelian $l$-group $G$ is representable [1] and that every locally nilpotent $l$-group $G$ is weakly abelian [2]. The following question is known in the theory of $l$-varietes:

Let $\mathcal{N}_{n}$ be the variety of all nilpotent $l$-groups of class $\leqq n$ and let $W_{a}$ be the variety of all weakly abelian $l$-groups. Is this equality $W_{a}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_{n}$ true?

Here for every prime $p$ we construct a variety of weakly abelian $l$-groups $\mathcal{M}_{p}$ which is not generated by the set of itself nilpotent $l$-groups.

Let $W$ be a wreath product $\langle a\rangle \imath\langle b\rangle$ of infinite cyclic groups $\langle a\rangle,\langle b\rangle$. It is known that $W$ admits a weakly abelian total order $P$. Let $T$ denote a subgroup $\prod_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\langle b^{-i} a b^{i}\right\rangle$ of group $W$ with total order which is induced on $T$ by the total order $P$ of group $W$. And let $A=\langle c\rangle \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\times} T$ be a lexicographic product of an infinite cyclic group $\langle c\rangle$ and totally ordered group $T$. Now we define two automorphisms $\alpha, \beta$ of group $A$ as follows: $c^{\alpha}=c, a_{n}^{\alpha}=a_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, c^{\beta}=c$,

$$
a_{n}^{\beta}= \begin{cases}a_{n} c, & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod p) \\ a_{n}, & \text { if } n \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)\end{cases}
$$

where $a_{n}$ denotes an element $b^{-n} a b^{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
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Lemma 1. Automorphisms $\alpha, \beta$ of group $A$ preserve the total order on $A$.
Proof. For every element $u=u_{1} c^{n}, u \in A$, where $u_{1} \in T, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $u^{\alpha}=u_{1}^{\alpha}\left(c^{n}\right)^{\alpha}=u_{1}^{b} c^{n}$. But in the $l$-group $A u \geq e$ if and only if $u_{1} \geq e$ in $T$, or $u_{1}=e$ and $c^{n} \geq e$ in $\langle c\rangle$. Since conjugation by $b$ in $\langle a\rangle 2\langle b\rangle$ (and, particularly, in $T$ ) is an order automorphism of $\langle a\rangle\langle\langle b\rangle$, then $u \geq e$ follows $u^{\alpha} \geq e$ in $A$. Hence, $\alpha$ is an order automorphism of $A$. Automorphism $\beta$ acts as the identity in the factor-group $A /\langle c\rangle$ and in the group $\langle c\rangle$, so that

$$
u^{\beta}=u_{1}^{\beta}\left(c^{n}\right)^{\beta}= \begin{cases}u_{1} c^{m} c^{n} \quad \text { for some } \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, & \text { if } u_{1} \neq e \\ c^{n}, & \text { if } u_{1}=e\end{cases}
$$

But $u_{1} \gg c$ in $A$ and, hence, $u \geq e$ if and only if $u^{\beta} \geq e$. The proof is completed.

Let now $G$ denote a subgroup $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ of the group order-preserving automorphisms Aut $A$ of the abelian totally ordered group $A$.

LEMMA 2. The group $G$ can be described in terms of generators and relations as:

$$
G=\left\langle\alpha, \beta \|\left[\alpha^{p}, \alpha^{-i} \beta a^{i}\right]=e,\left[\alpha^{-i} \beta \alpha^{i}, \alpha^{-j} \beta \alpha^{j}\right]=e, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\rangle
$$

Proof. In the group $G$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n}^{\alpha^{p} \beta}=a_{n+p}^{\beta}= \begin{cases}a_{n+p} c, & \text { if } p+n \equiv 0(\bmod p), \\
a_{n+p}, & \text { if } p+n \not \equiv 0(\bmod p) .\end{cases} \\
& a_{n}^{\beta \alpha^{p}}= \begin{cases}a_{n}^{\alpha^{p}} c^{\alpha^{p}}=a_{n+p} c, & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod p), \\
a_{n}^{\alpha^{p}}=a_{n+p}, & \text { if } n \not \equiv 0(\bmod p) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

But $p+n \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ if and only if $n \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, hence $a_{n}^{\alpha^{p} \beta}=a_{n}^{\beta \alpha^{p}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $\alpha^{p} \beta=\beta \alpha^{p}$ in $G$. For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we now have $\alpha^{-i} \beta \alpha^{i}=\alpha^{-i} \alpha^{-p} \beta \alpha^{p} \alpha^{i}=\alpha^{-p} \alpha^{-i} \beta \alpha^{i} \alpha^{p}$, therefore, $\left[\alpha^{p}, \alpha^{-i} \beta \alpha^{i}\right]=e$.

In the same way we establish that the relations $\left[\alpha^{-i} \beta \alpha^{i}, \alpha^{-\jmath} \beta \alpha^{j}\right]=e$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ are true in $G$. Now it is not hard to see that every element $u$ in $G$ can be written in the form

$$
u=\alpha^{m} \alpha^{-(p-1)} \beta^{m_{1}} \alpha^{p-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \alpha^{-1} \beta^{m_{p-1}} \alpha \beta^{m_{p}}
$$

for some integers $m, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{p}$. Let us have in $G$ some relation $u=e$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we must have in $A$

$$
a_{n}=a_{n}^{u}=a_{n+m}^{\alpha^{-(p-1)} \beta^{m_{1}} \alpha^{p-1} \ldots . \ldots \alpha^{-1} \beta^{m_{p-1}} \alpha \beta^{m_{p}}}=a_{n+m} c^{m_{i}}
$$

where $n+m+i \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. But in $A a_{n}=a_{n+m} c^{m_{i}}$ if and only if $m=0$, $m_{i}=0$. Hence, choose $n=1,2, \ldots, p-1$, we immediately have $m=0$, $m_{1}=0, \ldots, m_{p}=0$. Therefore, every relation in $G$ follows from relations $\left[\alpha^{p}, \alpha^{-i} \beta \alpha^{i}\right]=e,\left[\alpha^{-i} \beta \alpha^{i}, \alpha^{-j} \beta \alpha^{j}\right]=e$. The proof is completed.

LEMMA 3. The group $G$ satisfies the identity $\left[x_{1}^{p}, x_{2}^{p}\right]=e$.
Proof. As it follows from Lemma 2, for any element $x_{i}, x_{i} \in G$, we have $x_{i}=\alpha^{n_{i}} f_{i}$ for some integer $n_{i}$ and some element $f_{i}, f_{i} \in G^{*}$, where

$$
G^{*}=\left\langle\beta, \alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{-(p-1)} \beta \alpha^{p-1}\right\rangle
$$

Hence,

$$
x_{i}^{p}=\left(\alpha^{n_{i}} f_{i}\right)^{p}=\alpha^{n_{i} p} u_{i}
$$

where $u_{i}=\alpha^{-n_{i}(p-1)} f_{i} \alpha^{n_{i}(p-1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot \alpha^{-n_{i}} f_{i} \alpha^{n_{i}} f_{i}$. As it follows from Lemma 2,

$$
\left[x_{1}^{p}, x_{2}^{p}\right]=\left[\alpha^{n_{1} p} u_{1}, \alpha^{n_{2} p} u_{2}\right]=\left[\alpha^{n_{1} p}, \alpha^{n_{2} p}\right]\left[\alpha^{n_{1} p}, u_{2}\right]\left[u_{1}, \alpha^{n_{2} p}\right]\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right]=e
$$

The proof is completed.
The group $G$ is solvable of class 2 (it follows from Lemma 2). Let $F$ be a free solvable of class 2 group with two generators $a_{\alpha}, a_{\beta}$, and let $\phi: F \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism such that $\phi\left(a_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha, \phi\left(a_{\beta}\right)=\beta$. Let $H$ denote a semidirect product $A \circ F$ of groups $A, F$, where for $a \in A$ and $f \in F a^{f}=a^{\phi(f)}$. It is well known that the free solvable of class 2 group $F$ admits some weakly abelian total order $Q$. Now we introduce a weakly abelian order on group $H$ as follows: for $f a \in H$, where $a \in A, f \in F$ let $f a \geq e$ in $H$ if and only if $f \geq e$ in $(F, Q)$, or $f=e$ and $a \geq e$ in $(A, P)$.

Lemma 4. A lattice ordered group $H$ satisfies the identity

$$
\left[\left[x_{1}^{p}, x_{2}^{p}\right],\left[x_{3}^{p}, x_{4}^{p}\right]\right]=e
$$

Proof. Consider a centralizer $C=C_{H}(A)$ of subgroup $A$ in the group $H$. It is easy to see that $C \supseteq A, C$ is normal in $H$, and $\operatorname{ker}(\phi) \subseteq C$. Let us shown that $C$ is an abelian subgroup. It is sufficient to show that $\operatorname{ker}(\phi)$ is abelian. A group $G$ admits representation

$$
G=\left\langle\alpha, \beta \|\left[\alpha^{-i} \beta \alpha^{i}, \alpha^{-j} \beta \alpha^{j}\right]=\left[\alpha^{p}, \beta\right]=e\right\rangle
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{ker}(\phi)$, as a normal subgroup of $F$, is generated by the set $X=\left\{\left[a_{\alpha}^{-i} a_{\beta} a_{\alpha}^{i}, a_{\alpha}^{-j} a_{\beta} a_{\alpha}^{j}\right],\left[a_{\alpha}^{p}, a_{\beta}\right], \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$, but $X \subseteq[F, F]$, a subgroup

## S. A. GURCHENKOV

$[F, F]$ is fully invariant in $F$ and abelian, and, hence, $\operatorname{ker}(\phi)=\mathrm{gII}_{\mathrm{I}}\langle\mathrm{X}\rangle^{F}$ is abelian. Let now $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ be any elements in $H$. As follows from Lemma 3 we have inclusions $\left[x_{1}^{p}, x_{2}^{p}\right] \in C,\left[x_{3}^{p}, x_{4}^{p}\right] \in C$. Hence, $\left[\left[x_{1}^{p}, x_{2}^{p}\right],\left[x_{3}^{p}, x_{4}^{p}\right]\right]=\epsilon$ because $C$ is abelian. Proof is completed.

Let now $\mathcal{M}_{p}$ denote a $l$-variety generated by the $l$-group $H$, and let $\mathcal{B}_{p}$ denote a subvariety of $\mathcal{M}_{p}$ generated by all nilpotent lattice ordered groups from $\mathcal{M}_{p}$.

Theorem. $\mathcal{M}_{p} \neq \mathcal{B}_{p}$.
Proof. It is not hard to see that the following identities are true in $\mathcal{M}_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left[y_{1}^{p} \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{k}^{p}, z_{1}^{p} \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{s}^{p}\right],\left[u_{1}^{p} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_{n}^{p}, v_{1}^{p} \cdot \ldots \cdot v_{m}^{p}\right]\right]=e, \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k, s, n, m$ are integers and $y_{i}, z_{j}, u_{t}, v_{q}$ are variables. Consider any nilpotent $l$-group $B, B \in \mathcal{M}_{p}$. The lattice ordered group $B$ satisfies the identities $(*)$, therefore, the identity $[[y, z],[u, v]]=e$ is true in subgroup $p B$ of group $B$, generated by the set $\left\{x^{p}, \quad x \in B\right\}$. As it follows from theorem of Baumslag [3], every identity of nilpotent torsion free group $p B$ must be true in nilpotent completion $(p B)^{*}$ of $p B$. But as it follows from the theorem of Mal'cev $[4], B \subseteq(p B)^{*}$, and, hence, the identity $[[y, z],[u, v]]=c$ is true in the $l$-group $B$. So, the identity $[[y, z],[u, v]]=e$ is true in the $l$-variety $\mathcal{B}_{p}$. Let now $y=a_{0}, z=a_{\alpha}, u=a_{\beta}^{-1}, v=a_{\alpha}^{-1}$. We have $[y, z]=a_{0}^{-1} a_{\alpha}^{-1} a_{0} a_{\alpha}=a_{0}^{-1} a_{0}^{\alpha}=a_{0}^{-1} a_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[[y, z],[u, v]] }
\end{aligned}=\left(a_{0}^{-1} a_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(a_{0}^{-1} a_{1}\right)^{[u, v]} .
$$

In both cases we have $[[y, z],[u, v]] \neq e$ in the $l$-group $H$. So $\mathcal{M}_{p} \neq \mathcal{B}_{p}$. The proof is completed.

Corollary. The $l$-variety $\mathcal{M}_{p}$ has no divisible embedding property.
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