

Bedřich Pondělíček

Contribution to the foundations of network theory using the distribution theory, II

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 21 (1971), No. 1, 35–45

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101002>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CONTRIBUTION TO THE FOUNDATIONS OF NETWORK THEORY
USING THE DISTRIBUTION THEORY, II

BEDŘICH PONDĚLÍČEK, Poděbrady

(Received September 9, 1969)

In [1], linear and continuous operators on the space of distributions have been studied. Let \mathbf{D}^l be the set of all distributions f such that every f vanishes on some interval $(-\infty, a)$ which in general depends on f . In this paper we shall study analogous properties of linear and uniformly continuous operators on a space of distributions from \mathbf{D}^l .

1. INTRODUCTION

The terminology and notation will follow [1] and [2]. Let \mathbf{D} be the set of all distributions on \mathbf{K} . Put $\mathbf{D}_0^l = \mathbf{D}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}^l$. Let us also remark that in this paper $x(t)$ for $x \in \mathbf{D}_0^l$ always means a continuous function on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ such that $x(t) = 0$ on some interval $(-\infty, a)$ which in general depends on x . Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, and let \mathbf{D}_n^l be the set of all distributions having the following property: if $f \in \mathbf{D}_n^l$ then there is a distribution $z \in \mathbf{D}_0^l$ such that $f = z^{(n)}$. Evidently $\mathbf{D}_n^l \subset \mathbf{D}^l$. Finally, let $\mathbf{D}_*^l = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{D}_n^l$. Clearly, \mathbf{D}^l , \mathbf{D}_n^l ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) and \mathbf{D}_*^l are linear time-invariant subspaces of \mathbf{D} .

From Lemma 3.1.2 [2] there follows

Lemma 1.1. *Let a, b ($a < b$) be real numbers and let $f \in \mathbf{D}$. If f vanishes on $(-\infty, b)$, then f vanishes on $(-\infty, a)$.*

Lemma 1.2. *Let a, b, α, β be real numbers and let $f, g \in \mathbf{D}$. If f vanishes on $(-\infty, a)$ and g vanishes on $(-\infty, b)$, then $\alpha f + \beta g$ vanishes on $(-\infty, c)$, where $c = \min(a, b)$.*

Lemma 1.3. *Let a, b be real numbers and let $f \in \mathbf{D}$. If f vanishes on $(-\infty, a)$, then $g = P_b[f]$ vanishes on $(-\infty, a + b)$.*

Lemma 1.4. Let $x, x_n \in \mathbf{D}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and let $x_n \rightarrow x$. If x_n vanishes on some interval $(-\infty, b)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then x vanishes on $(-\infty, b)$.

Proof. If $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$ and $\varphi(t) = 0$ on $(c, +\infty)$ where $c < b$, then by Lemma 1.3 [1] we have $\langle x_n, \varphi \rangle = 0$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus $\langle x_n, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 0 = \langle x, \varphi \rangle$ and therefore from Lemma 1.3 [1] it follows that x vanishes on $(-\infty, b)$.

Definition 1.1. Let $x, x_n \in \mathbf{D}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$; the sequence x_n will be called *uniformly convergent* to x (this fact will be symbolized by $x_n \rightrightarrows x$), if $x_n \rightarrow x$ and x_n vanishes on some interval $(-\infty, b)$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Lemma 1.5. Let $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$; then there is a sequence $x_n \in \mathbf{D}_*^l$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ such that $x_n \rightrightarrows x$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 5.4.5 in [2].

Let \mathbf{K}^p denote the set of all infinitely differentiable real functions $\varphi(t)$ such that every $\varphi(t)$ vanishes on some interval $(a, +\infty)$ (which in general depends on φ).

Definition 1.2. Let \mathcal{F}^l be the set of all distributions $\{f_a\}$ from \mathbf{D}^l depending on a parameter a (where a is an arbitrary real number) with the following properties:

1. If $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$, then $\psi \in \mathbf{K}^p$, where $\psi(a) = \langle f_a, \varphi \rangle$ for every real number a .
2. If a_0 is an arbitrary real number, then there exists a real number b_0 such that f_a vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$ for every real number $a \geq a_0$.

Note. The statement 1 of Definition 1.2 holds if and only if the partial derivative $\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n$ exists for every positive integer n and $\alpha_n f_{a_n} \rightarrow 0$ for every two sequences of real numbers α_n, a_n with $a_n \rightarrow +\infty$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 [1].

Theorem 1.1. Let $\{f_a\}, \{g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$.

1. If α, β are real numbers, then $\{\alpha f_a + \beta g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$.
2. If n is a positive integer, then $\{\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$.
3. If b is a real number, then $\{h_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$, where $h_a = P_b[f_a]$.
4. If b is a real number, then $\{h_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$, where $h_a = f_{a-b}$.

Proof. Put $\psi(a) = \langle f_a, \varphi \rangle$ and $\chi(a) = \langle g_a, \varphi \rangle$ for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$.

1. If α, β are real numbers, then $\omega(a) = \langle \alpha f_a + \beta g_a, \varphi \rangle = \alpha \psi(a) + \beta \chi(a)$. Thus $\omega = \alpha \psi + \beta \chi \in \mathbf{K}^p$. If a_0 is an arbitrary real number, then there exist real numbers b_1, b_2 such that f_a vanishes on $(-\infty, b_1)$ and g_a vanishes on $(-\infty, b_2)$ for every real number $a \geq a_0$. From Lemma 1.2 it follows that $\alpha f_a + \beta g_a$ vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$

(where $b_0 = \min(b_1, b_2)$) for every real number $a \geq a_0$. Hence $\{\alpha f_a + \beta g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^1$.

2. If n is a positive integer, then from Lemma 1.6 [1] it follows that $\omega(a) = \langle \partial^n f_a / \partial a^n, \varphi \rangle = \psi^{(n)}(a)$. Thus $\omega = \psi^{(n)} \in \mathbf{K}^p$. If a_0 is an arbitrary real number, then there exists a real number b_0 such that f_a vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$ for every real number $a \geq a_0$. Let c be a real number, $c < b_0$, and let $\varphi(t) = 0$ on the interval $(c, +\infty)$. Then by Lemma 1.3 [1] we have $\psi(a) = \langle f_a, \varphi \rangle = 0$ for every real number $a \geq a_0$. From this it follows that $\langle \partial^n f_a / \partial a^n, \varphi \rangle = \psi^{(n)}(a) = 0$ for every real number $a \geq a_0$. Then by Lemma 1.3 [1] $\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n$ vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$ for every real number $a \geq a_0$. Hence $\{\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n\} \in \mathcal{F}^1$.

3. If b is a real number, then $\omega(a) = \langle h_a, \varphi \rangle = \langle P_b[f_a], \varphi \rangle = \langle f_a, \varphi(t + b) \rangle$. Evidently $\varphi(t + b) \in \mathbf{K}$ and thus we have $\omega \in \mathbf{K}^p$. If a_0 is an arbitrary real number, then there exists a real number b_0 such that f_a vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$ for every real number $a \geq a_0$. From Lemma 1.3 it follows that $h_a = P_b[f_a]$ vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0 + b)$ for every real number $a \geq a_0$. Hence $\{h_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^1$.

4. If b is a real number, then $\omega(a) = \langle h_a, \varphi \rangle = \langle f_{a-b}, \varphi \rangle = \psi(a - b)$. Thus $\omega \in \mathbf{K}^p$. Let a_0 be an arbitrary real number. Since $a_0 - b$ is a real number, there exists a real number b_0 such that f_a vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$ for every real number $a \geq a_0 - b$. From this it follows that $h_a = f_{a-b}$ vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$ for every real number $a \geq a_0$. Consequently $\{h_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^1$.

Example 1. Let a be a real number and let H_a be the shifted Heaviside's distribution, i.e.

$$\langle H_a, \varphi \rangle = \int_a^{+\infty} \varphi(t) dt$$

for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$. Evidently, H_a vanishes on $(-\infty, a)$ for every real number a . If $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$, then $\psi \in \mathbf{K}^p$, where $\psi(a) = \langle H_a, \varphi \rangle$. From this it follows that $\{H_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^1$.

Example 2. From Theorem 1.1 it follows that $\{\delta_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^1$. Also, we clearly have $\partial H_a / \partial a = -\delta_a$.

Definition 1.3. Let b be a real number and let \mathcal{N}_b be the set of all real infinitely differentiable functions $\mu(t)$ on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ such that

$$\mu(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } (-\infty, c), \\ 1 & \text{on } (d, +\infty), \end{cases}$$

where $c < d < b$.

Lemma 1.6. If $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^1$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$, then $\{\mu(a) f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$. Put $\psi(a) = \langle f_a, \varphi \rangle$ for every real number a . Evidently, $\psi \in \mathbf{K}^p$. We have $\langle \mu(a) f_a, \varphi \rangle = \mu(a) \psi(a)$ and thus $\mu\psi \in \mathbf{K}$. Hence $\{\mu(a) f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

2. INTEGRAL

Let us recall the fact that if $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x \in \mathbf{D}$, then

$$(2.1) \quad \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da, \varphi \right\rangle = \langle x, \psi \rangle$$

for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$, where $\psi(a) = \langle f_a, \varphi \rangle$ for every real number a . (See Definition 2.1 [1].)

Lemma 2.1. *Let b, c be real numbers ($c < b$). Let $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f_a = 0$ for every real number $a > c$. If $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$ and x vanishes on $(-\infty, b)$, then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da = 0.$$

Proof. Put $y = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da$. If $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$ then by (2.1) it follows that $\langle y, \varphi \rangle = \langle x, \psi \rangle$, where $\psi(a) = \langle f_a, \varphi \rangle$ for every real number a . Since $\psi(t) = 0$ on the interval $(c, +\infty)$, it follows from Lemma 1.3 [1] that $\langle x, \psi \rangle = 0$. Thus $y = 0$, q.e.d.

Lemma 2.2. *Let b, c be real numbers ($c < b$). Let $\{f_a\}, \{g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and let $f_a = g_a$ for every real number $a > c$. If $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$ and x vanishes on $(-\infty, b)$, then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, g_a) da.$$

Proof follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 [1].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and let $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$ vanish on the interval $(-\infty, b)$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$, then $\{\mu(a)f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu(a)f_a) da.$$

Proof. Put $\psi(a) = \langle f_a, \varphi \rangle$ for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$. Then $\omega(a) = \langle \mu(a)f_a, \varphi \rangle = \mu(a)\psi(a)$. Thus $\omega \in \mathbf{K}$. Hence $\{\mu(a)f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}$. The rest of the proof follows from Lemma 2.2 and from Definition 1.3.

Definition 2.1. Let $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$, $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$ and let the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da$ be defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu(a)f_a) da$$

where $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$ and x vanishes on the interval $(-\infty, b)$.

Note. The integral $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da$ is defined by (2.2) uniquely, i.e. it does not depend on $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$. Indeed, if $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{b_1}$, $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{N}_{b_2}$, then $\mu_1(a) f_a = \mu_2(a) f_a$ for every real number $a > c$ where c is some real number ($c < \max(b_1, b_2)$). From Lemma 2.2 it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu_1(a) f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu_2(a) f_a) da .$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$. Then $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da \in \mathbf{D}^l$.*

Proof. Let x vanish on $(-\infty, b)$. From Definition 2.1 it follows that $y = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu(a) f_a) da$, where $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$. Let $\mu(t) = 0$ on $(-\infty, a_0)$, where a_0 is some real number ($a_0 < b$). According to Definition 1.2 there exists a real number b_0 such that f_a vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$ for every real number $a \geq a_0$. Let $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$ and let $\varphi(t) = 0$ on $(c, +\infty)$, where c is some real number ($c < b_0$). Then by (2.1) $\langle y, \varphi \rangle = \langle x, \psi \rangle$, where $\psi(a) = \langle \mu(a) f_a, \varphi \rangle = \mu(a) \langle f_a, \varphi \rangle$. If $a < a_0$, then $\mu(a) = 0$. Thus $\psi(a) = 0$. If $a \geq a_0$, then f_a vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$. By Lemma 1.3 [1] it follows that $\langle f_a, \varphi \rangle = 0$ and thus $\psi(a) = 0$. Hence $\langle x, \psi \rangle = 0$. Lemma 1.3 [1] implies that y vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$. Consequently, $y \in \mathbf{D}^l$, q.e.d.

Example 3. Let b be a real number and $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$, then $\mu(b) = 1$. From Definition 2.1 and from Example to Definition 2.1 [1] it follows that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\delta_b, f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\delta_b, \mu(a) f_a) da = \mu(b) f_b = f_b$. Thus

$$f_b = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\delta_b, f_a) da .$$

Theorem 2.2. *If α, β are real numbers, $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and $x, y \in \mathbf{D}^l$, then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\alpha x + \beta y, f_a) da = \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da + \beta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (y, f_a) da .$$

Proof. Let x vanish on $(-\infty, b_1)$ and let y vanish on $(-\infty, b_2)$. By Lemma 1.1 x and y vanish on $(-\infty, b)$, where $b = \min(b_1, b_2)$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$, then from Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 [1] it follows that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\alpha x + \beta y, f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\alpha x + \beta y, \mu(a) f_a) da = \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu(a) f_a) da + \beta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (y, \mu(a) f_a) da = \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da + \beta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (y, f_a) da$, q.e.d.

Theorem 2.3. *If α, β are real numbers, $\{f_a\}, \{g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$, then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \alpha f_a + \beta g_a) da = \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da + \beta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, g_a) da .$$

Proof. According to Theorem 1.1 $\{\alpha f_a + \beta g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$. Let x vanish on $(-\infty, b)$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$, then from Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 [1] it follows that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \alpha f_a +$

$$+ \beta g_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \alpha \mu(a) f_a + \beta \mu(a) g_a) da = \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu(a) f_a) da + \beta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu(a) g_a) da = \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da + \beta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, g_a) da, \text{ q.e.d.}$$

Theorem 2.4. *If $x, x_n \in \mathbf{D}^l$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $x_n \rightrightarrows x$ and $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$, then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x_n, f_a) da \rightrightarrows \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da .$$

Proof. Let x_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, vanish on $(-\infty, b)$. By Lemma 1.4 it follows that x vanishes on $(-\infty, b)$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$, then Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 [1] yield $y_n = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x_n, f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x_n, \mu(a) f_a) da \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu(a) f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da = y$. By the proof of Theorem 2.1 there exists a real number b_0 such that y_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, vanish on $(-\infty, b_0)$. Hence $y_n \rightrightarrows y$, which completes the proof.

Theorem 2.5. *If $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$ and $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$, then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x^{(n)}, f_a) da = (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(x, \frac{\partial^n f_a}{\partial a^n} \right) da .$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 we have $\{\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$. Let x vanish on $(-\infty, b)$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$, then there exists a real number c ($c < b$) such that $\mu(a) = 1$ for every real number $a > c$. If $a > c$, then by Note to Lemma 1.6 [1] we have $\langle (\partial^n \mu(a) f_a) / \partial a^n, \varphi \rangle = [\mu(a) \psi(a)]^{(n)} = \mu(a) \psi^{(n)}(a) = \langle \mu(a) \partial^n f_a / \partial a^n, \varphi \rangle$ for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$ where $\psi(a) = \langle f_a, \varphi \rangle$. Thus $(\partial^n \mu(a) f_a) / \partial a^n = \mu(a) \partial^n f_a / \partial a^n$ for all real numbers $a > c$. Using Definition 2.1, Theorem 2.5 [1] and Lemma 2.2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x^{(n)}, f_a) da &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x^{(n)}, \mu(a) f_a) da = (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(x, \frac{\partial^n \mu(a) f_a}{\partial a^n} \right) da = \\ &= (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(x, \mu(a) \frac{\partial^n f_a}{\partial a^n} \right) da = (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(x, \frac{\partial^n f_a}{\partial a^n} \right) da , \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

Theorem 2.6. *If b is a real number, $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$, then*

$$P_b[y] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, g_a) da ,$$

where $y = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da$ and $g_a = P_b[f_a]$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 we have $\{g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$. Let x vanish on $(-\infty, c)$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_c$, then $y = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu(a) f_a) da$ and $P_b[\mu(a) f_a] = \mu(a) P_b[f_a] = \mu(a) g_a$. From Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.6 [1] it follows that $P_b[y] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu(a) g_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, g_a) da$.

Theorem 2.7. If b is a real number, $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (P_b[x], f_{a-b}) da .$$

Proof. According to Theorem 1.1 $\{g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ where $g_a = f_{a-b}$. Let x vanish on $(-\infty, c)$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_c$, then from Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.7 [1] it follows that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu(a) f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (P_b[x], \mu(a-b) f_{a-b}) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (P_b[x] v(a) f_{a-b}) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (P_b[x], f_{a-b}) da$, where $v(t) = \mu(t-b)$ on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ and $v \in \mathcal{N}_{c+b}$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and let f_a vanish on $(-\infty, a)$ for every real number a . If b is a real number, $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$ and x vanishes on $(-\infty, b)$, then $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da$ vanishes on $(-\infty, b)$.

Proof. If $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$, then $\mu(a) f_a$ vanishes on $(-\infty, a)$ for every real number a (see Lemma 1.2). From Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.8 [1] it follows that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \mu(a) f_a) da$ vanishes on $(-\infty, b)$, q.e.d.

Example 4. From Example 2 and from Example to Definition 2.1 [1] it follows that

$$x = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, \delta_a) da$$

for every $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$.

3. LINEAR UNIFORMLY CONTINUOUS OPERATORS

Let \mathbf{P} be a non-empty subset of the set \mathbf{D}^l . A mapping T of \mathbf{P} into \mathbf{D}^l will be called the operator on \mathbf{P} . The set \mathbf{P} will be termed the domain of the operator T .

Definition 3.1. Let \mathbf{P} be a non-empty subset of \mathbf{D}^l . An operator T on \mathbf{P} will be called uniformly continuous if the following implication holds:

If $x, x_n \in \mathbf{P}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $x_n \rightrightarrows x$, then $T[x_n] \rightrightarrows T[x]$.

Example 5. Let $H = H_0$ be the Heaviside's distribution. If we put

$$T[x] = x^{(-1)} = H * x, \quad (x \in \mathbf{D}^l)$$

then the operator T is not continuous. Actually, we have $\delta_{-n} \rightarrow 0$ and, on the other hand, $T[\delta_{-n}] = H_{-n} \rightarrow 1 \neq 0 = T[0]$. However, the operator T is uniformly continuous (see p. 137 [3]).

Theorem 3.1. Let T be a linear uniformly continuous operator on a linear subspace $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{D}^l$. Let $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and $\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n \in \mathbf{P}$ for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and for every real number a . Then $\{g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and $\partial^n g_a / \partial a^n = T[\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n]$ where $g_a = T[f_a]$.

Proof. 1. Let $a_n \rightarrow a$ ($a_n \neq a$), $n = 1, 2, \dots$ be a convergent sequence of real numbers. Then there exists a real number a_0 such that $a_0 \leq a_n$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Definition 1.2 there exists a real number b_0 such that f_{a_n} vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$. According to Lemma 1.5 [1] it follows that $(a_n - a)^{-1} \cdot (f_{a_n} - f_a) \rightrightarrows \partial f_a / \partial a$. Using the linearity and uniform continuity of the operator T , we obtain $(a_n - a)^{-1} (g_{a_n} - g_a) \rightrightarrows T [\partial f_a / \partial a]$ where $g_a = T[f_a]$. By Lemma 1.5 [1] there exists $\partial g_a / \partial a$ and $\partial g_a / \partial a = T[\partial f_a / \partial a]$. Similarly we obtain that there exists $\partial^n g_a / \partial a^n$ and $\partial^n g_a / \partial a^n = T[\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n]$ for every $n = 2, 3, \dots$

2. Let $a_n, \alpha_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ be two sequences of real numbers and let $a_n \rightarrow +\infty$. Then there exists a real number a_0 such that $a_0 \leq a_n$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Definition 1.2 there exists a real number b_0 such that f_{a_n} vanishes on $(-\infty, b_0)$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Note to Definition 1.2, $\alpha_n f_{a_n} \rightarrow 0$ and thus it follows by Lemma 1.2 that $\alpha_n f_{a_n} \rightrightarrows 0$. Using the linearity and uniform continuity of the operator T we conclude that $\alpha_n g_{a_n} \rightrightarrows 0$. From Note to Definition 1.2 it follows that $\psi \in \mathbf{K}^p$ for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$, where $\psi(a) = \langle g_a, \varphi \rangle$.

3. If $\{g_a\} \notin \mathcal{F}^l$, then by Definition 1.2 there exists a sequence a_n of real numbers such that $k \leq a_n$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and the statement “ g_{a_n} vanishes on $(-\infty, -n)$ ” is not true for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$

On the other hand $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and thus there exists a real number b such that f_{a_n} vanishes on $(-\infty, b)$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$, then $\psi \in \mathbf{K}^p$ where $\psi(a) = \langle f_a, \varphi \rangle$.

Next, there clearly exists a subsequence b_n of a_n such that either $b_n \rightarrow a_0$ or $b_n \rightarrow +\infty$. If $\varphi \in \mathbf{K}$, then either $\langle f_{b_n}, \varphi \rangle = \psi(b_n) \rightarrow \psi(a_0) = \langle f_{a_0}, \varphi \rangle$ or $\langle f_{b_n}, \varphi \rangle = \psi(b_n) \rightarrow 0$. Thus $f_{b_n} \rightarrow f_{a_0}$ or $f_{b_n} \rightarrow 0$. Since $f_{b_n} \rightrightarrows f_{a_0}$ or $f_{b_n} \rightrightarrows 0$, by Definition 3.1 we have $g_{b_n} \rightrightarrows g_{a_0}$ or $g_{b_n} \rightrightarrows 0$. Hence g_{b_n} vanishes on some interval $(-\infty, c)$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ which is a contradiction. Consequently $\{g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$, and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.2. Let T be a linear uniformly continuous operator on a linear subspace $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{D}^l$. Let $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and $\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n \in \mathbf{P}$ for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and for every real number a . If $x \in \mathbf{D}_0^l$ and $y \in \mathbf{P}$, where $y = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(a) f_a da$, then $T[y] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(a) g_a da$, where $g_a = T[f_a]$.

Proof. Let x vanish on some interval $(-\infty, b)$. From Theorem 3.1 it follows that $\{g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$. By Lemma 1.7 [1] and Definition 1.2 there exist y and $u = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(a) g_a da$. Let \mathcal{D} be an arbitrary subdivision of the interval $(-\infty, +\infty)$. Using the notation introduced in Definition 1.4 [1], we obtain for the integral sums, $s_1(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - a_{i-1}) x(\xi_i) f_{\xi_i}$, $s_2(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - a_{i-1}) x(\xi_i) g_{\xi_i}$. From the linearity of the operator T it follows that $T[s_1(\mathcal{D})] = s_2(\mathcal{D})$. By Definition 1.2 there exists a real number c

such that f_a vanishes on $(-\infty, c)$ for every $a \geq b$. If $\xi_i \geq b$, then f_{ξ_i} vanishes on $(-\infty, c)$. If $\xi_i < b$, then $x(\xi_i) = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 1.2, $s_1(\mathcal{D})$ vanishes on $(-\infty, c)$.

If \mathcal{D}_n is an arbitrary zero sequence of subdivisions of the interval $(-\infty, +\infty)$, then $s_1(\mathcal{D}_n) \rightarrow y$ and $s_2(\mathcal{D}_n) \rightarrow u$. Since $s_1(\mathcal{D}_n) \rightrightarrows y$, we have by Definition 3.1 $s_2(\mathcal{D}_n) = T[s_1(\mathcal{D}_n)] \rightrightarrows T[y]$. Thus $u = T[y]$, q.e.d.

Theorem 3.3. *Let T be a linear uniformly continuous operator on a linear subspace $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{D}^l$. Let $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and $\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n \in \mathbf{P}$ for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and for every real number a . If $x \in \mathbf{D}_*^l$ and $y \in \mathbf{P}$, where $y = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da$, then $T[y] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, g_a) da$, where $g_a = T[f_a]$.*

Proof. According to Theorem 3.1, $\{g_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$, and consequently, $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, g_a) da$ exists. On the other hand, there is evidently a $z \in \mathbf{D}_0^l$ such that $z^{(n)} = x$. From this it follows that z vanishes on some interval $(-\infty, b)$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{N}_b$, then Theorem 2.5, Definition 2.1 and the proof of Theorem 2.1 [1] yield $y = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da = (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (z, (\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n)) da = (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (z, \mu(a) (\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n)) da = (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} z(a) \cdot \mu(a) (\partial^n f_a / \partial a^n) da$. However, according to Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2, proof of Theorem 2.1 [1], Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.5 we have $T[y] = (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} z(a) \cdot \mu(a) (\partial^n g_a / \partial a^n) da = (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (z, \mu(a) (\partial^n g_a / \partial a^n)) da = (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (z, (\partial^n g_a / \partial a^n)) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, g_a) da$, which completes the proof.

Note. Let $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and let $\delta_a \in \mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{D}^l$ for every real number a . If the operator T on \mathbf{P} has the form

$$T[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da, \quad (x \in \mathbf{P})$$

then from Example 3 it follows that $f_a = T[\delta_a]$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{D}_*^l$ be a linear subspace and let $\delta_a^{(n)} \in \mathbf{P}$ for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and for every real number a . Then the operator T on \mathbf{P} is linear and uniformly continuous if and only if it has the form*

$$T[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da, \quad (x \in \mathbf{P})$$

and $f_a = T[\delta_a]$.

Proof follows from Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.4, Theorem 3.3 and Example 4.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{P} (\mathbf{D}_*^l \subset \mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{D}^l)$ be a linear space. Then the operator T on \mathbf{P} is linear and uniformly continuous if and only if it has the form*

$$T[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da, \quad (x \in \mathbf{P})$$

and $f_a = T[\delta_a]$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3.5 [1], and follows from Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.4, Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 1.5.

Corollary. *If T_1, T_2 are two linear uniformly continuous operators on \mathbf{D}^l and $T_1[\delta_a] = T_2[\delta_a]$ for every real number a , then $T_1[x] = T_2[x]$ for every $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$.*

Note. If $f \in \mathbf{D}^l$, then $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ where $f_a = P_a[f]$ for every real number a . Actually, the operator

$$T[x] = f * x, \quad (x \in \mathbf{D}^l)$$

is linear and uniformly continuous (see p. 137 [3]). From Theorem 3.5 it follows that

$$T[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da, \quad (x \in \mathbf{D}^l)$$

where $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$. However $f_a = T[\delta_a] = f * \delta_a = P_a[f]$.

Theorem 3.6. *The operator T on \mathbf{D}^l is linear, uniformly continuous and time-invariant if and only if it has the form*

$$T[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da, \quad (x \in \mathbf{D}^l)$$

where $f_a = P_a[f]$ and $f = T[\delta]$.

Proof. Let T be a linear, uniformly continuous and time-invariant operator. From Theorem 3.5 it follows that $T[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da$ ($x \in \mathbf{D}^l$) where $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$. Also, $f_a = T[\delta_a] = T[P_a[\delta]] = P_a[T[\delta]] = P_a[f]$.

If the operator T has the form $T[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da$ ($x \in \mathbf{D}^l$), where $f_a = P_a[f]$, then by Note to Theorem 3.5, $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$. According to Theorem 3.5 T is linear and uniformly continuous. From Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7 it follows that $T[P_b[x]] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (P_b[x], f_a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_{a+b}) da = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, P_b[f_a]) da = P_b[T[x]]$ for every $x \in \mathbf{D}^l$ and for every real number b . Thus the operator T is time-invariant.

Note. If $f_a = P_a[f]$ for some $f \in \mathbf{D}^l$, then from Note to Theorem 3.5 it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da = f * x, \quad (x \in \mathbf{D}^l).$$

Theorem 3.7. *The operator T on \mathbf{D}^l is linear, uniformly continuous and causal if and only if it has the form*

$$T[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da, \quad (x \in \mathbf{D}^l)$$

where $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and f_a vanishes on $(-\infty, a)$ for every real number a .

Proof. Let T be a linear, uniformly continuous and causal operator. From Theorem 3.5 it follows that $T[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da$ ($x \in \mathbf{D}^l$), where $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and $f_a = T[\delta_a]$. Since δ_a vanishes on $(-\infty, a)$, f_a vanishes on $(-\infty, a)$ for every real number a .

Let the operator T have the form $T[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da$ ($x \in \mathbf{D}^l$) where $\{f_a\} \in \mathcal{F}^l$ and f_a vanishes on $(-\infty, a)$ for every real number a . From Theorem 3.5 it follows that T is linear and uniformly continuous. Finally, from Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 3.1 [1] it follows that the operator T is causal.

Theorem 3.8. *The operator T on \mathbf{D}^l is linear, uniformly continuous, time-invariant and causal if and only if it has the form*

$$T[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, f_a) da, \quad (x \in \mathbf{D}^l)$$

where $f_a = P_a[f]$ and $f = T[\delta]$ vanishes on the interval $(-\infty, 0)$.

The proof follows from Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.7.

References

- [1] *Pondělíček B.:* Contribution to the Foundations of Network Theory Using the Distribution Theory, Czech. Math. J., 19 (94) 1969, 697–710.
- [2] *Doležal V.:* Dynamics of linear systems, Prague 1964.
- [3] *Гельфанд И.И. и Шилов Г.Е.:* Обобщенные функции и действия над ними, Москва 1959.

Author's address: Poděbrady - zámek, ČSSR (České vysoké učení technické).