A. E. Kipiani Some combinatorial problems, connected with product-isomorphisms of binary relations

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 29 (1988), No. 2, 23--25

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701940

## Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## Some Combinatorial Problems, Connected with Product-isomorphisms of Binary Relations

A. E. KIPIANI\*)

Tbilisi, USSR

Received 1 March, 1988

Let *E* be a base set; two subsets *A* and *B* of the set  $E^2$  are said to be productisomorphic (denoted by  $\Pi(A, B)$ ) if there exists a bijection  $f: E \to {}^{1-1}E$  such that  $\hat{f}(A) = B$  (where  $\hat{f}((x, y)) = (f(x), f(y))$ .

In the book of S. Ulam [1] the following two problems are raised:

**Problem 1.** Let E be an infinite set and  $A \subset E^2$ ; find the cardinality of the set of all subsets in  $E^2$ , which are product-isomorphic with the set A.

**Problem 2.** Assume that E is a continual set, and n is a natural number. Does there exist, for every n, a set having exactly n product-automorphisms?

Introduce the notations:

$$\mathfrak{p}(A) = Card \{X \mid X \subset E^2 \& \Pi(X, A)\};$$
$$\mathfrak{p}_a(A) = Card \{f \mid f: E \to {}^{1-1} E \& \widehat{f}(A) = A\}.$$

In connection with Problem 1 we should mention the paper by Kharazishvili [2] where, in particular, for the validity of GCH we have the following relation

$$(\forall A) (A \subset E^2 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}(A) \in \{1, Card E, 2^{Card E}\}).$$

The paper deals also with geometric characteristics of types of A sets for which the function  $p(\cdot)$  assumes, respectively, values 1, Card E and  $2^{Card E}$ .

Since the general solution of Problem 1 depends on the generalized continuum hypothesis, the consideration of some particular cases of this problem is of a certain interest.

Suppose we have mapping  $f: E \to E$ ; it is well known that the tree whose vertices are the elements of the set E, corresponds to this mapping. It is also evident that the mapping graph f is a uniform set in  $E^2$ . Hence, the questions of product-isomorphisms of uniform sets are closely connected with similar questions on tree isomorphisms. The following theorem (Kipiani, Tsakadze) holds.

<sup>\*)</sup> The Georgian Institute of Management of National Economy 6, Chitadze, Tbilisi, USSR.

**Theorem 1.** Let *E* be an infinite base set, *Card*  $E = \mathfrak{a}$ , let  $\Delta_E$  be diagonal of  $E^2$  and let *U* be a uniform with respect to the *i*-th direction subset in  $E^2$  (*i* = 1, 2). Then

- 1) if  $Card(\Delta_E \cap U) = \mathfrak{a} \& Card(\Delta_E \setminus U) = \mathfrak{b}$  then  $\mathfrak{p}(U) = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ ; 2) if  $(\exists l)(l \subset E^2 \& (l = \{x\} \times E \lor l = E \times \{x\}) \& Card(l \cap U) =$ 
  - =  $\mathfrak{a} \& Card(l \setminus U) = \mathfrak{b}$ , then  $\mathfrak{p}(U) = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b}+1}$ ;
- 3) if Card  $pr_i U = b < a$ , then  $p(U) = a^b$ ;
- 4) in all the remaining cases  $\mathfrak{p}(U) = 2^{\mathfrak{b}}$ .

Let us further identify the mapping  $f: E \to E$  with the graph and denote by  $\mathscr{S}(E)$  the group of all permutations of the set E. Then as easily seen, if  $f \in \mathscr{S}(E)$ , the cardinal number  $\mathfrak{p}(f)$  coincides with the cardinality of the set of all elements conjugated to f element in the group  $\mathscr{S}(E)$  and  $Card(\mathscr{S}(E)/\Pi(,))$  is the cardinality of maximal (with respect to inclusion) family of pairwise nonconjugated elements of the group  $\mathscr{S}(E)$ .

In [3] it is proved that if  $Card E = a \ge \omega_0$ ,  $b = Card (f \cap \Delta_E)$  and  $c = Card (\Delta_E \setminus f)$  then  $p(f) = a^{min(b,c)} \cdot 2^c$ .

The equality  $Card(\mathscr{G}(E)/\prod(,)) = (Card \alpha + \omega_0)^{\omega_0}$  (where  $Card E = \omega_{\alpha}$ ) is also proved there.

The paper [2] contains the following result: for any group G, whose cardinality is less or equal to Card E, one can find a digraph  $A \subset E^2$  such that the group of all automorphisms of this digraph is isomorphic with the group G (see the proof in [4], p. 54-60). This statement implies the following

**Corollary.** For any infinite base set *E* and for any cardinal number  $r \in ]0$ , *Card E*] there exists a digraph  $A \subset E^2$  with exactly r product-automorphisms.

This result for natural r may be easily proved directly. Any such proof however uses the axiom of choice. The question naturally arises: may Problem 2 be solved effectively, i.e. without the axiom of choice?

It turns out that the proof of the following result may be effectively carried out.

**Theorem 2.** (ZF) Assume that E is a base set, and n is a positive natural number. Then if Card  $E \in \{\omega_{\alpha}, 2^{\omega_{\alpha}}, 2^{2\omega_{\alpha}}, \ldots\}$ , there exists a digraph  $A \subset E^2$ , with exactly n product-automorphisms.

The following result, in spite of simplicity of the proof, is useful for applications.

**Theorem 3.** Let *E* be a base set and  $A \subset E^2$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{p}(A) \cdot \mathfrak{p}_a(A) = \begin{cases} 2^{Card \, E} & \text{if } Card \, E \ge \omega_0 \\ (Card \, E)! & \text{if } Card \, E < \omega_0 \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 1.** If R is the well ordering relation on the infinite set E, then  $p(R) = 2^{CardE}$ .

**Corollary 2.** The cardinality of the set of all subsets A, which are the solutions of Problem 2, is equal to the  $2^{Card E}$ .

It should be noted finally that any algebraic system

$$\mathscr{A} = (E; f_1, f_2, ..., f_k; r_1, r_2, ..., r_n)$$

may be represented in  $E^m$  for some  $m \ge 1$ . Thus, two algebraic systems on the base set E will be isomorphic if and only if the corresponding subsets will be product-isomorphic in  $E^m$  (see [1], p. 18–19). Hence, the *m*-dimensional analogue of Theorem 3 which is also a true statement, asserts that the product of two cardinal numbers, first of which is the number of all isomorphic with  $\mathcal{A}$  systems on E, and the second is the number of all automorphisms of the system  $\mathcal{A}$ , is equal to the  $2^{Card E}$  (or (Card E)! if  $Card E < \omega_0$ ).

## References

- [1] ULAM S. M. A collection of mathematical problems, Interscience Publishers Inc., New York 1960.
- [2] Харазишвили А. Б. О П-изоморфизмах бинарных отношений, Сообщ. АН ГССР, 87, № 3, 2977.
- [3] Кипиани А. Е., П-изоморфизмы бинарных отношений и их нехоторые применения. Тбилисский государственный университет. Труды института прикладной математики им. И. Н. Векуа, № 20. 2987 г. стр. 51-67.
- [4] Харазишвили А. Б., Элементы комбинаторной теории бесконечных множеств. Тбилиси.
  Изд-во Тбилисского Университета, 1981.