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# PARAMETERS OF DISTRIBUTION OF ( $n+1$ )-DIMENSIONAL MONOSYSTEMS IN THE EUCLIDEAN SPACE $R^{2 n+1}$ 

Charles Thas, Gent

(Received November 7, 1975)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A monosystem $N$ is a manifold generated by a one-parameter family of linear spaces. If the dimension of $N$ is $n+1$, if $\mathbf{r}(s)$ ( $s$ always represents the arc length, while accents mean derivation to $s$ ) is a base curve and if $\mathbf{e}_{1}(s), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}(s)$ constitute a base of the generating space $B(s)$ for all $s \in S$ ( $S$ is a domain in which the functions we consider are of sufficiently high class), then $N$ can be represented by

$$
\mathbf{R}\left(s, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)=\mathbf{r}(s)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}(s), \quad s \in S, \quad l_{i} \in R \quad(i=1, \ldots, n) .
$$

Suppose that

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left[\mathbf{r}^{\prime}(s) \mathbf{e}_{1}(s) \ldots \mathbf{e}_{n}(s) \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}(s) \ldots \mathbf{e}_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right]=2 n+1, \quad \forall s \in S
$$

This means that $N$ is non-developable, or, in other words, that for every generating space $B(s)$, the mapping: point $\mapsto$ tangent space, $p \mapsto N_{p}$ is a non-singular projectivity.

There is just one central point in each generating space. The locus $H_{\varphi}$ of the points $p$ of a generating space $B(s)$, for which the tangent space $N_{p}$ includes a constant angle $\varphi(0<\varphi<\pi / 2)$ with the tangent space $N_{a}$ at the central point $a$ of $B(s)$ is a central hyperquadric with standard equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}}=\operatorname{tg}^{2} \varphi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (strict positive) half axes $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$ of $H_{\pi / 4}$ are the principal parameters of distribution of $B(s)$. These are the parameters of distribution of the axes of the hyperquadrics $H_{\varphi}$, which we call the principal axes of $B(s)$ (see [2.1.], [3], [5]). In fact we can attach a parameter of distribution to an arbitrary (finite) line of a generating space $B(s)$; first we define the central point $a_{R}$ of a line $R$ of $B(s)$ as follow:
$a_{R}$ is the point of $R$, in which $N_{a_{R}}$ is orthogonal with the tangent space $N_{r_{\infty}}$ at the infinite point $r_{\infty}$ of $R$. To each finite line $R$ of $B(s)$ belongs a strict positive parameter of distribution $d$, for which holds $a_{R} p=d \operatorname{tg} \theta$, where $p$ is a variable point of $R$ and where $\theta$ means the angle $\left(N_{a_{R}}, N_{p}\right)(0 \leqq \theta<\pi / 2)$.

The central point $a$ is also the central point for each line $R$ of $B(s)$ passing through $a$. If the direction cosines of this line with respect to the principal axes of $B(s)$ are $\cos \theta_{1}, \ldots, \cos \theta_{n}$, one can easely prove (from (1)) that its parameter of distribution $d$ is given by

$$
\frac{1}{d^{2}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}
$$

In section 2 we determine the parameter of distribution of an arbitrary line using special submanifolds, for which also some deeper results are proved.

Finally we introduce in section 3 the notion of "dual parameter of distribution" and give in this connection a nice geometrical signification.

## 2. ORTHOGONAL SUBMANIFOLDS

Through each (finite) point of a generating space of $N$, there is passing just one orthogonal trajectory of $N$. The orthogonal trajectories through the points of a $k$ dimensional subspace $(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$ of the generating space $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ generate a $(k+1)$ dimensional monosystem, which we call an orthogonal submanifold of $N$. Each (finite) line $R$ of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ determines in particular an orthogonal subsurface $O_{R}$ of $N$.

Theorem. The central point $a_{R}$ and the parameter of distribution $d$ of a line $R$ of the generating space $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ are also the central point and the parameter of distribution of the generator $R$ of the ruled surface $O_{R}$.

Proof. Suppose that the base curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$ is the orthogonal trajectory through a point of $R$ and that $\mathbf{e}_{1}(s), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}(s)$ constitute a natural base system (this means that $\mathbf{e}_{i} \mathbf{e}_{j}=\delta_{i j}$ and $\left.\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{j}=0,(i, j=1, \ldots, n), \forall s \in S\right)$. If $\cos \theta_{1}, \ldots, \cos \theta_{n}$ are direction cosines of $R$ with respect to $\mathbf{e}_{1}\left(s_{0}\right), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\left(s_{0}\right)$ then $O_{R}$ can be represented by

$$
\mathbf{Z}(s, l)=\mathbf{r}(s)+l \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i}(s) \cos \theta_{i}, \quad l \in R, \quad s \in S
$$

In any point $\mathbf{Z}\left(s_{0}, l\right)$ of $R$, the vector $\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)+l_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \cos \theta_{i}$ is orthogonal with $R$ and orthogonal with $B\left(s_{0}\right)$. The angle of the tangent spaces of $O_{R}$ at the points $p_{1}\left(s_{0}, l_{1}\right)$ and $p_{2}\left(s_{0}, l_{2}\right)$ of $R$, consequently is the same as the angle between the vectors $\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)+l_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \cos \theta_{i}$ and $\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)+l_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \cos \theta_{i}$ and thus also the same as the angle between the tangent spaces $N_{p_{1}}$ and $N_{p_{2}}$, which completes the proof.

Corollary. If $d$ is the parameter of distribution of the line $R$, with central point $a_{R}$, of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, if $d^{\prime}$ is the parameter of distribution of the line $R^{\prime}$, parallel with $R$, through the central point $a$ of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ and if $\varphi=$ angle $\left(N_{a_{R}}, N_{a}\right)$, then

$$
d=d^{\prime} / \cos \varphi
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{e}_{1}(s), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}(s)$ constitute a natural base system, that the vectors $\mathbf{e}_{1}\left(s_{0}\right), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\left(s_{0}\right)$ are parallel with the principal axes of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ (this means $\left.\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)=0 \quad i \neq j(i, j=1, \ldots, n)\right)$ and that $\mathbf{r}(s)$ is the orthogonal trajectory through the central point $a_{R}$ of $R$ (this means if $\cos \theta_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are the direction cosines of $R$ with respect to $\mathbf{e}_{1}\left(s_{0}\right), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\left(s_{0}\right)$, that $\left.\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \cos \theta_{i}=0\right)$.

Under these conditions, $d$ will be given by

$$
d^{2}=1 / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime 2}\left(s_{0}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta_{i},
$$

while the principal parameters of distribution of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ are given by

$$
d_{i}^{2}=\frac{1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime 2}\left(s_{0}\right)}}{\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime 2}\left(s_{0}\right)}(i=1, \ldots, n)
$$

The central points $a_{R}$ and $a$ have respective vector coordinates $\mathbf{r}\left(s_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\mathbf{r}\left(s_{0}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime 2}\left(s_{0}\right)} \mathbf{e}_{i}\left(s_{0}\right),
$$

and thus we get

$$
\cos ^{2} \varphi=\frac{\left(\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime 2}\left(s_{0}\right)} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime 2}\left(s_{0}\right)} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)^{2}}=1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime 2}\left(s_{0}\right)} .
$$

And

$$
\frac{1}{d^{2}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime 2}\left(s_{0}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta_{i}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime 2}\left(s_{0}\right)}\right)=\frac{\cos ^{2} \varphi}{d^{\prime 2}},
$$

which has to be proved (for another method see [5]).
Theorem. Consider the orthogonal submanifold $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ containing the $k$-dimensional subspace $K$ of the generating space $B\left(s_{0}\right)(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$. In each point of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and in each two-dimensional direction of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{p}, \mathbf{\Sigma}$ and $N$ have the same sectional curvature.

Proof. We first consider the case $k=1$. We call "normal two-dimensional direction" in a point $p$ of $N$, a two-dimensional direction of $N_{p}$, defined by a line $R$ of the
generating space $B$ through $p$ and the normal through $p$ on $B$ in $N_{p}$ (that is the tangent to the orthogonal trajectory through $p$ ). Consider a (finite) line $R$ of $B$, with central point $a_{R}$ and parameter of distribution $d$. In [5] we proved that the sectional curvature $K_{\sigma}$ of $N$ in the normal two-dimensional direction determined by $R$ in a variable point $p$ of $R$, which lies at distance $t$ of $a_{R}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\sigma}=-\frac{d^{2}}{\left(d^{2}+t^{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $d$ is the parameter of distribution and $a_{R}$ is the central point of the generator $R$ of the orthogonal submanifold of $N$ containing $R$, and thus (2) gives also the expression of the Gauss curvature of this ruled surface in the point $p$ of $R$. This proves our purpose for $k=1$.

Next suppose that $k>1$. The orthogonal subsurface determined by a line $R$ of the subspace $K$ of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, is also an orthogonal submanifold of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and thus the theorem holds for any two-dimensional direction in the tangent space $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{p}$ in a point $p$ of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, which is a normal two-dimensional direction of $N_{p}$. In [5], we found the following result: suppose that $\sigma$ is an arbitrary two-dimensional direction of $N_{p}$. If $K_{\sigma_{0}}$ is the sectional curvature in the normal two-dimensional direction of $N_{p}$, passing through the line of intersection $\sigma \cap B$, and if $\delta_{0}$ is the angle of $\sigma$ and the normal through $p$ on the generating space $B$ in $N_{p}$, then

$$
K_{\sigma}=K_{\sigma_{0}} \cos ^{2} \delta_{0} .
$$

And so, since $K_{\sigma_{0}}$ and $\delta_{0}$ are the same for $N$ and for $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, the theorem is proved.
Theorem. $A(k+1)$-dimensional orthogonal submanifold $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ of $N$ is a total geodesic submanifold of $N$, iff any $k$-dimensional generating space $K(s)$ of $\Sigma$ contains $k$ principal axes of the corresponding generating space $B(s)$ of $N$.

Proof. Suppose that the base curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$ of $N$ is an orthogonal trajectory of $N$ and of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and that for $s=s_{0}$ the vectors $\mathbf{e}_{1}\left(s_{0}\right), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\left(s_{0}\right)$ of the natural base system $\mathbf{e}_{1}(s), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}(s)$ of $N$, are parallel with the generating space $K\left(s_{0}\right)$ of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ in the generating space $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ of $N$. Then $N$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ can be represented by

$$
\mathbf{R}\left(s, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)=\mathbf{r}(s)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}(s)
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{Z}\left(s, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right)=\mathbf{r}(s)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} l_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}(s), \quad l_{i} \in R(i=1, \ldots, n), \quad s \in S
$$

The $n-k$ vectors $\partial \mathbf{R} / \partial l_{r}=\mathbf{e}_{r}(s)(r=k+1, \ldots, n)$ constitute at each point $p\left(s, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right)$ of $\Sigma$ an (orthonormal) basis of the $(n-k)$-dimensional space which
is (total) orthogonal with $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{p}$ in $N_{p}$. Thus, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is total geodesic iff

$$
\mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{e}_{r}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} l_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{e}_{r} \equiv 0 \quad \forall l_{j} \in R \quad(j=1, \ldots, k) \quad \text { and } \quad \forall s \in S \quad(r=k+1, \ldots, n) .
$$

These conditions become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{r}^{\prime}=0 \quad \forall s \in S \quad(r=k+1, \ldots, n) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{r}^{\prime}=0 \quad \forall s \in S \quad(j=1, \ldots, k ; r=k+1, \ldots, n) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $n$ coordinates of the central point $a$ of a general generating space $B(s)$ of $N$ are the solutions of the system of linear equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{t=1}^{n} l_{t} \mathbf{e}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}=0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, n) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3) and (4), we see that the $n-k$ last coordinates of each central point are zero, which means that the central point of a variable generating space $B(s)$ of $N$ belongs to the corresponding generating space $K(s)$ of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. From the conditions (4), there follows that the vectors $\mathbf{e}_{1}(s), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{k}(s)$ (resp. $\left.\mathbf{e}_{k+1}(s), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}(s)\right)$ in each generating space $B(s)$ are parallel with the space generated by $k$ principal axes of $B(s)$ (resp. $n-k$ princial axes of $B(s))$. This completes the proof.

Corollary. The line of striction of a total geodesic orthogonal submanifold $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ of $N$ is the same as the line of striction of $N$.

Proof. From the proof of the last theorem, it follows that the line of striction of $N$ is a curve of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Moreover, the central point of $N$ is determined by the system (5), while the central point of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is given by the same system, but with $t, i=1, \ldots, k$.

Remarks. 1. The result of the last corollary remains true for any orthogonal submanifold of $N$ which contains the line of striction of $N$.
2. Suppose that the line of striction of $N$ is an orthogonal trajectory and that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is a $(k+1)$-dimensional total geodesic orthogonal submanifold of $N$, then, in the proof of the last theorem, we can take the line of striction of $N$ (and also of $\Sigma$ ) as the base curve. Under these conditions and, with the same notations, the orthogonal submanifold $\boldsymbol{N}$, represented by

$$
\mathbf{r}(s)+\sum_{r=k+1}^{n} l_{r} \mathbf{e}_{r}(s) \quad l_{r} \in R \quad(r=k+1, \ldots, n), \quad s \in S,
$$

is also total geodesic (because besides the conditions (3) and (4), there holds now: $\left.\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\prime}=0, \forall s \in S(i=1, \ldots, k)\right)$. In this case the line of striction of $N$ (and of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak { R }}$ ) is a geodesic line of these three manifolds (cfr. theorem of Bonnet; see [5]).
3. Example. Consider a curve $\mathbf{r}(s)(s \in S)$ in $R^{5}$. The formules of Frenet are $\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathrm{dr} / \mathrm{d} s\right)$ :

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} s}=\frac{\mathbf{e}_{2}}{\varrho_{1}}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} s}=-\frac{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}}{\varrho_{k-1}}+\frac{\mathbf{e}_{k+1}}{\varrho_{k}} \quad(k=2,3,4), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{e}_{5}}{\mathrm{~d} s}=-\frac{\mathbf{e}_{4}}{\varrho_{4}} .
$$

The manifold $N$ represented by

$$
\mathbf{R}\left(s, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=\mathbf{r}(s)+l_{1} \mathbf{e}_{2}(s)+l_{2} \mathbf{e}_{5}(s), \quad l_{1}, l_{2} \in R, \quad s \in S,
$$

is non-developable iff $1 / \varrho_{2} \neq 0$ and $1 / \varrho_{4} \neq 0$ at each point of the curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$.
The submanifold represented by

$$
\mathbf{Z}(s, l)=\mathbf{r}(s)+l \mathbf{e}_{2}(s) \quad l \in R, \quad s \in S,
$$

is a total geodesic orthogonal submanifold of $N$.

## 3. DUAL PARAMETERS OF DISTRIBUTION

We require that from now on $n \geqq 2$. For each finite ( $n-2$ )-dimensional subspace of each generating space, we can define a new parameter of distribution, which we call a dual parameter of distribution. Suppose that $H$ is a $(n-2)$-dimensional subspace of the generating space $B\left(s_{0}\right)$. In general, there are just two hyperplanes $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, which contain $H$, which are orthogonal, in such a manner that the $2 n$-dimensional spaces $T_{L_{1}}$ and $T_{L_{2}}$, generated by the tangent spaces of $N$ at the points of $L_{1}$, resp. of $L_{2}$, are orthogonal too. (In $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, we obtain a model of an elliptic geometry by stating: distance $p q=$ angle $\left(N_{p}, N_{q}\right)$, for any two (finite or infinite) points $p$ and $q$ of $B\left(s_{0}\right) . L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are the hyperplanes of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ which contain $H$ and which are euclidean and elliptic orthogonal (see [5]))

Theorem. Suppose that $L$ is a variable hyperplane of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, containing $H$, which forms with $L_{1}$ the angle $\theta(0 \leqq \theta \leqq \pi / 2)$, while $\theta^{\prime}$ is the angle of the hyperplanes $T_{L}$ and $T_{L_{1}}$ of $R^{2 n+1}\left(0 \leqq \theta^{\prime} \leqq \pi / 2\right)$. Then there exists a strict positive dual parameter of distribution $\delta$, for which

$$
\operatorname{tg} \theta=\delta \operatorname{tg} \theta^{\prime}
$$

(It is clear that $1 / \delta$ is the dual parameter of distribution of $H$, calculated with respect to the hyperplane $L_{2}$.)

Proof. Suppose that the base curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$ is the orthogonal trajectory through the central point $a$ of the generating space $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, while $\mathbf{e}_{1}(s), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}(s)$ form a natural base system, in such a way that for $s=s_{0}$ the vectors $\mathbf{e}_{1}\left(s_{0}\right), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\left(s_{0}\right)$ have the same directions as the principal axes of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ (this means $\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime}=0 i \neq j, i, j=1, \ldots, n$,
$\left.s=s_{0}\right)$. In the space $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, we choose an orthogonal coordinate system with origin $a$ and base $\mathbf{e}_{1}\left(s_{0}\right), \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\left(s_{0}\right)$. Consider the hyperquadric $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, given by the equation $\left(d_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)\right.$ are the principal parameters of distribution of $\left.B\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}}=-1
$$

It can be proved (see [5]) that the hyperplanes (of $R^{2 n+1}$ ), generated by the tangent spaces of $N$ at the points of two hyperplanes of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, are orthogonal, iff the two hyperplanes of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ are conjugated with respect to the hyperquadric $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ ( $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is the absolute hyperquadric of the earlier mentioned model of the elliptic geometry in $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ ).

First we consider an arbitrary (finite) ( $n-2$ )-dimensional subspace $H$ of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, which does not contain the central point $a$ of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$. Then $H$ is the polar space with respect to the absolute hyperquadric $\Gamma$ of a finite line $R$, which does not contain $a$ and which thus can be represented by

$$
x_{i}=l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i} \quad t \in R \quad(i=1, \ldots, n),
$$

with $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right) \neq(0,0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos ^{2} \theta_{i}=1$. The equations of $H$ are

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}=0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} l_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}=-1
$$

A variable hyperplane $L$ through $H$ has an equation of the form

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)=-1
$$

We now determine the ortogonal hyperplanes $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ through $H$ for which $T_{L_{1}}$ and $T_{L_{2}}$ are also orthogonal. Thus we look for the common pair $L_{1} \leftrightarrow L_{2}$ of the orthogonal involution of the hyperplanes of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ through $H$ and of the involution of the conjugate hyperplanes of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ through $H$ with respect to $\Gamma$. By transition on the polar line $R$, we become on $R$ a first involution, determined by the equation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)\left(l_{i}+t^{\prime} \cos \theta_{i}\right)}{d_{i}^{4}}=0
$$

and a second involution, namely the involution of the conjugate points of $R$ with respect to $\Gamma$, with equation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)\left(l_{i}+t^{\prime} \cos \theta_{i}\right)}{d_{i}^{2}}=-1
$$

The common conjugate pair of points of both involutions on $R$, correspond to the values $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ of the parameter, which are the solutions of the quadratic equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)+ \\
+ & t\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{4}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)+ \\
+ & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{4}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that the point $l\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)$ is one of the two points of $R$ we are looking for, or in other words suppose that this quadratic equation has the solution $t=0$, which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{4}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}=0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Call $L_{1}$ the hyperplane corresponding with $l$. We find for the angle $\theta$ between the variable hyperplane $L$ and $L_{1}$

$$
\cos \theta=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right) l_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}}{\sqrt{\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)^{2}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)\right)}}
$$

while the angle $\theta^{\prime}$, between the hyperplanes $T_{L}$ and $T_{L_{1}}$, is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos \theta^{\prime}= & \frac{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)}}=} \\
& =\frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)}{d_{i}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\left(\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)\right)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we find

$$
\operatorname{tg}^{2} \theta=\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)^{2}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right) l_{i}}{\mathrm{~d}_{i}^{4}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right) l_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{tg}^{2} \theta^{\prime}=\frac{\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)-\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)^{2}} .
$$

After some calculations, the numerators of the expressions of $\operatorname{tg}^{2} \theta$ and $\operatorname{tg}^{2} \theta^{\prime}$ become respectively

$$
t^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{4}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
t^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)^{2}\right) .
$$

Moreover, we find using (6)

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right) l_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)=\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}\left(l_{i}+t \cos \theta_{i}\right)}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right) .
$$

And so we obtain $\operatorname{tg} \theta=\delta \operatorname{tg} \theta^{\prime}$, with

$$
\delta^{2}=\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}} \cdot \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_{i} \cos \theta_{i}}{d_{i}^{4}}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Next, suppose that $H$ contains the central point $a$ and that $H$ is given by the two equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} x_{i} & =0  \tag{7}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} x_{i} & =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover we require that the hyperplanes $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ have respectively the equations (7) and (8), which means that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} c_{i}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} c_{i} d_{i}^{2}=0
$$

A variable hyperplane $L$ through $H$ has an equation of the form

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}+t c_{i}\right) x_{i}=0 .
$$

The angle $\theta$ between $L$ and $L_{1}$ is given by

$$
\cos \theta=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}+t c_{i}\right) b_{i}}{\sqrt{ }\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}+t c_{i}\right)^{2}\right)\right)}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}}{\sqrt{ }\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}+t^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}\right)\right)},
$$

while the cosinus of the angle $\theta^{\prime}$ of $T_{L}$ and $T_{L_{1}}$ becomes

$$
\cos \theta^{\prime}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} d_{i}^{2} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{ }\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}+t c_{i}\right) d_{i}^{2} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{ }\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{2}+t^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{2}\right)}
$$

or

$$
\cos \theta^{\prime}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{2}}{\sqrt{ }\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{2}+t^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{2}\right)\right)}
$$

From this, we find

$$
\operatorname{tg}^{2} \theta=t^{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}} \text { and } \operatorname{tg}^{2} \theta^{\prime}=t^{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{2}}
$$

and so

$$
\operatorname{tg} \theta=\delta \operatorname{tg} \theta^{\prime}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{2}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}}\right), \quad \text { which has to be proved } . ~ . ~} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we define dual principal parameters of distribution in the following way: consider the $\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)(n-2)$-dimensional subspaces through the central point $a$ of the generating space $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, which are generated by $(n-2)$ principal axes of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$. These ( $n-2$ )-dimensional subspaces have, with respect to the coordinate system used in the proof of the last theorem, the equations

$$
x_{i}=x_{j}=0 \quad i \neq j \quad(i, j=1, \ldots, n),
$$

while the corresponding orthogonal hyperplanes, for which the corresponding hyperplanes of $R^{2 n+1}$ are also orthogonal, are given by $x_{i}=0$ and $x_{j}=0$. A dual parameter of distribution of such a $(n-2)$-dimensional subspace is called a dual principal parameter of distribution, and calculated with respect to $x_{i}=0$, we note
it $\delta_{i j}$ (thus, there are two dual principal parameter of distribution $\delta_{i j}$ and $\delta_{j i}$ both belonging to the subspace $x_{i}=x_{j}=0$, and $\delta_{i j} \delta_{j i}=1$ ).

Theorem. Suppose that $\theta_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are the (principal) angles between the generating space $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ and a variable generating space $B(s)$. If $\delta_{i j}$ are the dual principal parameters of distribution of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\delta_{i j}=\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta_{j}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{i}}\right|_{s=s_{0}} \quad i \neq j \quad(i, j=1, \ldots, n)
$$

Proof. Note $p$ the shortest distance between $B\left(s_{0}\right)$ and $B(s)$. If $d_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are the principal parameters of distribution of $B\left(s_{0}\right)$, then one can proof that (see [2.1.])

$$
d_{i}=\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} p}{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{i}}\right|_{s=s_{0}} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n) .
$$

From (9), we get

$$
\delta_{i j}=\frac{d_{i}}{d_{j}},
$$

and thus

$$
\delta_{i j}=\frac{\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} p}{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{i}}\right|_{s=s_{0}}}{\left|\frac{\mathrm{~d} p}{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{j}}\right|_{s=s_{0}}}=\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta_{j}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{i}}\right|_{s=s_{0}},
$$

which completes the proof.
Remarks. 1. The dual principal parameters of distribution are not all independent; for instance

$$
\delta_{i j} \delta_{j k}=\delta_{i k} \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq i \quad(i, j, k=1, \ldots, n) .
$$

2. The results of sections 1 and 2 remain true for $(n+1)$-dimensional monosystems $N$ in $R^{k}$ with $k \geqq 2 n+1$. This holds also for the theorems of section 3 if we change some details: for instance, if $L$ is any hyperplane of a generating space $B$, then $T_{L}$ is now a hyperplane of the $(2 n+1)$-dimensional space generated by the tangent spaces of $N$ at the points of $B$. Moreover, two general $n$-dimensional spaces in $R^{k}(k \geqq 2 n+1)$ generate a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional space and in this space we calculate now the shortest distance $p$ and the principal angles $\theta_{i}$.
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