## Jan W. Cholewa; Aníbal Rodríguez-Bernal A note on the Cahn-Hilliard equation in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ involving critical exponent

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 139 (2014), No. 2, 269-283

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143854

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2014

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

# A NOTE ON THE CAHN-HILLIARD EQUATION IN $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ INVOLVING CRITICAL EXPONENT

JAN W. CHOLEWA, Katowice, ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ-BERNAL, Madrid

(Received September 27, 2013)

Abstract. We consider the Cahn-Hilliard equation in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with two types of critically growing nonlinearities: nonlinearities satisfying a certain limit condition as  $|u| \to \infty$  and logistic type nonlinearities. In both situations we prove the  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -bound on the solutions and show that the individual solutions are suitably attracted by the set of equilibria. This complements the results in the literature; see J. W. Cholewa, A. Rodriguez-Bernal (2012).

*Keywords*: initial value problem for higher order parabolic equations; asymptotic behavior of solutions; critical exponent

MSC 2010: 35K30, 35B40, 35B33

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the Cauchy problem for the Cahn-Hilliard equation

(1.1) 
$$u_t + \Delta^2 u + \Delta f(x, u) = 0, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

(1.2) 
$$u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

with initial data in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . We single out  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as a phase space for (1.1) since, under some mild assumptions on f, involving even weakly integrable potentials, the Lyapunov type functional

(1.3) 
$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u), \text{ where } F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

Partially supported by grant MTM2012-31298 from Ministerio de Economia y Competitividad, Spain.

is well defined in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Our concern is the situation when the nonlinear term satisfies a certain critical growth condition.

In bounded domains, see e.g. [32], [31] and references therein, the past decades have witnessed an extensive study of the Cahn-Hilliard model, which was originally derived in [9] as a phenomenological equation describing phase transition problems in binary metallic alloys. Several variations of the model were also considered, like multi-component alloys models [20], [12], [26], models with viscosity or inertial terms [19], [30], [28], [11], [21], Cahn-Hilliard-Oono model [29] and Cahn-Hilliard-Cook equations [4], [5].

In contrast with the case of bounded domains, merely a few references seem to deal with the Cahn-Hilliard problem in unbounded domains or in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ; see [8], [7], [25], [6], [27], [18], [17], [15], [34] in the chronological order.

In [8] the authors were concerned with the existence of an  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  bound for the solutions in the case when a nonlinear term grew linear at infinity.

In [7], and then in [25], stability of a particular steady state solution, the so called kink solution, was considered.

In [6] the viscous model in a channel like unbounded domain in dimensions N = 2, 3 was studied with the aid of weighted spaces and the existence of an attractor was proved.

In [27], and after that in [18], some temporal decay estimates of the solutions have been reported.

In [17] for the viscous model in the subcritical case the concept of the so called H-solutions was developed.

In [15] the critical exponent

(1.4) 
$$\varrho_c := 1 + \frac{4}{N-2}, \quad N \ge 3,$$

naturally appeared when proving local well posedness of (1.1)–(1.2) in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ whereas the analysis of the dissipative mechanism was then carried out in the subcritical case  $\varrho < \varrho_c$ .

Recently, in [34], the 3-dimensional model has been studied in the so called locally uniform spaces and the existential result has been obtained under suitably chosen assumptions on f.

In this note we set forth the analysis of (1.1) in the 'energy' space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Our goal is to extend from the subcritical to the critical case some of the results obtained in [15] for the unperturbed Cahn-Hilliard equation (1.1). This includes the global well posedness in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and a suitable notion of dissipativeness.

Following [15] we will assume that  $f: \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  in (1.1) is of the form

(1.5) 
$$f(x,u) = g(x) + m(x)u + f_0(x,u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ u \in \mathbb{R},$$

with

(1.6) 
$$g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

(1.7) 
$$f_0(x,0) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

(1.8)  $f_0: \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  locally Lipschitz in  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  uniformly for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ 

and

(1.9) 
$$m \in L^r_U(\mathbb{R}^N)$$
 for some  $r > \frac{N}{2}, r \ge 2$ ,

where the above space  $L^r_U(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is defined, for  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ , as

$$L_U^r(\mathbb{R}^N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \varphi \in L_{\text{loc}}^r(\mathbb{R}^N) \colon \|\varphi\|_{L_U^r(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \|\varphi\|_{L^r(B(y,1))} < \infty \}$$

(see e.g. [24], [3]). Also the growth of f will be restricted by the condition

(1.10) 
$$\exists c > 0 \, \exists \varrho, \, 1 < \varrho \leqslant \varrho_c \, \forall u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R} \, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ |f_0(x, u_1) - f_0(x, u_2)| \leqslant c |u_1 - u_2| (1 + |u_1|^{\varrho - 1} + |u_2|^{\varrho - 1}),$$

where  $\rho_c$  is as in (1.4).

Taking into account the form of f in (1.5) one can also require  $(\partial f_0/\partial u)(\cdot, 0) = 0$  (see [15]) however here we omit this because it is not necessary for further consideration.

We remark that with the above assumptions one can view (1.1)-(1.2) as the abstract parabolic problem

(1.11) 
$$\begin{cases} \dot{u} + P_0^2 u = P_0(f(\cdot, u)) =: \mathcal{F}(u), \quad t > 0, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

where

(1.12) 
$$P_0 = -\Delta \colon \operatorname{dom}(P_0) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \operatorname{dom}(P_0) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and that the following local well posedness result then holds (see [15], Corollary 2.3).

**Proposition 1.1.** Assume (1.4)–(1.10). Then, for any  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , there is a unique solution  $u \in C([0, \tau_0), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$  of (1.1)–(1.2) defined on a maximal interval of existence  $[0, \tau_{u_0})$  and satisfying

$$u(t) = e^{-\Delta^2 t} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\Delta^2 (t-s)} (-\Delta) (f(\cdot, u(s))) \, ds, \ t \in [0, \tau_0),$$

or, equivalently,

$$u(t) = e^{-\Delta^2 t} u_0 + \int_0^t (-\Delta) e^{-\Delta^2 (t-s)} (f(\cdot, u(s))) \, ds, \ t \in [0, \tau_0).$$

Furthermore,

(1.13) 
$$u \in C((0,\tau_0), H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C^1((0,\tau_0), H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)), \quad s < 2,$$
  
 
$$\Delta u(t) + f(\cdot, u(t)) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad t \in (0,\tau_{u_0}),$$

and for  $t \in (0, \tau_{u_0})$  we have

$$u_t + (-\Delta)(-\Delta u - f(x, u)) = 0$$
 in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

As for reaction-diffusion equations and problems with higher order diffusion (see [14], [2]) in the studies of global solutions of (1.1)–(1.2) the cooperation between diffusion and reaction terms in the equation becomes crucial. This cooperation is exhibited in the structure condition

(1.14) 
$$vf(x,v) \leqslant C(x)v^2 + D(x)|v|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ v \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

(1.15) 
$$C \in L^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{N}), \quad \sigma > \max\left\{\frac{N}{2}, 1\right\},$$
$$0 \leqslant D \in L^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N}), \quad \max\left\{1, \frac{2N}{N+2}\right\} \leqslant s \leqslant 2,$$

and C is such that

(1.16) 
$$\exists \omega_0 > 0 \ \forall \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla \varphi|^2 - C(x)\varphi^2) \ge \omega_0 \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2;$$

that is, the solutions of the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + C(x)u, & t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \end{cases}$$

are uniformly exponentially decaying as  $t \to \infty$  (see [15], Appendix A).

Note that assuming (1.4)-(1.10), (1.14)-(1.16) and using the energy (1.3) we obtain for the solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) the a priori bound of the form

(1.17) 
$$||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq c_1 E(u) + c_2 \leq c_1 E(u_0) + c_2$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are some positive constants (see [15], Lemma 3.5). If, in addition, the growth of f is restricted to a subcritical case, that is for

$$(1.18) \qquad \qquad \varrho < \varrho_c,$$

then the following result holds (see [15]).

**Theorem 1.2.** For the Cahn-Hilliard problem (1.1)-(1.2)

(i) the local solution through  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as in Proposition 1.1 exists globally in time and the associated semigroup  $\{S(t): t \ge 0\}$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

$$S(t)u_0 = u(t; u_0), \quad t \ge 0, \ u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

has bounded positive orbits of bounded sets,

(ii) the positive orbit  $\gamma^+(B)$  of any set B bounded in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is immediately bounded in  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ; that is,

$$S(t)\gamma^+(B)$$
 is bounded in  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ 

for any t > 0,

(iii) the set  $\mathcal{E}$  of equilibria of  $\{S(t): t \ge 0\}$ ,

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \colon -\Delta \varphi = f(\cdot, \varphi) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \},\$$

is nonvoid and for each  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any sequence  $t_n \to \infty$  there is a subsequence  $\{t_{n_k}\}$  and an equilibrium  $\psi \in \mathcal{E}$  such that

$$u(t_{n_k}) \stackrel{k \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \psi \quad \text{in } H^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and in } H^s_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for any } s < 2,$$

where the weight is given by  $\varphi(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\nu}$  with  $\nu > N/2$ . Furthermore, if in (1.14) we have, in addition to (1.15),

$$D \in L^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \sigma > N/2, \quad \max\left\{1, \frac{2N}{N+2}\right\} \leqslant s \leqslant 2,$$

then

(iv) there are two ordered extremal equilibria  $\varphi_m, \varphi_M$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , minimal and maximal, respectively, such that any equilibrium  $\psi$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies

$$\varphi_m(x) \leqslant \psi(x) \leqslant \varphi_M(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

(v) the order interval  $[\varphi_m, \varphi_M]_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$  attracts 'pointwise asymptotic dynamics' of (1.1) in the sense that for each  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any sequence  $t_n \to \infty$ , there is a subsequence  $\{t_{n_k}\}$  such that

$$\varphi_m(x) \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} u(t_{n_k}, x; u_0) \leq \varphi_M(x)$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Note that Theorem 1.2 concerns some weak form of dissipativity and that a strong dissipativity, the one which relies on the asymptotic compactness in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , cannot be obtained in general (see [15]). In particular, a global attractor in the sense of [22] will not generally exist in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  (see [15], Proposition 4.6).

In what follows our concern will be to ensure validity of Theorem 1.2 in the case when the critical exponent  $\rho = \rho_c$  appears in the nonlinear term in the equation. We will thus assume (1.4)–(1.10), (1.14)–(1.16) but not (1.18).

In Section 2 we will consider critical nonlinearities f(x, u) satisfying a certain limit condition as  $|u| \to \infty$ .

In Section 3 we will discuss critically growing logistic type nonlinearities of the form  $f(x, u) = g(x) + m(x)u - u|u|^{\rho_c - 1}$ .

In the closing Section 4 we will include some final comments concerning the critical exponent.

## 2. Critical nonlinearities satisfying a limit condition as $|u| ightarrow \infty$

In this section we will consider nonlinearities satisfying uniformly for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  the *limit condition* 

(2.1) 
$$\lim_{|u|\to\infty}\frac{|(\partial f_0/\partial u)(x,u)|}{|u|^{\varrho_c-1}}=0.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** Theorem 1.2 applies for critical nonlinearities satisfying the limit condition as in (2.1).

In the proof of Theorem 2.1 we will use the following three lemmas. To avoid too many technicalities, instead of (1.9) we will use some stronger local integrability property of m requiring that

(2.2) 
$$m \in L^r_U(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad r \ge \frac{N\varrho_c}{\varrho_c + 1} = \frac{N}{2} + 1.$$

Then we will come back to the original assumption (1.9) in Remark 2.5, which closes this section.

**Lemma 2.2.** If (1.8), (2.1) hold and  $f_0(\cdot, 0) = 0$  then, given  $\eta > 0$ , there exist a positive constant  $C_{\eta}$  and maps  $f_{01}^{\eta}, f_{02}^{\eta}$  such that

$$f_{01}^{\eta}(\cdot,0) = f_{02}^{\eta}(\cdot,0) = 0,$$

(2.3) 
$$f_0(x,v) = f_{01}^{\eta}(x,v) + f_{02}^{\eta}(x,v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ v \in \mathbb{R}, \ v \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

(2.4)  $f_{01}^{\eta}(x,\cdot)$  is a Lipschitz map uniformly for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

and

(2.5) 
$$|f_{02}^{\eta}(x,v_1) - f_{02}^{\eta}(x,v_2)| \leq \eta |v_1 - v_2|(|v_1|^{\rho_c - 1} + |v_2|^{\rho_c - 1}), \quad v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Fix  $\eta > 0$ , choose  $s_{\eta} > 1$  such that

(2.6) 
$$\left|\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial s}(x,s)\right| \leq \eta |s|^{\varrho_c - 1} \quad \text{for } |s| > s_\eta$$

and define

$$f_{01}^{\eta}(x,v) = \begin{cases} f_0(x,v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ |v| \le s_{\eta}, \\ f_0(x,s_{\eta}), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ v > s_{\eta}, \\ f_0(x,-s_{\eta}), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ v < -s_{\eta}, \end{cases}$$
$$f_{02}^{\eta}(x,v) = f_0(x,v) - f_{01}^{\eta}(x,v), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ v \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

Since  $f_0(x, v)$  is locally Lipschitz in  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  uniformly for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , choosing  $L_\eta > 0$ as a Lipschitz constant for  $f_0$  restricted to  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [-s_\eta, s_\eta]$  and using the definition of  $f_{01}^{\eta}$ , we have that

$$|f_{01}^{\eta}(x,v_1) - f_{01}^{\eta}(x,v_2)| \leq L_{\eta}|v_1 - v_2|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Considering then the difference  $f_{02}^{\eta}(x, v_1) - f_{02}^{\eta}(x, v_2)$ , using the definition of  $f_{02}^{\eta}$ , the mean value theorem and (2.6) we get (2.5).

**Lemma 2.3.** Besides (1.4)–(1.10) assume the limit condition as in (2.1) and (2.2). Then for any  $\eta > 0$  there exists  $C_{\eta} > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  in (1.11) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(v) - \mathcal{F}(w)\|_{H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ \leqslant c \|v - w\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_c}(\mathbb{R}^N)} (C_\eta + \eta \|v\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_c}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\varrho - 1} + \eta \|w\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_c}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\varrho - 1}) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $v, w \in H^{1+1/\varrho_c}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Proof. Due to (1.5), (2.3), given  $\eta > 0$  we have that

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \mathcal{F}_1^{\eta}(u) + \mathcal{F}_2^{\eta}(u) + \mathcal{F}_3(u),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{\eta}(u) = P_0(f_{01}^{\eta}(\cdot, u) + g), \quad \mathcal{F}_2^{\eta}(u) = P_0(f_{02}^{\eta}(\cdot, u)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_3(u) = P_0(m(\cdot)u).$$

Using (2.5) and repeating the proof of [15], Lemma B.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_{2}^{\eta}(v_{1}) - \mathcal{F}_{2}^{\eta}(v_{2})\|_{H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &\leqslant c\eta \|v_{1} - v_{2}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} (\|v_{1}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{\varrho_{c}-1} + \|v_{2}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{\varrho_{c}-1}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\eta}(v_{1}) - \mathcal{F}_{1}^{\eta}(v_{2})\|_{H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} &= \|(P_{0} + \mathrm{Id})^{-1}P_{0}(f_{01}^{\eta}(\cdot, v_{1}) - f_{01}^{\eta}(\cdot, v_{2}))\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &\leq c\|f_{01}^{\eta}(\cdot, v_{1}) - f_{01}^{\eta}(\cdot, v_{2})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &\leq cL_{\eta}\|v_{1} - v_{2}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \leq \tilde{c}L_{\eta}\|v_{1} - v_{2}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &\leq \|v_{1} - v_{2}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \big(\tilde{c}L_{\eta} + c\eta\|v_{1}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{\varrho_{c}-1} + c\eta\|v_{2}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{\varrho_{c}-1} + c\eta\|v_{2}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{\varrho_{c}-1} \big). \end{aligned}$$

To deal with  $\mathcal{F}_3$  let us denote by  $Q_i$  the open cube in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  centered at  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  and having unitary edges parallel to the axes. Then  $\mathbb{R}^N = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \overline{Q_i}, Q_i \cap Q_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ and we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |m(x)|^2 |v_1 - v_2|^2 &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \int_{Q_i} |m(x)|^2 |v_1 - v_2|^2 \\ &\leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \|m\|_{L^r(Q_i)}^2 \|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^{2r/(r-2)}(Q_i)}^2 \leqslant c \|m\|_{L^r_U(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \|v_1 - v_2\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_c}(Q_i)}^2, \end{split}$$

where, following (2.2), Hölder's inequality and the embedding  $H^{1/2+1/(2\varrho_c)}(Q_i) \hookrightarrow L^{2r/(r-2)}(Q_i)$  were used. Due to [2], Lemma 2.4,  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \|v_1 - v_2\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_c}(Q_i)}^2$  can be

bounded by a multiple of  $||v_1 - v_2||^2_{H^{1+1/\varrho_c}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$  and hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_{3}(v_{1}) - \mathcal{F}_{3}(v_{2})\|_{H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} &= \|(P_{0} + \mathrm{Id})^{-1}P_{0}(mv_{1} - mv_{2})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &\leq c\|m(v_{1} - v_{2})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \leq \hat{c}\|v_{1} - v_{2}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &\leq \|v_{1} - v_{2}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}(\hat{c} + \tilde{c}L_{\eta} + c\eta\|v_{1}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{\varrho_{c} - 1} + c\eta\|v_{2}\|_{H^{1+1/\varrho_{c}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{\varrho_{c} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows easily.

**Lemma 2.4.** If the assumptions of Lemma 2.3 hold then Proposition 1.1 applies and the solution therein satisfies the blow-up  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -alternative; namely,

either 
$$\tau_{u_0} = \infty$$
 or  $\limsup_{t \to \tau_{u_0}^-} \|u(t; u_0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \infty.$ 

Furthermore, given R > 0, a ball  $B_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}(v_0, R)$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of radius R > 0around  $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and any  $0 < \theta < \varrho_c \varepsilon = 1/4$ ,  $u_0 \in B_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}(v_0, R)$  we have that

$$t^{\theta} \| u(t; u_0) \|_{H^{1+4\theta}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to 0^+.$$

Also,

$$t^{\theta} \| u(t; u_{01}) - u(t; u_{02}) \|_{H^{1+4\theta}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C' \| u_{01} - u_{02} \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

whenever  $t \in [0, \tau_0], 0 \leq \theta < \varrho_c \varepsilon = 1/4$  and  $u_{01}, u_{02} \in B_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}(v_0, R)$ .

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 there are c > 0,  $\varepsilon = 1/(4\varrho_c)$  and, given  $\eta > 0$ , there exists  $C_{\eta} > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(v) - \mathcal{F}(w)\|_{H^{-3+4\varrho_c\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\leqslant c \|v - w\|_{H^{1+4\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)} (C_\eta + \eta \|v\|_{H^{1+4\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\varrho-1} + \eta \|w\|_{H^{1+4\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\varrho-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $v, w \in H^{1+4\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Recall also that the scale of fractional power spaces,  $\{E^{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , associated with the linear main part operator  $P_0^2$  in (1.11)–(1.12), is given by

$$E^{\alpha} = H^{4\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{for } -1 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Following [10], Definition 2.1, the right hand side  $\mathcal{F}$  in (1.11) can be thus viewed as an almost critical  $\varepsilon$ -regular map relative to spaces  $X^1 = E^{1/4}$  and  $X = E^{-3/4}$ . Consequently, application of [10], Theorem 2.1, gives the result.

277

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Part (i) follows from (1.17) and Lemma 2.4.

Proceeding next as in [15], Lemma 5.1, we observe via Lemma 2.4 that the positive orbits of bounded subsets of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  are immediately bounded in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for any s < 2. Similarly to [15], Lemma 5.2, we then obtain the  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -bound of the orbits stated in part (ii).

Once we have the  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -bound of the orbits, the proof of parts (iii)–(v) in Theorem 1.2 follows the same lines as in [15].

R e m a r k 2.5. Condition (2.2) is not necessary and can be replaced by (1.9). This requires however a longer argument involving the decomposition of  $\mathcal{F}$  into a sum of  $\varepsilon_i$ -regular maps  $\mathcal{F}_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, with different parameters  $\varepsilon_i$  (see [1], Theorem 2.2). This is a matter we do not pursue here.

#### 3. CRITICALLY GROWING LOGISTIC TYPE NONLINEARITIES

In this section we consider *critically growing logistic type nonlinearities* 

(3.1) 
$$f(x,u) = g(x) + m(x)u - u|u|^{\varrho_c - 1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We thus focus now on the problem (1.1)-(1.2) with f as in (3.1).

**Theorem 3.1.** Theorem 1.2 applies for (1.1)–(1.2) with critically growing logistic type nonlinearities.

The following observation concerning the abstract counterpart of (1.1)–(1.2) will be useful.

**Lemma 3.2.** If (3.1) holds with  $\rho_c$ , g, m as in Section 1, that is

(3.2) 
$$\varrho_c = \frac{N+2}{N-2}, \ g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad m \in L^r_U(\mathbb{R}^N), \ r > \frac{N}{2}, \ r \ge 2,$$

then, given  $\varepsilon < 1/4$  close enough to 1/4, the nonlinear term  $\mathcal{F}$  in (1.11) can be viewed as a Lipschitz map from  $H^{1+4\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  into  $H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , Proposition 1.1 applies and the solution therein satisfies the blow up  $H^{1+4\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -alternative; namely,

(3.3) either 
$$\tau_{u_0} = \infty$$
 or  $\limsup_{t \to \tau_{u_0}^-} ||u(t;u_0)||_{H^{1+4\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \infty$ 

Proof. We remark that the solution in Proposition 1.1 is constructed as in [15], Appendix B, and the Lipschitz property in the statement follows from [15], Remark B.4. Since via (1.13) the solution enters  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow H^{1+4\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  the blow up  $H^{1+4\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -alternative thus holds as in [23], Theorem 3.3.4. Below we will derive a uniform in time  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -estimate away from t = 0. Note that once this estimate is known then parts (i)–(ii) in Theorem 1.2 hold true due to (3.3) and (1.17). The proof of parts (iii)–(v) follows then the same lines as in [15].

**Lemma 3.3.** If f is as in (3.1)–(3.2) then the solutions of (1.1)–(1.2) are a priori bounded in  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  uniformly in time away from t = 0 and for initial conditions in bounded subsets of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ; namely, given  $||u_0||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq R$  and  $\tau > 0$  there exists a positive constant  $M(R, \tau)$  such that

(3.4) 
$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leqslant M(R,\tau), \quad t \ge \tau.$$

Proof. From (1.1) and (3.1) we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\nabla(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u))\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} = 2\langle\nabla(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u)), \nabla(\Delta u_{t} + f'_{u}(\cdot, u)u_{t})\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}$$
$$= 2\langle-\Delta(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u)), \Delta u_{t} + f'_{u}(\cdot, u)u_{t}\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}$$
$$= 2\langle u_{t}, \Delta u_{t} + f'_{u}(\cdot, u)u_{t}\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}$$
$$\leqslant -2\|\nabla u_{t}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m(x)u_{t}^{2}.$$

Applying [15], Lemma A.5, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) u_t^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + c_{1/2} \|u_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2$$

and, using (1.1),

(3.6) 
$$c_{1/2} \|u_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = c_{1/2} \langle u_t, -\Delta(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u)) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ = c_{1/2} \langle \nabla u_t, \nabla(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u)) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} c_{1/2}^2 \|\nabla(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2.$$

From (3.5)–(3.6) we then get

(3.7) 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\nabla(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leqslant c_{1/2}^2 \|\nabla(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2,$$

where, due to [15] (3.9) and (3.11),

(3.8) 
$$\int_{s}^{t} \|\nabla(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u))\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} = E(u(s)) - E(u(t)) \leqslant E(u_{0}) + \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}$$

with constants  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  as in (1.17). Since (3.7) yields that for  $s < t + \tau$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u))(t+\tau)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} \\ &\leqslant \|\nabla(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u))(s)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} + c_{1/2}^{2} \int_{s}^{t+\tau} \|\nabla(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u))\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

integrating with respect to  $s \in (t, t + \tau)$  and using (3.8) we obtain

(3.9) 
$$\|\nabla(\Delta u + f(\cdot, u))(t+\tau)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq \frac{1+c_{1/2}^2\tau}{\tau} \Big(E(u_0) + \frac{c_2}{c_1}\Big), \quad t \ge 0, \ \tau > 0.$$

On the other hand, using injectivity of  $P_0$  in (1.12) (see [15], Lemma 3.1) and rewriting (1.1) as

$$P_0^{-1}u_t = \Delta u + f(\cdot, u) = \Delta u + g(x) + m(x)u - u|u|^{\rho_c - 1}$$

we obtain with the aid of Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} &= \langle \Delta u, \Delta u \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &= \langle \Delta u, P_{0}^{-1}u_{t} - g - mu + u|u|^{\varrho_{c}-1} \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &= \langle \Delta u, \Delta u + f(\cdot, u) \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} - \langle \Delta u, g \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &- \langle \Delta u, mu \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} + \langle \Delta u, u|u|^{\varrho_{c}-1} \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} + \|\nabla (\Delta u + f(\cdot, u))\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} \\ &+ \|g\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} + \|mu\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the last term in (3.10) we have from [15], Lemma A.1, that

$$||mu||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c ||u||_{H^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$
 for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ 

and hence, by interpolation (see [33], §2.4.2 (11), §1.9.3 (3)) and Young's inequality,

$$(3.11) \quad \|mu\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} \leqslant c \|u\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2\alpha} \|u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2(1-\alpha)} \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} + c_{1/4,\alpha} \|u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2}.$$

Combining (1.17), (3.10) and (3.11) we get

$$\frac{1}{4} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{4} + c_{1/4,\alpha}\right) (c_{1}E(u_{0}) + c_{2}) \\ + \|\nabla(\Delta u + f(x,u))\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} + \|g\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2}.$$

Application of (3.9) now leads to the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta u(t+\tau)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 &\leqslant \left(\frac{1}{4} + c_{1/4,\alpha}\right) (c_1 E(u_0) + c_2) \\ &+ \frac{1 + c_{1/2}^2 \tau}{\tau} \left(E(u_0) + \frac{c_2}{c_1}\right) + \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (1.17) gives the result.

Let us now discuss briefly that the solution in Proposition 1.1 will often possesses some more regularity properties than mentioned therein. For example, if for  $\mathcal{F}$  in (1.11) we have that

(3.12)  $\mathcal{F}$  is Lipschitz continuous on bounded sets from  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  into  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ 

then, following [23], [13], the solution u of (1.1)–(1.2) through  $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  will exist in the class  $C([0, \tau_{u_0}), H^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$  and, in addition,

(3.13) 
$$u \in C((0, \tau_{u_0}), H^4(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C^1((0, \tau_{u_0}), H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)), \quad s < 4.$$

Since the solution through  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  in Proposition 1.1 enters  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , assuming (3.12) we obtain that (3.13) holds for such solution as well.

Concerning f as in (3.1) we finally remark that (3.12) will hold if e.g. N = 3,  $g \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $m \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^3)$  has bounded partial derivatives of order less or equal two. In this sample case the solutions through  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  will then possess the regularity properties stated in (3.13).

### 4. CLOSING REMARKS

In the case of the critical exponent  $\rho = \rho_c$  it remains generally unknown whether the blow up  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -alternative holds; that is whether an  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -estimate of the solutions implies their global existence. This was shown to hold true for nonlinearities satisfying the limit condition (2.1) but not for logistic type nonlinearities as in (3.1).

Due to the properties of the energy functional (1.3) observe that for arbitrarily fast growing logistic type nonlinearities  $f(x, u) = g(x) + m(x)u - u|u|^{\varrho-1}$  one can formally obtain a uniform in time  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\varrho+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -estimate of the solutions. Also, analogously to Lemma 3.3, one can then derive the  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -bound. Nonetheless, the local well posedness in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as in Proposition 1.1 applies only for  $\varrho$  not exceeding  $\varrho_c$  and faster growing nonlinearities necessitate a separate treatment (see e.g. [16] and [34]).

#### References

- J. M. Arrieta, A. N. Carvalho, A. Rodríguez-Bernal: Parabolic problems with nonlinear boundary conditions and critical nonlinearities. J. Differ. Equations 156 (1999), 376–406.
- [2] J. M. Arrieta, J. W. Cholewa, T. Dlotko, A. Rodríguez-Bernal: Asymptotic behavior and attractors for reaction diffusion equations in unbounded domains. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods 56 (2004), 515–554.
- [3] J. M. Arrieta, A. Rodriguez-Bernal, J. W. Cholewa, T. Dlotko: Linear parabolic equations in locally uniform spaces. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 14 (2004), 253–293.
- [4] D. Blömker, S. Maier-Paape, T. Wanner: Spinodal decomposition for the Cahn-Hilliard-Cook equation. Commun. Math. Phys. 223 (2001), 553–582.
- [5] D. Blömker, S. Maier-Paape, T. Wanner: Second phase spinodal decomposition for the Cahn-Hilliard-Cook equation. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), 449–489.
- [6] A. Bonfoh: Finite-dimensional attractor for the viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation in an unbounded domain. Q. Appl. Math. 64 (2006), 93–104.
- [7] J. Bricmont, A. Kupiainen, J. Taskinen: Stability of Cahn-Hilliard fronts. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 52 (1999), 839–871.
- [8] L. A. Caffarelli, N. E. Muler: An  $L^{\infty}$  bound for solutions of the Cahn-Hilliard equation. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 133 (1995), 129–144.
- J. W. Cahn, J. E. Hilliard: Free energy of a nonuniform system. I: Interfacial free energy. J. Chem. Phys. 28 (1958), 258–267.
- [10] A. N. Carvalho, J. W. Cholewa: Continuation and asymptotics of solutions to semilinear parabolic equations with critical nonlinearities. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 310 (2005), 557–578.
- [11] A. N. Carvalho, T. Dlotko: Dynamics of the viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 344 (2008), 703–725.
- [12] J. W. Cholewa, T. Dlotko: Global attractor for the Cahn-Hilliard system. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 49 (1994), 277–292.
- [13] J. W. Cholewa, T. Dlotko: Global Attractors in Abstract Parabolic Problems. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 278, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [14] J. W. Cholewa, A. Rodriguez-Bernal: Dissipative mechanism of a semilinear higher order parabolic equation in ℝ<sup>N</sup>. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods 75 (2012), 3510–3530.
- [15] J. W. Cholewa, A. Rodriguez-Bernal: On the Cahn-Hilliard equation in H<sup>1</sup>(ℝ<sup>N</sup>). J. Differ. Equations 253 (2012), 3678–3726.
- [16] J. W. Cholewa, A. Rodriguez-Bernal: Critical and supercritical higher order parabolic problems in ℝ<sup>N</sup>. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods 104 (2014), 50–74.
- [17] T. Dlotko, M. B. Kania, C. Sun: Analysis of the viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation in ℝ<sup>N</sup>.
   J. Differ. Equations 252 (2012), 2771–2791.
- [18] L. Duan, S. Liu, H. Zhao: A note on the optimal temporal decay estimates of solutions to the Cahn-Hilliard equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 372 (2010), 666–678.
- [19] C. M. Elliott, A. M. Stuart: Viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation II: Analysis. J. Differ. Equations 128 (1996), 387–414.
- [20] D. J. Eyre: Systems of Cahn-Hilliard Equations. University of Minnesota, AHPCRC Preprint 92–102, 1992.
- [21] M. Grasselli, G. Schimperna, S. Zelik: Trajectory and smooth attractors for Cahn-Hilliard equations with inertial term. Nonlinearity 23 (2010), 707–737.

- [22] J. K. Hale: Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 25, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1988.
- [23] D. Henry: Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 840, Springer, Berlin, 1981.
- [24] T. Kato: The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic systems. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 58 (1975), 181–205.
- [25] T. Korvola, A. Kupiainen, J. Taskinen: Anomalous scaling for three-dimensional Cahn-Hilliard fronts. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), 1077–1115.
- [26] D. Li, C. Zhong: Global attractor for the Cahn-Hilliard system with fast growing nonlinearity. J. Differ. Equations 149 (1998), 191–210.
- [27] S. Liu, F. Wang, H. Zhao: Global existence and asymptotics of solutions of the Cahn-Hilliard equation. J. Differ. Equations 238 (2007), 426–469.
- [28] A. Miranville: Long-time behavior of some models of Cahn-Hilliard equations in deformable continua. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. 2 (2001), 273–304.
- [29] A. Miranville: Asymptotic behavior of the Cahn-Hilliard-Oono equation. J. Appl. Anal. Comput. 1 (2011), 523–536.
- [30] A. Novick-Cohen: On the viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation. Material instabilities in continuum mechanics. Proc. Symp., Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, 1985/86 (J. M. Ball, ed.). Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1988, pp. 329–342.
- [31] A. Novick-Cohen: The Cahn-Hilliard equation. Handbook of Differential Equations: Evolutionary Equations IV. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2008, pp. 201–228.
- [32] R. Temam: Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics. Applied Mathematical Sciences 68, Springer, New York, 1988.
- [33] H. Triebel: Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators. North-Holland Mathematical Library 18, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [34] S. Zelik, J. Pennant: Global well-posedness in uniformly local spaces for the Cahn-Hilliard equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 12 (2013), 461–480.

Authors' addresses: Jan W. Cholewa, Silesian University, 40-007 Katowice, Poland, e-mail: jan.cholewa@us.edu.pl; Anibal Rodriguez-Bernal, Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain and Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas, CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM, e-mail: arober@mat.ucm.es.