Svatopluk Poljak; Daniel Turzík A note on dimension of P_3^n

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 31 (1981), No. 3, 484-487

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101763

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

A NOTE ON DIMENSION OF P_3^n

SVATOPLUK POLJAK and DANIEL TURZÍK, Praha

(Received November 28, 1979)

The dimension of a (symmetric loopless) graph G is the minimal n for which G is an induced subgraph of the product of n complete graphs. The dimension of G is denoted by dim G. (Let us note that every graph G is embeddable into the product of sufficiently many complete graphs, and hence the number dim G is well defined.) The notion of the dimension was introduced and studied in several papers ([1], [2],[4]). For information about results see [3].

We will use the following notation. The product $G \times H$ of two graphs G and H is defined by

$$V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H),$$

$$E(G \times H) = \{((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)); (a_1, b_1) \in E(G), (a_2, b_2) \in E(H)\}.$$

The *n*-th power G^n of a graph G is the product $G \times G \times \ldots \times G$ of *n* copies of G. The sum of n copies of a graph G is denoted by nG.

The three-path P_3 is defined by

$$V(P_3) = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, E(P_3) = \{(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3)\}$$

The complete graph with the vertex set $n = \{0, 1, ..., n - 1\}$ is denoted by K_n . In this note we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1. For any integer n, dim $P_3^n = 2n$.

Theorem 2. For any connected component F_n of P_3^n we have dim $F_n = n + 1$. For a bipartite graph G, the number bid G is the minimal n for which G is an induced subgraph of P_3^n . The number bid G was introduced in [5]. Theorems 1 and 2 imply

Corollary.

dim $G \leq 1$ + bid G for any connected bipartite graph G,

dim $G \leq 2$. bid G for any bipartite graph G,

and these bounds are the best possible.

484 ·

We will use the following facts to prove the above theorems.

Proposition 1 (for the proof see $\lceil 2 \rceil$).

$$\dim pK_2 = 1 + \{ \log_2 p \}$$

(where the symbol { } means the upper integral approximation).

Proposition 2. For each n the graph P_3^n consists of 2^{n-1} isomorphic components; any of them is denoted by F_n .

Proposition 3. The maximal integer p such that pK_2 is an induced subgraph of F_n is exactly

$$\binom{n}{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor}$$
.

The proofs of Propositions 2 and 3 are given in [5]. From the Stirling formula or by easy induction one obtains the following

Proposition 4.

$$\binom{n}{\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil} \ge \frac{2^n}{n} \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 2.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $P_3 \leq K_2 \times K_3$, i.e. P_3 is an induced subgraph of $K_2 \times K_3$, we obtain $P_3^n \leq (K_2 \times K_3)^n$

and hence

dim $P_3^n \leq 2n$.

In order to prove the converse inequality we show that

(1)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\dim P_3^n}{2n}=1.$$

By Propositions 2 and 3

$$\begin{pmatrix} n\\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \end{pmatrix} 2^{n-1} K_2 \leq P_3^n ,$$

hence by Proposition 4

$$\frac{2^{2n-1}}{n}K_2 \leq P_3^n$$

485

and by Proposition 1

$$2n \ge \dim P_3^n \ge 2n - \log_2 n \, .$$

Thus, (1) is proved.

Now, suppose that there exists k such that

$$\dim P_3^k \leq 2k - 1$$

Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\dim\left(P_3^k\right)^n}{2kn}\leq \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n(2k-1)}{2kn}<1,$$

which contradicts (1).

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us consider the component F_n of P_3^n containing the vertex (0, 0, ..., 0). This component F_n is the following graph:

$$V(F_n) = V_0 \cup V_1, \text{ where } V_0 = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n); \forall_i a_i \in \{0, 2\}\},\$$

$$V_1 = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n); \forall_i a_i \in \{1, 3\}\},\$$

$$((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)) \in E(F_n) \text{ iff } |a_i - b_i| = 1 \text{ for all } i.$$

Let us define the system of homomorphisms $\varphi_i: F_n \to K_3, i = 1, 2, ..., n$, by putting

$$\varphi_i(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) = -1$$
 for $a_i = 0, 3$,
 $\varphi_i(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) = -1$ for $a_i = 1$,
2 for $a_i = 2$,

and homomorphism $\psi: F_n \to K_2$ (a 2-coloring)

$$\psi(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \text{for } a \in V_0, \\ \\ 1 & \text{for } a \in V_1. \end{bmatrix}$$

One can easily check that the product of homomorphisms

$$\psi \times \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2 \times \ldots \times \varphi_n$$

gives an embedding of F_n into $K_2 \times K_3^n$, and hence

dim
$$F_n \leq n+1$$
.

Now, suppose that there exists k such that

 $\dim F_k \leq k,$

1000

486

i.e. there is an embedding $\varphi: F_k \to K_r^k$ for some r. By Proposition 2

(2)
$$P_3^k \cong 2^{k-1}F_k \cong \sum (F_k^{(A)}; A \subset \{1, 2, ..., k-1\})$$

where $F_k^{(A)}$ denotes the A-th copy of F_k . Let us define a system of homomorphisms ψ_i , i = 1, 2, ..., k - 1,

$$\psi_i: \sum F_k^{(A)} \to K_2$$

by putting

$$\psi_i(x^{(A)}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \text{for } x \in V_0, & i \in A & \text{or } x \in V_1, & i \notin A, \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in V_0, & i \notin A & \text{or } x \in V_1, & i \in A, \end{bmatrix}$$

and a homomorphism $\psi_k : \sum F_k^{(A)} \to K_r^k$ by putting

$$\psi_k(x^{(A)}) = \varphi(x) \, .$$

One can easily check that

$$\psi_1 \times \psi_2 \times \ldots \times \psi_{k-1} \times \psi_k : \sum F_k^{(A)} \to K_2^{k-1} \times K_r^k$$

is an embedding. Hence by (2)

$$\dim P_3^k \leq 2k - 1,$$

which contradicts Theorem 1.

References

- J. R. Cook: Representation of graphs by n-tuples, Proc. 5-th S-E conf. Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing 1974, 303-316.
- [2] L. Lovász, J. Nešetřil, A. Pultr: On a product dimension of graphs, J. Comb. Th. B. 29 (1980), 47-67.
- [3] J. Nešetřil, A. Pultr: Product and other representations of graphs and related characteristics, Proc. Conf. Algebraic Methods in Graph Theory, Szeged 1978, 571-598.
- [4] J. Nešetřil, V. Rödl: A simple proof of the Galvin-Ramsey property of the class of all finite graphs and a dimension of graphs, Discrete Math. 23 (1978) 49-55.
- [5] S. Poljak, A. Pultr, V. Rödl: On a product dimension of bipartite graphs in Journal of Graph Theory.

Authors' addresses: S. Poljak, 166 29 Praha 6, Thákurova 7 (ČVUT); D. Turzik, 166 28 Praha 6, Suchbátarova 5 (VŠChT).