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# ARCHIMEDEAN KERNEL OF A LATTICE ORDERED GROUP 

Ján Jakubík, Košice

(Received February 18, 1976)

For any archimedean lattice ordered group $H$ we denote by $D(H)$ the Dedekind closure of $H$ (cf. e.g. [1], Chap. XIII, § 13). Under the natural embedding, $H$ is an $l$-subgroup of $D(H)$ such that for each element $x_{0} \in D(H)$ there exists a subset $X \cong$ $\subseteq H$ that is upper bounded in $H$ with $x_{0}=\sup X$.
Let $G$ be a lattice ordered group. We denote by $\mathscr{A}(G)$ the set of all convex $l$-subgroups of $G$ that are archimedean. The set $\mathscr{A}(G)$ is partially ordered by inclusion. In $\S 1$ of this paper it will be shown that $\mathscr{A}(G)$ possesses the greatest element $A(G)$. The convex $l$-subgroup $A(G)$ is said to be the archimedean kernel of $G$.

Let $\mathscr{G}$ be the class of all lattice ordered groups and let $\mathscr{R}$ be a nonempty subclass of $\mathscr{G}$ such that the following conditions are fulfilled:
$(\alpha) \mathscr{R}$ is closed with respect to isomorphisms.
$(\beta)$ If $K \in \mathscr{R}$ and $K_{1}$ is a convex $l$-subgroup of $K$, then $K_{1} \in \mathscr{R}$.
( $\gamma$ ) If $K_{2} \in \mathscr{G}$ and if $\left\{K_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a set of convex $l$-subgroups of $K_{2}$ belonging to $\mathscr{R}$, then $\bigvee_{i \in K} K_{i} \in \mathscr{R}$.

Under these assumptions $\mathscr{R}$ is called a radical class [5]. If, moreover, $\mathscr{R}$ is closed with respect to homomorphisms, then $\mathscr{R}$ is said to be a torsion class (Martinez [6]). From the existence of the archimedean kernel we easily obtain that the class $\mathscr{A}$ of all archimedean lattice ordered groups is a radical class.

It is well-known that a homomorphic image of an archimedean lattice ordered group need not be archimedean; hence $\mathscr{A}$ fails to be a torsion class.
In § 2 we construct, for each $G \in \mathscr{G}$, a lattice ordered group $D_{1}(G)$ fulfilling the following conditions:
(i) $G$ is an $l$-subgroup of $D_{1}(G)$.
(ii) $D(A(G))$ is an $l$-ideal of $D_{1}(G)$.
(iii) If $x \in G$ and $X$ is a nonempty subset of $x+A(G)$ such that $X$ is upper bounded in $x+A(G)$, then there is $x_{0} \in D_{1}(G)$ with $\sup X=x_{0}$.
(iv.) For each $x_{0} \in D_{1}(G)$ there exists $x \in G$ and $X \subseteq x+A(G)$ such that $X$ is upper bounded in $x+A(G)$ and $x_{0}=\sup X$.

Thus, in particular, $D_{1}(G)$ is an amalgam of the lattice ordered groups $G$ and $D(A(G))$ with the common $l$-subgroup $A(G)$. If $G$ is archimedean, then $D_{1}(G)=D(G)$. Hence $D_{1}(G)$ is a generalization of the notion of the Dedekind closure which can be employed also for non-archimedean lattice ordered groups. $D_{1}(G)$ will be called the generalized Dedekind closure of $G$. The lattice ordered group $D_{1}(G)$ is determined by the conditions (i)-(iv) up to isomorphisms.

Further, it is shown that $A(G)$ is a closed $l$-ideal in $G$ and that $D(A(G))$ is a closed $l$-ideal in $D_{1}(G)$. If $X \subseteq G$ and if $g$ is the least upper bound of $X$ in $G$, then $g$ is also the least upper bound of $X$ in $D_{1}(G)$ (and dually). A problem is proposed concerning the relations between $D_{1}(G)$ and the extension of $G$ that was defined by L. Fuchs in [3] (Chap. V, § 10).

In § 3, some relations between $G$ and $D_{1}(G)$ are established; e.g., it is shown that if $G$ is abelian and divisible, then so is $D_{1}(G)$. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the polars of $G$ and the polars of $D_{1}(G)$. If $G$ is representable, then $D_{1}(G)$ is representable as well.

For the basic notions and notation cf. Birkhoff [1], Conrad [2], Fuchs [3]. In what follows all lattice ordered groups are written additively though they are not assumed to be abelian.

## 1. THE ARCHIMEDEAN KERNEL

Let $G$ be a lattice ordered group. Let $\mathscr{A}(G)$ be as above and let $\mathscr{A}_{1}(G)$ be the set (partially ordered by inclusion) of all convex $l$-subgroups of $G$ that are abelian.
1.1. Lemma. $\mathscr{A}_{1}(G)$ possesses the greatest element.

Proof. Each variety of representable $l$-groups being a torsion class [6], the assertion follows from ( $\gamma$ ).

The greatest element of $\mathscr{A}_{1}(G)$ will be denoted by $A_{1}(G)$. Since each archimedean lattice ordered group is abelian, we have $A \subseteq A_{1}(G)$ for each archimedean $l$-subgroup $A$ of $G$.

An element $0<g$ of a lattice ordered group $K$ will be called archimedean in $K$ if for each $0<x \in K$ there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $n x$ non $\leqq g$. If $g$ is archimedean in $K$ and $0<g_{1} \in K, g_{1}<g$, then $g_{1}$ is archimedean in $K$.
1.2. Lemma. Let $a, b$ be archimedean elements of an abelian lattice ordered group $K$. Then $a \vee b$ is archimedean in $K$.

Proof. Denote $a-a \wedge b=a_{1}, b-a \wedge b=b_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \vee b=a \wedge b+a_{1}+b_{1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $a \vee b$ fails to be archimedean. Then there is $0<z \in K$ such that $n z<$ $<a \vee b$ for each positive integer $n$. We have either $a \wedge b=0$ or $a \wedge b$ is archimedean. Hence there is a positive integer $n_{1}$ such that $n_{1} z$ non $\leqq a \wedge b$. Put

$$
x=n_{1} z-\left(n_{1} z \wedge a \wedge b\right) .
$$

Thus $x>0$. At the same time we have

$$
x=n_{1} z \vee(a \wedge b)-a \wedge b \leqq a \vee b-a \wedge b=a_{1}+b_{1}=a_{1} \vee b_{1}
$$

since $a_{1} \wedge b_{1}=0$. This implies

$$
x=\left(x \wedge a_{1}\right) \vee\left(x \wedge b_{1}\right)
$$

and either $x \wedge a_{1}$ or $x \wedge b_{1}$ is strictly positive. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x_{1}=x \wedge a_{1}>0$. Since $x_{1} \leqq x \leqq n_{1} z$, we have $n x_{1} \leqq a \vee b$ for each positive integer $n$. There is a positive integer $n_{2}$ with $n_{2} x_{1}$ non $\leqq a$. From (1) and from $n_{2} x_{1} \leqq a \vee b$ we obtain that there are elements $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3} \in K$ with $0 \leqq y_{1} \leqq a \wedge b, 0 \leqq y_{2} \leqq a_{1}, 0 \leqq y_{3} \leqq b_{1}$ such that

$$
n_{2} x_{1}=y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}
$$

In view of $x_{1} \leqq a_{1}$ we have $x_{1} \wedge b_{1}=0$ and hence $n_{2} x_{1} \wedge b_{1}=0$. Thus $y_{3}=0$ and therefore $n_{2} x_{1}=y_{1}+y_{2} \leqq a \wedge b+a_{1}=a$, which is a contradiction.
1.3. Lemma. Let $a$ be an archimedean element of an abelian lattice ordered group $K$. Then $2 a$ is archimedean in $K$.

Proof. Suppose that $2 a$ fails to be archimedean. Then there is $0<x \in K$ such that $2 n x<2 a$ for each positive integer $n$, and hence $n x<a$ for each positive integer $n$, which is a contradiction.
1.4. Lemma. Let $K$ be an abelian lattice ordered group and let $K_{1}$ be the set of all elements $a \in K$ such that either $a=0$ or $|a|$ is archimedean. Then $K_{1}$ is a convex $l$-subgroup of $K$.

Proof. If $a \in K_{1}$, then $-a \in K_{1}$. Let $a, b \in K_{1}$. Then $|a|,|b| \in K_{1}$ and thus by Lemma 1.2, $|a| \vee|b| \in K_{1}$. According to Lemma 1.3 we have $2(|a| \vee|b|) \in K_{1}$. If $c \in K, 0<c \leqq|a|$, then clearly $c \in K_{1}$. Since

$$
|a|+|b| \leqq 2(|a| \vee|b|),
$$

we infer that $|a|+|b| \in K_{1}$. From this and from $|a+b| \leqq|a|+|b|$ we obtain $a+b \in K_{1}$. Hence $K_{1}$ is a subgroup of $K$. Since $a \in K_{1}$ implies $|a| \in K_{1}$, иe infer that $K_{1}$ is directed. Being convex in $K$, it follows that $K_{1}$ is an $l$-subgroup of $K$.
1.5. Theorem. Let $G$ be a lattice ordered group. There exists a convex l-subgroup $A(G)$ of $G$ such that $(\mathrm{a}) A(G)$ is archimedean, and $(\mathrm{b})$ if $G_{1}$ is a convex l-subgroup of $G$ and if $G_{1}$ is archimdean, then $G_{1} \subseteq A(G)$.

Proof. Put $A_{1}(G)=K$ and let $K_{1}$ be as in Lemma 1.4. Then $K_{1}$ is a convex $l$ subgroup of $G$ and is archimedean. Let $G_{1}$ be a convex $l$-subgroup of $G$ and suppose that $G_{1}$ is archimedean. Then $G_{1}$ is abelian, thus $G_{1} \cong K$. Moreover, each strictly positive element of $G_{1}$ must be archimedean in $K$, hence $G_{1}^{+} \subseteq K_{1}$. This implies $G_{1} \cong K_{1}$. Now we may put $K_{1}=A(G)$.
1.6. Corollary. The class $\mathscr{A}$ of all archimedean lattice ordered groups is a radical class.

Proof. Obviously $\mathscr{A}$ fulfils $(\alpha)$ and $(\beta)$. Let $G \in \mathscr{G}$ and let $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of convex archimedean $l$-subgroups of $G$. Then $G_{i} \cong A(G)$ and hence $\bigvee G_{i} \cong A(G)$. According to $(\beta)$ we obtain $\bigvee G_{i} \in \mathscr{A}$.
1.7. Lemma. For each $G \in \mathscr{G}, A(G)$ is an l-ideal of $G$.

Proof. $A(G)$ being a convex $l$-subgroup of $G$ it suffices to verify that $A(G)$ is normal in $G$. Let $g \in G$. Then $-g+A(G)+g$ is a convex $l$-subgroup of $G$ isomorphic with $A(G)$. In particular, $-g+A(g)+g$ is archimedean. Hence according to Theorem 1 we have $-g+A(g)+g \cong A(G)$.

When no ambiguity can occur, we shall write often $A$ instead of $A(G)$.

## 2. CONSTRUCTION OF $D_{1}(G)$

Let $L$ be a lattice. For $X \subseteq L$ we denote by $X^{u}$ and $X^{l}$ the set of all upper bounds or the set of all lower bounds of the set $X$ in $L$, respectively. Let $L_{1}$ be the system of all sets of the form $\left(X^{u}\right)^{l}$, where $X$ is any nonempty upper bounded subset of $L$. Then $L_{1}$ (partially ordered by inclusion) is a conditionally complete lattice; the set $L_{2}$ of all principal ideals of $L$ is a sublattice of $L_{1}$ isomorphic with $L$ and each element of $L_{1}$ is a join of some elements of $L_{2}$. Hence there is a conditionally complete lattice $d(L)$ such that $L$ is a sublattice of $d(L)$ and each element $x_{0}$ of $d(L)$ is a join of a subset $X$ of $L$ such that $X$ is upper bounded in $L$; also, there is a subset $Y$ of $L$ such that $Y$ is lower bounded in $L$ and $x_{0}$ is the meet of the set $Y$ in $d(L)$. The lattice $d(L)$ is determined uniquely up to isomorphism.

Let $G$ be a lattice ordered group. Denote $A(G)=A$. For each class $x+A(x \in G)$ we construt the lattice $d(x+A)$. We may assume that $d(x+A) \cap d(y+A)=\emptyset$ whenever $x+A \neq y+A$ and that $d(x+A)=D(A)$ for $x=0$. Put

$$
S=\bigcup_{x \in G} d(x+A) .
$$

We define a binary operation + on the set $S$ as follows. Let $x_{0}, y_{0} \in S$. There are elements $x, y \in G$ with $x_{0} \in d(x+A), y_{0} \in d(y+A)$. Let $X_{0}$ be the set of all elements $x_{i} \in x+A$ with $x_{i} \leqq x_{0}$, and let $Y_{0}$ have the analogous meaning. Then $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$
are upper bounded in $x+A$ or $y+A$, respectively. Hence the set $Z_{0}=\left\{x_{i}+y_{i}\right.$ : $\left.: x_{i} \in X_{0}, y_{i} \in Y_{0}\right\}$ is an upper bounded subset of $x+y+A$ (cf. Lemma 1.7). Thus there exists $z_{0}=\sup Z_{0}$ in $d(x+y+A)$. We put $x_{0}+y_{0}=z_{0}$.

If $x_{0}, y_{0} \in G$, then clearly $x_{0}+y_{0}$ in $S$ coincides with the original operation $x_{0}+y_{0}$ in $G$. Analogously, for $x_{0}, y_{0} \in D(A)$ the operation $x_{0}+y_{0}$ in $S$ gives the same result as the operation $x_{0}+y_{0}$ in $D(A)$.

Let $X_{1} \subseteq X_{0}, \quad Y_{1} \subseteq Y_{0}, \sup X_{1}=x_{0}$ and $\sup Y_{1}=y_{0}$. Denote $Z_{1}=\left\{x_{i}^{\prime}+y_{i}^{\prime}\right.$ $\left.: x_{i}^{\prime} \in X_{1}, y_{i}^{\prime} \in Y_{1}\right\}$.
2.1. Lemma. $\sup Z_{1}=x_{0}+y_{0}$.

Proof. The set $Z_{1}$ is upper bounded in $x+y+A$, hence sup $Z_{1}=u$ exists in $d(x+y+A)$. Let $u_{1} \in x+y+A, u_{1} \geqq u$. For each $x_{i}^{\prime} \in X_{1}$ and each $y_{j}^{\prime} \in Y_{1}$ we have $u_{1} \geqq x_{i}^{\prime}+y_{i}^{\prime}, u_{1}-y_{j}^{\prime} \geqq x_{i}^{\prime}$, hence $u_{1}-y_{j}^{\prime} \geqq x_{i}$ for each $x_{i} \in X_{0}$. From $-x_{i}+u_{1} \geqq y_{j}^{\prime}$ we infer that $-x_{i}+u_{1} \geqq y_{j}$ for each $y_{j} \in Y_{0}$. Therefore $u_{1} \geqq$ $\geqq x_{i}+y_{j}$. This implies $u_{1} \geqq x_{0}+y_{0}$. Hence $u \geqq x_{0}+y_{0}$. Since $X_{1} \cong X_{0}$, $Y_{1} \cong Y_{0}$, we have $u \leqq x_{0}+y_{0}$. Thus $u=x_{0}+y_{0}$.
2.2. Lemma. The operation + on $S$ is associative.

Proof. Let $x_{0}, y_{0}, t_{0} \in S$ and let $x, y, X_{1}, Y_{1}$ be as above. There is $t \in G$ and $T_{1} \subseteq t+A$ such that sup $T_{1}=t_{0}$ holds in $d(t+A)$. Lemma 2.1 implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(x_{0}+y_{0}\right)+t_{0}=\sup \left\{\left(x_{1}+y_{1}\right)+t_{1}: x_{1} \in X_{1}, y_{1} \in Y_{1}, t_{1} \in T_{1}\right\}= \\
=x_{0}+\left(y_{0}+t_{0}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

2.3. Lemma. $0+x_{0}=x_{0}+0=x_{0}$ for each $x_{0} \in S$.

This follows immediately from Lemma 2.1.
2.4. Lemma. For each $x_{0} \in S$ there are elements $x \in G$ and $a \in D(A)$ such that $x_{0}=x+a$.

Proof. There is $x \in G$ with $x_{0} \in d(x+A)$ and a set $X_{1} \subseteq x+A$ such that $x_{0}=\sup X_{1}$ is valid in $d(x+A)$ and $X_{1}$ is upper bounded in $x+A$. Put $X_{2}=$ $=\left\{-x+x_{i}: x_{i} \in X_{1}\right\}$. Then $X_{2}$ is an upper bounded subset of $A$. Thus there is $a=\sup X_{2}$ in $D(A)$. From Lemma 2.1 we obtain $x_{0}=x+a$.
2.5. Lemma. $(S ;+)$ is a group.

Proof. From Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 it follows that it suffices to verify that for each element $x_{0} \in S$ there is $y_{0} \in S$ with $x_{0}+y_{0}=0$. Let $x_{0} \in S$ and let $x, a$ be as in Lemma 2.4. Put $y_{0}=-a+(-x)$. Then $x_{0}+y_{0}=0$ by Lemma 2.2.

Let $x_{0}, x$ and $X_{0}$ be as above. We denote

$$
\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{y \in G: y \geqq x_{i} \text { for each } x_{i} \in X_{0}\right\}, \quad\left(x_{0}\right)^{v}=\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(x+A)
$$

Let $X_{1} \subseteq X_{0}$ with $\sup X_{1}=x_{0}$ in $d(x+A)$. Clearly

$$
\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{z \in G: z \geqq x_{i}^{\prime} \text { for each } x_{i}^{\prime} \in X_{1}\right\} .
$$

We define a binary relation $\leqq$ on $S$ as follows. For $x_{0}, y_{0} \in S$ we put $x_{0} \leqq y_{0}$ if $\left(y_{0}\right) \leqq\left(x_{0}\right)$. For $x_{0}, y_{0} \in G$ the relation $x_{0} \leqq y_{0}$ coincides with the relation $x_{0} \leqq y_{0}$ in $G$, and analogously for $x_{0}, y_{0} \in D(A)$. The relation $\leqq$ on $S$ is obviously reflexive and transitive.
2.6. Lemma. Let $x_{0}, y_{0} \in S, x_{0} \leqq y_{0}$ and $y_{0} \leqq x_{0}$. Let $x, y \in G, x_{0} \in d(x+A)$, $y_{0} \in d(y+A)$. Then $x+A=y+A$.

Proof. There are elements $x_{1}, t_{1} \in x+A, y_{1}, t_{2} \in y+A$ with $t_{1} \geqq x_{0} \geqq x_{1}$, $t_{2} \geqq y_{0} \geqq y_{1}$. From $x_{0} \leqq y_{0}, y_{0} \leqq x_{0}$ we infer that $x_{1} \leqq t_{2}, y_{1} \leqq t_{1}$. Then in the factor $l$-group $G / A$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(x_{1}+A\right) \vee\left(y_{1}+A\right)=\left(x_{1} \vee y_{1}\right)+A \leqq\left(t_{1} \wedge t_{2}\right)+A= \\
=\left(t_{1}+A\right) \wedge\left(t_{2}+A\right)=\left(x_{1}+A\right) \wedge\left(y_{1}+A\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

hence $x_{1}+A=y_{1}+A$. Thus $x+A=y+A$.
2.7. Lemma. Let $x_{0}, y_{0} \in S, x_{0} \leqq y_{0}$ and $y_{0} \leqq x_{0}$, Then $x_{0}=y_{0}$.

Proof. According to Lemma 2.6 there is $x \in G$ such that $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$ belong to $d(x+A)$. Moreover, we have $\left(x_{0}\right)=\left(y_{0}\right)$ and hence $\left(x_{0}\right)^{v}=\left(y_{0}\right)^{v}$. Therefore $x_{0}=y_{0}$.

We have verified that the relation $\leqq$ is a partial order on $S$.
2.8. Lemma. Let $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0} \in S, x_{0} \leqq y_{0}$. Then $x_{0}+z_{0} \leqq y_{0}+z_{0}$.

Proof. Let $x \in G$ with $x_{0} \in d(x+A)$ and let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ be the set of all elements of $x+A$ that are less or equal to $x_{0}$. Let $y, y_{j}$ and $z, z_{k}$ have the analogous meaning with respect to $y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}+z_{0}=\sup \left\{x_{i}+z_{k}\right\} \quad(\text { in } d(x+z+A)), \\
& y_{0}+z_{0}=\sup \left\{y_{j}+z_{k}\right\} \quad(\text { in } d(y+z+A)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $t \in G, t \in\left(y_{0}+z_{0}\right)$. Then $y_{j}+z_{k} \leqq t$ for each $y_{j}$ and each $z_{k}$. Hence $y_{j} \leqq$ $\leqq t-z_{k}$ and so $y_{0} \leqq t-z_{k}$ for each $z_{k}$. Thus $x_{0} \leqq t-z_{k}$, hence $x_{i} \leqq t-z_{k}$, $x_{i}+z_{k} \leqq t$ for each $x_{i}$ and each $z_{k}$. Thus $t \in\left(x_{0}+z_{0}\right)$. Therefore $x_{0}+z_{0} \leqq$ $\leqq y_{0}+z_{0}$.
Analogously we obtain: if $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0} \in S, x_{0} \leqq y_{0}$, then $z_{0}+x_{0} \leqq z_{0}+y_{0}$. Thus $(S,+, \leqq)$ is a partially ordered group.

### 2.9. Lemma. $S$ is lattice ordered.

Proof. Let $x_{0}, y_{0} \in S$ and let $x, y, x_{i}, y_{k}$ have the same meaning as in the proof of Lemma 2.8. Let $Z$ be the set consisting of all elements $x_{i} \vee y_{k}$. Then $Z$ is an upperbounded subset of $(x \vee y)+A$. Hence there is $z_{0}=\sup Z$ in $(x \vee y)+A$. If $t \in\left(z_{0}\right)$, then $x_{i} \leqq t$ and $y_{j} \leqq t$ for each $x_{i}$ and each $y_{j}$, hence $x_{0} \leqq z_{0}$ and $y_{0} \leqq z_{0}$. Let $z_{1} \in S, x_{0} \leqq z_{1}, y_{0} \leqq z_{1}$ and let $t_{1} \in\left(z_{1}\right)$. Then $x_{i} \leqq t_{1}$ and $y_{j} \leqq t_{1}$, hence $x_{i} \vee y_{j} \leqq t_{1}$ and thus $z_{0} \leqq z_{1}$. Therefore $z_{0}=x_{0} \vee y_{0}$. This implies that $S$ is a lattice ordered group.
2.10. Lemma. $G$ is an $l$-subgroup of $S$ and $D(A)$ is an l-ideal in $S$.

Proof. Let $x_{0}, y_{0} \in G$. From the method of constructing $x_{0} \vee y_{0}$ in $S$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 2.9) it follows that $x_{0} \vee y_{0}$ in $S$ coincides with $x_{0} \vee y_{0}$ in $G$. Since $x_{0} \wedge y_{0}=-\left(-x_{0} \vee-y_{0}\right)$ holds in $G$ and since $G$ is a subgroup of $S$ we infer that $G$ is an $l$-subgroup in $S$. Analogously we verify that $D(A)$ is an $l$-subgroup in $S$.

Let $0<x_{0} \in D(A), 0<y_{0} \in S, y_{0}<x_{0}$. There is $y \in G$ with $y_{0} \in d(y+A)$. Further, there are elements $x_{1} \in A, y_{1} \in y+A$ with $0<y_{1} \leqq y_{0}, x_{0}<x_{1}$. Thus $0<y_{1}<x_{1}$ and hence according to Theorem 1.5 we have $y_{1} \in A$. Hence $y \in A$ and so $d(y+A)=D(A)$. Thus $y_{0} \in D(A)$. Therefore $D(A)$ is a convex $l$-subgroup of $S$.

Let $d \in D(A)$. There is a subset $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ in $A$ that is upper bounded in $A$ and such that $d=\mathrm{V} a_{i}$ holds in $D(A)$. This together with the convexity of $D(A)$ in $S$ shows that $d=\bigvee a_{i}$ is valid in $S$. Let $g \in G$. Then

$$
-g+d+g=-g+\mathrm{V} a_{i}+g=\mathrm{V}\left(-g+a_{i}+g\right)
$$

holds in $S$ and according to Lemma 1.7, $-g+a_{i}+g \in A$. Moreover, the set $\left\{-g+a_{i}+g\right\}$ is upper bounded in $A$. Hence $-g+d+g$ belongs to $D(A)$ for each $g \in G$; thus $-g+D(A)+g=D(A)$.

Let $x_{0} \in S$ and let $x, a$ be as in 2.4. Then $x_{0}=x+a$ and

$$
-x_{0}+D(A)+x_{0}=-a-x+D(A)+x+a=-a+D(A)+a=D(A)
$$

Hence $D(A)$ is a normal subgroup of $S$. Thus $D(A)$ is an $l$-ideal in $S$.
2.11. Lemma. For each $x \in G$ we have $d(x+A)=x+D(A)$.

Proof. Let $x_{0} \in d(x+A)$. By Lemma 2.4 we have $x_{0}=x+a$ for some $a \in D(A)$. Hence $d(x+A) \cong x+D(A)$. Conversely, let $x_{0} \in x+D(A)$, thus $x_{0}=x+a_{1}$ for some $a_{1} \in D(A)$. There exists an upper bounded subset $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ of $A$ such that $\vee a_{i}=a_{1}$. Then $\left\{x+a_{i}\right\}$ is an upper bounded subset of $x+A$ and $x+a_{1}=$ $=\sup \left\{x+a_{i}\right\}$ according to the definition of the operation + in $S$ (the operation sup being taken with respect to $d(x+A)$ ). Hence $x+D(A) \cong d(x+A)$.
2.12. Corollary. Each set $d(x+A)$ is convex in $S$. Thus if $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ is an upper bounded subset in $d(x+A)$ and if $x_{0}=\bigvee x_{i}$ holds in $d(x+A)$, then $x_{0}=\bigvee x_{i}$ is valid in $S$.

Denote $S=D_{1}(G)$.
2.13. Theorem. $D_{1}(G)$ is a lattice ordered group fulfilling the conditions $(i)-(i v)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, $D_{1}(G)$ is a lattice ordered group. According to Lemma 2.10, the conditions (i) and (ii) are fulfilled. The conditions (iii) and (iv) follow from 2.11, 2.12 and from the construction of the set $S$.
2.14. Proposition. Let $G \in \mathscr{G}$. Then (a) $A\left(D_{1}(G)\right)=D(A)$, and (b) $D_{1}(G)=G$ if and only if $A(G)$ is conditionally complete.

Proof. $D(A)$ being conditionally complete, it is archimedean and hence $D(A) \subseteq$ $\subseteq A\left(D_{1}(G)\right)$. Let $0<x_{0} \in D_{1}(G), x_{0}$ non $\in D(A)$. Then there is $x \in G$ such that $x \notin A$ and $x_{0} \in d(x+A)$. Further, there is $x_{1} \in x+A$ with $0<x_{1} \leqq x_{0}$. Thus $x_{1}$ non $\in A$ and hence there is $0<y \in G$ such that $n y<x_{1} \leqq x_{0}$ holds for each positive integer $n$. This shows that $x_{0}$ fails to be archimedean. Hence $A\left(D_{1}(G)\right)^{+} \cong D(A)$ and so $A\left(D_{1}(G)\right) \subseteq D(A)$. Therefore (a) is valid.

Let $A(G)$ be conditionally complete. Then $D(A)=A(G)$ and hence according to Lemma 2.4 we have $D_{1}(G)=G$. Conversely, assume that $D_{1}(G)=G$. Then in view of (a) we have

$$
A(G)=A\left(D_{1}(G)\right)=D(A),
$$

hence $A(G)$ is conditionally complete.
2.15. Proposition. Let $D^{\prime}$ be a lattice ordered group. Assume that $D^{\prime}$ fulfils the conditions (i)-(iv) with $D^{\prime}$ instead of $D_{1}(G)$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\varphi$ of $D_{1}(G)$ onto $D^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi(x)=x$ and $\varphi(a)=a$ for each $x \in G$ and each $a \in$ $\in D(A(G))$.

Proof. Let $x_{0} \in D_{1}(G)$. There is $x \in G$ with $x_{0} \in d(x+A)$. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}=X$ be the set of all elements of the set $x+A$ that are less or equal to $x_{0}$. The set $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ is bounded in $x+A$ and hence there exists $x_{0}^{\prime}=\sup \left\{x_{i}\right\}$ in $D^{\prime}$ by (iii). Put $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}^{\prime}$. If $x_{0} \in G$ or $x_{0} \in D(A(G))$, then clearly $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}$.
(a) Let $\left\{x_{j}^{\prime}\right\}=X_{1} \cong X$ such that $\sup X_{1}=x_{0}$ holds in $D_{1}(G)$. Then the set $X_{1}$ is upper bounded in $x+A$, hence there exists sup $X_{1}=x_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ in $D^{\prime}$. Both sets $\left\{x_{i}-x\right\}$, $\left\{x_{j}^{\prime}-x\right\}$ are upper bounded subsets in $A$, hence $\mathrm{V}\left(x_{i}-x\right)$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}-x\right)$ belong to $D(A)$. Moreover, since $D(A)$ is an $l$-ideal in both $D_{1}(G)$ and $D^{\prime}\left(c f\right.$. (ii)), $\mathrm{V}\left(x_{i}-x\right)$ calculated in $D_{1}(G)$ gives the same result as $\bigvee\left(x_{i}-x\right)$ with respect to $D^{\prime}$, and analogously for $\mathrm{V}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}-x\right)$. By calculating in $D_{1}(G)$ we obtain $\mathrm{V}\left(x_{i}-x\right)=x_{0}-x=$ $=\mathrm{V}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}-x\right)$; in $D^{\prime}$ it holds $\mathrm{V}\left(x_{i}-x\right)=x_{0}^{\prime}-x, \mathrm{~V}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}-x\right)=x_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime \prime}-x$. Hence $x_{0}^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.
(b) Let $y_{0}^{\prime} \in D^{\prime}$. There is $x \in G$ and a subset $Y \cong x+A$ such that $Y$ is upper bounded in $x+A$ and $y_{0}^{\prime}=\sup Y$ in $D^{\prime}$. There exists $y_{0} \in D_{1}(G)$ with $\sup Y=y_{0}$ in $D_{1}(G)$. According to (a) we have $\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)=y_{0}^{\prime}$. Hence $\varphi$ is surjective.
(c) Let $x_{0}, y_{0} \in D_{1}(G)$ and suppose that $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)$. There are $x, y \in G$ and $X_{1}, Y_{1} \subset G$ such that $X_{1}$ is an upper bounded subset in $x+A, Y_{1}$ is an upper bounded subset in $y+A$ and $\sup X_{1}=x_{0}$, sup $Y_{1}=y_{0}$ holds in $D_{1}(G)$. Then according to (a) we have $\sup X_{1}=\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)=\sup Y_{1}$ in $D^{\prime}$. Hence $x-y=$ $=\left(x-\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+\left(\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)-y\right) \in D(A)$, since both $x-\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)-y$ belong to $D(A)$ (to verify this, we can use an analogous method as in (a)). Thus without loss of generality we can suppose that $x=y$. By calculating in $D^{\prime}$ we obtain that both elements $\sup \left(X_{1}-x\right), \sup \left(Y_{1}-x\right)$ belong to $D(A)$ and that $\sup \left(X_{1}-x\right)=$ $=\sup \left(Y_{1}-x\right)$ holds in $D(A)$; this implies $\sup X_{1}=\sup Y_{1}$ in $D_{1}(G)$. Hence $\varphi$ is a monomorphism.
(d) Let $x_{0}, y_{0}, x, y, X_{1}, Y_{1}$ be as in (c) with the distinction that we do not assume $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)$. Put $X_{1}=\left\{x_{i}\right\}, Y_{1}=\left\{y_{i}\right\}$.

In $D_{1}(G)$ we have $x_{0}+y_{0}=\sup \left\{x_{i}+y_{j}\right\}$ and the set $\left\{x_{i}+y_{j}\right\}$ is an upper bounded subset of $x+y+A$. Hence in $D^{\prime}$ we get

$$
\varphi\left(x_{0}+y_{0}\right)=\sup \left\{x_{i}+y_{j}\right\}=\bigvee x_{i}+\bigvee y_{j}=\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)+\varphi\left(y_{0}\right) .
$$

Thus $\varphi$ is an isomorphism with respect to the group operation. Further, in $D_{1}(G)$ we have $x_{0} \vee y_{0}=\sup \left\{x_{i} \vee y_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{i} \vee y_{j}\right\}$ is an upper bounded subset of $x \vee y+A$. Thus in $D^{\prime}$ it holds

$$
\varphi\left(x_{0} \vee y_{0}\right)=\sup \left\{x_{i} \vee y_{j}\right\}=\vee x_{i} \vee \vee y_{j}=\varphi\left(x_{0}\right) \vee \varphi\left(y_{0}\right) .
$$

Hence $\varphi$ is an isomorphism with respect to $\vee$. Since $x_{0} \wedge y_{0}=-\left(\left(-x_{0}\right) \vee\left(-y_{0}\right)\right)$, $\varphi$ is also an isomorphism with respect to the operation $\wedge$.
2.16. Theorem. For each lattice ordered group $G, D(A(G))$ is a closed l-subgroup of $D_{1}(G)$.

Proof. It suffices to verify that if $\emptyset \neq\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I} \cong D(A(G))^{+}$and if $\bigvee a_{i}^{\prime}=b$ holds in $D_{1}(G)$, then $b \in D(A(G))$. Assume that $b$ does not belong to $D(A(G))$. Then there is $0<x \in G$ with $b \in x+D(A(G)), x<b, x$ non $\in A(G)$. Put $a_{i}^{\prime} \wedge x=a_{i}$. From the infinite distributivity of $D_{1}(G)$ we obtain $\bigvee a_{i}=x$. Clearly $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \cong D(A(G))$.

Since $x$ does not belong to $A(G)$, it fails to be archimedean and hence there is $0<c_{1} \in G$ such that $n c_{1}<x$ for each positive integer $n$. If $c_{1} \wedge a_{i}=0$ for each $i \in I$, then $c_{1} \wedge x=0$, which is a contradiction. Hence there is $j \in I$ such that $a_{j} \wedge c_{1}=c>0$. Then $c \in D(A(G))$ and $n c<x$ for each positive integer $n$.

Since $D(A(G))$ is conditionally complete, the element

$$
c_{i}=V\left(a_{i} \wedge n c\right) \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

exists for each $i \in I$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
(c)^{\gamma}=\left\{g \in D_{1}(G):|g| \wedge c=0\right\}, \\
K=\left\{h \in D_{1}(G):|h| \wedge|g|=0 \text { for each } g \in(c)^{\gamma}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Because $D_{1}(G)$ is a complete lattice ordered group, both $K$ and $(c)^{\gamma}$ are direct factors of $D_{1}(G)$. We shall show that $c_{i}$ is the component of $a_{i}$ in $K$. It suffices to verify that $c_{i}$ is the greatest element of the set

$$
K_{i}=\left\{k \in K: 0 \leqq k \leqq a_{i}\right\} .
$$

Clearly $c_{i} \in K_{i}$. Suppose that $c_{i}$ fails to be the greatest element of $K_{i}$. Then there is $0<t_{1} \in D_{1}(G)$ with $t_{1}+c_{i} \in K, t_{1}+c_{i} \leqq a_{i}$. Hence $t_{1} \wedge c=t>0$. For each positive integer $n$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t+\left(a_{i} \wedge n c\right) \leqq t_{1}+c_{i} \leqq a_{i} \\
& t+\left(a_{i} \wedge n c\right) \leqq c+n c=(n+1) c
\end{aligned}
$$

thus $t+\left(a_{i} \wedge n c\right) \leqq a_{i} \wedge(n+1) c$ and therefore

$$
c_{i}<t+c_{i}=t+\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{i} \wedge n c\right)=\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(t+\left(a_{i} \wedge n c\right)\right) \leqq \bigvee_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(a_{i} \wedge n c\right)=c_{i}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $c_{i}$ is the component of $a_{i}$ in $K$ and therefore

$$
d_{i}=a_{i}-c_{i}
$$

is the component of $a_{i}$ in $(c)^{\gamma}$. This implies immediately that $d_{i} \wedge c_{i}=0$, hence $a_{i}=c_{i} \vee d_{i}$. Further, we have $d_{i} \wedge n c=0$ for each positive integer $n$, since $n c \in K$ and $d_{i} \in(c)^{\gamma}$.

Let $N$ be the set of all positive integers. Then

$$
x=\bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i}=\bigvee_{i \in I}\left(c_{i} \vee d_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{n \in N}\left(a_{i} \wedge n c\right) \vee d_{i}
$$

Since $n c<x$, we get

$$
x=\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{n \in N}\left(n c \vee d_{i}\right)
$$

At the same time we have obviously

$$
x=\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{n \in N}\left((n+1) c \vee d_{i}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c+x=c+\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{n \in N}\left(n c \vee d_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{n \in N}\left((n+1) c \vee\left(c+d_{i}\right)\right)= \\
& =\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{n \in N}\left((n+1) c \vee\left(c \vee d_{i}\right)\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{n \in N}\left((n+1) c \vee d_{i}\right) \approx x
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction, since $c>0$. Thus $b \in D(A(G))$.
2.17. Lemma. Let $x \in G, b_{1} \in D_{1}(G), b_{1} \notin G, b_{1}<x$. Then there is $x_{1} \in G$ with $b_{1}<x_{1}<x$.

Proof. Put $b_{2}=b_{1}-x, b_{3}=-b_{2}$. Then $0<b_{3}$ and $b_{3} \notin G$. Hence there is $Y \cong G^{+}$with $\sup Y=b_{3}$. Choose $0<y \in Y$. We have $-y+x \in G$ and $b_{1}<$ $<-y+x<x$.
2.18. Theorem. For each lattice ordered group $G, A(G)$ is a closed l-subgroup of $G$.

Proof. Again, it suffices to verify that if $\emptyset \neq\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \subseteq A(G)$ and $b=\bigvee a_{i}$ holds in $G$, then $b \in A(G)$. If $b=\sup \left\{a_{i}\right\}$ is valid in $D_{1}(G)$, then according to Theorem 2.16 we have $b \in D(A(G))$ and thus, since $b \in G$, we obtain $b \in A(G)$.

Assume that $b \neq \sup \left\{a_{i}\right\}$ in $D_{1}(G)$. Hence there is $b_{1} \in D_{1}(G)$ with $b_{1} \notin G$ such that $a_{i}<b_{1}$ for each $i \in I$ and $b_{1}<b$. According to Lemma 2.17 there is $x_{1} \in G$ with $b_{1}<x_{1}<b$. Hence $a_{i}<x_{1}$ for each $i \in I$, thus $x_{1} \geqq b$, which is a contradiction.
2.19. Corollary. Let $\emptyset \neq\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ be a set of archimedean elements in a lattice ordered group $G$ and let $\bigvee a_{i}=b$ be valid in $G$. Then $b$ is archimedean in $G$.
2.20. Proposition. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\} \subset G$ and let $x$ be the least upper bound of the set $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ in $G$. Then $x$ is the least upper bound of the set $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ in $D_{1}(G)$.

Proof. Since $G$ is an $l$-subgroup of $D_{1}(G)$, we have $x_{i} \leqq x$ for each $x_{i}$. Assume that $x$ fails to be the least upper bound of the set $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ in $D_{1}(G)$. Then there is $y \in D_{1}(G)$ such that $y<x$ and $x_{i} \leqq y$ for each $x_{i}$. Thus $y$ non $\in G$. Hence $0<x-y$ and $x-y$ does not belong to $G$. Hence there is $z \in G$ such that $0<z<x-y$. This yields $y<-z+x<x$ and clearly $-z+x \in G, x_{i}<-z+x<x$ for each $x_{i}$. This is a contradiction.

Analogously we can verify the assertion dual to 2.20 .
Let $G$ be a partially ordered group. In [3], Chap. V, § 10, L. Fuchs has defined an extension of $G$ such that if $G$ is an archimedean lattice ordered group then this extension coincides with $D(G)$; we denote this extension by $F(G)$. Let us recall the definition of $F(G)$.

Let $F_{1}(G)$ be the system consisting of all sets $\left(X^{u}\right)^{l}$, where $X$ is any nonempty subset of $G$ that is upper bounded in $G$. The system $F_{1}(G)$ is partially ordered by the inclusion. For $X_{1}, Y_{1} \in F_{1}(G)$ we put $X_{1}+{ }_{1} Y_{1}=\left(\left\{x_{1}+y_{1}: x_{1} \in X_{1}, y_{1} \in Y_{1}\right\}^{u}\right)^{l}$. Then $\left(F_{1}(G) ; \leqq,+_{1}\right)$ is a partially ordered semigroup with a neutral element $\left(\{0\}^{u}\right)^{l}$. We denote by $F(G)$ the set of all elements of $F_{1}(G)$ that have an inverse in $F_{1}(G)$. Then $F(G)$ is a partially ordered group. If we identify the element $g \in G$ with $\left(\{g\}^{u}\right)^{l}$, then $F(G)$ turns out to be an extension of $G$.

Problem 1. Let $G$ be a lattice ordered group. What relations exist between $F(G)$ and $D_{1}(G)$ ? In particular, when do $F(G)$ and $D_{1}(G)$ coincide? (If this is the case, then the above results give a rather constructive description of the structure of $F(G)$.)

Problem 2. Let $G$ be a partially ordered group. Let $A(G)$ be the system of all convex subgroups $G_{1}$ of $G$ having the property that $G_{1}$ is an archimedean lattice ordered group under the induced partial order. When has $A(G)$ the greatest element?

## 3. SOME FURTHER PROPERTIES OF THE GENERALIZED DEDEKIND COMPLETION

In what follows $G$ denotes a lattice ordered group.
3.1. Lemma. $D_{1}(G)$ is abelian if and only if $G$ is abelian.

Proof. Since $G$ is an $l$-subgroup of $D_{1}(G)$, the assertion 'only if' is obvious. Let $G$ be abelian and let $x_{0}, y_{0} \in D_{1}(G)$. Let $x, y, X_{0}, Y_{0}$ be as in the definition of $x_{0}+y_{0}$ (cf. § 2). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}+y_{0}=\sup \left\{x_{i}+y_{j}: x_{i} \in X_{0}, y_{j} \in Y_{0}\right\}= \\
& =\sup \left\{y_{j}+x_{i}: x_{i} \in X_{0}, y_{j} \in Y_{0}\right\}=y_{0}+x_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

3.2. Proposition. Let $G$ be abelian and divisible. Then $D_{1}(G)$ is abelian and divisible.

Proof. According to 3.1, $D_{1}(G)$ is abelian. Let $x_{0} \in D_{1}(G)$. There is $x \in G$ such that $x_{0} \in x+D(A)$. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Since $G$ is divisible, there is $y \in G$ with $n y=x$. Put $y_{0}=y+x_{0}-x$. We have $y_{0}-y \in D(A)$. Since $A$ is a convex $l$-subgroup of $G$, it must be divisible. In [4] it was shown that if $H$ is an archimedean divisible lattice ordered group, then $D(H)$ is a vector lattice. Thus $D(A)$ is a vector lattice. In particular, $D(A)$ is divisible and hence there is $t \in D(A)$ with $y_{0}-y=n t$. Therefore $x_{0}=x+y_{0}-y=n y+n t=n(y+t)$. Hence $D_{1}(G)$ is divisible.

Let us remark that if $G$ is abelian and divisible, then $D_{1}(G)$ need not be a vector lattice (cf. Example 1 below).

Problem 3. Is $D_{1}(G)$ divisible for each divisible lattice ordered group $G$ ?
3.3. Proposition. Let $G$ be a vector lattice. Then $D_{1}(G)$ is a vector lattice as well.

Proof. Each convex $l$-subgroup of a vector lattice is again a vector lattice; hence $A$ is a vector lattice. Thus $D(A)$ is a vector lattice as well. Let us choose in each class $x+A$ of the factor $l$-group $G / A$ a fixed element $x_{1}=f(x+A)$. Let $x_{0} \in D_{1}(G)$. There is $x \in G$ such that $x_{0} \in x+D(A)$. Let $x_{1}=f(x+A)$ and let $\alpha$ be a real. Then $x_{0}-x_{1} \in D(A)$, hence $\alpha\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)$ is defined. We put

$$
\alpha x_{0}=\alpha x+\alpha\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)
$$

If $x_{0} \in G$ or $x_{0} \in D(A)$, then this definition of $\alpha x_{0}$ coincides with the product $\alpha x_{0}$ defined in $G$ or $D(A)$, respectively. It is a routine to verify that under this definition
of multiplication of elements of $D_{1}(G)$ by reals the lattice ordered group $D_{1}(G)$ turns out to be a vector lattice.

Let $\emptyset \neq X \cong G, \emptyset \neq X_{0} \cong D_{1}(G)$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{\delta}=\{g \in G:|g| \wedge|x|=0 \text { for each } x \in X\}, \\
& X_{0}^{\beta}=\left\{g_{0} \in D_{1}(G):\left|g_{0}\right| \wedge\left|x_{0}\right|=0 \text { for each } x_{0} \in X_{0}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$X^{\delta}$ and $X_{0}^{\beta}$ are said to be polars in $G$ and in $D_{1}(G)$, respectively (cf. ŠıK [7]). For each polar $X^{\delta}$ of $G$ we denote by $f\left(X^{\delta}\right)$ the set of all elements $y_{0} \in D_{1}(G)$ such that $\left|y_{0}\right|$ is a join of a certain subset of $X^{\delta}$.
3.4. Proposition. For each polar $X^{\delta}$ of $G, f\left(X^{\delta}\right)$ is a polar of $D_{1}(G)$. Moreover, $f$ is a one-to-one mapping of the set of all polars of $G$ onto the set of all polars of $D_{1}(G)$.

Proof. Let $y_{0} \in f\left(X^{\delta}\right)$. There is a subset $X_{1}=\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ of $X^{\delta}$ with $\left|y_{0}\right|=\mathrm{V} x_{j}$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $x_{j} \geqq 0$ is valid for each $x_{j}$. If $x \in X$, then $|x| \wedge x_{j}=0$ for each $x_{j}$ and hence by the infinite distributivity of $D_{1}(G)$ we obtain $|x| \wedge\left|y_{0}\right|=0$. Thus $f\left(X^{\delta}\right) \subseteq X^{\beta}$. Let $y_{1} \in X^{\beta}$. There exists a system $\left\{y_{k}\right\} \subset$ $\subset G^{+}$with $\bigvee y_{k}=\left|y_{1}\right|$. For each $x \in X$ we have $|x| \wedge\left|y_{1}\right|=0$ and hence $|x| \wedge y_{k}=$ $=0$ for each $y_{k}$. Thus $\left\{y_{k}\right\} \subset X^{\delta}$ and hence $y_{1} \in f\left(X^{\delta}\right)$. Therefore $f\left(X^{\delta}\right)=X^{\beta}$ and so $f\left(X^{\delta}\right)$ is a polar in $D_{1}(G)$.

Let $X_{0}^{\beta}$ be a polar of $D_{1}(G)$. We denote by $X$ the set of all elements $x \in G$ such that $0 \leqq x \leqq\left|x_{0}\right|$ for some $x_{0} \in X_{0}$. Let $y_{1} \in f\left(X^{\delta}\right)$ and $x_{0} \in X_{0}$. Then there is a subset $\left\{x_{i}\right\} \subseteq X$ and a subset $\left\{y_{j}\right\} \subseteq X^{\delta}$ such that $\left\{x_{i}\right\} \subseteq G^{+},\left\{y_{j}\right\} \subseteq G^{+}$and $\bigvee x_{i}=\left|x_{0}\right|$, $\vee y_{j}=\left|y_{1}\right|$. Using the infinite distributivity of $D_{1}(G)$ we obtain $\left|y_{1}\right| \wedge\left|x_{0}\right|=0$, hence $f\left(X^{\delta}\right) \subseteq X_{0}^{\beta}$. Conversely, let $y_{1} \in X_{0}^{\beta}$. There is a subset $\left\{y_{j}\right\} \subseteq G^{+}$such that $\wedge y_{j}=\left|y_{1}\right|$. Let $x \in X$. There is $x_{0} \in X_{0}$ with $x \leqq\left|x_{0}\right|$. Hence $0 \leqq y_{j} \wedge x \leqq y_{1} \wedge$ $\wedge x_{0}=0$. Thus $\left\{y_{j}\right\} \subseteq X^{\delta}$ and therefore $y_{1} \in f\left(X^{\delta}\right)$. Summarizing, we conclude $X_{0}^{\beta}=f\left(X^{\delta}\right)$. Hence $f$ is onto.

Let $X, Y$ be nonempty subsets of $G$ and suppose that $X^{\delta} \neq Y^{\delta}, f\left(X^{\delta}\right)=f\left(Y^{\delta}\right)$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $X^{\delta}$ is not a subset of $Y^{\delta}$. Thus there are elements $0<x_{1} \in X^{\delta}, y=Y$ such that $x_{1} \wedge|y|>0$. Further, from $f\left(X^{\delta}\right)=$ $=f\left(Y^{\delta}\right)$ we get $x_{1} \in f\left(Y^{\delta}\right)$ and hence by the infinite distributivity $x_{1} \wedge|y|=0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $f$ is one-to-one.

Each polar of a lattice ordered group is a convex $l$-subgroup [7]. A lattice ordered group is said to be representable if it is a subdirect product of linearly ordered groups. It is well-k nown that a lattice ordered group is representable if and only if each its polar is a normal subgroup (cf. e.g. [2]).
3.5. Theorem. Let $G$ be a representable lattice ordered group. Then $D_{1}(G)$ is also representable.

To prove this we need the following lemmas.
3.6. Lemma. Let $G$ be a representable lattice ordered group. Let $B$ be a polar in $D_{1}(G)$ and let $g \in G$. Then $-g+B+g=B$.

Proof. As we have already proved there exists a polar $B_{1}$ of $G$ such that for each $0<b \in B$ there is a subset $S \subset B_{1}$ with $\sup S=b$. The mapping $\psi(t)=$ $=-g+t+g\left(t \in D_{1}(G)\right)$ is an automorphism on $D_{1}(G)$, thus $-g+B+g$ is a polar of $D_{1}(G)$. Since $G$ is representable, we have $-g+B_{1}+g=B_{1}$ and thus $B_{1} \subseteq-g+B+g$. Each polar being a closed sublattice (cf. [7]) we obtain $B^{+} \cong$ $\cong-g+B+g$ and hence $B \cong-g+B+g$. By putting $-g$ instead of $g$ we get $B \cong g+B-g$, thus $B=-g+B+g$.
3.7. Lemma. Let $G$ be a representable lattice ordered group. Let $B$ be a polar in $D_{1}(G)$ and let $a \in D(A)$. Then $-a+B+a=B$.

Proof. Because each element of $D(A)$ can be written as a difference of two elements belonging to $D(A)^{+}$, it suffices to prove the assertion for $a>0$. Then there exists a subset $\left\{a_{i}\right\} \subset A^{+}$such that $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ is upper bounded in $A$ and $\bigvee a_{i}=a$. Let $a_{1}$ be an upper bound of $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ in $A$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ possesses the least element $a_{0}$. Let $b \in B$. According to 3.6 there are elements $b_{i}, b^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime \prime}$ in $B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}+b=b_{i}+a_{i}, \quad a_{1}+b=b^{\prime}+a_{1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $a_{i}=a_{0}$ we denote $b_{i}=b^{\prime \prime}$. All elements $b_{i}, b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}$ belong to $b+D(A)$. We have $a_{i}+b \leqq a_{1}+b$, thus $b_{i}+a_{i} \leqq b^{\prime}+a_{1}$ and hence $b_{i} \leqq b^{\prime}+a_{1}$. Since $b^{\prime}+a_{1} \in b+D(A)$, the set $\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ is upper bounded in $b+D(A)$ and hence there exists a least upper bound $b_{1}$ of the set $\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ in $b+D(A)$. Clearly $b_{1}=\mathrm{V} b_{i}$ is valid in $D_{1}(G)$. Since each polar is a closed sublattice, we get $b_{1} \in B$.

From $a_{0}+b \leqq a_{i}+b$ we obtain $b^{\prime \prime}+a_{0} \leqq b_{i}+a_{i}$ and thus

$$
b^{\prime \prime}+a_{0}-a \leqq b^{\prime \prime}+a_{0}-a_{i} \leqq b_{i}
$$

Since $b^{\prime \prime}+a_{0}-a \in b+D(A)$, the set $\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ is lower bounded in $b+D(A)$ and hence there exists the greatest lower bound $b_{2}$ of $\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ in $b+D(A)$. Then $\Lambda b_{i}=b_{2}$ is valid in $D_{1}(G)$ and $b_{2} \in B$.

From (2) we get

$$
b_{2}+a_{i} \leqq a_{i}+b \leqq b_{1}+a_{i}
$$

hence

$$
b_{2}+a \leqq a+b \leqq b_{1}+a
$$

Because $b_{1}+a, b_{2}+a \in B+a$ and $B+a$ is a convex subset of $D_{1}(G)$ we infer that $a+b \in B+a$. Thus $a+B \cong B+a$. Analogously we can verify that $B+$ $+a \cong a+B$.
Proof of Theorem 3.5. Let $B$ be a polar of $D_{1}(G)$ and $x_{0} \in D_{1}(G)$. There are $g \in G$ and $a \in D(A)$ such that $x_{0}=g+a$. Now from 3.6 and 3.7 we ohtain $-x_{0}+$ $+B+x_{0}=B$. Thus $D_{1}(G)$ is representable.
3.8. Proposition. Let $G_{1}=\left(G ; \leqq{ }_{1},+_{1}\right), G_{2}=\left(G ; \leqq 2,{ }_{2}\right)$ be lattice ordered groups defined on the same underlying set $G$ such that
(i) $\left(G ; \leqq_{1}\right)=\left(G ; \leqq_{2}\right)$,
(ii) the partition of $G$ corresponding to the l-ideal $A\left(G_{1}\right)$ (consisting of classes $\left.x+{ }_{1} A\left(G_{1}\right), x \in G\right)$ coincides with the partition of $G$ corresponding to the l-ideal $A\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Then there exists an isomorphism $\psi$ of the lattice $\left(D_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) ; \leqq{ }_{1}\right)$ onto the lattice $\left(D_{1}\left(G_{2}\right), \leqq_{2}\right)$ such that $\psi(g)=g$ for each $g \in G$.

Proof. The assertion follows immediately from the definition of the partial order in $D_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$ or $D_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)$, respectively (cf. § 2).

Let us remark that the condition (ii) is not a consequence of (i) (cf. Example 3.10 below).
3.9. Example. Let $R_{0}$ and $R$ be the additive group of all reals or all rationals, respectively, with the natural linear order. Let $G=R_{0} \circ R$ be the lexicographic product of $R_{0}$ and $R$ (cf. [3]). Then $A(G)=D(A(G))$ is the set of all $(x, y) \in R_{0} \circ R$ with $x=0$, hence $D_{1}(G)=G, G$ is divisible and $D_{1}(G)$ fails to be a vector lattice.
3.10. Example. Let $R_{0}$ be as in 3.9. Put $G_{1}=R_{0}, G_{2}^{\prime}=R_{0} \circ R_{0}$. The lattice ( $G_{2}^{\prime}, \leqq$ ) is isomorphic with the lattice ( $R_{0}, \leqq$ ), hence there is a lattice ordered group $G_{2}=\left(R_{0} ; \leqq,+_{1}\right)$ defined on the set $R_{0}$ such that $G_{2}$ is isomorphic with $G_{2}^{\prime}$. Thus the condition (i) from 3.8 is fulfilled. We have $A\left(G_{1}\right)=G_{1}$, hence $G_{1} \mid A\left(G_{1}\right)$ is a one-element set. On the other hand, $G_{2} / A\left(G_{2}\right)$ is isomorphic with $R_{0}$, hence the condition (ii) from 3.8 fails to be valid.

Added in proof. In a recent paper by R. H. Redfield (Archimedean and basic elements in completely distributive lattice ordered groups, Pacif. J. Math. $63(1976), 247-254)$ there is given a different proof of Theorem 1.5. (Redfield's paper appeared in March 1976.)
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