Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Jagdish Prasad Remarks on a theorem of P. K. Suetin

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 21 (1971), No. 3, 349-354

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101031

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project $\mathit{DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library } \texttt{http://dml.cz}$

CZECHOSLOVAK MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

Mathematical Institute of Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences V. 21 (96), PRAHA 16. 9. 1971, No 3

REMARKS ON A THEOREM OF P. K. SUETIN

JAGDISH PRASAD, Los Angeles (Received September 18, 1969)

1. Let

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \, \bar{P}_k(x)$$

denote the *nth* partial sum of the Fourier Legendre series of a function f(x). It is well-known that $S_n(x)$ converges uniformly to f(x) in [-1, 1] if f(x) has a continuous second derivative on [-1, 1]. Recently SUETIN [4] has shown that $S_n(x)$ converges uniformly to f(x) if f(x) belongs to a Lipschitz class of order greater than 1/2 in [-1, 1].

More precisely he has established the following result.

Theorem 1. (P. K. Suetin [4]). If f(x) has p continuous derivatives on [-1, 1] and $f^{(p)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, then

(1.2)
$$|f(x) - S_n(x)| \le \frac{c_1 \log n}{n^{p+\alpha-1/2}}, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

for $p + \alpha \ge 1/2$.

In establishing this remarkable theorem he has employed the following well known theorem of A. F. TIMAN [6] which is a stronger form of Jackson's theorem.

Theorem 2. If f(x) has p continuous derivatives on [-1, 1] and $f^{(p)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, then there is a sequence of polynomials $\{\varrho_n(x)\}$ for which

$$|f(x) - \varrho_n(x)| \le \frac{c_2}{n^{p+\alpha}} \left(\sqrt{1 - x^2} + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{p+\alpha}, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Very recently SAXENA [3] has proved the following theorem for $S'_n(x)$, the first derivative of $S_n(x)$ with respect to x.

Theorem 3 (R. B. Saxena [3]). If f(x) has p continuous derivatives on [-1, 1] and $f^{(p)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, then together with (1.2) the following inequalities hold:

$$(1.3) \qquad (1-x^2)^{3/4} \left| f'(x) - S'_n(x) \right| \le \frac{c_3 \log n}{n^{p+\alpha-1}}, \quad (0 < \alpha < 1, \ p \ge 1),$$

$$(1.4) \qquad (1-x^2)^{1/2} \left| f'(x) - S'_n(x) \right| \le \frac{c_4 \log n}{n^{p+\alpha-3/2}}, \quad (\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1, \ p \ge 1)$$

and

(1.5)
$$|f'(x) - S'_n(x)| \le \frac{c_5 \log n}{n^{p+\alpha-5/2}}, \quad (\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1, \ p \ge 2)$$

uniformly in [-1, 1].

In connection with theorem 1 we shall prove the following theorem which generalizes theorem 3.

Theorem 4. If f(x) has p continuous derivatives on [-1, 1] and $f^{(p)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, then together with (1.3) and (1.4) the following inequalities hold:

$$(1.6) (1-x^2)^{1/4} |f(x)-S_n(x)| \le \frac{c_6 \log n}{n^{p+\alpha}}, (p+\alpha \ge \frac{1}{2})$$

and

(1.7)
$$|f^{(r)}(x) - S_n^{(r)}(x)| \le \frac{c_r \log n}{n^{p+\alpha-2r-1/2}}, \quad (p \ge 2r, \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1)$$

uniformly in $\lceil -1, 1 \rceil$.

2. To prove the theorem we shall need the following well-known results on Legendre polynomials. The orthonormalized Lengendre polynomial $\bar{P}_n(x)$ is given by [1]

(2.1)
$$\overline{P}_n(x) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)P_n(x)},$$

where $P_n(x)$ denotes the *nth* Legendre polynomial with the normalization $P_n(1) = 1$. From [1], [2] and [5] we have for $-1 \le x \le 1$,

and the inequality

(2.3)
$$(1-x^2)^{1/4} |\bar{P}_n(x)| \leq c_8.$$

350

For the derivatives of $\overline{P}_n(x)$ we have the following inequalities which hold for $-1 \le x \le 1$,

$$(2.4) (1 - x^2)^{1/2} |\bar{P}'_n(x)| \le c_9 n^{3/2},$$

$$(2.5) (1 - x^2)^{3/4} |\bar{P}'_n(x)| \le c_{10}n$$

and the Markov's inequality

(2.6)
$$|\bar{P}_n^{(r)}(x)| \le c_{11}n^{2r+1/2}, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

3. In order to prove Theorem 4 we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. For $-1 \le x \le 1$, we have

$$(3.1) (1-x^2)^{1/4} \int_{-1}^{1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \overline{P}_k(t) \, \overline{P}_k(x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}t \le c_{11} n^{1/2}$$

and

(3.2)
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{P}_{k}(t) \, \overline{P}_{k}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt \leq c_{12} n^{2r+1}.$$

Proof. We give here the proof for (3.2) only. The proof for (3.1) can be given on the same lines. Making use of (2.6) we have

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left[\sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{P}_{k}(t) \, \overline{P}_{k}^{(r)}(x) \right]^{2} dt = \sum_{k=r}^{n} \left| \overline{P}_{k}^{(r)}(x) \right|^{2} \le c_{13} \sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{4r+1} \le c_{14} n^{4r+2},$$

from which (3.2) follows.

Lemma 3.2. We have for $-1 \le x \le 1$ and $\alpha \ge 1/2$,

(3.3)
$$(1-x^2)^{1/4} \int_{-1}^{1} (\sqrt{(1-t^2)})^{p+\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \overline{P}_k(t) \, \overline{P}_k(x) \right| dt \le c_{15} \log n$$

and

(3.4)
$$\int_{-1}^{1} (\sqrt{(1-t^2)})^{p+\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{P}_k(t) \, \overline{P}_k^{(r)}(x) \right| dt \le c_r^* n^{2r+1/2} \log n.$$

Proof. We shall prove (3.4) only and (3.3) can be proved in the same manner. Let us denote by $\Delta_n(x)$ the part of [-1, 1] on which $|x - t| \le 1/n$ and by $\delta(x)$ the rest of the interval. Making use of (2.3) and (2.6), we obtain

(3.5)
$$\int_{A_{n}(x)} (1-t^{2})^{(p+\alpha)/2} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{P}_{k}(t) \, \overline{P}_{k}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt \leq$$

$$\leq \int_{A_{n}(x)} \sum_{k=r}^{n} (1-t^{2})^{(p+\alpha)/2} \left| \overline{P}_{k}(t) \right| \left| \overline{P}_{k}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt \leq K_{r}^{*} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{2r+1/2} \leq K_{r} n^{2r+1/2}.$$

To estimate the integral over $\delta_n(x)$ we make use of the Christoffel formula [5].

(3.6)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \bar{P}_{k}(t) \; \bar{P}_{k}(x) = \theta_{n} \; \frac{\bar{P}_{n+1}(x) \; \bar{P}_{n}(t) - \bar{P}_{n}(x) \; \bar{P}_{n+1}(t)}{x - t} \; , \quad 0 < \theta_{n} \leq 1 \; .$$

On differentiating r times both the sides of (3.6) we have

(3.7)
$$\sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{P}_{k}(t) \, \overline{P}_{k}^{(r)}(x) = \theta_{n} \, \frac{\{\overline{P}_{n+1}^{(r)}(x) \, \overline{P}_{n}(t) - \overline{P}_{n}^{(r)}(x) \, \overline{P}_{n+1}(t)\}}{x - t} + \theta_{n} \sum_{v=0}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{r-v} \, r! \, \{\overline{P}_{n+1}^{(v)}(x) \, \overline{P}_{n}(t) - \overline{P}_{n}^{(v)}(x) \, \overline{P}_{n+1}(t)\}}{v! \, (x - t)^{r-v+1}} \, .$$

Then we have

(3.8)
$$\int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1-t^{2})^{(p+\alpha)/2} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{P}_{k}(t) \, \overline{P}_{k}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt \leq$$

$$\leq \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1-t^{2})^{(p+\alpha)/2} \left| \frac{\overline{P}_{n+1}^{(r)}(x) \, \overline{P}_{n}(t) - \overline{P}_{n}^{(r)}(x) \, \overline{P}_{n+1}(t)}{x-t} \right| dt +$$

$$+ \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1-t^{2})^{(p+\alpha)/2} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{r-\nu} \, r! \, \{ \overline{P}_{n+1}^{(\nu)}(x) \, \overline{P}_{n}(t) - \overline{P}_{n}^{(\nu)}(x) \, \overline{P}_{n+1}(t) \}}{\nu! \, (x-t)^{r-\nu+1}} \right| dt = u_{1} + u_{2}.$$

Since |x - t| > 1/n for $t \in \delta_n(x)$ therefore we have by using (2.3) and (2.6),

(3.9)
$$u_{1} \leq K'_{r} n^{2r+1/2} \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1-t^{2})^{(p+\alpha)/2} \left[\left| \overline{P}_{n}(t) \right| + \left| \overline{P}_{n+1}(t) \right| \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\left| x-t \right|} \leq K'''_{r} n^{2r+1/2} \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\left| x-t \right|} \leq K'''_{r} n^{2r+1/2} \log n , \quad x \in [-1,1].$$

For u_2 we have, on making use of (2.3) and (2.6),

$$(3.10) \ u_{2} \leq \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1-t^{2})^{(p+\alpha)/2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{r!}{\nu!} \left\{ \left| \overline{P}_{n+1}^{(\nu)}(x) \right| \left| \overline{P}_{n}(t) \right| + \left| \overline{P}_{n}^{(\nu)}(x) \right| \left| \overline{P}_{n+1}(t) \right| \right\} dt \leq \\ \leq \lambda_{r}^{r-1} n^{2\nu+1/2} \int_{\delta_{r}(x)} \frac{dt}{|x-t|^{r-\nu+1}} \leq \lambda_{r}^{r-1} n^{r+\nu+1/2} \leq \lambda_{r}^{r} n^{2r-1/2}, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Hence from (3.5), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) the lemma is obtained.

Lemma 3.3. Let $f^{(q)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha \ (0 < \alpha < 1)$ in [-1, 1]; then there is a polynomial $Q_n(x)$ of degree at most n possessing the following properties:

(3.11)
$$|f(x) - Q_n(x)| \le \frac{c_{16}}{n^{q+\alpha}} \left[(\sqrt{1-x^2})^{q+\alpha} + \frac{1}{n^{q+\alpha}} \right]$$

and

$$|f^{(r)}(x) - Q_n^{(r)}(x)| \le \frac{\mu_r}{n^{q+\alpha-r}} \left[(\sqrt{(1-x^2)})^{q+\alpha-r} + \frac{1}{n^{q+\alpha-r}} \right]$$

uniformly in [-1, 1] and r = 1, 2, ..., q.

For r = 1 the lemma has been proved by Saxena [7] and for $r \ge 2$ it can be proved on the same lines.

4. The proof of Theorem. We shall confine ourselves to proving (1.7). We write

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(r)}(x) - S_n^{(r)}(x)| &= |f^{(r)}(x) - Q_n^{(r)}(x) + Q_n^{(r)}(x) - S_n^{(r)}(x)| \leq \\ &\leq |f^{(r)}(x) - Q_n^{(r)}(x)| + \int_{-1}^1 |Q_n(t) - f(t)| \left| \sum_{k=r}^n \overline{P}_k(t) \, \overline{P}_k^{(r)}(x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}t \, . \end{aligned}$$

Now using lemma 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f^{(r)}(x) - S_n^{(r)}(x) \right| &\leq \frac{\mu_r}{n^{p+\alpha-r}} \left[(\sqrt{(1-x^2)})^{p+\alpha-r} + \frac{1}{n^{p+\alpha-r}} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{c_{16}}{n^{p+\alpha}} \int_{-1}^{1} \left\{ (1-t^2)^{(p+\alpha)/2} + \frac{1}{n^{p+\alpha}} \right\} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{P}_k(t) \, \overline{P}_k^{(r)}(x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}t \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_r'}{n^{p+\alpha-r}} + \frac{c_{16}}{n^{p+\alpha}} \int_{-1}^{1} (1-t^2)^{(p+\alpha)/2} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{P}_k(t) \, \overline{P}_k^{(r)}(x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}t + \\ &+ \frac{c_{16}}{n^{2p+2\alpha}} \int_{-1}^{1} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{P}_k(t) \, \overline{P}_k^{(r)}(x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}t \end{aligned}$$

which, with the help of (3.4) and (3.2), yields

$$|f^{(r)}(x) - S_n^{(r)}(x)| \le \frac{\mu_r'}{n^{p+\alpha-r}} + \frac{c_{16}c_r^* \log n}{n^{p+\alpha-2r-1/2}} + \frac{c_{16}c_{12}}{n^{2p+2\alpha-2r-1}} \le \frac{c_r \log n}{n^{p+\alpha-2r-1/2}}, \quad p \ge 2r.$$

This completes the proof of (1.7). The proof of (1.6) can be given in the same manner. One can easily see that if r = 0 we have (1.2) and if r = 1 we get (1.5).

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to the referee for his valuable comments.

References

- I. P. Natanson: Constructive theory of functions (in Russian: GITTL, Moscow, 1949; English transl.: FUPC, New York, 1964).
- [2] G. Sansone: Orthogonal functions (in Italian; English transl., IPI, New York, 1959).
- [3] R. B. Saxena: Expansion of continuous differentiable functions in Fourier Legendre Series, Canadian J. of Math., 19 (1967), 823-827.
- [4] P. K. Suetin: Representation of continuous and differentiable function by Fourier series of Legendre polynomials, Soviet Math. Dokl., 5 (1964), 1408—1410.
- [5] G. Szegö: Orthogonal polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub., 2nd ed. (1959).

Considering the state of the st

 $\mathcal{F}_{a}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + x^2 + y) + \frac{1}{2} (1 +$

- [6] A. F. Timan: Theory of approximation of functions of a real variable (English transl.: Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1960).
- [7] R. B. Saxena: On mixed type lacunary interpolation II, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 14 (1963), 1-19.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, California State College, Los Angeles, U.S.A.

Department of Mathematics, City University of New York, City College, New York 10031, U.S.A.