

Bedřich Pondělíček

On tolerances on periodic semigroups

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 28 (1978), No. 4, 647–649

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101565>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## ON TOLERANCES ON PERIODIC SEMIGROUPS

BEDŘICH PONDĚLÍČEK, Praha

(Received August 18, 1977)

A binary relation on a set  $S$  is said to be a *tolerance* on  $S$  if it is reflexive and symmetric. We say that a tolerance  $\rho$  on a semigroup  $S$  is *compatible with  $S$*  if for any four elements  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$  of  $S$  for which  $x_1 \rho y_1, x_2 \rho y_2$  we have  $x_1 x_2 \rho y_1 y_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the class of all semigroups such that every tolerance compatible with  $S$  is a congruence on  $S$  (i.e. a transitive relation on  $S$ ). It is known that every group belongs to  $\mathcal{T}$ . Any semigroup with at least three elements belonging to  $\mathcal{T}$  is simple (see [1]). Hence it follows that every commutative semigroup with at least three elements belongs to  $\mathcal{T}$  if and only if it is a group (see [2]). In this note we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for a periodic semigroup to belong to  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Let  $I$  and  $J$  be non-empty sets and let  $G$  be a group. Let  $P: I \times J \rightarrow G$ . Put  $p_{ij} = P(i, j)$  for  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ . Denote by  $M(G, I, J, P)$  the Rees matrix semigroup with the following multiplication:  $(g, i, j)(h, r, s) = (gp_j r, h, i, s)$ , where  $g, h \in G, i, r \in I$  and  $j, s \in J$ .

**Lemma.** *A semigroup  $M(G, I, J, P)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}$  if and only if  $\text{card } I \leq 2$  and  $\text{card } J \leq 2$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $S = M(G, I, J, P)$  belong to  $\mathcal{T}$ . By contradiction, we assume that  $\text{card } I \geq 3$ . Then we can suppose that  $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ , where  $\text{card } I_1 \geq 2, \text{card } I_2 \geq 2$  and  $\text{card } I_1 \cap I_2 = 1$ . Put  $(g, i, j) \rho (h, r, s)$  if and only if  $g, h \in G, j, s \in J$  and either  $i, r \in I_1$  or  $i, r \in I_2$ . It is easy to show that  $\rho$  is a tolerance compatible with  $S$ . Now we have  $(g, i, j) \rho (g, k, j)$  and  $(g, k, j) \rho (g, r, j)$ , where  $g \in G, i \in I_1 \setminus I_2, k \in I_1 \cap I_2, r \in I_2 \setminus I_1$  and  $j \in J$ , but  $(g, i, j) \text{ non } \rho (g, r, j)$ . The tolerance  $\rho$  is not a congruence. Thus we obtain that  $\text{card } I \leq 2$ . Similarly we can prove that  $\text{card } J \leq 2$ .

Let  $S = M(G, I, J, P)$ , where  $\text{card } I \leq 2$  and  $\text{card } J \leq 2$ . We shall prove that  $S$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $i \in I, j \in J$ . Put  $G_{ij} = \{(g, i, j); g \in G\}$ . It is known that  $G_{ij}$  is a subgroup of  $S$  and  $e_{ij} = (p_{ji}^{-1}, i, j)$  is the unit of  $G_{ij}$ . Let  $x \in G_{is}$ . Then  $x = (g, i, s)$ , where  $g \in G$  and  $s \in J$ . We have  $e_{ij} x = (p_{ji}^{-1}, i, j)(g, i, s) = (g, i, s) = x$  and so

$$(1) \quad e_{ij} x = x \quad \text{for all } x \in G_{is}.$$

Dually we obtain that

$$(2) \quad xe_{ij} = x \quad \text{for all } x \in G_{rj}.$$

Let  $\varrho$  be a tolerance compatible with  $S$ . We shall show that  $\varrho$  is a transitive relation on  $S$ . Suppose that  $x \varrho y, y \varrho z$  and  $y \in G_{ab}$ . Since  $y^{-1} \varrho y^{-1}$ , we have  $xe_{ab} = xy^{-1}y \varrho y y^{-1}z = e_{ab}z$  and so

$$(3) \quad xe_{ab} \varrho e_{ab}z$$

and dually

$$(4) \quad e_{ab}x \varrho ze_{ab}.$$

Let  $x \in G_{tu}$  and  $z \in G_{vw}$ . Then we have the following possibilities:

Case 1.  $t = a$  and  $w = b$ . Then according to (1), (2) and (4) we have  $x \varrho z$ .

Case 2.  $v = a$  and  $u = b$ . It follows from (1), (2) and (3) that  $x \varrho z$ .

Case 3a.  $t \neq a$  and  $v \neq a$ . Since  $\text{card } I \leq 2$ , we have  $t = v$ . By (1) we obtain that

$$(5) \quad x = e_{tb}x \varrho e_{tb}y \quad \text{and} \quad e_{tb}y \varrho e_{tb}z = z.$$

It is clear that  $e_{tb}y \in G_{tb}$ . If  $w = b$ , then by (5) and Case 1 we have  $x \varrho z$ . If  $u = b$ , then it follows from (5) and Case 2 that  $x \varrho z$ . If  $w \neq b \neq u$ , then in virtue of  $\text{card } J \leq 2$  we have  $w = u$  and so by (2) and (5) we obtain that  $x = xe_{au} \varrho e_{tb}ye_{au}$  and  $e_{tb}ye_{au} \varrho ze_{au} = z$ . It is clear that  $e_{tb}ye_{au} \in G_{tu}$  and so it follows from Case 1 that  $x \varrho z$ .

Case 3b.  $w \neq b$  and  $u \neq b$ . This is dual to Case 3a.

Case 3c.  $t \neq a$  and  $u \neq b$ . According to Cases 3a and 3b, we can suppose that  $v = a$  and  $w = b$ . It follows from (2) that  $x = xe_{au} \varrho ye_{au}$ . It is clear that  $ye_{au} \in G_{au}$ . Since  $y \varrho x$  and  $x \varrho ye_{au}$ , it follows from Case 3a that  $y \varrho ye_{au}$ . Now, since  $ye_{au} \varrho y$  and  $y \varrho z$ , it follows from Case 1 that  $ye_{au} \varrho z$ . Finally, since  $x \varrho ye_{au}$  and  $ye_{au} \varrho z$ , it follows from Case 2 that  $x \varrho z$ .

Case 3d.  $w \neq b$  and  $v \neq a$ . This is analogous to Case 3c.

Consequently,  $\varrho$  is a congruence on  $S$  and so  $S \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup with at least three elements. Then the following conditions on  $S$  are equivalent:*

1.  $S$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  and some power of each element of  $S$  lies in a subgroup of  $S$ .
2.  $S$  is isomorphic to the Rees matrix semigroup  $M(G, I, J, P)$ , where  $\text{card } I \leq 2$  and  $\text{card } J \leq 2$ .

Proof.  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ . If a semigroup  $S$  with at least three elements belongs to  $\mathcal{T}$ , then according to Theorem 4 of [1],  $S$  is simple. If some power of each element of a simple semigroup  $S$  lies in a subgroup of  $S$ , then it follows from Theorem 2.55 (MUNN W. D.) of [3] that  $S$  is completely simple. Then, by Theorem 3.5 (Rees D.) of [3],  $S$  is isomorphic to  $M(G, I, J, P)$  and so according to Lemma  $\text{card } I \leq 2$  and  $\text{card } J \leq 2$ .

$2 \Rightarrow 1$ . This follows from Lemma.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup with at least three elements. Then the following conditions on  $S$  are equivalent:*

1.  $S$  is a periodic semigroup belonging to  $\mathcal{T}$ .
2.  $S$  is isomorphic to the Rees matrix semigroup  $M(G, I, J, P)$ , where  $G$  is a periodic group,  $\text{card } I \leq 2$  and  $\text{card } J \leq 2$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup with at least three elements. Then the following conditions on  $S$  are equivalent:*

1.  $S$  is a finite semigroup belonging to  $\mathcal{T}$ .
2.  $S$  is isomorphic to the Rees matrix semigroup  $M(G, I, J, P)$ , where  $G$  is a finite group,  $\text{card } I \leq 2$  and  $\text{card } J \leq 2$ .

#### References

- [1] B. Zelinka: Tolerance in algebraic structures II. Czech. Math. J. 25 (1975), 175–178.
- [2] B. Zelinka: Tolerance relations on periodic commutative semigroups. Czech. Math. J. 27 (1977), 167–169.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston: The algebraic Theory of Semigroups. Vol. I, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. (1961).

*Author's address:* 166 27 Praha 6, Suchbátarova 2, ČSSR (Elektrotechnická fakulta ČVUT).