Henry P. Decell, Jr.; C. Lamar Wiginton A characterization of the maximal subgroups of the semigroup of $n\times n$ complex matrices

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 18 (1968), No. 4, 675-677

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100864

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1968

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS OF THE SEMIGROUP OF $n \times n$ COMPLEX MATRICES

HENRY P. DECELL, JR. and C. LAMAR WIGINTON, Houston (Received Juny 9, 1967)

Introduction. This paper gives a characterization of the maximal subgroups of the multiplicitive semigroup, \mathscr{G}_n , of all complex $n \times n$ matrices. In what follows A^* and R(A) will, respectively, denote the conjugate transpose of A and the range space of A for each $A \in \mathscr{G}_n$.

Other developments of the structure of semigroups and, in particular, the structure of certain maximal subgroups of \mathscr{G}_n can be found in [1], [2], [5], and [6]. The following theorem, due to PENROSE [4], will play a vital role in characterizing the maximal groups of \mathscr{G}_n .

Theorem 1. For every complex matrix A, the four equations

- $(3) \qquad (AX)^* = AX$
- $(4) (XA)^* = XA$

have a unique solution X, denoted $X = A^+$ and called the generalized inverse of A. Moreover, AA^+ and A^+A are, respectively, the orthogonal projection operators on R(A) and $R(A^+) = R(A^*)$.

Main Results. It is well known that each idempotent matrix in \mathscr{G}_n is contained in a unique maximal subgroup of \mathscr{G}_n . We will first characterize the maximal subgroup of \mathscr{G}_n containing a hermitian idempotent $E \in \mathscr{G}_n$ from which we will proceed to characterize the maximal subgroups of \mathscr{G}_n containing non-hermitian idempotents.

675

Theorem 2. If $E^2 = E = E^* \in \mathcal{G}_n$ then

i) $\mathscr{H}(E) = \{A \in \mathscr{G}_n : AE = EA \text{ and } R(A) = R(E)\}$ is the maximal subgroup of \mathscr{G}_n containing E.

ii) Inversion in $\mathscr{H}(E)$ is generalized matrix inversion.

Proof. Let H(E) denote the maximal subgroup of \mathscr{G}_n containing $E^2 = E = E^* \in \mathscr{G}_n$. We will show that $\mathscr{H}(E)$ is a subgroup of \mathscr{G}_n containing H(E) so that $\mathscr{H}(E) = H(E)$.

To this end, let $A \in H(E)$. Clearly, AE = EA and if \hat{A} denotes the inverse of A in H(E) then $R(E) = R(A\hat{A}) \subset R(A) = R(EA) \subset R(E)$ so that R(A) = R(E) and $H(E) \subset \mathscr{H}(E)$. Now if $A, B \in \mathscr{H}(E)$ then EAB = AEB = ABE and, moreover, since R(A) = R(B) = R(E) and hermitian idempotents are the orthogonal projection operators on their range spaces [3], we may conclude from Theorem 1. that $AA^+ = BB^+ = E$. From this and the fact that A commutes with E, it follows that

$$R(E) = R(A) = R(AE) = R(ABB^+) \subset R(AB) \subset R(A)$$

so that R(E) = R(A) = R(AB) and hence that $\mathscr{H}(E)$ is closed under matrix multiplication.

We will now show that each element $A \in \mathscr{H}(E)$ has an inverse in $\mathscr{H}(E)$ and that this inverse is the generalized inverse of A. First a lemma.

Lemma. If
$$E^2 = E = E^* \in \mathcal{G}_n$$
 and $A \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ then $(AE)^+ = EA^+$ and $(EA)^+ = A^+E$.

Proof of the Lemma. We need only show that EA^+ and A^+E , respectively, satisfy the four equations of Theorem 1. defining $(AE)^+$ and $(EA)^+$. Indeed,

$$(AE) EA^{+}(AE) = AA^{+}AE = AE,$$

$$EA^{+}(AE) EA^{+} = EA^{+}AA^{+} = EA^{+},$$

$$[EA^{+}(AE)]^{*} = E^{*}(A^{+}A)^{*}E^{*} = EA^{+}(AE),$$

$$[(AE) EA^{+}]^{*} = [AA^{+}]^{*} = AA^{+} = (AE) EA^{+}$$

and similarly $(EA)^+ = A^+E$, Q.E.D.

If $A \in \mathscr{H}(E)$ then $AE = EA = (AA^+) A = A$ so that E is an identity for $\mathscr{H}(E)$. Moreover, the lemma implies that $EA^+ = A^+E = A^+$ so that $R(A^*) = R(A^+) = R(EA^+) \subset R(E) = R(A)$. However, since the respective ranks of A and A* are equal, $R(A^*)$ cannot be a proper subspace of R(A). It follows that $R(A^+) = R(A^*) = R(A^*) = R(A) = R(A) = R(E)$.

The fact that $R(A^+) = R(A^*) = R(A) = R(E)$, together with Theorem 1., implies that $E = AA^+ = A^+A$. This completes the proof that $\mathscr{H}(E)$ is a group containing H(E). The theorem follows using the maximality of H(E).

We note that * defines an involution on \mathscr{G}_n and that in the course of the proof it was shown that $\mathscr{H}(E) = H(E)$ is self involutory i.e., $A \in \mathscr{H}(E)$ implies $A^* \in \mathscr{H}(E)$.

The following corollary characterizes the maximal groups containing non-hermitian idempotents.

Corollary. G is a maximal subgroup of \mathscr{G}_n if and only if $G = P \mathscr{H}(E) P^{-1}$ for some $E^2 = E = E^* \in \mathscr{G}_n$ and some nonsingular $P \in \mathscr{G}_n$.

Proof. Let G be a maximal subgroup of \mathscr{G}_n with identity $F^2 = F$. Let $E^2 = E = E^*$ denote the orthogonal projection on R(F). Since F and E are idempotent and have the same range, F is similar to E and there exists a nonsingular $P \in \mathscr{G}_n$ such that $F = PEP^{-1}$. Moreover, $P \mathscr{H}(E) P^{-1}$ is the isomorphic image of a group and hence is itself a group containing F. Theorem 1. implies the maximality of $\mathscr{H}(E)$ which, in turn, implies that maximality of $P \mathscr{H}(E) P^{-1}$. It follows that $G = P \mathscr{H}(E) P^{-1}$.

The converse is obvious.

We note, in the corollary, that the group inverse of $B = PAP^{-1} \in P \mathscr{H}(E) P^{-1}$ is PA^+P^{-1} and, moreover, that if P is orthogonal then $P \mathscr{H}(E) P^{-1} = \mathscr{H}(E)$.

Finally, we note that the theorem and corollary account for all maximal subgroups of \mathscr{G}_n . In fact, if we define an equivalence relation $A \sim B$ if and only if R(A) = R(B), we see that each subspace of the *n*-dimensional complex Euclidean space gives rise to an equivalence class, namely, all of the elements of \mathscr{G}_n equivalent to the orthogonal projection on that subspace. Indeed, these subspaces exhaust the equivalence classes. In [7], a brief discussion concerning the \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{R} , and \mathscr{H} -classes of \mathscr{G}_n is given with reference to the generalized inverse.

References

- Clark, W. E.: "Remarks on the Kernel of a Matrix Semigroup", Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 15 (90), 1965, pp. 305-309.
- [2] Clifford, A. H.: "Semigroups Containing Minimal Ideals", American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 70, (1948), pp. 521-526.
- [3] Halmos, P. R.: Finite Dimensional Vector Spaces, Van Nostrand Company, Incorporated, New York, 1958, p. 146.
- [4] Penrose, R.: "A Generalized Inverse for Matrices", Cambridge Philosphical Society Proceedings, Vol. 51, (1955), pp. 406-413.
- [5] Wallace, A. D.: "The Structure of Topological Semigroups", Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 61, (1955), pp. 95–112.
- [6] Schwarz, S.: "Subsemigroups of Simple Semigroups", Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 13 (88), 1963, pp. 226-239.
- [7] Clifford, A. H. and Preston, G. B.: The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. I, Mathematical Surveys, No. 7, American Mathematical Society, 1961, pp. 62-63.

Authors' address: Mathematics Department, University of Houston, Houston, Texas, U.S.A.