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#### Abstract

This paper introduces the notion of a midpoint in a lattice $L$ having the zero element and the notion of a centred ideal in $L$. It is shown that the study of centred ideals in sectionally complemented lattices is facilitated by a congruence $\theta(I)$. The congruence $\theta(I)$ is compared with some other known congruences. A complete characterization of centred ideals in such lattices is given.


## 1. Introduction

Our aim in this note is to study midpoints in lattices. We try to get some insight as to the nature of centred ideals and we find a full description of these ideals in the class of all sectionally complemented lattices. As a byproduct we establish also a distributivity criterion in sectionally complemented lattices by means of midpoints.

## 2. Preliminaries

Henceforth, $L$ will denote an arbitrary lattice having the least element 0 . If $a, b, s \in L$ are such that

$$
s=a \vee b \& a \wedge b=0
$$

we write $s=a \oplus b$.
If $I$ is an ideal of $L$ and if $a, b, c \in L$ are such that

$$
c \leq a \oplus b \&(c \wedge a) \vee(c \wedge b) \in I
$$

then we will write $c \in\left(a \oplus_{I} b\right) / 2$ and we will say that $c$ is a midpoint with respect to the ideal $I$ in $L$. The set of all midpoints with respect to $I$ in $L$ will be denoted by $\left(L \oplus_{I} L\right) / 2$.

[^0]Istead of $\left(a \oplus_{(0]} b\right) / 2$ or $\left(L \oplus_{(0]} L\right) / 2$ we will write just $(a \oplus b) / 2$ or $(L \oplus L) / 2$. Similarly, an element $c$ of $L$ such that $c \in(a \oplus b) / 2$ for some $a, b \in L$ is said to be a midpoint of $L$.

An ideal $I$ od $L$ is called a centred ideal if every midpoint with respect to $I$ in $L$ belongs to $I$, i.e. if

$$
\left(L \oplus_{I} L\right) / 2 \subset I .
$$

Following Rav [8], we define an ideal $I$ of $L$ to be a semiprime ideal if for every $a, b, c \in L$, from $a \wedge b \in I$ and $a \wedge c \in I$ it follows necessarily that $a \wedge(b \vee c) \in I$. Note that characterizations of semiprime ideals are already given as well as in general lattices (see e.g. [3] and [4]) as in orthomodular lattices [5]. It is easy to see that any semiprime ideal of $L$ is a centred ideal.

The ideal (0] of the lattice shown in Figure 1 is a centred ideal but it is not a semiprime ideal.


The set of all relative complements of $a \in L$ in the interval $[0, b]$ will be denoted by $C_{[0, b]}(a)$.

If $I$ is an ideal of $L$ and $a \in L$, then we will denote by $a_{I}^{*}$ the set of all $b \in L$ such that $a \wedge b \in I$.

For all other notation and terminology, we refer the reader to [6] or [1].

## 3. Ideals in sectionally complemented lattices

Let $I$ be an ideal of $L$ and let $\theta(I)$ be a relation defined on $L$ in such a way that $(a, b) \in \theta(I)$ if and only if $I$ contains every element $c \in C_{[0, a \vee b]}(a \wedge b)$. Clearly, in the even that $a \leq b,(a, b) \in \theta(I)$ if and only if any $c \in L$ satisfying

$$
c \vee a=b \& c \wedge a=0
$$

belongs to $I$.

Theorem 1. If $L$ is a sectionally complemented lattice and if I is a centred ideal, then $\theta(I)$ is a congruence relation of $L$.

Proof. It will be useful to prove first the following statement:
(A) If $a, b, c \in L$ are such that

$$
a \leq c \leq b \&(a, b) \in \theta(I)
$$

then $(a, c) \in \theta(I)$ and $(c, b) \in \theta(I)$.
Indeed, let

$$
a^{+} \in C_{[0, b]}(a), \hat{a} \in C_{[0, c]}(a) .
$$

Then $a^{+} \in I$ and so $\hat{a} \in\left(a \oplus_{I} a^{+}\right) / 2$. Since $I$ is a centred ideal, $\hat{a} \in I$. Hence $(a, c) \in \theta(I)$.

Let $c^{+} \in C_{[0,1,7}(c)$. From $a^{+} \in I$ we have $c^{+} \in\left(a \oplus_{I} a^{+}\right) / 2$ which yields $c^{+} \in I$. Therefore, $(c, b) \in \theta(I)$.

Now it remains to show that the Grätzer - Schmidt's Theorem [7] can be applied.

First, suppose

$$
a \leq b \leq c \&(a, b) \in \theta(I) \&(b, c) \in \theta(I)
$$

Let

$$
a^{*} \in C_{[0, c]}(a), a^{+} \in C_{[0, r]}(a), b^{+} \in C_{[0, c]}(b) .
$$

By hypothesis, $a^{+}, b^{+} \in I$. From (A) and $(a, b) \in \theta(I)$ we conclude that $\left(a, a \vee\left(a^{*} \wedge b\right)\right) \in \theta(I)$. Since $a^{*} \wedge b \in C_{\left[0, a \vee\left(a^{*} \wedge b\right)\right]}(a)$, we have $a^{*} \wedge b \in I$. From $b^{+} \in I$ it follows that $a^{*} \wedge b^{+} \in I$, and, consequently, $a^{*} \in\left(b \oplus_{I} b^{+}\right) / 2$ and so we obtain $a^{*} \in I$. Hence $(a, c) \in \theta(I)$.

Next, suppose

$$
a \leq b \&(a, b) \in \theta(I)
$$

and let $c$ be an element of $L$.
Let $u^{+} \in C_{[0, b \wedge c]}(a \wedge c)$.
It follows from (A) that $(a, a \vee(b \wedge c)) \in \theta(I)$. Now we see that $u^{+} \in$ $\in C_{[0, a \vee(b \wedge c)]}(a)$, so that $u^{+} \in I$ which implies $(a \wedge c, b \wedge c) \in \theta(I)$.

Suppose now again that $a \leq b,(a, b) \in \theta(I)$ and $c \in L$. Let

$$
w=a \vee c, t=b \wedge(a \vee c), w^{+} \in C_{[0, h \vee c]}(a \vee c), t^{+} \in C_{[0, b]}(t)
$$

It follows from (A) that $(t, b) \in \theta(I)$. Thus $t^{+} \in I$ and

$$
w^{+} \wedge t^{+} \in I \& w^{+} \wedge w=0 \in I
$$

Observe that

$$
w \vee t^{+}=b \vee c \& w \wedge t^{+}=0
$$

Therefore $w^{+} \in\left(w \oplus_{I} t^{+}\right) / 2$. Consequently, $w^{+} \in I$. We thus have $(a \vee c, b \vee c) \in$ $\in \theta(I)$ which accomplishes the desired results.

Let $I$ be an ideal of $L$ and let $\Phi(I)$ be defined on $L$ by

$$
(a, b) \in \Phi(I) \Leftrightarrow(a \wedge b)_{I}^{*}=(a \vee b)_{I}^{*}
$$

Corollary 2. Let I be a centred ideal of a sectionally complemented lattice. Then

$$
\theta(I)=\Phi(I)
$$

Proof. Suppose $(a, b) \in \Phi(I)$ and let $c \in C_{[0, a \vee b]}(a \wedge b)$. Then $c \in(a \wedge b)_{1}^{*}=$ $=(a \vee b)_{I}^{*}$, and so $c \wedge(a \vee b) \in I$. From $c \leq a \vee b$ it follows that $c \in I$. Therefore $(a \wedge b, a \vee b) \in \theta(I)$. Since $\theta(I)$ is a congruence relation, $(a, b) \in \theta(I)$. Thus $\Phi(I) \subset \theta(I)$.

Suppose now that $(a, b) \in \theta(I)$. Observe that $(a \vee b)_{I}^{*} \subset(a \wedge b)_{I}^{*}$ is always true. If $y \in(a \wedge b)_{I}^{*}$, then put $d=y \wedge a \wedge b \in I$ and let $e=(a \vee b) \wedge y$. Since $\theta(I)$ is a congruence relation, $(a \wedge b,(a \wedge b) \vee e) \in \theta(I)$. But the quotients $(a \wedge b) \vee e / a \wedge b$ and $e / d$ are transposed. Therefore $(d, e) \in \theta(I)$.

Let $d^{+} \in C_{[0 . c]}(d)$. Since $(d, e) \in \theta(I), d^{+} \in I$. Now it is evident that $e=y \wedge$ $\wedge(a \vee b)=d \vee d^{+} \in I$. Hence $\theta(I) \subset \Phi(I)$ and this, in turn, gives $\theta(I)=\Phi(I)$.

Lemma 3. If $L$ is a sectionally complemented lattice which contains a sublatice isomorphic to the pentagon $N_{5}$, then there exists a sublattice $N_{5}^{\prime}$ of $L$ which is isomorphic to $N_{5}$ and is such that the zero element 0 of $L$ belongs to $N_{5}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Suppose $N_{5}=\{o, a, b, c, i\}$ is a sublattice of L. (See Fig. 2.)


Let $o^{+} \in C_{[0, b]}(o)$. The proof is completed by noting that it is sufficient to put $N_{5}^{\prime}=\left\{0, o^{+}, a, c, i\right\}$.

Lemma 4. If $L$ is a sectionally complemented lattice which contains the pentagon $N_{5}$ as a sublattice where the zero of $L$ belongs to $N_{5}$, then there exists a nonzero midpoint of $L$, i.e.

$$
(L \oplus L) / 2 \neq\{0\} .
$$

Proof. Consider the sublattice $N_{5}=\{0, a, b, c, i\}$ as depicted in Figure 3.


0

Let

$$
d \in C_{[0, c]}(a), e \in C_{[0, i]}(d), p=a \wedge e, f \in C_{[0, c]}(p) .
$$

We will distinguish two cases.
Case I: $f \neq 0$. Then $f \in(d \oplus e) / 2$.
Case II: $f=0$. Then $a=p=a \wedge e \leq e$. Let $q=b \wedge e$ and let $g \in C_{[0, b]}(q)$. It follows readily that $g \in(d \oplus e) / 2$.

Suppose that $g \neq 0$ does not hold. Then $b=b \wedge e \leq e$ and $e \geq a \vee b=i$, i.e. $e=i$. However, this leads to a contradiction, because we could then write $0=d \wedge e=d \wedge i=d$, obtaining $a=c$.

Lemma 5. If $L$ is a sectionally complemented lattice which contains as a sublattice the diamond $M_{5}$, then there exists a nonzero midpoint of $L$.

Proof. Consider the sublattice $M_{5}=\{o, a, b, c, i\}$ shown in Figure 4.


Let

$$
d \in C_{[0, b]}(o), e \in C_{[0, c]}(o)
$$

Then $e \in(a \oplus d) / 2$. Since $o \neq c, e=0$ is impossible.

Theorem 6. Let $L$ be a sectionally complemented lattice. Then $L$ is distributive if and only if

$$
(L \oplus L) / 2=\{0\} .
$$

Proof. If $L$ is not distributive, then $(L \oplus L) / 2 \neq\{0\}$ by Lemmas 3, 4 and 5. If $L$ is distributive and $m \in(a \oplus b) / 2$, then $m \leq a \vee b$ and, by distributivity,

$$
m=m \wedge(a \vee b)=(m \wedge a) \vee(m \wedge b)=0
$$

Lemma 7. Let L be a sectionally complemented lattice and let I be a centred ideal of $L$.

Let $a / \theta(I), b / \theta(I)$ and $c / \theta(I)$ be elements of the quotient lattice $L / \theta(I)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
a / \theta(I) \in(b / \theta(I) \oplus c / \theta(I)) / 2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist $p, q \in L$ such that

$$
a \in\left(p \oplus_{I} q\right) / 2
$$

Proof. From $a / \theta(I) \leq b / \theta(I) \vee c / \theta(I)$ we get $(b \vee c) / \theta(I)=(a \vee b \vee c) / \theta(I)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
(b \vee c, a \vee b \vee c) \in \theta(I) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r \in C_{[0, a \vee b \vee c]}(b \vee c)$. By (2) we have $r \in I$. Let $p=r \vee b$ and let $q \in C_{[0, c]}(p \vee c)$. Then $p \wedge q=0$.

Now

$$
p / \theta(I)=(r \vee b) / \theta(I)=r / \theta(I) \vee b / \theta(I)=0 / \theta(I) \vee b / \theta(I)=b / \theta(I)
$$

From (1) we conclude that

$$
0 / \theta(I)=a / \theta(I) \wedge b / \theta(I)=a / \theta(I) \wedge p / \theta(I)=a \wedge p / \theta(I)
$$

so that $a \wedge p \in I$.
Using (1) we see that

$$
0 / \theta(I)=a / \theta(I) \wedge c / \theta(I)=a \wedge c / \theta(I)
$$

Thus we have $a \wedge c \in I$.
But $q \leq c$, and, therefore, $a \wedge q \leq a \wedge c \in I$, that is $a \wedge q \in I$.
Finally,

$$
p \vee q=p \vee r \vee b \vee q \geq(p \wedge c) \vee q \vee b \vee r=c \vee b \vee r=a \vee b \vee c \geq a
$$

and we are done.
Theorem 8. Let L be a sectionally complemented lattice.
Then an ideal $I$ of $L$ is semiprime if and only if it is a centred ideal.
Proof. Let $I$ be a centred ideal. If

$$
a / \theta(I) \in(b / \theta(I) \oplus c / \theta(I)) / 2
$$

is true in the quotient lattice $L / \theta(I)$, then $a \in(L \oplus L) / 2$ by Lemma 7. From this we conclude that $a \in I$, whence we obtain $a / \theta(I)=0 / \theta(I)$. It follows that

$$
(L / \theta(I) \oplus L / \theta(I))=\{0 / \theta(I)\} .
$$

Applying Theorem 6 to the quotient lattice $L / \theta(I)$, we conclude that $L / \theta(I)$ is a distributive lattice.

By [1; Theorem 1.10, p. 210] or [2; Theorem 2] we get $\hat{C}(L) \subset \theta(I)$. Therefore $0 / \hat{C}(L) \subset 0 / \theta(I)=I$. An appeal to [3; Theorem 3.3, p. 226] shows that $I$ is semiprime.

The converse follows easily.
Corollary 9. Let I be a centred ideal of a sectionally complemented lattice $L$.
Then $\theta(I)=\Psi(I)$ where $\Psi(I)(c f .[8, p .109])$ is defined on $L$ by

$$
(a, b) \in \Psi(I) \Leftrightarrow a_{I}^{*}=b_{I}^{*} .
$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 8 and [4; Theorem 3].
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