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## A NOTE ON A RESULT OF KENDALL

K. Dayanithy, Kuala Lumpur

(Received November 11, 1977)

In this note we shall be concerned with continuous-time Markov processes which are homogeneous in time and have a countable number of states. Such systems may, be described by a collection $\{P(t): 0 \leqq t<+\infty\}$ of matrices, where $P(t)=\left\{p_{j k}(t): j\right.$, $k=1,2, \ldots\}(0 \leqq t<+\infty)$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{j k}(t) \geqq 0 ; \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty} p_{j \alpha}(t)=1 ; \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty} p_{j \alpha}(s) p_{\alpha k}(t)=p_{j k}(s+t) ; \quad \text { and } \\
\lim _{t=0+} p_{j k}(t)=\delta_{j k}=p_{j k}(0)
\end{gathered}
$$

(the above relations are to hold for all positive integers $j$ and $k$ and for all real nonnegative $s$ and $t$ ). We shall restrict ourselves to irreducible processes.

It is shown in [2] that every irreducible Markov process has at least one positive sub-invariant measure $\left\{m_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$; thus

$$
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty} m_{\alpha} p_{\alpha k}(t) \leqq m_{k},
$$

for each positive integer $k$ and each real non-negative $t$. This sub-invariant measure allows us to define, for each $t \geqq 0$, a bounded linear transformation $T(t)$ on $l^{2}$ in the following manner:

$$
[T(t) x]_{k}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty} x_{\alpha}\left(m_{\alpha} / m_{k}\right)^{1 / 2} p_{\alpha k}(t),
$$

for each $x=\left\{x_{\alpha}: \alpha=1,2, \ldots\right\} \in l^{2}$, where $[T(t) x]_{k}$ denotes the $k$-th component of $T(t) x(k=1,2, \ldots)$.

Then $\{T(t): 0 \leqq t<+\infty\}$ is a weakly continuous one-parameter semi-group of contractions and hence is strongly continuous on [ $0,+\infty$ [. Kendall [2] uses this fact and Sz.-Nagy's theorem on unitary dilations to obtain a unitary representations of the transition probabilities of irreducible Markov processes [2, Theorem II].

A further representation is obtained by Kendall [2, Theorem IV] for a narrower class of Markov processes which may be stated as follows:

If the operator $T(t)$ is self-adjoint for each $t \geqq 0$, then the transition probabilities may be uniquely represented in the form

$$
p_{j k}(t)=\left(m_{k} / m_{j}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-t \tau} G_{j k}(\mathrm{~d} \tau) \quad(t \geqq 0),
$$

where $\left\{G_{j k}: j, k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a symmetric system of real-valued functions of bounded variation on $[0,+\infty[$.

Theorem VII thereof gives a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for this to be so. This condition is stated in terms of the Doob-Kolmogorov limits

$$
q_{j k}=p_{j k}^{\prime}(0+) \quad(j, k=1,2, \ldots) ;
$$

and is that they should satisfy the "reversibility" condition:

$$
m_{j} q_{j k}=m_{k} q_{k j} \quad(j, k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

In this case each of the matrices $P(t)$, where $t \geqq 0$, satisfies the reversibility condition with respect to the same sub-invariant measure, which now becomes an invariant measure. In general, the Doob-Kolmogorov limits do not determine the process uniquely and a set of conditions, called conservation conditions, sufficient to ensure unicity of the generated process is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leqq q_{j k}<+\infty \quad(j, k=1,2, \ldots ; j \neq k) ; \\
& \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\
\alpha \neq j}}^{+\infty} q_{j \alpha}=-q_{j j}\left(\equiv q_{j}\right)<+\infty \quad(j=1,2, \ldots) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and the set of equations

$$
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{+\infty} q_{j \alpha} y_{\alpha}=\lambda y_{j} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots)
$$

possesses no non-zero bounded solution $y=\left\{y_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ for some, and hence for all, positive $\lambda$.

The present note endeavours to give a similar but weaker representation under the milder hypothesis that just one of the matrices $P(t)$, where $t>0$, satisfies the reversibility condition with respect to a sub-invariant measure of the process. Without any loss of generality we may assume that $P(1)$ satisfies this condition, thus making $T(1)$ a self-adjoint operator on $l^{2}$. We observe that, in this case, the discrete-time Markov chain $\{P(n): n=0,1,2, \ldots\}$ thus defined is reversible. Hence what we are concerned with are non-reversible Markov processes which have a reversible skeleton.

Theorem. Let $\left\{m_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be a positive sub-invariant measure associated with an irreducible Markov process, and suppose that

$$
m_{j} p_{j k}(1)=m_{k} p_{k j}(1) \quad(j, k=1,2, \ldots) .
$$

Then there exists a system $\left\{G_{j k}^{(n)}: j, k=1,2, \ldots ; n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\right\}$ of complexvalued Borel measures on the positive half-real-line $[0,+\infty[$ such that

$$
p_{j k}(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\pi i n t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} G_{j k}^{(n)}(\mathrm{d} \lambda) \quad(t \geqq 1),
$$

for each pair $j, k$ of positive integers, where the summation of the above Fouriertype series is to be performed using a suitable kernel.

Moreover, for each pair $(j, k)$ of positive integers we have

$$
G_{j k}^{(-n)}(\cdot)=\overline{G_{j k}^{(n)}(\cdot)} \quad(n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots) ;
$$

hence $G_{j k}^{(0)}(\cdot)$ is real-valued.
Proof. Suppose that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied. Then $\{T(t): 0 \leqq$ $\leqq t<+\infty\}$ is a one-parameter semi-group of contractions on $l^{2}$, strongly continous on $\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$, and such that $T(1)$ is self-adjoint on $l^{2}$. Let us write $P$ for $T(1)$ and let the unique resolution of the identity for $P$ be $F_{0}$. Further let $H_{+}=F_{0}(] 0$, $+\infty[) l^{2}, H_{-}=F_{0}(]-\infty, 0[) l^{2}$ and $H_{0}=F_{0}(\{0\}) l^{2}$. Then $l^{2}=H_{-} \oplus H_{0} \oplus H_{+}$ is an orthogonal decomposition of $l^{2}$; and this decomposition reduces the semigroup, since $P$ commutes with the semi-group. Let the corresponding decomposition of the semi-group be

$$
T(t)=T_{-}(t) \oplus T_{0}(t) \oplus T_{+}(t) \quad(t \geqq 0)
$$

Then $T_{0}(t)=0(t \geqq 1) ; T_{ \pm}(1)=P_{ \pm}$, where $P_{ \pm}$are the components of $P$ in $H_{ \pm}$ respectively. Further $\left\{T_{ \pm}(t): 0 \leqq t<+\infty\right\}$ are one-parameter semi-groups of contractions on $H_{ \pm}$respectively, and are strongly continuous on [0, $+\infty$ [.

Now consider the semi-group $\left\{T_{+}(t): 0 \leqq t<+\infty\right\}$. We have

$$
T_{+}(t)=P_{+}^{1-t} P_{+}^{t-1} T_{+}(t) \quad(t \geqq 1),
$$

where $P_{+}^{t-1}$ is a bounded linear operator on $H_{+}$and $P_{+}^{1-t}$ is a closed linear operator in $H_{+}$, both are defined using the familiar operational calculus for self-adjoint operators. Since $P$ commutes with the semi-group, we have

$$
T_{+}(t)=\left\{P_{+}^{1-t} T_{+}(t)\right\} \cdot P^{t-1} \quad(t \geqq 1),
$$

where $P_{+}^{1-t} T_{+}(t)$ is a closed linear operator in $H_{+}$, for each $t \geqq 0$. We next show that this operator is indeed bounded.

If $0 \leqq t \leqq 1$, clearly $P_{+}^{1-t} T_{+}(t)$ is a bounded linear operator on $H_{+}$. If $t>1$, let $t=n+s$, where $n$ is the integral part of $t$ and $0 \leqq s<1$. Then

$$
P_{+}^{1-t} T_{+}(t)=P_{+}^{1-t} T_{+}(n) T_{+}(s)=P_{+}^{1-t} P_{+}^{n} T_{+}(s)=P_{+}^{1-s} T_{+}(s) .
$$

Thus, for each $t \geqq 0, P_{+}^{1-t} T_{+}(t)$ is a bounded linear operator on $H_{+}$. Moreover, it is a periodic function of $t$, with period 1 (or a franction of it); and is strongly continuous on $[0,+\infty[$, since it is strongly continuous on $[0,1]$.

For each integer $n$, let the corresponding Fourier coefficient be $\widetilde{G}^{(2 n)}$ :

$$
\widetilde{G}^{(2 n)}=\int_{0}^{1} e^{-2 \pi i n t} P_{+}^{1-t} T_{+}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Each $\widetilde{G}^{(2 n)}$ is a bounded linear operator on $H_{+}$and we have

$$
P_{+}^{1-t} T_{+}(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{2 \pi i n t} \tilde{G}^{(2 n)} \quad(t \geqq 0),
$$

where the summation is to be performed using a suitable summability kernel, such as Fejér's or Poisson's, and in the strong operator topology of $B\left(H_{+}\right)$.

We next observe that $P_{+}$is a positive operator on $H_{+}$and hence, for each $t \geqq 1$,

$$
P_{+}^{t-1}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda(t-1)} E_{+}(\mathrm{d} \lambda),
$$

where $E_{+}$is a self-adjoint resolution of the identity on $H_{+}$. Thus, for each $t \geqq 1$, we have

$$
T_{+}(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{2 \pi i n t} \widetilde{G}^{(2 n)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda(t-1)} E_{+}(\mathrm{d} \lambda),
$$

where the summation is to be performed as before. But, since $\widetilde{G}^{(2 n)}$ is a bounded linear operator on $H_{+}$, we have

$$
T_{+}(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{2 \pi i n t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda(t-1)} \widetilde{G}^{(2 n)} E_{+}(\mathrm{d} \lambda) \quad(t \geqq 1) .
$$

Similarly, by considering the semi-group $\left\{e^{-\pi i t} T_{-}(t): 0 \leqq t<+\infty\right\}$, we have

$$
T_{-}(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\pi i(2 n+1) t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda(t-1)} \tilde{G}^{(2 n+1)} E_{-}(\mathrm{d} \lambda) \quad(t \geqq 1) .
$$

If we now observe that $T_{0}(t)=0(t \geqq 1)$, we have

$$
T(t) e_{i}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\pi i n t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda(t-1)} \widetilde{G}_{i}^{(n)}(\mathrm{d} \lambda) \quad(t \geqq 1)
$$

where, for each positive integer $i$, we denote by $e_{i}$ the element of $l^{2}$ whose $i$-th component is 1 and whose all other components are zero; and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{G}_{i}^{(2 n)}(\cdot)=\widetilde{G}^{(2 n)} E_{+}(\cdot) F_{+} e_{i} \\
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\widetilde{G}_{i}^{(2 n+1)}(\cdot)=\widetilde{G}^{(2 n+1)} E_{-}(\cdot) F_{-} e_{i}
\end{array}\right\}(n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots), \\
F_{+}=F_{0}(] 0,+\infty[), \quad F_{-}=F_{0}(]-\infty, 0[) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j k}(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\pi i n t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \widehat{G}_{j k}^{(t)}(\mathrm{d} \lambda) \quad(t \geqq 1), \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{G}_{j k}^{(n)}(\mathrm{d} \lambda)=\left(m_{k} / m_{j}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{\lambda}\left\langle e_{j}, \widetilde{G}_{k}^{(n)}(\mathrm{d} \lambda)\right\rangle(j, k=1,2, \ldots)$.
Adding (*) to its conjugate and dividing by 2 , we have

$$
p_{j k}(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\pi i n t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} G_{j k}^{(n)}(\mathrm{d} \lambda) \quad(t \geqq 1),
$$

where

$$
G_{j k}^{(n)}(\mathrm{d} \lambda)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\hat{G}_{j k}^{(n)}(\mathrm{d} \lambda)+\hat{G}_{j k}^{(-n)}(\mathrm{d} \lambda)\right\},
$$

for each pair $(j, k)$ of positive integers. This completes the proof of the theorem.
If we are using Fejér's kernel in summing the series for $T_{+}(t)$ and $T_{-}(t)$, we get the following combined kernel given by:

$$
p_{j k}(t)=\lim _{n=\infty} \sum_{r=-2 n}^{2 n+1}\left(1-\frac{|[r / 2]|}{r+1}\right) e^{\pi i r t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} G_{j k}^{(r)}(\mathrm{d} \lambda),
$$

where $[r / 2]$ denotes the integral part of $r / 2$.
We observe that $G_{j k}^{(n)}=0$ for each non-zero value of $n$ if, and only if, each matrix $P(t)$, where $t \geqq 0$, is reversible with respect to the sub-invariant measure $\left\{m_{j}: j=\right.$ $=1,2, \ldots\}$.
An important question remains to be answered, that is, whether there exist any non-reversible Markov processes which possess a reversible skeleton. One such process was given by Speakman [3]. Here Speakman constructs two three-state Markov chains, one of which is reversible while the other is of the above description. The non-reversible process has infinitesimal matrix (that is the matrix of DoobKolmogorov limits) $Q$ given by:

$$
Q=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

the individual transition probabilities are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{11}(t)=p_{22}(t)=p_{33}(t)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{3} e^{-3 t / 2} \cos (\sqrt{ }(3 t) / 2) ; \\
& p_{12}(t)=p_{23}(t)=p_{31}(t)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{3} e^{-3 t / 2} \cos (\sqrt{ }(3 t) / 2-2 \pi / 3) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
p_{13}(t)=p_{21}(t)=p_{32}(t)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{3} e^{-3 t / 2} \cos (\sqrt{ }(3 t) / 2-4 \pi / 3) .
$$

$Q$ satisfies the conservation conditions stated earlier and hence the only Markov chain it generates is the above (which is thus the Feller minimal process associated with $Q$ ). Further $[1,1,1]$ is an invariant measure for the process and the matrix $P(t)$ is reversible with respect to this invariant measure whenever $t$ is an integral multiple of $4 \pi / \sqrt{ } 3$. But the process is reversible for no positive sub-invariant measure since, $Q$ obviously is non-reversible with respect to any such measure. Finally we observe that the above expressions for the transition probabilities is already recognisable as a particular instance of our expansion theorem, with suitable Dirac measures for $G_{j k}^{(n)}$.

I am very grateful to Professor David Kendall of the University of Cambridge for suggesting the above Speakman process as an illustration of a non-reversible Markov process with a reversible skeleton.
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