Jiangtao Shi; Na Li Finite groups in which every self-centralizing subgroup is nilpotent or subnormal or a TI-subgroup

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 71 (2021), No. 4, 1229-1233

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/149252

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2021

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

FINITE GROUPS IN WHICH EVERY SELF-CENTRALIZING SUBGROUP IS NILPOTENT OR SUBNORMAL OR A TI-SUBGROUP

JIANGTAO SHI, Yantai, NA LI, Beijing

Received November 25, 2020. Published online July 9, 2021.

Abstract. Let G be a finite group. We prove that if every self-centralizing subgroup of G is nilpotent or subnormal or a TI-subgroup, then every subgroup of G is nilpotent or subnormal. Moreover, G has either a normal Sylow p-subgroup or a normal p-complement for each prime divisor p of |G|.

Keywords: self-centralizing; nilpotent; TI-subgroup; subnormal; p-complement

MSC 2020: 20D10

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper all groups are considered to be finite. Let G be a group and N a subgroup of G. If $N^g \cap N = 1$ or N for each $g \in G$, then N is said to be a TI-subgroup of G. It is obvious that any normal subgroup of a group is a TI-subgroup but a TI-subgroup might not be a normal subgroup. The concept of subnormal subgroup is a natural generalization of the concept of normal subgroup. In [3] Shi and Zhang produced examples showing that a TI-subgroup might not be a subnormal subgroup and a subnormal subgroup might also not be a TI-subgroup, and they obtained a complete classification of groups in which every subgroup is subnormal or a TI-subgroup. As a generalization of [3], Shi in [2] proved that if every subgroup of a group G is abelian or subnormal or a TI-subgroup, then every subgroup of G is abelian or subnormal, and for every prime p dividing |G|, G must have either a normal Sylow p-subgroup or else a Sylow p-subgroup is abelian and there exists a normal p-complement.

DOI: 10.21136/CMJ.2021.0512-20

This work was supported by Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation, China (ZR2017MA022 and ZR2020MA044) and NSFC (11761079).

Recall that a subgroup H of a group G is said to be self-centralizing if $C_G(H) \leq H$, where $C_G(H)$ is the centralizer of H in G. It is clear that any self-normalizing subgroup of a group G is a self-centralizing subgroup of G. Moreover, if H is a selfcentralizing subgroup of a group G, then for any subgroup K of G satisfying K > Hone has that K is also a self-centralizing subgroup of G. Sun, Lu and Meng in [5] showed that if every self-centralizing subgroup of a group G is abelian or subnormal or a TI-subgroup, then every subgroup of G is abelian or subnormal, which extended the research in [2].

Note that any abelian subgroup of a group must be nilpotent but a nilpotent subgroup might not be abelian. Motivated by the research presented above, we will give a complete characterization of the groups in which every self-centralizing subgroup is nilpotent or subnormal or a TI-subgroup. Our result is as follows.

Theorem 1. Suppose that every self-centralizing subgroup of a group G is nilpotent or subnormal or a TI-subgroup. Then every subgroup of G is nilpotent or subnormal.

Moreover, we obtain that the groups in Theorem 1 have the following structure.

Theorem 2. Let G be a group in which every self-centralizing subgroup is nilpotent or subnormal or a TI-subgroup. Then G is solvable and for each prime divisor p of |G| we have that G has either a normal Sylow p-subgroup or a normal p-complement.

In [4], Theorem 1.1 we proved that if every subgroup of a group G is nilpotent or a TI-subgroup, then every subgroup of G is nilpotent or normal. As an extension, we have the following result.

Theorem 3. Suppose that every self-centralizing subgroup of a group G is nilpotent or a TI-subgroup. Then every self-centralizing subgroup of G is nilpotent or normal.

Remark 4. In Theorem 3, although we have that every subgroup of G is nilpotent or subnormal by Theorem 1, we cannot get that every subgroup of G is nilpotent or normal. For example, let $G = D_{24} = \langle a^{12} = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$ be a dihedral group of order 24. It is clear that G only has the following three subgroups which are not nilpotent: $\langle a^4, b \rangle$, $\langle a^2, b \rangle$, G itself. Observe that both $\langle a^2, b \rangle$ and G are self-centralizing, and $\langle a^4, b \rangle$ is not self-centralizing. Moreover, both $\langle a^2, b \rangle$ and G are normal in G and both obviously are TI-subgroups of G, and $\langle a^4, b \rangle$ is not normal in G. Then G satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3. However, $\langle a^4, b \rangle$ is not normal in G.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Assume that G has subgroups which are neither nilpotent nor subnormal. We can assume that H is a subgroup of G which is non-nilpotent nor subnormal and for any subgroup K > H we have that K is subnormal in G. Then $H = N_G(H)$. It follows that $C_G(H) \leq H$ and H is a self-centralizing subgroup of G which is non-nilpotent. By hypothesis, H is a TI-subgroup of G. Since $H = N_G(H)$, one has that G is a Frobenius group with H being its complement.

Assume $G = N \rtimes H$, where N is the Frobenius kernel. Let H_0 be any maximal subgroup of H, where $H_0 > 1$ since H is non-nilpotent. Then $N \rtimes H_0$ is maximal in $N \rtimes H = G$. Assume that $N \rtimes H_0$ is not normal in G. One has that $N \rtimes H_0$ is not subnormal in G and $N \rtimes H_0 = N_G(N \rtimes H_0)$. It follows that $N \rtimes H_0$ is a self-centralizing subgroup of G which is non-nilpotent. Then $N \rtimes H_0$ is a nonnormal TI-subgroup of G by hypothesis. However, one has $(N \rtimes H_0)^g \cap (N \rtimes H_0) =$ $(N^g \rtimes H_0^g) \cap (N \rtimes H_0) = (N \rtimes H_0^g) \cap (N \rtimes H_0) \ge N \ne 1$ for each $g \in G \setminus N_G(N \rtimes H_0) =$ $G \setminus (N \rtimes H_0)$, this is a contradiction. Thus, $N \rtimes H_0$ is normal in G. Note that $H_0 = (N \cap H)H_0 = (N \rtimes H_0) \cap H$. It follows that H_0 is normal in H. And then H is nilpotent by the arbitrariness of H_0 , a contradiction.

Hence, every subgroup of G is nilpotent or subnormal.

3. Proof of Theorem 2

By Theorem 1, every subgroup of G is nilpotent or subnormal. First we show that such a group G is solvable. Let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Then G is a non-solvable group in which every proper subgroup is solvable. It follows that $G/\Phi(G)$ is a minimal non-abelian simple group.

(1) Assume that G has maximal subgroups which are non-nilpotent. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G which is non-nilpotent. By assumption M is subnormal in G. Then M is normal in G, which implies that $M/\Phi(G)$ is normal in $G/\Phi(G)$, a contradiction.

(2) Assume that every maximal subgroup of G is nilpotent. Then G is either a nilpotent group or a non-nilpotent group in which every proper subgroup is nilpotent. By Schmidt Theorem (see [1], Theorem 9.1.9), one has that G is solvable, also a contradiction. Hence, the counterexample of minimal order does not exist. One has that G is solvable.

Next we prove that G must have a normal Sylow subgroup. Let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Since G is solvable, one has that G has a minimal normal subgroup N which is an elementary abelian subgroup of prime-power order. Assume $|N| = p^m$ for a prime divisor p of |G| and a positive integer m. By the minimality of G, one has that G/N has a normal Sylow subgroup. Let QN/N be a normal Sylow q-subgroup of G/N, where $Q \in \text{Syl}_a(G)$ for a prime divisor q of |G|.

(1) Suppose q = p. Then $N \leq Q$ and Q is a normal Sylow subgroup of G, a contradiction.

(2) Suppose $q \neq p$. Then $N_G(Q)N/N = N_{G/N}(QN/N) = G/N$. It follows that $G = N_G(Q)N$. By the hypothesis, $N_G(Q) < G$. Let R be a maximal subgroup of G such that $R \geq N_G(Q)$. Then G = RN.

(i) Assume that R is non-nilpotent. Then R is normal in G. By Frattini argument, one has $G = N_G(Q)R = R$, a contradiction.

(ii) Assume that R is nilpotent. Let R_p be a Sylow *p*-subgroup of R. Then R_pN is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of G, since G = RN. Since R is nilpotent, the subgroup R_p is normal in R and so R_pN is normal in RN = G, also a contradiction. Thus, the counterexample of minimal order does not exist and G must have a normal Sylow subgroup.

In the following we give the final conclusion. Suppose that not all Sylow subgroups of G are normal (otherwise there is nothing to be proven). Let $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_{s-1}$ and P_s be all normal Sylow subgroups of G. Since G is solvable, there is a subgroup Kof G such that $G = (P_1 \times P_2 \times \ldots \times P_s) \rtimes K$ by Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem, see [1], Theorem 9.1.2. Note that for any prime divisor r of |K| the Sylow r-subgroup of Kwhich is also a Sylow r-subgroup of G is not normal in G. Assume that K is nonnilpotent. Let K_0 be any non-nilpotent subgroup of K. Then $(P_1 \times P_2 \times \ldots \times P_s) \rtimes K_0$ is a non-nilpotent subgroup of G. By the hypothesis, $(P_1 \times P_2 \times \ldots \times P_s) \rtimes K_0$ is subnormal in G. It follows that K_0 is subnormal in K. Then K is a non-nilpotent group in which every subgroup is nilpotent or subnormal. Arguing as above, K has a normal Sylow subgroup T. Then $K \leq N_G(T)$. Note that T is not normal in Gby the definition of K and so $N_G(T) < G$. Let L be a maximal subgroup of G such that $N_G(T) \leq L$.

(i) Suppose that L is nilpotent. It follows that K is nilpotent since $K \leq N_G(T) \leq L$, a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose that L is non-nilpotent. Then L is normal in G. The Frattini argument gives $G = LN_G(T) = L$. This is already a contradiction (L is a maximal subgroup). Thus K is nilpotent. For each prime divisor p of |G|, if $p = p_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, one has that G has a normal Sylow p-subgroup by our assumption. Suppose $p \mid |K|$. Let $P \in Syl_p(K)$. Since K is nilpotent, one has $K = P \times K_1$, where K_1 is a normal nilpotent Hall-subgroup of K. Then $(P_1 \times P_2 \times \ldots \times P_s) \rtimes K_1$ is a normal p-complement of P in G.

4. Proof of Theorem 3

Assume that G has self-centralizing subgroups which are neither nilpotent nor normal. Then we can assume that H is a self-centralizing subgroup which is neither nilpotent nor normal such that for any subgroup M > H one has that M is normal in G. It is clear that H < G. Let N be a subgroup of G such that H is maximal in N. Then N is normal in G. By Theorem 1, H is subnormal in G. It follows that H is normal in N, since it is maximal in N. Since H is not normal in G, there exists $g \in G$ such that $H^g \neq H$. By hypothesis, H is a TI-subgroup and so $H^g \cap H = 1$. Note that $H^g < N^g = N$ and H is maximal in N. It follows that $N = H \times H^g$. Then $H^g \cong N/H$ is a cyclic group of prime order, which contradicts that H is non-nilpotent. Thus, every self-centralizing subgroup of G is nilpotent or normal.

Acknowledgements. The authors are very thankful to the referee who provided valuable comments and helpful suggestions for improving this paper.

References

[1]	D. J. S. Robinson: A Course in the Theory of Groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics
	80. Springer, New York, 1996. zbl MR doi
[2]	J. Shi: Finite groups in which every non-abelian subgroup is a TI-subgroup or a subnor-
	mal subgroup. J. Algebra Appl. 18 (2019), Article ID 1950159, 4 pages. Zbl MR doi
[3]	J. Shi, C. Zhang: Finite groups in which every subgroup is a subnormal subgroup or
	a TI-subgroup. Arch. Math. 101 (2013), 101–104. Zbl MR doi
[4]	J. Shi, C. Zhang: A note on TI-subgroups of a finite group. Algebra Colloq. 21 (2014),
	343–346. zbl MR doi
[5]	Y. Sun, J. Lu, W. Meng: Finite groups whose non-abelian self-centralizing subgroups are
	TI-subgroups or subnormal subgroups. J. Algebra Appl. 20 (2021), Article ID 2150040,
	5 pages. Zbl MR doi

Authors' addresses: Jiangtao Shi (corresponding author), School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Yantai University, 30, Qingquan RD, Laishan District, Yantai 264005, P.R. China, e-mail: shijt@pku.org.cn; Na Li, Department of Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, No.3 Shangyuancun, Beijing 100044, P.R. China, e-mail: ln18865550588@163.com.