Ladislav Bican; Aleš Drápal; Tomáš Kepka Cancellative relations and matrices

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 28 (1987), No. 1, 21--31

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142582

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Cancellative Relations and Matrices

L. BICAN, A. DRÁPAL AND T. KEPKA

Department of Mathematics, Charles University, Prague*)

Received 20 May 1986

In the paper, a connection between cancellative relations and certain matrices is investigated.

V článku se studuje souvislost mezi relacemi s krácením a jistými maticemi.

В статье изучается связь между отношениями со сокращением и некоторыми матрицами.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let N^* denote the set of non-negative integers, N that of positive integers and Z the ring of integers.

Let $n \in N$ and let r be an n-ary relation defined on a set M, i.e. $r \subseteq M^{(n)}$. We denote by $Q_i(r), 1 \leq i \leq n$, the set of $a \in M$ such that $(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n) \in c$ r for some $a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n \in M$ and we put $q_i(r) = \operatorname{card}(Q_i(r))$. The relation r is said to be cancellative if b = c whenever $1 \leq i \leq n, b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n, (b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, c, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n) \in r$.

Let $Q(r) = Q_1(r) \cup \ldots \cup Q_n(r)$ and let S be a semigroup with unit element 1_S . A mapping $\varphi: Q(r) \to S$ is said to be a homomorphism if $\varphi(a_1) \ldots \varphi(a_n) = 1_S$ for every $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in r$. The homomorphism φ is said to be trivial if card $(\varphi(Q_i(r))) = 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let G(+) be an Abelian group and let $1 \leq i \leq n$. A mapping $\varphi: Q(r) \to G(+)$ is said to be an *i*-homomorphism if $\varphi(a_1) + \ldots + \varphi(a_{i-1}) + \varphi(a_{i+1}) + \ldots + \varphi(a_n) = \varphi(a_i)$ for every $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in r$.

2. Matrices of special type

Throughout this paper, let R be a non-trivial associative and commutative ring with unit element 1_R . We denote by P = P(R) the prime subring of R, i.e. the subring generated by 1_R . Then P is isomorphic to Z_{σ} for uniquely determined $\sigma \in N^*$, $\sigma \neq 1$. Notice that σ is a prime number, provided $\sigma \neq 0$ and R is an integral domain.

1987

^{*)} Sokolovská 83, 186 00 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia.

For $m, n \in N$, let $\mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ designate the set of matrices of type (m, n) over R. Then $\mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ is a free R-module of rank equal to mn. If A(B, ...) is a matrix then $a_{ij}(b_{ij}, ...)$ will be the elements of $A(B, ...), a_i(b_i, ...)$ the rows and ${}_{j}a({}_{j}b, ...)$ the columns.

Consider the following conditions for a matrix $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$:

- (1) $a_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- (2) For every $1 \leq j \leq n$ there exists at least one $1 \leq i \leq m$ with $a_{ij} = 1$.
- Let $\alpha \in N$, $2 \leq \alpha \leq n + 2$.

(3, α) If $1 \leq i < k \leq m$ then $a_{ij} = 1 = a_{kj}$ for at most $\alpha - 2$ indices $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $\alpha \in N$, $\alpha \leq n$ and let $\beta \in N^{(\alpha)}$ be such that $\Sigma \beta = \beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_{\alpha} = n$.

(4, α , β) For every $1 \leq i \leq m$ there are exactly α indices t_1, \ldots, t_{α} with $1 \leq t_1 \leq \leq \beta_1 < t_2 \leq \beta_1 + \beta_2 < \ldots \leq \beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_{\alpha-1} < t_{\alpha} \leq \beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_{\alpha} = n$ and $a_{ij} = 1$ iff $j \in \{t_1, \ldots, t_{\alpha}\}$.

2.1. Lemma. (i) If A satisfies (1) then $A \in \mathfrak{M}(P, m, n)$.

(ii) If A satisfies (1) and (2) then A satisfies $(4, \alpha, \beta)$ for at most one ordered pair (α, β) with $1 \leq \alpha \leq n, \beta \in N^{(\alpha)}$ and $n = \Sigma\beta$.

Proof. (i) This is obvious.

(ii) Suppose that A satisfies (4, α, β) and (4, α', β'). Let β₁ < β'₁ and β₁ < j ≤ β'₁. Then it is easy to see that the column _ja is a zero column, a contradiction with (2). Hence β₁ = β'₁. Similarly, β₂ = β'₂, etc., and therefore α = α'.

2.2. Lemma. Let $3 \leq \alpha \leq n$, $(n - \alpha + 2)/2 < m \in N$, $\beta \in N^{(\alpha)}$, $\Sigma\beta = n$, be such that there exists a matrix $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ satisfying (1), (3, α) and (4, α , β). Then: (i) card ($\{1 \leq i \leq \alpha \mid \beta_i = 1\}$) $\leq \alpha - 3$.

(ii) $\alpha + 3 \leq n$ and $3 \leq m$.

Proof. Easy.

3. Annihilators

Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$. We denote by Mod (A, R) (resp. Mod (R, A)) the submodule generated by the rows (resp. columns) of A in the free R-module $R^{(n)}$ (resp. $R^{(m)}$). Further, let Ann $(A, R) = \{w \in R^{(n)} | Aw = 0\}$ and Ann $(R, A) = \{w \in R^{(m)} | wA = 0\}$. Then Ann (A, R) is a submodule of $R^{(n)}$ and Ann (R, A) is a submodule of $R^{(m)}$.

Now, suppose that $A \in \mathfrak{M}(P, m, n)$. Then there exist invertible matrices $C \in \mathfrak{M}(P, m, m)$ and $D \in \mathfrak{M}(P, n, n)$ such that the matrix B = CAD is diagonal and b_{ii} divides $b_{i+1, i+1}$ in P for all $1 \leq i \leq k = \min(m, n)$.

3.1. Lemma. $Rb_{11} \supseteq Rb_{22} \supseteq ... \supseteq Rb_{kk}$ and both the *R*-modules Mod (A, R) and Mod (R, A) are isomorphic to the outer direct sum $Rb_{11} \oplus ... \oplus Rb_{kk}$ of principal ideals of *R*.

Proof. Obvious.

3.2. Lemma. $(0:b_{11})_R \subseteq (0:b_{22})_R \subseteq \ldots \subseteq (0:b_{kk})_R$, the *R*-module Ann (A, R) is isomorphic to the outer direct sum $(0:b_{11})_R \oplus \ldots \oplus (0:b_{kk})_R \oplus R^{(n-k)}$ and the *R*-module Ann (R, A) is isomorphic to the outer direct sum $(0:b_{11})_R \oplus \ldots \oplus (0:b_{kk})_R \oplus R^{(m-k)}$ of annihilator ideals of *R*.

Proof. Obvious.

Although the invertible matrices C and D and the diagonal matrix B are not determined uniquely by A, it follows from the next lemma that the number of non-zero elements of B is determined uniquely by A. We denote this number by rank (A). So, $0 \leq \operatorname{rank}(A) \leq \min(m, n)$.

3.3. Lemma. Let $1 \in N$ and let $x_1, \ldots, x_l, y_1, \ldots, y_l \in R$ be such that $Rx_1 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq Rx_l, Ry_1 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq Ry_l$ and the *R*-modules $X = Rx_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Rx_l$ and $Y = Ry_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Ry_l$ are isomorphic. Then $Rx_1 \cong Ry_1, \ldots, Rx_l \cong Ry_l$.

Proof. We shall proceed by the induction on *l*. For l = 1 there is nothing to prove. Assume $l \ge 2$ and let φ be an isomorphism of X onto Y. Clearly, $\varphi(x_1) = \lambda y_1$ and $\varphi^{-1}(y_1) = \varrho x_1$ for some $\lambda, \varrho \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, $\varphi(\mathbb{R}x_1) = \mathbb{R}y_1$ and the R-modules $\mathbb{R}x_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{R}x_l \cong X/\mathbb{R}x_1$ and $\mathbb{R}y_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{R}y_l \cong Y/\mathbb{R}y_1$ are isomorphic.

3.4. Corollary. Suppose that either $\sigma = 0$ or σ is a prime. Then Ann (A, R) is a free *R*-module of rank equal to $n - \operatorname{rank}(A)$ and Ann (R, A) is a free *R*-module of rank equal to $m - \operatorname{rank}(A)$.

Let $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$ and let $\beta \in N^{(\alpha)}$ be such that $\Sigma \beta = n$. We denote by $R(\alpha, \beta)$ the set of all $w \in R^{(n)}$ such that $w_1 = \ldots = w_{\beta_1}, w_{\beta_1+1} = \ldots = w_{\beta_1+\beta_2}, \ldots, w_{\beta_1+\ldots+\beta_{\alpha-1}+1} = \ldots$ $\ldots = w_n$ and $w_{\beta_1} + w_{\beta_1+\beta_2} + \ldots + w_{\beta_1+\ldots+\beta_{\alpha-1}+1} + w_n = 0$. Obviously, $R(\alpha, \beta)$ is a submodule of $R^{(n)}$ and $R(\alpha, \beta)$ is a free *R*-module of rank $\alpha - 1$.

A matric $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ will be called (R, α, β) -flat if Ann $(A, R) = R(\alpha, \beta)$.

3.5. Lemma. Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ be a matrix satisfying (1) and $(4, \alpha, \beta)$. Then:

- (i) $R(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}(A, R)$.
- (ii) rank $(A) \leq n \alpha + 1$.
- (iii) rank $(A) = n \alpha + 1$ and $m \ge n \alpha + 1$, provided A is (R, α, β) -flat.

Proof. (i) This is an easy consequence of $(4, \alpha, \beta)$.

(ii) By (i), $P(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq \text{Ann}(A, P)$ and $P(\alpha, \beta)$ is isomorphic to $P^{(\alpha-1)}$. By 3.3, Ann (A, P) is isomorphic to $P^{(n-1)} \oplus M$ where l = rank(A) and M = $= (0: b_{11})_P \oplus \ldots \oplus (0: b_{ll})_P$. In particular, bM = 0, $b = b_{ll}$. If $\sigma = 0$ then M = 0 and clearly $\alpha - 1 \leq n - l$. Suppose that $\sigma \neq 0$. Then the module $(Pb)^{(n-1)}$ contains a submodule isomorphic to $(Pb)^{(\alpha-1)}$, and hence $r^{\alpha-1} \leq r^{n-1}$, $r = \text{card}(Pb) \geq 2$, r finite, so that $\alpha - 1 \leq n - l$. (iii) Put $l = \operatorname{rank}(A)$. By (ii), $l \leq n - \alpha + 1$. By 3.3, Ann (A, R) possesses a free direct summand of rank n - l. But Ann $(A, R) = R(\alpha, \beta)$ is a free module of rank $\alpha - 1$. Consequently, $n - l \leq \alpha - 1$ and $n - \alpha + 1 \leq l$.

3.6. Lemma. Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ be a matrix satisfying (1) and $(4, \alpha, \beta)$. Suppose that A is (R, α, β) -flat. Then $l = \operatorname{rank}(A) = n - \alpha + 1$ and $(0:b_{11})_R = \dots = \dots = (0:b_{ll})_R = 0$.

Proof. First, $l = n - \alpha + 1$ by 3.5(iii). Further, by 3.3, Ann (A, R) is isomorphic to $R^{(\alpha-1)} \oplus M$, $M = (0:b_{11})_R \oplus \ldots \oplus (0:b_{1l})_R$. On the other hand, Ann $(A, R) = R(\alpha, \beta)$ is isomorphic to $R^{(\alpha-1)}$, and therefore $R^{(\alpha-1)}$ is isomorphic to $R^{(\alpha-1)} \oplus M$. We are going to show that M = 0. Assume on the contrary that $M \neq 0$. The module M as a direct summand of $R^{(\alpha-1)}$ is finitely generated. Hence $IM \neq M$ for a maximal ideal I of R. Put S = R/I, so that S is a field. The S-modules $S^{(\alpha-1)}$ and $S^{(\alpha-1)} \oplus M/IM$ are isomorphic, hence M/IM = 0, IM = M, a contradiction.

3.7. Proposition. Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ be a matrix satisfying (1) and $(4, \alpha, \beta)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is (P, α, β) -flat.
- (ii) $l = \operatorname{rank}(A) = n \alpha + 1$ and $(0:b_{ll})_P = 0$ (then $(0:b_{11})_P = \dots = (0:b_{ll})_P = 0$).

Proof. (i) implies (ii) by 3.6.

(ii) implies (i). First, let $\sigma = 0$ and let Q be a quotient field of P. Then both $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ and Ann (A, Q) are isomorphic to $Q^{(\alpha-1)}$. Consequently, $Q(\alpha, \beta) = \text{Ann}(A, Q)$ and it is easy to see that A is (P, α, β) -flat. Now, let $\sigma \neq 0$. Then the equality card $(P(\alpha, \beta)) = \text{card}(\text{Ann}(A, P))$ yields the equality $P(\alpha, \beta) = \text{Ann}(A, P)$.

3.8. Corollary. Suppose that either $\sigma = 0$ or σ is a prime. Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ be a matrix satisfying (1) and $(4, \alpha, \beta)$. Then A is (P, α, β) -flat iff rank $(A) = n - \alpha + 1$.

3.9. Proposition. Suppose that R is a domain. Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ be a matrix satisfying (1) and $(4, \alpha, \beta)$. Then A is (R, α, β) -flat iff rank $(A) = n - \alpha + 1$.

Proof. Similar to that of 3.7.

4. Auxiliary results

Let α , $n, m \in N$, $\alpha \leq n, \beta \in N^{(\alpha)}, \Sigma \beta = n$. Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ be a matrix satisfying (1) and $(4, \alpha, \beta)$.

4.1. Lemma. Suppose that A is (R, α, β) -flat. Then A satisfies (2).

Proof. Easy.

4.2. Lemma. Let $a_i = a_k$ for some $1 \le i < k \le m$ and let B be the matrix obtained from A by omitting the k-th row. Then:

(i) $B \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m - 1, n)$ satisfies (1) and $(4, \alpha, \beta)$.

(ii) B satisfies (2) iff A does so.

(iii) Ann (A, R) = Ann (B, R).

(iv) **B** is (R, α, β) -flat iff A is so.

Prcof. Easy.

Now, let $1 \leq i < k \leq m$ be such that $a_i \neq a_k$ and $a_{ij_1} = a_{kj_1} = \ldots = a_{ij_{\alpha-1}} = a_{kj_{\alpha-1}} = 1$ for some $1 \leq j_1 < \ldots < j_{\alpha-1} \leq n$ (in particular, A does not satisfy (3)). Then there exist $1 \leq r, s \leq n$ such that $r \neq s, r, s \neq j_1, \ldots, j_{\alpha-1}$ and $a_{ir} = a_{ks} = 1$. Moreover, both r and s are contained in just one from the intervals $[1, \beta_1], [\beta_1 + 1, \beta_1 + \beta_2], \ldots, [\beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_{\alpha-1} + 1, n]$. Let $r, s \in [\beta_0 + \ldots + \beta_1 + 1, \beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_{l+1}]$, where $\beta_0 = 0, 0 \leq l \leq \alpha - 1$ Put $t = \min(r, s)$ and $u = \max(r, s)$. We shall define a matrix $C \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m-1, n-1)$ as follows: $c_{xy} = a_{xy}$ and $c_{zy} = a_{z+1,y}$ for all $1 \leq x < k \leq z \leq m-1$ and all y such that either $1 \leq y < t$ or t < y < u; $c_{xy} = a_{x,y+1}$ for all $1 \leq x < k$ and all $u \leq y \leq a_{x,r} + a_{x,r} + a_{x,s}$ for all $1 \leq x < k; c_{xt} = a_{x+1,r} + a_{x+1,s}$ for all $k \leq x \leq m-1$.

4.3. Lemma. (i) $C \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m - 1, n - 1)$ satisfies (1) and C satisfies (2) iff A does so.

(ii) C satisfies $(4, \alpha, \gamma)$ for $\gamma = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_1, \beta_{l+1} - 1, \beta_{l+2}, ..., \beta_{\alpha})$.

(iii) If $z \in Ann(A, R)$ then $z_r = z_s$.

(iv) C is (R, α, γ) -flat iff A is (R, α, β) -flat.

Proof. Let $w \in R^{(n-1)}$ and $x \in R^{(n)}$ be such that $x_1 = w_1, ..., x_{u-1} = w_{u-1}, x_u = w_i, x_{u+1} = w_u, ..., x_n = w_{n-1}$. Let Cw = v and Ax = y. Then $v_1 = y_1, ..., v_{k-1} = y_{k-1}, v_k = y_{k+1}, ..., v_{n-1} = y_n$ and $v_i = y_k = y_i$.

In the next lemma, let $\varrho_1, \ldots, \varrho_m \in R$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$ be such that $\varrho_1 a_1 + \ldots + \varrho_m a_m = 0$ and $(0: \varrho_i)_R = 0$. Denote by *D* the matrix obtained from *A* by omitting the *i*-th row.

4.4. Lemma. (i) $D \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m - 1, n)$ satisfies (1) and $(4, \alpha, \beta)$ and D satisfies (2) iff A does so.

(ii) Ann (D, R) = Ann (A, R). (iii) D is (R, α, β) -flat iff A is so.

Proof. Easy.

5. Determinants

Let α , $n, m \in N$, $2 \leq \alpha \leq n$ and $m = n - \alpha + 1$. Let $1 \leq r_1 < ... < r_{\alpha-1} \leq n$. For a matrix $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ we denote by $A(r_1, ..., r_{\alpha-1})$ the square matrix of type (m, n) obtained from A by omitting the $r_1, \ldots, r_{\alpha-1}$ -th columns. Further, define a square matrix $C = A[r_1, \ldots, r_{\alpha-1}]$ of type (n, n) as follows: $c_i = a_i$ for all $1 \le i \le m$; $c_{m+j,k} = 0$ for all $1 \le j \le \alpha - 1$ and $1 \le k \le n$, $k \ne r_j$; $c_{m+j,r_j} = 1$ for all $1 \le j \le \alpha - 1$.

5.1. Lemma. det $C = (-1)^l$ det *B* for $l = r_1 + \ldots + r_{\alpha-1} + (\alpha - 1) n - (\alpha - 1) (\alpha - 2)/2$.

Proof. Easy.

5.2. Lemma. (i) $w \in Ann(C, R)$ iff $w \in Ann(A, R)$ and $w_{r_1} = \ldots = w_{r_{\alpha-1}} = 0$.

Proof. Obvious.

Now, let $\beta \in N^{(\alpha)}$, $\Sigma \beta = n$. Denote by $J(\beta)$ the set of all ordered $\alpha - 1$ -tuples $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_{\alpha-1})$ such that $1 \leq s_1 < \ldots < s_{\alpha-1} \leq n$ and each from the intervals $[1, \beta_1], [\beta_1 + 1, \beta_1 + \beta_2], \ldots, [\beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_{\alpha-1} + 1, n]$ contains at most one from the numbers $s_1, \ldots, s_{\alpha-1}$. Then exactly $\alpha - 1$ intervals contain each just one of those numbers and one interval contains none.

Let $r = (r_1, ..., r_{\alpha-1}) \in J(\beta)$, let $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ be a matrix satisfying (1) and $(4, \alpha, \beta)$ and let B = A(r) and C = A[r].

5.3. Lemma. Ann $(C, R) \cap R(\alpha, \beta) = 0$.

Proof. This is an easy consequence of 5.2.

5.4. Lemma. Suppose that A is (R, α, β) -flat. Then:

- (i) Ann (C, R) = 0.
- (ii) rank (C) = n.
- (iii) $(0 : \det C)_R = 0.$
- (iv) If $\sigma \neq 0$ then C is invertible in $\mathfrak{M}(P, n, n)$.
- (v) C is invertible in $\mathfrak{M}(S, n, n)$ where S is the classical quotient ring of R.

Proof (i) We have $R(\alpha, \beta) = \text{Ann}(A, R)$, $\text{Ann}(C, R) \subseteq \text{Ann}(A, R)$ and $\text{Ann}(C, R) \cap R(\alpha, \beta) = 0$. Thus Ann(C, R) = 0.

- (ii) This is an easy consequence of 3.2.
- (iii) This is clear from (i).
- (iv) Put $c = \det C$. Then $(0:c)_R = 0$, so that c is invertible in P and C in $\mathfrak{M}(P, n, n)$.
- (v) Similar to (iii).

5.5. Lemma. If Ann (C, R) = 0 then A is (R, α, β) -flat.

Proof. Let $w \in \text{Ann}(A, R)$. We shall restrict ourselves to the case $r_{\alpha-1} \leq \beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_{\alpha-1}$, the other cases being similar. Consider $x \in R^{(n)}$ such that $x_1 = \ldots = x_{\beta_1} = w_{r_1}, x_{\beta_1+1} = \ldots = x_{\beta_1+\beta_2} = w_{r_2}, \ldots, x_{\beta_1+\dots+\beta_{\alpha-2}+1} = \ldots$

 $\dots = x_{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{x-1}} = w_{r_{x-1}} \text{ and } x_{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{x-1} + 1} = \dots = x_n = -w_{r_1} - \dots - w_{r_{x-1}}.$ Then $x \in R(\alpha, \beta)$. Moreover, $w - x \in Ann(C, R)$ by 5.2(i). Hence w = x and $w \in R(\alpha, \beta)$.

5.6. Proposition. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is (R, α, β) -flat. (ii) Ann (C, R) = 0.
- (iii) $(0 : \det C)_R = 0.$

Proof. (i) implies (ii) and (iii) by 5.4, (ii) implies (i) by 5.5 and the implication (iii) implies (ii) is easy.

5.7. Proposition. Suppose that either $\sigma = 0$ or σ is a prime. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) A is (P, α, β) -flat. (ii) rank $(A) = n - \alpha + 1$. (iii) rank (C) = n. (iv) det $C \neq 0$. (v) det $B \neq 0$.

Proof Use 3.8 and the fact that P is an integral domain. Let $r, s \in J(\beta)$.

5.8. Lemma. Let I be a prime ideal of R. Then det $A[r] \in I$ iff det $A[s] \in I$.

Proof. Denote by g the natural homomorphism of R onto S = R/I and suppose that det $A[r] \in I$. We have g(A[r]) = g(A)[r], $0 = g(\det A[r]) = \det g(A)[r]$ and rank $(g(A)) < n - \alpha + 1$ by 5.7 and 3.5(ii). However, now we can proceed conversely and we have det $A[s] \in I$.

6. Some matrices

Let $4 \le m \in N$, m even, k = m/2 and n = m + 2. Let $B \in \mathfrak{M}(Z, k, k)$ be a matrix satisfying (1), (2) and (4, 1, k) such that $b_{ii} = 0$ for each $1 \le i \le k$. Notice that each column as well as each row of B contains just one non-zero element. Consider the following matrix $A \in \mathfrak{M}(Z, m, n)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_k & I_k & 1 & 0 \\ & \vdots & \vdots \\ & 1 & 0 \\ \hline I_k & B & \vdots & \vdots \\ & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $I_k \in \mathfrak{M}(R, k, k)$ is the unit matrix.

6.1. Lemma. A satisfies (1), (2), (3, 3) and (4, 3, β) for $\beta = (k, k, 2)$.

Proof. Obvious.

Now, put C = A(m, n) (clearly $(m, n) \in J(A)$). The matrix C has the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_k & I_{k-1} & \vdots \\ 0 \dots 0 & 1 \\ \hline I_k & D & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where D is the matrix obtained from B by omitting the last column. It is easy to see that det $C = \pm$ det E, where E is the following matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_k & | & 1 \\ I_{k-1} & \vdots \\ 0 \dots 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & D - L & \vdots \\ | & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, $L = \begin{pmatrix} I_{k-1} \\ 0 \dots 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{M}(Z, k, k-1).$

Similarly, det $C = \pm \det F$, where F is as follows:

$$\frac{\begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 & \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D - L & \vdots \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}}{D - L & \vdots \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{M}(Z, k, k).$$
Denote by G the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} D - L, & \vdots \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{M}(Z, k, k).$

6.2. Lemma. det $C = \pm \det G$.

Proof. The result follows easily from the equality det $C = \pm \det F$.

Let *H* be the matrix obtained from *B* by omitting the last row and the last column. Put $K = H - I_{k-1}$.

6.3. Lemma. det $C = \pm k \det K$.

Proof. If we add in G to the last row the remaining rows, we get the following matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & -1 \\ K & \vdots \\ & -1 \\ \hline 0 \dots 0 & -k \end{pmatrix}$$

6.4. Lemma. det $K \in \{0, 1, -1\}$.

Proof. By induction on $l \in N$, we shall prove such an assertion: Let $V \in \mathfrak{M}(Z, l \ l)$ be a matrix satisfying (1) such that each row as well as each column of V contains at most one non-zero element. Then det $W \in \{0, 1, -1\}$, where $W = V - I_l$. First, observe that if $v_{ii} = 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq l$ then W contains a zero row, so that det W = 0. Hence assume that $v_{ii} = 0$ for each *i*. Further, if the matrix V contains a zero row (resp. column), then we can apply the induction hypothesis. So, let each row as well as each column of V contain exactly one non-zero element. Then the sum of all rows of W is equal to zero, so that det W = 0.

There is a permutation μ of the set $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ such that $b_{ij} = 1$ iff $j = \mu(i)$.

6.5. Lemma. Suppose that $k \ge 3$. Then det $K \ne 0$ iff μ is a cycle.

Proof. Denote by f the natural homomorphism of Z onto Z/2Z = S. Clearly, det $K \neq 0$ iff det $f(K) \neq 0$. However, det $f(K) \neq 0$ iff the rows of f(K) are linearly independent over S. It is easy to see that if T is a proper non empty subset of $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ then $\sum_{i=T} f(k_i) = 0$ iff $\mu(T) = T$. The rest is clear.

6.6. Corollary. Let $m \ge 6$. The matrix A is $(Z, 3, \beta)$ -flat iff μ is a cycle.

7. The numbers $\delta(R, I, \alpha)$

Let $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$, $\beta \in N^{(\alpha)}$, $\Sigma\beta = n$, $3 \leq n$, $m = n - \alpha + 1$. Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ be a matrix satisfying (1) and (4, α , β). We denote by $\lambda(A)$ the set of all non-zero (proper) prime ideals I of R such that det $A[r] \in I$ for some (and then for all - see 5.8) $r \in J(\beta)$.

7.1. Lemma. The following conditions are equivalent for a non-zero prime ideal I of R:

(i) $I \in \lambda(A)$.

(ii) $f_I(A)$ is not (S, α, β) -flat where $f_I: R \to R/I = S$ is the natural projection.

(iii) rank $(f_I(A)) < m$.

Proof. The conditions (ii) and (iii) are equivalent by 3.9 and the conditions (i) and (iii) are equivalent by 5.7.

Let *I* be a non-zero prime ideal of *R* and let $2 \leq \alpha \in N$. We let $\delta(R, I, \alpha)$ designate the minimum of all $m \in N$ such that there exist $\beta \in N^{(\alpha)}$ with $n = \Sigma\beta = m + \alpha - 1$ and a matrix $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ satisfying (1), (2), (3, α) and (4, α , β) such that $I \in \lambda(A)$ and *A* is (R, α, β) -flat. We put $\delta(R, I, \alpha) = \infty$ if there exists no matrix with these properties. For a prime $\pi \in N$, let $\delta(\pi, \alpha) = \delta(Z, Z\pi, \alpha)$.

7.2. Lemma. Suppose that R is a domain .Let $m, n \in N, \beta \in N^{(\alpha)}, \Sigma \beta = n$ and let $A \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ be a matrix satisfying (1) and $(4, \alpha, \beta)$ such that A is (R, α, β) -flat and $f_I(A)$ is not $(R/I, \alpha, \beta)$ -flat. Then $\delta(R, I, \alpha) \leq m$.

Proof. First, A satisfies (2) by 4.1. Further, with respect to 4.2 and 4.3, we can assume that A satisfies $(3, \alpha)$. By 3.5, rank $(A) = n - \alpha + 1 \leq m$. On the other hand, with regard to 4.4, we can assume that the rows of A are linearly independent over R. Then they are linearly independent over the quotient field of R, so that $m \leq \text{rank}(A)$ and $m = n - \alpha + 1$.

7.3. Proposition. Let $2 \leq \alpha$, $\pi \in N$ and let π be a prime. Then $\log_{\alpha} \pi < \delta(\pi, \alpha)$.

Proof. Let $\beta \in N^{(\alpha)}$, $\Sigma\beta = n = m + \alpha - 1$ and let $A \in \mathfrak{M}(Z, m, n)$ be a matrix satisfying (1), (2), (3, α) and (4, α , β) such that $I = Z\pi \in \lambda(A)$ and A is (Z, α, β) -flat. Take $r \in J(\alpha)$ and put B = A(r). Then B is a square matrix of type (m, m) and each row of B contains at most α non-zero elements. Moreover, at least one row contains at most $\alpha - 1$ non-zero elements. Hence $|\det B| < \alpha^m$. Finally, $\det f_I(B) = f_I(\det B) = 0$, so that π divides det B and $\pi < \alpha^m$. From, this $\log_{\alpha} \pi < m$.

7.4. Proposition. Let $3 \leq \pi$ be a prime. Then $\delta(\pi, 3) \leq 2\pi$.

Proof. The result is an easy consequence of 6.6.

8. Cancellative relations and matrices

Let $2 \leq \alpha \in N$ and let r be a non-empty α -ary relation defined on a finite set M. Put $q_i = q_i(r)$, $n = \sum q_i$, $\beta = (q_1, \ldots, q_{\alpha})$, $m = \operatorname{card}(r)$, $Q_i(r) = \{z_{i1}, \ldots, z_{iq_i}\}$, Q = Q(r). Further, let ϱ be a linear ordering of $r = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$, $(x_l, x_k) \in \varrho$ iff $k \leq l$. We shall define a matrix $E = E(r, \varrho) \in \mathfrak{M}(R, m, n)$ as follows: $e_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$; if $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $x_i = (a_1, \ldots, a_{\alpha})$ then, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $e_{ij} = 1$ iff there exists $0 \leq k \leq \alpha - 1$ with $q_1 + \ldots + q_k < j \leq q_1 + \ldots$ $\ldots + q_{k+1}$ and $a_{k+1} = z_{k+1,i}$, $l = j - q_1 - \ldots - q_k$.

8.1. Proposition. The matrix $E(r, \varrho)$ satisfies the conditions (1), (2), (3, α) and (4, α , β).

Proof. Obvious.

Let $\varphi: Q \to R$ be a mapping. Define $w \in R^{(\alpha)}$ by $w_1 = \varphi(z_{11}), ..., w_{q_1} = \varphi(z_{1q_1}), ..., w_{q_1+q_2} = \varphi(z_{2q_2}), ..., w_n = \varphi(z_{\alpha q_n}).$

8.2. Lemma. φ is a homomorphism of r into the additive group R(+) iff Ew = 0. In this case, φ is trivial iff $w \in R(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Obvious.

8.3. Corollary. Every homomorphism of r into R(+) is trivial iff $E(r, \varrho)$ is (R, α, β) -flat.

Let $\varphi: Q \to R$ be a mapping and let $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$. Define

$$v \in \mathbb{R}^{(z)} \quad \text{by} \quad v_1 = \varphi(z_{11}), \dots, v_{q_1 + \dots + q_{i-1}} = \varphi(z_{i-1, q_{i-1}}), \\ v_{q_1 + \dots + q_{i-1} + 1} = -\varphi(z_{i1}), \dots, v_{q_1 + \dots + q_i} = -\varphi(z_{iq_i}), \\ v_{q_1 + \dots + q_i + 1} = \varphi(z_{i+1, 1}), \dots, v_n = \varphi(z_{zq_n}).$$

8.4. Lemma. φ is an *i*-homomorphism of *r* into R(+) iff Ev = 0. In this case, φ is trivial iff $v \in R(\alpha, \beta)$.

8.5. Corollary. Every *i*-homomorphism of r into R(+) is trivial iff $E(r, \varrho)$ is (R, α, β) -flat.