T. Zgraja

On continuous convex or concave functions with respect to the logarithmic mean

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 46 (2005), No. 1, 3--10

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142741

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

On Continuous Convex or Concave Functions with Respect to the Logarithmic Mean

TOMASZ ZGRAJA

Bielsko-Biała

Received 2. December 2003

Some theorems on the shape of continuous convex or concave functions with respect to the logarithmic mean are presented.

Throughout the paper a, b denote the numbers such that $0 \le a < b \le \infty$, I, J stand for the real open intervals such that $J \subset I$, and log denotes the natural logarithm.

Let $M: I \times I \rightarrow I$ be a mean, i.e.

$$\min\{x,y\} \le M\{x,y\} \le \max\{x,y\}, x,y \in I.$$

If, moreover, for all $x, y \in I$, $x \neq y$ these inequalities are sharp then M is said to be a *strict mean*. If $M : I \times I \rightarrow I$ is a mean then

and

$$M(J \times J) \subset J, J \subset I.$$

 $M(x,x) = x, x \in I$

Definition. A function $f: J \rightarrow I$ is called:

(i) M - convex iff $f(M(x,y)) \le M(f(x), f(y)), x, y \in J$,

(ii) M - concave iff $f(M(x,y)) \ge M(f(x), f(y)), x, y \in J$,

University of Bielsko-Biała, Department of Mathematics, ul. Willova 43-309 Bielsko-Biała, Poland 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A51, 39B72

Keywords and phrases: mean, logarithmic mean, convex or concave functions with respect to the mean

(iii) $M - affine \text{ iff } f(M(x,y)) = M(f(x), f(y)), x, y \in J,$ (comp. [4], [5]).

We will restrict our considerations to L – convex or L – concave functions, where $L: (0,\infty)^2 \to (0,\infty)$ denotes the logarithmic mean defined by

$$L(x,y) = \frac{x-y}{\log x - \log y}, x \neq y, x, y \in (0,\infty)$$
$$L(x,y) = x, x = y, x, y \in (0,\infty)$$

This mean has the following

Properties ([1],[3]):

- **1.** L is a strict mean;
- **2.** L is a positively homogeneous, i.e. L(tx,ty) = tL(x,y), t, x, y > 0;
- **3.** L is symmetric, i.e. L(x,y) = L(y,x), x, y > 0;
- **4.** for every x > 0 the function $L(x, \cdot)$ is increasing homeomorphism of $(0, \infty)$ onto itself;
- 5. for all x, y > 0 we have

$$\sqrt{xy} \le L(x,y) \le \frac{x+y}{2}; \tag{1}$$

moreover, the equalities occur iff x = y;

6. *L* is superadditive, i.e.

$$L(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2) \ge L(x_1, y_1) + L(x_2, y_2), x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0.$$

The examples of convex or concave functions with respect to the logarithmic mean were given by J. Matkowski and J. Rätz in [4] and [5]. Among others it is known that:

the power function $f(x) = x^p$, $x \in (0,\infty)$ is L - convex iff $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (0, 1)$ and it is L - concave iff $p \in [0, 1]$;

the exponential function $f(x) = a^x$, $x \in (0, \infty)$ is L - convex for every a > 1 and it is neither L - concave nor L - convex for any $a \in (0, 1)$;

the logarithmic function $f(x) = \log_a x, x \in (1, \infty)$ is L-concave for every a > 1. Moreover, J. Matkowski has proved in [3] that every continuous (at a point) L-affine function $f: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is either constant or has the following form f(x) = kx, x > 0, where k is an arbitrary positive constant.

We will start our investigations with the intervally monotonic *L*-convex or *L*-concave functions. A function $f:(a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *intervally monotonic* if there exist points $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n$ such that $a = x_0 < x_1 < ... < x_n = b$, and the restriction of f to the intervals $(x_{i-1}, x_i), i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ are monotonic functions.

Lemma 1. If $f:(a, b) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a monotonic L – convex function then it is continuous.

Proof. Assume that f is an increasing L-convex function and fix an arbitrary $z \in (a, b)$. Denoting by $f(z^-)$ the lefthand side and by $f(z^+)$ the righthand side limits of f at z we have $f(z^-) \leq f(z^+)$. Take an arbitrary sequence $z_n \in (z, b)$ tending to z. By L-convexity of f and on account of (1) we get

$$f(L(z, z_n)) \leq L(f(z), f(z_n)) \leq \frac{f(z) + f(z_n)}{2}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ we obtain

$$f(z^{+}) \leq \frac{f(z) + f(z^{+})}{2}.$$

Consequently, $f(z^+) \leq f(z)$ and therefore $f(z) = f(z^+)$. Let (z_n) be as above and take the sequence $w_n \in (a, z)$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = z$ such that $z = L(w_n, z_n)$ for every positive integer *n*. According to the *L*-convexity of *f*, and (1) we get

$$f(z^{+}) = f(L(w_n, z_n)) \le L(f(w_n), f(z_n)) \le \frac{f(w_n) + f(z_n)}{2}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ and using the equality $f(z) = f(z^+)$ we obtain

$$f(z) \leq \frac{f(z^{-}) + f(z)}{2},$$

which implies that $f(z) = f(z^{-1})$. Thus f is continuous at z. In the same manner our Lemma can be proved in the case of a decreasing function (using now $z_n \in (a, z)$). \Box

In a similar way (using the inequality $\sqrt{xy} \le L(x, y)$ instead of $L(x, y) \le \frac{x+y}{2}$) the following lemma can be proved.

Lemma 2. If $f:(a, b) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a monotonic L – concave function then it is continuous. \Box

Theorem 1. If $f:(a, b) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an intervally monotonic L – convex (L - concave) function, then f is continuous.

Proof. Let f be an L-convex intervally monotonic function. By Lemma 1 it is enough to prove that f is continuous at $z \in (a, b)$ in which the monotonicity of f interchanges. Assume that f is decreasing (increasing) in a lefthand neighbourhood (a_1, z) and f is increasing (decreasing) in a righthand neighbourhood (z, b_1) of z. Take a sequence $w_n \in (a_1, z)$ converges to z. Then

$$f(L(w_n, z)) \leq L(f(w_n), f(z)) \leq \frac{f(w_n) + f(z)}{2}.$$

Letting with *n* to infinity we get $f(z^{-}) \leq f(z)$. Similarly, taking a sequence $z_n \in (z, b_1)$ converges to z we can prove that $f(z^{+}) \leq f(z)$. Now take sequences $w_n \in (a_1, z)$ and $z_n \in (z, b_1)$ converge to z such that $z = L(w_n, z_n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By virtue of the L-convexity of f and (1) we have

$$f(z) = f(L(w_n, z_n)) \leq \frac{f(w_n) + f(z_n)}{2}$$

Hence

$$f(z) \leq \frac{f(z^{-}) + f(z^{+})}{2}.$$

Therefore it is not true that $f(z) < f(z^{-})$ and $f(z) < f(z^{+})$ simultaneously. Assume that for instance $f(z^{-}) \ge f(z)$, i.e. $f(z^{-}) = f(z)$. Then we have $f(z) \le f(z^{+})$ which proves in view of the earlier inequality $f(z^{+}) \le f(z^{-})$ that $f(z^{+}) = f(z^{-})$, too. This ends the proof of continuity of f at point z. Similarly we prove the theorem in the case when f is an *L*-concave intervally monotonic function. \Box

The following two theorems refer to the shapes of continuous *L*-convex and *L*-concave functions.

Theorem 2. Let $f:(a, b) \to (0, \infty)$ be a continuous L- convex function. Then there exist c, d, $a \le c \le d \le b$ such that f is strictly decreasing in (a, b), f is constant in (c, d) and f is strictly increasing in (d, b).

Proof. Let us put

$$m := \inf \{f(x); x \in (a, b)\}$$

and

$$T_{\alpha} := \{x \in (a, b); f(x) \leq \alpha\}$$

for every $\alpha > m$. Obviously, T_{α} is closed. We will show that T_{α} is an interval, for $\alpha > m$. For, take $x_1, x_2 \in T_{\alpha}$ and assume that there exists an $x \in [x_1, x_2]$ such that $x \notin T_{\alpha}$. Thus $f(x) > \alpha$. We define C_x and C^x in the following way:

$$C_{x} := \inf \{ s \in [x_{1}, x]; f(t) > \alpha, t \in (s, x) \}; C^{x} := \sup \{ s \in [x, x_{2}]; f(t) > \alpha, t \in (x, s) \}$$

Note that $x_1 \leq C_x < x < C^x \leq x_2$. According to the continuity of f we have

$$f(C_x) = f(C^x) = \alpha$$

Putting

$$C := L(C_x, C^x)$$

we obtain by the L-convexity of f

$$\alpha < f(C) = f(L(C_x, C^x)) \le L(f(C_x), f(C^x)) = \alpha,$$

which is impossible. So, for every $\alpha > m$ the set T_{α} is an interval. Let

$$T_n = \bigcap_{\alpha > m} T_{\alpha}.$$

If T_m is nonempty, then $T_m = [c, d] \cap (a, b)$ and evidently f is constant function on (c, d). Assume that a < c. We shall show that f is strictly decreasing on (a, c). For indirect proof suppose that there exist x_1 and x_2 , $x_1 < x_2$ such that $f(x_1) \le f(x_2)$. Hence and by the definition of T_α we infer that $x_1, c \in T_{f(x_1)}$. Since $x_2 \in (x_1, c)$ and $T_{f(x_1)}$ is an interval we have $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. Let us put $x^* = \sup \{x \in [x_2, c]; f(x) = f(x_1)\}$. Evidently, $f(x^*) = f(x_1)$ and, moreover, $f(u) \le f(x_1)$ for every $u \in [x_1, x^*]$. Choose a $u \in (x_1, x^*)$ and a $v \in (x^*, c)$ such that $x^* = L(u, v)$. According to the L-convexity of f we get

$$f(x_1) = f(x^*) = f(L(u, v)) \le L(f(u), f(v)) < f(x_1),$$

a contradiction. Likewise one can show that f is strictly increasing on (d, b). If T_m is empty, then by continuity of f it is sufficient to show in analogous way that f is strictly decreasing or strictly increasing on (a, b). The proof of Theorem 2 is completed. \Box

Following Robert and Varberg ([6], p. 230) we call a function $f:(a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ quasiconvex if the level sets T_{α} are convex for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we have the following

Corollary 1. Every continuous L- convex function is quasiconvex. \Box

In order to get the analogous (to Theorem 2) result it will be useful to prove the following

Theorem 3. Let $f:(a, b) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be an L – concave function. Then the function $h(x):=\frac{1}{f(x)}, x \in (a, b)$, is L – convex.

Proof. By virtue of the definitions of h, L and on account of the L-concavity of f and (1) for all $x, y \in (a, b)$ we get

$$L(h, (x), h(y)) = L(\frac{1}{f(x)}, \frac{1}{f(y)}) = \frac{1}{f(x)f(y)}L(f(x), f(y)) =$$

= $\frac{(L(f(x), f(y))^2}{f(x)f(y)} \frac{1}{L(f(x), f(y))} \ge$
 $\ge \frac{(\sqrt{f(x)f(y)})^2}{f(x)f(y)} \frac{1}{f(L(x,y))} = h(L(x, y)).$

A simple example of the function $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, $x \in (0, \infty)$ shows that the converse theorem does not hold.

Theorem 4. If $f:(a, b) \to (0, \infty)$ is continuous and L – concave then there exist constants c, d, $a \le c \le d \le b$ such that f is strictly increasing in (a, c), f is constant in (c, d) and f is strictly decreasing in (d, b).

Proof. According to Theorem 3 the function $\frac{1}{f}$ is *L*-convex and continuous. Now our assertion follows easily from Theorem 2. \Box

In [2] we have proved that every bounded L-convex function $f:(0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ has to be constant. Theorem 3 also allow us to prove the analogous result for L-concave functions. Namely we have

Theorem 5. Let $f: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be an L-concave function. If there exists a positive number m such that $f(x) \ge m$ for all $x \in (0, \infty)$ then f is constant in $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3 and from the below boundedness of f that $\frac{1}{f}$ is bounded *L*-convex function and therefore constant. Thus f has to be constant, too. \Box

Immediately from Theorem 5 follows

Corollary 2. Let $f: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be a non-constant increasing function such that $\lim_{x\to 0^+} f(x) > 0$. Then f is not L – concave. \square

Corollary 3. Let p be a positive real number and let $f: (p, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be strictly increasing function such that $\lim_{x\to p^+} f(x) = 0$. Then f is not L - convex.

Proof. Suppose that f is a L-convex function. Observe that then the inverse function f^{-1} is L-concave function bounded below by a positive constant. By Theorem 5 f^{-1} is constant, a contradiction. \Box

All *L*-convex functions (which we know) are also convex. We do not know whether it is generally true. We will present some partial results of this type.

Theorem 6. Every decreasing L-convex function $f:(a, b) \to (0, \infty)$ is convex.

Proof. By monotonicity, *L*-convexity and (1) we get

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \le f\left(L(x, y)\right) \le \frac{f(x)+f(x)}{2}, x, y \in (a, b).$$

This means that f is convex in the Jensen sense and being continuous it is convex. \Box

In the next statements we use the following

Lemma 3. ([7], p. 13) Let $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Suppose that for any $t \in I$ and $\delta > 0$ there exist $t_1, t_2 \in I \cap (t - \delta, t + \delta)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that $t = \alpha t_1 + (1 - \alpha)t_2$ and $f(t) \le \alpha f(t_1) + (1 - \alpha)f(t_2)$. Then f is convex. \Box

Theorem 7. Let $f:(a, b) \to (0, \infty)$ be an L - convex function. If the function $\psi(x) := \frac{f(x)}{x}, x \in (a, b)$ is increasing then f is convex.

Proof. Note that since ψ is increasing then also f is (strictly) increasing in (a, b). It is easy to check that the function $\varphi:(1, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by the formula $\varphi(s):=\frac{s-1-\log s}{(s-1)\log s}$ is strictly decreasing. For arbitrary $x, y \in (a, b), x < y$ we have

f(x) < f(y). By our assumption on ψ we get $\frac{f(x)}{x} \le \frac{f(y)}{y}$. Consequently $1 < \frac{y}{x} \le \frac{f(y)}{f(x)}$ and hence $\varphi(\frac{f(y)}{f(x)}) \le \varphi(\frac{y}{x})$. Therefore

$$\frac{\frac{f(y)}{f(x)} - 1 - \log \frac{f(y)}{f(x)}}{\left(\frac{f(y)}{f(x)} - 1\right)\log \frac{f(y)}{f(x)}} \le \frac{\frac{y}{x} - 1 - \log \frac{y}{x}}{\left(\frac{y}{x} - 1\right)\log \frac{y}{x}}$$

or, equivalently

$$L(f(x), f(y)) \le \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} (L(x, y) - x) + f(x).$$

According to the L-convexity of f we obtain

$$f(L(x, y)) \leq \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} (L(x, y) - x) + f(x).$$

This means that the point (L(x, y), f(L(x, y))) lies below the segment joining the points (x, f(x)) and (y, f(y)). Now our assertion follows from Lemma 3. \Box

We omit the proof of an analogous theorem for L-concave function.

Theorem 8. Let $f:(a, b) \to (0, \infty)$ be an increasing L – concave function. If the function $\psi(x) := \frac{f(x)}{x}$, $x \in (a, b)$ is decreasing then f is concave. \square

Example. It follows from Theorem 7 that the function $f:(p, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ defined by the formula $f(x) = kx - x^{-\alpha}$, where positive constants α , k, p are chosen such that $kp^{\alpha+1} > 1$ is not L-convex in (p, ∞) . \Box

Finally we prove the following

Theorem 9. Let $f, g: (a, b) \to (0, \infty)$ be functions such that $f(x) < g(x), x \in (a, b)$. If f is L - convex and g is L - concave then the function h(x) := g(x) - f(x), $x \in (a, b)$ is L - concave.

Proof. Making use of the assumptions and the superadditivity of L we get

$$\begin{split} h(L(x, y)) &= g(L(x, y)) - f(L(x, y)) \ge L(g(x), g(y)) - L(f(x), f(y)) = \\ &= L(g(x) - f(x) + f(x), g(y) - f(y) + f(y)) - L(f(x), f(y)) \ge \\ &\ge L(g(x) - f(x), g(y) - f(y)) = L(h(x), h(y)). \ \Box \end{split}$$

As a consequence of Theorem 9 we get that the function defined in our example is *L*-concave in (p, ∞) .

References

- [1] BULLEN, P. S., MITRINOVIĆ, D. S., VASIĆ, P. M., Means and their inequalities, Reidel, Dodrecht 1988
- [2] KOMINEK, Z., ZGRAJA, T., Convex functions with respect to logarithmic mean and sandwich theorem, Acta Univ. Carolinae Math. et Phys., 40 (1999), 75 78.

- [3] MATKOWSKI, J., Affine and convex functions with respect to the logarithmic mean, Colloq. Math. 95 (2003), 217 230.
- [4] MATKOWSKI, J., RÄTZ, J., Convex functions with respect to an arbitrary mean, Internat. Ser. Num. Math., vol. 123 (1997), 249 – 258.
- [5] MATKOWSKI, J., RÄTZ, J., Convexity of the power functions with respect to symmetric homogeneous means, Internat. Ser. Num. Math., vol. 123 (1997), 231 – 247.
- [6] ROBERTS, A. W., VARBERG, D. E., Convex Functions, Academic Press, New York and London 1973.
- [7] VESELÝ, L., ZAJÍČEK, L., Delta-convex mappings between Banach spaces and applications, Dissertationes Math., CCLXXXIX, PWN, Warszawa 1989.