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# REGULAR FUNCTIONS OF COMPLEX QUATERNIONIC VARIABLE 

Jiǩí Měska, Praha

(Received March 22, 1983)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The development of the quaternionic analysis started only recently and - in comparison with the complex analysis - the theory is certainly still underdeveloped with its best years to come. It took a long time to find a suitable generalization of the $C-R$ equation to have a nice, distinguished class of "regular functions". The school of Fueter $[2,3,4]$ in the thirties made the first and substantial step in the building of quaternionic analysis. Its up-to-date summary can be found in [6]. Regular functions - as mappings of $R^{4}$ into $R^{4}$ - are real-analytic, hence it is certainly worth while to complexify the situation and to use the full power of complex analysis for the investigation of the properties of the class of regular functions. At the same time, through this complexification, the connection with the equations of mathematical physics on the complex Minkowski space can be established (see [7, 5]).

In the present paper the theory of analytic spaces (as described in [1]) is used for the investigation of properties of the holomorphic extension of the Fueter equation. The main result proved in the paper is the fact that the zero sets of (complex) Fueter functions are "null surfaces" (in the sense used e.g. in general relativity), i.e. (roughly speaking), the "gradients" at the points of the surface lie in the null cone $N$.

A definition of Fueter-meromorphic functions is suggested and it is shown that the poles of such functions have similar properties as zero sets of Fueter functions.

As to the contents of this paper, after introducing the basic notation, a short summary of the results is given at the end of Section 1 . Section 2 contains a review of the necessary facts from the theory of analytic spaces. The properties of orthogonal cones, on which the proofs are based, are described in Section 3. Section 4 contains the main theorem on zero sets of regular functions, while in Section 5 similar facts are proved for poles of meromorphic functions.

Notation. We denote the four-dimensional complex associative algebra of complex quaternions by $C H$, its identity by $\mathrm{i}_{0}=1$, and we regard $C$ as a subset of CH by identifying $c \in C$ with $c \mathrm{i}_{0} \in C H$. Then we have a direct sum decomposition $C H=C \oplus$ $\oplus P$, where $P$ is the oriented three-dimensional complex vector space having the
quaternionic units $i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}$, as a basis. With the usual notation for three-dimensional vectors, the product of two elements of CH is given by

$$
v w=\left(v_{0}, \mathbf{v}\right)\left(w_{0}, \mathbf{w}\right)=\left(v_{0} w_{0}-\mathbf{v} . \mathbf{w}, v_{0} \mathbf{w}+w_{0} \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}\right) .
$$

A complex quaternion can be written as $v=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} v_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha} \in C$ and we define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v^{+}=v_{0}-\mathrm{i}_{1} v_{1}-\mathrm{i}_{2} v_{2}-\mathrm{i}_{3} v_{3}, \\
& \bar{v}=\bar{v}_{0}+\mathrm{i}_{1} \bar{v}_{1}+\mathrm{i}_{2} \bar{v}_{2}+\mathrm{i}_{3} \bar{v}_{3}, \\
& N(v)=v v^{+}=\sum_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

$N=\{v \in C H$; there exists $w \in C H$ such that $v w=0\}$. Then $v^{-1}=v^{+} /\left(v v^{+}\right)$for $v \in C H, N(v) \neq 0$ and $N=\{v \in C H ; N(v)=0\}$. A complex quaternionic function can be written as $f=\sum_{\beta} f_{\beta} \mathrm{i}_{\beta}$ where $f_{\beta}: C H \rightarrow C$ are complex valued functions. Define

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}} f=\sum_{\beta} \mathrm{i}_{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}} f_{\beta} .
$$

Definition 1.1. Let $B$ be an open subset of $C H$. Let $D=\sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha}\left(\partial / \partial z_{\alpha}\right)$. Then a function
$B \rightarrow C H$ is left regular (or right regular) in $B$ iff: $f: B \rightarrow C H$ is left regular (or right regular) in $B$ iff:

1. $f$ is holomorphic,
2. $D f \equiv 0($ or $f D \equiv 0)$ in $B$.

The set of left regular functions in $B$ will be denoted by $F^{-}(B)$. The set of germs of left regular functions at a point $q \in C H$ will be denoted by $F_{q}^{-}$.

We are going to summarize now the basic results of this paper. Let $V \subset C^{n}$ be an analytic cone (see Definition 2.6) and $V^{-}$its regular points. In Section 3 we define an orthogonal cone $V^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} \bigcup_{p \notin V^{-}} C(V, p)^{\perp}$, where $C(V, p)$ is the tangent space to $V$ at the point $p \in V^{-}$and $C(V, p)^{\perp}=\left\{q \in C^{n}, \sum d_{i} \bar{q}_{i}=0\right.$ for all $\left.d \in C(V, p)\right\}$. We shall show that $V^{\perp}$ is an analytic cone and $\left(V^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=V$.

Definition 1.2. The cone $V$ is $N$-orthogonal (or $N$ - $\omega$-orthogonal) iff $V$ is an analytic cone and $V=Q^{\perp}$ (or $V=Q_{\omega}^{\perp}$, see Definition 3.1) for some analytic cone $Q \subset N=$ $=\left\{v \in C H, \sum_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}^{2}=0\right\}$.

Definition 1.3. Let $B$ be an open set in $C H$. Then $V \subset B$ is an $F^{-}$zero set in $B$ iff for all $b \in B$ there exist $U(b) \subset B, f \in F^{-}(U(b))$ such that $V \cap U(b)=f^{-1}(0)$. An $\dot{F}^{-}$zero set in $B$ is clearly an analytic set in $B$. Let $V=\bigcup_{\alpha=0}^{3} V_{\alpha}$ be the splitting of $V$ by dimension (see Section 2). In Section 4 we show that $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)$ is $N$-orthogonal and $N$ - $\omega$-orthogonal for all $q \in V$.

Definition 1.4. Let $B \subset C H$ be an open set in $C H$ and $H \subset B$ an open and dense subset of $B$. The couple $(H, f)$ is $F^{-}$meromorphic function in $B$ iff:
(i) For all $p \in B$ there exist $U(p)$ and $\Phi, \Psi: U(p) \rightarrow C H, \Phi, \Psi$ holomorphic, $\Psi(U(p)) \notin N$ such that $f(x)=\Phi(x) \mid \Psi(x)$ for all $x \in U(p) \cap H, \Psi(x) \notin N$;
(ii) $f$ is a left regular function on $H$ (regularity condition).

In Section 5 we investigate the pole set $P_{f}$ of an $F^{-}$meromorphic functions. We show that $C\left(P_{f}, q\right)$ is an $N$-orthogonal and $N$ - $\omega$-orthogonal analytic cone for all $p \in P_{f}$ except some "bad points".

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall, for the convenience of the reader, some basic facts from the theory of analytic sets.

A subset $V$ of $C^{n}$ is analytic near $p$, iff there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $p$ and holomorphic functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}$ in $U$ such that $V \cap U$ is the zero set of these functions. A subset $V \subset C^{n}$ is locally analytic, iff it is analytic near each of its points. Let $H$ be open in $C^{n}$ and suppose $V \subset C^{n}$. Then $V$ is analytic in $H$ iff $V$ is analytic near each point of $H$. A point $p$ of an analytic set $V$ is a simple (regular) point of $V$ iff $V$ is an analytic manifold near $p$. Otherwise $p$ is a singular point of $V$. Let $V^{-}$denote the set of simple points of $V$ and $V^{\times}$the set of singular points of $V$. A subset $W$ of a locally analytic set $V$ is an analytic subset of $V$ iff $W$ is analytic near each point of $V$.

Let $f$ be a germ of a holomorphic function at a point $p \in C^{n}$. Then $f=\sum_{I} a_{I}(z-p)^{I}$, $I=\left(\mathrm{i}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{i}_{n}\right) \in N^{n}$ near $p$.

Definition 2.1. The initial polynomial of $f$ at $p$ is the polynomial $f_{p}^{*}=$ $=\sum_{|I|=m} a_{I}(z-p)^{I}$ where $m=\min _{I}\left\{|I|, a_{I} \neq 0\right\}$ and $|I|=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{i}_{j}$.

Definition 2.2. Let $V$ be locally analytic in $C^{n}$ and $p \in V$. The tangent cone of $V$ at $p$ is the set $C(V, p)=\left\{v \in C^{n} ; \exists p_{i} \in V, \exists a_{i} \in C, p_{i} \rightarrow p, a_{i}\left(p_{i}-p\right) \rightarrow v\right\}$.

If $p \in V^{-}$( $p$ is a regular point), then this definition is equivalent to the usual definition of the tangent space in terms of differentiable curves [1, 7-3C].

Theorem 2.3 [1, 7-4D]. Denote by $I(V, p)$ the set of germs of holomorphic functions at $p$ which vanish on $V$. Then $C(V, p)$ is the zero set of the set of all initial polynomials $f_{p}^{*}$ of the germs $f \in I(V, p)$.

Theorem $2.4[1,7-4 \mathrm{~A}]$. If $f$ is holomorphic in $C^{n}$ near $p$ and has $Z$ for its zero set, then $C(Z, p)$ is the zero set of $f_{p}^{*}$.

The dimension of $V(\operatorname{dim} V)$ is the largest dimension of any simple point. $V$ is said to have a constant dimension $r(\operatorname{dim} V \equiv r)$ iff $V^{-}$is an analytic manifold of dimen-
sion $r$. A nonvoid locally analytic set $V \subset C^{n}$ is reducible iff there are analytic subsets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ if $V$ such that $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}, V \neq V_{1}, V \neq V_{2}$. Otherwise, $V$ is irreducible. An analytic subset $W$ of a locally analytic set $V$ is an irreducible component of $V$ iff it is a maximal irreducible analytic subset.

Theorem 2.5 [1, 3-1G]. Let $V$ be a locally analytic set in $C^{n}$, let $M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots$ be the connected pieces of $V^{-}$(the number of them is clearly finite or denumerable), and set $\bar{M}_{i}=V$-clos $M_{i}$. Then $V=\bigcup_{i} \bar{M}_{i}$. Further:
(a) Each $\bar{M}_{i}$ is an irreducible component of $V ; \operatorname{dim} \bar{M}_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}$.
(b) The $\bar{M}_{i}$ 's form a locally finite set of sets in $V$.
(c) If the numbers $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots$ are all distinct then $V^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i} \bar{M}_{\lambda_{i}}, V^{\prime \prime}=$ $=\bigcup_{i} \bar{M}_{\mu_{i}}$ are analytic subsets of $V$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(V^{\prime} \cap W^{\prime \prime}\right)<\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}$.
The splitting of a locally analytic set $V$ by dimension is the decomposition $V=\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} V_{j}$
where $r=\operatorname{dim} V$ and $V_{j}=\bigcup \quad \bar{M}_{i}$. where $r=\operatorname{dim} V$ and $V_{j}=\underset{\operatorname{dim} M_{i}=j}{ } \bar{M}_{i}$.

Definition 2.6. A set $V \subset C^{n}$ is an analytic cone iff it is analytic in $C^{n}$ and is the union of a set of lines through 0 .

If $V \subset C^{n}$ is an analytic cone then $V$ is the zero set of a finite set of homogeneous polynomials in $C^{n}[1,5-9 \mathrm{E}]$. The tangent cone is an example of an analytic cone.

Lemma 2.6. Let $V \subset C^{n}$ be a cone and let $V$ be analytic in a neighbourhood of 0 , then $V$ is an analytic cone.

Proof. Define $F_{c}: C^{n} \rightarrow C^{n}, z \mapsto c z, c \in C . F_{c}$ is the biholomorphism and maps $V$ onto $V$. Let $V \cap U(0)$ be an analytic subset of $U(0)$ and consider a point $p \in C^{n}$. Then we can find such a number $c \in C$ that $F_{c}(p) \in U(0)$. Hence $V$ is analytic in $F_{c}^{-1}(U(0)), p \in F_{c}^{-1}(U(0))$ and $V$ is analytic in $p$.

Theorem $2.7[1,3-7 \mathrm{~A}]$. Let $V \subset C^{n}$ be a locally analytic set, and suppose $\operatorname{dim} V \equiv$ $\equiv p$. Then for each $p \in V$ there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $p$ and holomorphic vector functions $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}$ in $U$ such that
(a) $w_{i}(x)=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, m$ if $x \in V^{\times} \cap U$,
(b) if $x \in V^{-} \cap U$, then $w_{i}(x) \in C(V, x)$ for all $i$, and the functions $w_{i}(x)$ span $C(V, x)$.

The following theorem is an extension of the Remmert proper mapping theorem.
Definition 2.8 [1, 7-11A]. Let $X$ abd $Y$ be locally compact spaces, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous. Then $f$ is semiproper into $Y$ iff for each compact set $Q \subset Y$ there is a compact (perhaps void) set $K \subset X$ such that $f(K)=f(X) \cap Q$.

Theorem 2.9 [1, 7-11B]. Let $f$ be a semiproper holomorphic mapping of an analytic space $V$ into an analytic space $W$. Then $f(V)$ is analytic in $W$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{q} f(V)=\sup _{f(p)=q} \operatorname{srnk}_{p} f, q \in f(p)$.

The zero set of a holomorphic function $f \neq 0$ in an open and connected set $H \subset C^{n}$ is an analytic set in $H$ of the constant dimension $n-1$. Conversely, such an analytic set is, at least locally, the zero set of a single holomorphic function.

Theorem $2.10[1,2-10 \mathrm{D}]$. Suppose that $V \subset C^{n}$ is a locally analytic set, $\operatorname{dim} V \equiv$ $\equiv n-1$ and $p \in V$. Then there exist a neighbourhood $U(p)$ and a holomorphic function $\omega$ in $U(p)$ such that
(a) $V \cap U(p)=\omega^{-1}(0)$.
(b) If $g$ is a holomorphic function in $U(p)$ such that its zero set contains $V \cap U(p)$, then $g=h \omega$ for some holomorphic functions $h$ in $U(p)$.

The function $\omega$ is called the characteristic function of $V$ at $p$.
Lemma 2.11. Let $V \subset C^{n}$ be an analytic cone of the constant dimension $n-1$. Then there exists a homogeneous polynomial $\omega$ such that
(a) $V=\omega^{-1}(0)$.
(b) If $p$ is a holomorphic function and $p \mid V=0$, then there exists a holomorphic function $h$ such that $p=h \omega$.
(c) If $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ satisfy (a) and (b), then there exists $c \in C$ such that $\omega_{1}=c \omega_{2}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.10 there exists a holomorphic function $f$ that satisfies (a) and (b) in some neighbourhood $U(0)$. First we prove that $f_{0}^{*}=f$. We can write $f=$ $=\sum p_{n}$, where $p_{n}$ are homogeneous polynomials. Take $z \in V \cap U(0)$ and $t \in C$ arbitrary but such that $t z \in V \cap U(0)$. Then $0=f(z)=f(t z)=\sum t^{n} p_{n}(z)$. Hence $p_{n}(z)=0$ for all $n \in N$ and $z \in V$; particularly, $f_{0}^{*}$ has this property. By Theorem $2.10(\mathrm{~b}), f_{0}^{*}=h f$ in some neighbourhood $U(0)$ and the required equality follows. Set $\omega=f_{0}^{*}$. To prove that $p / \omega$ is holomorphic in $z \in C$ we can find a biholomorphism $F_{c}: C^{n} \rightarrow C^{n}, \quad z \mapsto(1 / c) z$ such that $(1 / c) z \in U(0)$. Then $p(z) / \omega(z)=p\left(F_{c}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)$ : $: \omega\left(F_{c}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)=(1 / c)^{m}\left(p\left(F_{c}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) / \omega\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right), z^{\prime} \in U(0)$. We can now use Theorem $2.10(\mathrm{~b})$ in $U(0)$. To prove (c) it is sufficient to apply (b) both to $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$.

Lemma 2.12. (i) Let $h: C^{n} \rightarrow C$ be holomorphic at $p \in C^{n}$ and let $g(z)=\overline{h(\bar{z})}$. Then $g$ is holomorphic at $\bar{p} \in C^{n}$.
(ii) If $V \subset C^{n}$ is analytic near $p$, then $\bar{V}=\left\{q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right) ; \bar{q}=\left(\bar{q}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{q}_{n}\right) \in V\right\}$ is analytic near $\bar{p}$ and $C(\bar{V}, \bar{p})=\overline{C(V, p)}$.

Proof. In some neighbourhood of $p$ we can write $g(z)=\overline{h(\bar{z})}=\overline{\sum a_{I}(\bar{z}-p)^{I}}=$ $=\sum \bar{a}_{I}(z-\bar{p})^{I}$ and the holomorphicity of $g$ follows. If $V$ is the zero set at $p$ of $h_{1}, \ldots$ $\ldots, h_{n}$, then $\bar{V}$ is the zero set at $\bar{p}$ of $g_{i}(z)=\overline{h_{i}(\bar{z})}$, for $g_{i}(z)=0$ iff $h_{i}(\bar{z})=0$. As
$C(\bar{V}, \bar{p})=\left\{v ;\right.$ there exist $p_{i} \in V$ and $a_{i} \in C$ such that $\left.\left(\bar{p}_{i}-\bar{p}\right) a_{i} \rightarrow v\right\}=\overline{C(V, p)}$, the lemma is proved.

## 3. THE ORTHOGONAL CONE

Let us consider the Hermitian scalar product $(u, v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \bar{v}_{i}, u, v \in C^{n}$, and the regular bilinear form $\omega(u, v)=\sum u_{i} v_{i}$ in $C^{n}$. Let $T \subset C^{n}$ be a vector subspace of $C^{n}$. The orthogonal subspace ( $\omega$-orthogonal subspace) is defined to be the set $T^{\perp}=$ $=\left\{z \in C^{n} ;(T, z)=0\right\}\left(T_{\omega}^{\perp}=\{z ; \omega(T, z)=0\}\right)$. Clearly we have $\left(T^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=T$ and $\left(T_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}=T$. Our main aim in this section is to define the orthogonal analytic cone (see Definition 3.1) that has similar properties (Theorem 3.8).

Definition 3.1. Let $V \subset C^{n}$ be an analytic cone. The orthogonal cone to $V$ is the cone $V^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos}\left(\bigcup_{p \in V^{-}} C(V, p)^{\perp}\right)$. The $\omega$-orthogonal cone to $V$ is the cone $V_{\omega}^{\perp}=$ $=\operatorname{clos}\left(\bigcup_{p \in V^{-}} C(V, p)_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)$.

Remark 3.2. Let $V \subset C^{n}$ be an analytic cone of a constant dimension. Then $V^{\perp}=\bar{V}_{\omega}^{\perp}, V^{\perp}=(\bar{V})_{\omega}^{\perp},(\bar{V})^{\perp}=V_{\omega}^{\perp}$.

Proof follows immediately from Lemma 2.12 (ii) and from the special case of the subspace $V$.
Denote

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1}: C^{z_{n}} \rightarrow C^{n}, & p_{1}:\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \mapsto z \\
p_{2}: C^{2 n} \rightarrow C^{n}, & p_{2}:\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \mapsto z^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 3.3. Let $V$ be an analytic cone. The cone $\hat{V}=\operatorname{clos}\left(\bigcup_{p \in V^{-}} p \times C(V, p)_{\omega}^{\perp}\right) \subset$
$\subset C^{2 n}$ is called the blanket of $V$.
Theorem 3.4. Let $V \subset C^{n}$ be an analytic cone. Then:
(i) $\hat{V}$ is an analytic cone and $\operatorname{dim} \hat{V} \equiv n$.
(ii) $V=p_{1}(\hat{V})$ and $p_{1}^{-1}(p)=p \times C(V, p)_{\omega}^{\perp}$ for all $p \in V^{-}$.
(iii) $p_{2}: \hat{V} \rightarrow C^{n}$ is a semiproper mapping and $p_{2}(\hat{V})=V_{\omega}^{\perp}$.
(iv) $V_{\omega}^{\perp}$ and $V^{\perp}$ are analytic cones.
(v) If $V=\bigcup_{i} V_{i}$ is the splitting of $V$ (see Theorem 2.5), then $\hat{V}=\bigcup \hat{V}_{i}, V_{\omega}^{\perp}=$ $=U\left(V_{i}\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}, V^{\perp}=U V_{i}^{\perp}$.

Proof. First we prove the theorem with the additional assumption $\operatorname{dim} V \equiv r$. Set $W=\left[\bigcup_{p \in V^{-}} p \times C(V, p)_{\omega}^{\perp}\right] \cup\left[\bigcup_{p \in V^{x}} p \times C^{n}\right] \subset C^{2 n}$. Obviously $W$ is a cone. We want to show that $W$ is an analytic cone. As $\operatorname{dim} V \equiv r$ we can apply Theorem 2.7
to $V$ at $0 \in V$. Let $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}$ be holomorphic mappings satisfying the conditions (a) and (b) of the theorem in a neighbourhood $U \ni 0$. Define $g_{i}: U \times C^{n} \rightarrow C$, $g_{i}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\omega\left(w_{i}(z), z^{\prime}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ and $W_{1}=\left\{\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in U \times C^{n}, g_{i}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=0\right.$, $i=1, \ldots, m\} \cap\left(V \times C^{n}\right)$. The set $W_{1}$ is analytic in $U \times C^{n}$. We have $W \cap(U \times$ $\left.\times C^{n}\right)=W_{1}$, hence by Lemma 2.6, $W$ is an analytic cone. It follows from [1, 3-2I] that $\hat{V}=\operatorname{clos}\left(W \backslash\left(V^{\times} \times C^{n}\right)\right)=W$-clos $\left(W \backslash\left(V^{\times} \times C^{n}\right)\right)$ is an analytic cone. If we show that $W \backslash V^{\times} \times C^{n}$ is an analytic manifold of dimension $n$, then $\operatorname{dim} \hat{V} \equiv n$. For an arbitrary point $p \in V^{-}$we can choose $U(p), U(p) \cap V \subset V^{-}, w_{i_{1}}, \ldots, w_{i r}$, $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-r}$ such that $w_{i_{1}}(q), \ldots, w_{i_{r}}(q)$ span $C(V, q)$ at all $q \in U(p) \cap V$ and

$$
V \cap U(p)=\left\{z, f_{1}(z)=\ldots=f_{n-r}(z)=0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{rnk}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-r}\right)=n-r .
$$

Set $f_{i}^{\prime}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=f_{i}(z), i=1, \ldots,(n-r)$. The zero set of $f_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, f_{n-r}^{\prime}, g_{i_{1}}, \ldots, g_{i_{r}}$ in $U(p) \times C^{n}$ is $\hat{V} \cap\left(U(p) \times C^{n}\right)=\left(W \backslash V^{\times} \times C^{n}\right) \cap\left(U(p) \times C^{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rnk}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, f_{n-r}^{\prime}, g_{i_{1}}, \ldots, g_{i_{r}}\right)=n$ in $\hat{V} \cap\left(U(p) \times C^{n}\right)$. Hence $W \backslash\left(V^{\times} \times C^{n}\right)$ is an analytic manifold of dimension $n$. The proof of $(\mathrm{i})$ is complete.

We have $V^{-} \times\{0\} \subset \hat{V}$, hence $V \times\{0\} \subset \hat{V}$ and $V=p_{1}(\hat{V})$.
To prove that $p_{2}: \widehat{V} \rightarrow C^{n}$ is a semiproper mapping it is sufficient to demonstrate the following assertion:

$$
(u, v) \in \hat{V} \& c \in C \Rightarrow(c u, v) \in \hat{V} .
$$

For $u \in V^{-}$the implication holds as $C(V, u)=C(V, c u)$. If $u \in V^{\times}$, then there exist $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(u, v), u_{n} \in V^{-},\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in \widehat{V}$. We know that $\left(c u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in \hat{V}$ and $\left(c u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow(c u, v)$. Hence $(c u, v) \in \hat{V}$.

As $p_{2}$ is $a$ continuous mapping we have $p_{2}\left(W \backslash\left(V^{\times} \times C^{n}\right)\right) \subset p_{2}(\hat{V}) \subset$ $\subset \operatorname{clos}\left(p_{2}\left(W \backslash\left(V^{\times} \times C^{n}\right)\right)\right.$. By Theorem 2.9, $p_{2}(\hat{V})$ is analytic in $C^{n}$, hence closed. So we immediately obtain $p_{2}(\hat{V})=\operatorname{clos}\left(p_{2}\left(W \backslash\left(V^{\times} \times C^{n}\right)\right)\right)=V_{\omega}^{\perp}$ and (iii) is proved.

Part (iv) follows from Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 2.12.
Now we can return to the general case of $V$. By Theorem 2.5 we can split $V=$ $=\bigcup_{i=1} V_{i}$ where $V_{i}$ are irreducible analytic cones, $\operatorname{dim} V_{i} \equiv n_{i}$ and $\mathrm{d} \cdot \mathrm{m}\left(V_{j} \cap \bigcup_{i \neq j} V_{i}\right)<$ $<\operatorname{dim} V_{j}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{V}=\operatorname{clos}\left(\bigcup_{p \in V^{-}} p \times C(V, p)_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)=\operatorname{clos}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \bigcup_{V_{i}-\backslash V^{\times}} p \times C(V, p)_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)= \\
=\operatorname{clos}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \bigcup_{V_{i^{-}}} p \times C(V, p)_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \hat{V}_{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly $V_{\omega}^{\perp}=\bigcup_{i}\left(V_{i}\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}$ and $V^{\perp}=\bigcup_{i} V_{i}^{\perp}$. We can now apply the special case of $\operatorname{dim} V \equiv r$ (as $V_{i}$ 's are irreducible and hence of a constant dimension). The proof of the theorem is complete.

Theorem 3.5. Let $V$ be an analytic irreducible cone. Then $\hat{V}, V_{\omega}^{\perp}$ and $V^{\perp}$ are irreducible analytic cones and $\operatorname{dim} V_{\omega}^{\perp}=\operatorname{dim} V^{\perp}=\operatorname{rnk} p_{2} \mid \hat{V}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\hat{V}$ is not irreducible. By Theorem 2.5 and because $\hat{V}$ is an analytic cone, $\hat{V}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{clos} V_{i}$, where $V_{i}$ are connected pieces of $\hat{V}^{-}$, clos $V_{i}$ are irreducible analytic subsets of $\hat{V}$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{clos} V_{i}\right) \equiv n$ (we use Theorem $3.4(\mathrm{i})$ ). Set $\hat{V}=W_{1} \cup W_{2}, \quad W_{1}=\operatorname{clos} V_{1}, \quad W_{2}=\bigcup_{i \neq 1} \operatorname{clos} V_{i} \quad$ and $\quad P_{i}=\left\{p \in V^{-}, \quad p \times\right.$ $\left.\times C(V, p)_{\omega}^{\perp} \subset W_{i} \subset \hat{V}\right\}$ for $i=1,2$. Let $p \in V^{-}$be an arbitrary point. Then there exists $U(p) \subset V^{-}$such that $V(p)=\left(U(p) \times C^{n}\right) \cap \hat{V} \subset \hat{V}^{-}$. The set $V(p)$ is a connected neighbourhood of $p$, therefore $V(p) \subset W_{1}^{-}$or $V(p) \subset W_{2}^{-}$and $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are open in $\hat{V}^{-}$. As $W_{1}^{-} \cup W_{2}^{-}=\hat{V}^{-}$we have $P_{1} \cup P_{2}=V^{-}$. By assumption we also have $W_{1}^{-} \cap W_{1}^{-}=\emptyset$. Hence $P_{1} \cap P_{2}=\emptyset$. But this is impossible as $V^{-}$is connected ( $V$ is irreducible).

If $V_{\omega}^{\perp}=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, then by Theorem 3.4, $\hat{V}=p_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{1}\right) \cup p_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{2}\right)$, a contradiction. The irreducibility of $V^{\perp}$ follows from the irreducibility of $V_{\omega}^{\perp}$. The last part of the theorem follows from 3.4(iii) and 2.9.

Proposition 3.6. Let $V \subset C^{n}$ be an irreducible analytic cone and $\hat{V}$ be the blanket of $V$. Then there exists an analytic cone $W \subset \hat{V}$ such that:
(i) $\operatorname{dim} W<\operatorname{dim} \hat{V}$.
(ii) Let $W_{1}=\left\{q \in V ; p_{1}^{-1}(q) \subset W\right\}$ and $W_{2}=\left\{q \in V_{\omega}^{\perp} ; p_{2}^{-1}(q) \subset W\right\}$. Then $W_{1}$ is thin in $V$ and $W_{2}$ is thin in $V_{\omega}^{\perp}$.
(iii) If $(p, q) \in \hat{V} \backslash W$, then $\omega\left(p, C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)\right)=0,\left(p, C\left(V^{\perp}, q\right)\right)=0$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4(i), $\hat{V}$ is an irreducible analytic cone of the constant dimension $n$. Denote $\varrho_{1}=\operatorname{rnk} p_{1}, \varrho_{2}=\operatorname{rnk} p_{2}$, where $p_{1}: \hat{V} \rightarrow V, p_{2}: \hat{V} \rightarrow V_{\omega}^{\perp}$, and $M_{i}=\left\{q \in \hat{V}^{-} ; \operatorname{rnk}_{q} p_{i}=\varrho_{i}\right\}, i=1,2$. By Theorem [1,4-7F], $\hat{V} \backslash M_{1}$ and $\hat{V} \backslash M_{2}$ are analytic sets. As $\hat{V}$ is irreducible we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\hat{V} \backslash M_{i}\right)<\operatorname{dim} \hat{V}, i=1,2$. Set $W=p_{1}^{-1}\left(V^{\times}\right) \cup p_{2}^{-1}\left(\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)^{\times}\right) \cup\left(\hat{V} \backslash M_{1}\right) \cup\left(\hat{V} \backslash M_{2}\right)$. Clearly $W$ is an analytic cone and $\operatorname{dim} W<\operatorname{dim} \hat{V}$.
To prove that $\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)^{-} \backslash$ clos $W_{2}$ is dense in $\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)^{-}$it is sufficient to demonstrate that for all $q \in\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)^{-}$and for all open neighbourhoods $U(q) \subset\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)^{-}$there exists an open set $P \subset U(q)$ such that $P \cap W=\emptyset$. Denote $\tilde{U}(q)=p_{2}^{-1}(U(q)) . \tilde{U}(q) \subset \hat{V}$ is open in $\hat{V}$. As $W$ is a proper analytic subset of the irreducible analytic set $\hat{V}$ we have that $\tilde{U}(q) \backslash W$ is an open and nonvoid subset of $\hat{V}$. Choose an arbitrary open $\widetilde{P} \subset$ $\subset \widetilde{U}(q) \backslash W$. Set $P=p_{2}(\widetilde{P})$. As $\operatorname{rnk}_{u} p_{2}=\varrho_{2}$ at all $u \in \widetilde{P}$ and $\operatorname{dim} U(q)=\varrho_{2}$ we conclude that $P$ is an open subset of $V_{\omega}^{\perp}$ [1, Apendix II, 7F]. Moreover, $P \cap W_{2}=\emptyset$ which completes the proof that $W_{2}$ is thin in $V_{\omega}^{\perp}$. The proof that $W_{1}$ is thin in $V$ is quite analogous.

Take any $z=(p, q) \in \hat{V} \backslash W$ and any $v \in C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)$. We shall show that $\omega(p, v)=\emptyset$. Denote by $\mathrm{d} p_{1}(z), \mathrm{d} p_{2}(z)$ the differential mappings of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ at the point $z \in \hat{V}$. From $z \notin W$ it follows that in some neighbourhood $U(z)$ of $z$ we have $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ of maximum rank $\varrho_{1}$ and $\varrho_{2}$. Hence $\mathrm{d} p_{i}(z)^{-1}(0)=C\left(p_{i}^{-1}\left(p_{i}(z)\right), z\right), \quad i=1,2$, $\mathrm{d} p_{1}(z)^{-1}(0) \cap \mathrm{d} p_{2}(z)^{-1}(0)=\emptyset$, and $\mathrm{d} p_{1}(z)(C(\hat{V}, z))=C(V, p), \mathrm{d} p_{2}(z)(C(\hat{V}, z))=$
$=C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)$. In this situation we can find $w \in C(\hat{V}, z)$ such that $\mathrm{d} p_{2}(z)(w)=v$, $0 \neq v_{1}=\mathrm{d} p_{1}(z)(w) \in C(V, p)$ for an arbitrary $v \in C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)$. Clearly $w=\left(v_{1}, v\right) \subset$ $\subset C^{2 n}$. By the definition of the tangent cone there exists a sequence $z_{n}=\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right) \in$ $\in \hat{V} \backslash W$ and a sequence $a_{n} \in C$ such that $a_{n}\left(z_{n}-z\right) \rightarrow w$. Hence $a_{n}\left(q_{q}-q\right) \rightarrow v$, $a_{n}\left(p_{n}-p\right) \rightarrow v_{1}$.

By Theorem 3.4 we have:
(A) $\omega(p, q)=0$ since $p \in V^{-}$and $p \in C(V, p)$;
(B) $\omega\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)=0$ since $p_{n} \in V^{-}$and $p_{n} \in C\left(V, p_{n}\right)$;
(C) $\omega\left(v_{1}, q\right)=0$ since $p \in V^{-}$and $v_{1} \in C(V, p)$.

We can now compute: $\omega(p, v)=\omega\left(p, \lim a_{n}\left(q_{n}-q\right)\right)=\lim a_{n} \omega\left(p_{n}, q_{n}-q\right)=$ $=(\operatorname{by}(\mathrm{A})$ and $(\mathrm{B}))=\lim a_{n}\left(\omega\left(p_{n},-q\right)+\omega(p, q)\right)=\lim a_{n} \omega\left(p-p_{n}, q\right)=$ $=\omega\left(\lim \left(p-p_{n}\right) a_{n}, q\right)=\omega\left(v_{1}, q\right)=0$ by $(\mathrm{C})$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.7. Let $V$ be an analytic cone. Then $\left(V^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=V$ and $\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}=V$.
Proof. Because of Theorem 3.4(v) we can assume that $V$ is irreducible. By the last proposition (iii) we have $V \backslash W_{1} \subset\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}$ and by (ii), $V \subset\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}$. We have yet to prove the second inclusion $\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)_{\omega}^{\perp} \subset V$. Let $W$ and $W_{2}$ be the exceptional sets as in Proposition 3.6 and rnk $p_{2}=\varrho$. Choose $(p, q) \in \hat{V} \backslash W$. Since rnk $p_{2}=\varrho$ on some neighbourhood $U(p, q) \subset \hat{V} \backslash W$ and $\operatorname{dim} \hat{V} \equiv n$ we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(p_{2}^{-1}(q) \cap U(p, q)\right)=$ $=n-\varrho$. By Theorem 3.5 we have $\operatorname{dim}_{q} V_{\omega}^{\perp}=\varrho$, therefore $\operatorname{dim} C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}=n-\varrho$ for $q \in\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)^{-}$. However, by Proposition 3.6 we have $\left(p_{2}^{-1}(q) \cap U(p, q)\right) \subset$ $\subset C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp} \times q$. Hence we have proved that some open part of $C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp} \times q$ lies in $\hat{V} \cap\left(C^{n} \times q\right)$. As $C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp} \times q$ is irreducible we have $C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp} \times q \subset \hat{V}$. Thus we have proved that $C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp} \subset V$ for any $q \in V_{\omega}^{\perp} \backslash W_{2}$. Now we can write $\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} \bigcup_{\left(V_{\omega^{\perp}}\right)^{-}} C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} \underset{\left(V_{\omega^{\perp}}\right)^{-\backslash W_{2}}}{\cup} C\left(V_{\omega}^{\perp}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp} \subset V$. Finally, $\left(V^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=$ $=\left(\bar{V}_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=\left(\stackrel{\left(V_{\omega}\right)^{\perp} V_{\omega}^{\perp}}{\perp}\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}=V$, completing thus the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 3.8. Let $V \subset C^{n}$ be an irreducible analytic cone and suppose that $\operatorname{dim} V+\operatorname{dim} V^{\perp}=n$. Then $V$ is a linear subspace of $C^{n}$.

Proof. Let $V$ be of dimension $r$ and $p \in V^{-}$. Then $\operatorname{dim} C(V, p)^{\perp}=n-r$ and by the assumption also $\operatorname{dim} V^{\perp}=n-r$. As $V^{\perp}$ is irreducible (see Theorem 3.5) we have $V^{\perp}=C(V, p)^{\perp}$. Thus (see Theorem 3.7) $V=\left(V^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=\left(C(V, p)^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=C(V, p)$ and the assertion is proved.

In the remaining part of the section we give a new expression of $V^{\perp}$ for $V \subset C^{n}$, $\operatorname{dim} V \equiv n-1$.

Definition 3.9. Let $V \subset C^{n}$ be an analytic cone of constant dimension ( $n-1$ ). The homogeneous polynomial $\omega$ satisfying the conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 2.11 is called the characteristic polynomial of $V$. Denote by $h_{\omega}$ the mapping

$$
h_{\omega}: C^{n} \rightarrow C^{n}, \quad z \mapsto\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} \omega(z), \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n}} \omega(z)\right)
$$

Theorem 3.10. Let $V \subset C^{n}$ be an irreducible analytic cone of constant dimension $(n-1)$ and $\omega$ the characteristic polynomial of $V$. (i) Assume st $\omega \geqq 2$. Then $V^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} \overline{h_{\omega}(V)}, V_{\omega}^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} h_{\omega}(V)$.
(ii) If st $\omega=1$, then $V_{\omega}^{\perp}=\left\{c h_{\omega}(0) ; c \in C\right\}, V^{\perp}=\left\{\overline{c h_{\omega}(0)} ; c \in C\right\}$.

Proof. (i) Clearly

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial z_{i}} \right\rvert\, V \neq 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \text { st } \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial z_{i}}=\text { st } \omega-1
$$

and $\omega$ is the characteristic polynomial. Denote by $\boldsymbol{p}$ the complex line defined by the points $p \in C$ and $0 \in C$. We have $V_{\omega}^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} \bigcup_{p \in V^{-}} C(V, p)_{\omega}^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} \underset{p \in V^{-} \backslash h_{\omega^{-1}}(\emptyset)}{ } C(V, p)_{\omega}^{\perp}=$ $=\operatorname{clos} \underset{\left.\boldsymbol{p} \in V^{-} \backslash h_{\omega}\right)^{-1}(0)}{\bigcup} \bigcup_{z \in \boldsymbol{p}} C(V, z)_{\omega}^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} \bigcup_{p \in V^{-}} h_{\omega}(\mathbf{p})=\cos h_{\omega}(V)$.
(ii) Clearly $V$ is a linear subspace and the assertion is obvious.

## 4. ZERO SETS OF LEFT REGULAR FUNCTIONS

Assume that $f: C H \rightarrow C H$ is holomorphic in some neighbourhood of 0 and $f=\sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} i_{\alpha}$. The functions $f_{\alpha}$ are holomorphic and in some neighbourhood of 0 we can write $f_{\alpha}=\sum a_{\alpha, I} z^{I}, I \in N^{4}$. Set $A_{I}=\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha, I} i_{\alpha}$. Then $f=\sum_{I} A_{I} z^{I}$ is the expansion of a function $f$ into the power series. Denote $f^{*}=\sum_{|I|=m} A_{I} z^{I}$ where $m=\min \{|I|$, $\left.A_{I} \neq 0\right\}$ and $P_{n}=\sum_{|I|=n} A_{I} z^{I}$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $f: C H \rightarrow C H$ be holomorphic in some neighbourhood of 0 and let $f=\sum_{n} P_{n}$ be the described expansion into homogeneous polynomials. Then $f \in F_{0}^{-}$iff $P_{n} \in F_{0}^{-}$for all $n \in N$.

Proof. Denote $Q_{n-1}=\mathrm{D} P_{n}=\sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha}\left(\partial j \partial z_{\alpha}\right) P_{n} \cdot Q_{n-1}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $(n-1)$ and $\mathrm{D} f=\mathrm{D} \sum_{n} P_{n}=\sum_{n} \mathrm{D} P_{n}=\sum Q_{n-1}$. Clearly $\mathrm{D} f \equiv 0$ iff $Q_{n-1} \equiv$ $\equiv 0$ for all $n \in N$ and the proposition follows.

Proposition 4.2. Let $V$ be a germ of $F^{-}$zero set at a point $q \in C H$ and let $V=$ $=\bigcup_{\alpha=0}^{3} V_{\alpha}$ be the splitting of V by dimension. Assume that $V_{3} \neq 0$.
(i) There exists a left regular homogeneous polynomial $p$ such that the zero set of $p$ contains $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)$.
(ii) If $q \in V_{3}^{-}$then $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)^{\perp} \subset N$ and $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp} \subset N$.

Proof. Let $f: U(q) \subset C H \rightarrow C H$ be a left regular function such that $U(q) \cap V=$ $=f^{-1}(0) \cap U(q)$. Let $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{i}$ be the characteristic functions of the irreducible components of $V_{3}$ (see Theorem 2.10). Then $V_{3}=\left\{z, \omega(z)=\omega_{1}(z) \ldots \omega_{l}(z)=0\right\}$ and there exist $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l}$ such that $f=\omega_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots \omega_{l}^{k_{i}} g$ where $g$ 丰 0 on every irreducible component of $V_{3}$. By the last proposition $f^{*}=\left(\omega_{1}^{*}\right)^{k_{1}} \ldots\left(\omega_{l}^{*}\right)^{k_{l}} g^{*}$ is a left regular homogeneous polynomial. By Theorem 2.4, $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)=\left\{z ; \omega^{*}(z)=0\right\}$. We see now that $f^{*} \equiv 0$ on $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)$ and the first part of the proposition is proved.

If $q \in V_{3}^{-}$then $V_{3}$ is irreducible at the point $q$ and we have $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)=\left\{z, \omega^{*}(z)=\right.$ $\left.=\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}=0\right\}$, where $\omega$ is the characteristic polynomial of $V_{3}$. By Theorem 3.1J, $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}=\left\{c \sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha}\left(\partial / \partial z_{\alpha}\right) \omega(0) ; c \in C\right\}=\left\{c \sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}, c \in C\right\}$. To prove the second part of our proposition it is sufficient to show that $\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha} \in N$. We already know that $f=\omega^{l} g$ and $f^{*}=\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{l} g^{*} \in F_{q}^{-}$. Assume that $g^{*}=\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{k} g_{1}$ where $k \geqq 0$ and $g_{1} \neq 0$ on $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)$. Then $0=D f^{*}=D\left(\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{l+k} g_{1}\right)=\left(\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} i_{\alpha}\right)(l+k)\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{l+k-1} g+$ $+\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{l+k} \mathrm{D} g_{1}$. If $(l+k-1)>0$ then $\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{l+k-1} \neq 0$ in $C H$ and we can cancel it in the last equation. We obtain $0 \equiv\left(\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \alpha\right)(l+k) g_{1}+\omega^{*} \mathrm{D} g_{1}$. On $C(V, q)$ we have $0 \equiv\left(\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha}\right)(l+k) g_{1}$. By our assumption on $g_{1}$ there exists $z \in C\left(V_{3}, q\right)$ such that $g_{1}(z)=0$ and $\left(\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha}\right) \in N$ follows. As $\bar{N}=N$, the second part of the proposition is proved.
Theorem 4.3. Let $V$ be a $F^{-}$zero set in $B \subset C H$ and let $V=\bigcup_{\alpha=0}^{3} V_{\alpha}$ be the splitting of $V$ by dimension. Then $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)$ is an $N$-orthogonal and an $N$ - $\omega$-orthogonal cone for every $q \in V$.

Proof. Let $q \in V_{3}$ be an arbitrary point. By Proposition 4.2 (i) there exists $p \in$ $\in F^{-}(C H), Z=p^{-1}(0)=\bigcup_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}$, such that $C\left(V_{3}, q\right) \subset Z_{3}$. By Theorem 3.7 we have to prove that $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp} \subset N$ for $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)=\left(C\left(V_{3}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}$. With respect to Theorem $3.4(\mathrm{v})$ it is sufficient to prove that $Z_{3}^{\perp} \subset N$. But $Z_{3}^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} \bigcup_{z \in Z_{3}-} C\left(Z_{3}, z\right)_{\omega}^{\perp} \subset N$ by Proposition 4.2 (ii) and we have proved that $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)$ is an $N$ - $\omega$-orthogonal cone. The proof that $C\left(V_{3}, q\right)$ is an $N$-orthogonal cone is quite analogous.

Remark 4.4. $N^{\perp}=N$ and $N_{\omega}^{\perp}=N$, where $N=\left\{v \in C H ; \sum v_{\alpha}^{2}=0\right\}$.
Proof. By Theorem 3.10,

$$
N_{\omega}^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} h_{N}(N)=\operatorname{clos}\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial z_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial N}{\partial z_{3}}\right)(N)=\left(2 z_{0}, 2 z_{1}, 2 z_{2}, 2 z_{3}\right)(N)=N
$$

and $N^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} \overline{h_{N}(N)}=\bar{N}=N$.

Example 4.5. Let us suppose that $Q$ is a line in $N$. Then $Q=\left\{c \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha}\right\}$ for some $A=\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha} \in N$. We have $Q_{\omega}^{\perp}=\left\{z, \sum a_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}=0\right\}$. Set $p_{A}=\left(\sum a_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}\right) A^{+}$. Then $p_{A} \in$ $\in F^{-}(\mathrm{CH})$ for $\mathrm{D} p_{A}=A A^{+}=0$ and $Q_{\omega}^{\perp}=p_{A}^{-1}(0)$.

Lemma 4.6. Denote $N_{a}=\{z \in C H ; a z=0\}, a \in N$. Then $N_{a} \subset N$ and $N_{a}$ is a linear subspace of dimension 2.

Proof. Let $T_{a}: C H \rightarrow C H, z \mapsto a z$ be a linear mapping. Clearly $T_{a}(C H) \subset N$, Ker $T_{a}=N_{a} \subset N$. So we have $\operatorname{dim} T_{a}(C H) \leqq 2$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} T_{a} \leqq 2$. It follows that $\operatorname{dim} T_{a}(C H)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} T_{a}=2$.

Example 4.7. Suppose that $a \in N$ and $B \subset N_{a}$ (see the last lemma), and that $B$ is a finite set. Denote $Q=\bigcup_{b \in B}\{c b ; c \in C\} \subset N$ and $p_{a, B}(z)=a \prod_{b \in B}\left(\sum b_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}\right)^{n_{b}}$ where $n_{b}>0$ are arbitrary integers. Then $p_{a, B} \in F^{-}(C H)$ and $p_{a}^{-1}(0)=Q_{\omega}^{\perp}=\bigcup_{b \in B}\{z ;$ $\left.\sum z_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}=0\right\}$.

Proof.

$$
\mathrm{D} p_{a, B}=\sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha} p_{a, B}=\sum_{b \in B}\left(\sum b_{\alpha} i_{\alpha}\right) a\left(\prod_{b^{\prime} \in B \backslash\{b\}}\left(\sum b_{\alpha}^{\prime} z_{\alpha}\right)^{n_{b}}\right)\left(\sum b_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}\right)^{n_{b}-1}=0
$$

as $b a=0$ for all $b \in B$.

## 5. THE SET OF POLES OF LEFT REGULAR FUNCTIONS

A meromorphic function on an open set $B \subset C^{n}$ is a pair $(H, f)$, where $H$ is a dense open subset of $B$ and $f$ is a holomorphic function in $H$ with the following property: For each $p \in B$ there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $p$ and holomorphic functions $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ in $U$ such that the zero set of $\Psi$ is nowhere dense in $U$ and $f=\Phi / \Psi$ in $U \cap$ $\cap H \backslash \Psi^{-1}(0)[1,6-1 \mathrm{~A}]$. It is shown in $[1,6-1 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~L}]$ that $f$ may be extended to be holomorphic in an open subset $H_{f} \subset B$ such that the complement $P_{f}=B \backslash H_{f}$ is a nowhere dense analytic set in $B$. Set $Z_{f}(a)=\left\{p \in B\right.$; there exist $z_{n} \in H, z_{n} \rightarrow p, f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow a\}$. Then $Z_{f}(a)$ is an analytic set in $B[1,6-1 \mathrm{~L}]$ and $P_{f}=Z_{f}(\infty)$. In 1.4 we proposed the definition of a $F^{-}$meromorphic function $(H, f)$ in $B \subset C H$. Set, like in the case of meromorphic functions, $Z_{f}(a)=\left\{p \in B\right.$; there exist $\left.z_{n} \in H, z_{n} \rightarrow p, f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a\right\}$ for every $a \in C H$. Denote $P_{f}=Z_{f}(\infty), Z_{f}=Z_{f}(0)$. In the case of a left regular function $f$ in $B \subset C H, Z_{f}$ is its zero set and $P_{f}=0$. The following proposition gives some definitions equivalent to 1.4 (i).

Proposition 5.1. Let $B \subset C H$ be an open set. Let $H \subset B$ be open and dense in $B$. Then the following properties of a mapping $f: H \rightarrow C H$ are equivalent:
A) For all $p \in B$ there exist $U(p)$ and holomorphic mappings $\Phi, \Psi: U(p) \rightarrow C H$, $\Psi(U(p)) \nsubseteq N$ such that $f=\Phi / \Psi$ in $U(p) \cap H \backslash \Psi^{-1}(N)$.
B) There exist meromorphic functions $f_{\alpha}$ such that $f=\sum_{\alpha} i_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$.
C) For all $p \in B$ there exist $U(p)$ and holomorphic mappings $\Phi: U(p) \rightarrow C H$, $\Psi: U(p) \rightarrow C, U(p) \notin Z_{\Psi}$ such that $f=\Phi \mid \Psi$ in $U(p) \cap H \backslash Z_{\Psi}$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(Z_{\Phi} \cap\right.$ $\left.\cap Z_{\psi} \cap U(p)\right)<3$.
Proof. A $\Rightarrow$ B. Set $f=\sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$ in $H$. But $f=\Phi / \Psi=\Phi . \Psi^{+} /\left(\Psi . \Psi^{+}\right)$in $U(p) \cap$ $\cap H \backslash \Psi^{-1}(N)$ and therefore $f_{\alpha}$ are meromorphic functions.
$\mathrm{B} \Rightarrow \mathrm{C}$. For every $p \in B$ there exist $U(p)$ and holomorphic functions $\Phi_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha}$ in $U(p)$ such that $f_{\alpha}=\Phi_{\alpha} / \Psi_{\alpha}$ in $U(p) \cap H \backslash Z_{\Psi_{\alpha}}$. Set $\Psi=\prod_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}$ and $\Phi=$ $=\sum_{\alpha}\left(i_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha} \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} \Psi_{\beta}\right)$. Then $f=\Phi / \Psi$ in $U(p) \cap H \backslash Z_{\Psi}$. If $\operatorname{dim}\left(Z_{\Phi} \cap Z_{\Psi} \cap U(p)\right)=3$ then we can divide both $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ by the characteristic functions of $Z_{\Phi} \cap Z_{\Psi} \cap U(p)$. We repeat this process, if necessary, until the condition $C$ ) is satisfied.
$\mathrm{C} \Rightarrow A$. As we have $C$ imbedded in $C H$, by identifying $C \ni c$ with $c \mathrm{i}_{0} \in C H$ we have nothing to prove.

Proposition 5.2. Let $B \subset C H$ be an open set, let $H \subset B$ be an open and dense subset and let $f: H \rightarrow C H$ be a $F^{-}$meromorphic function. Then there exists $H_{f}$, $H \subset H_{f} \subset B$, such that:
(i) We can extend $f$ to be a left regular function in $H_{f}$.
(ii) $B \backslash H_{f}=P_{f}$ and $P_{f}$ is an analytic set in $B, \operatorname{dim} P_{f} \equiv 3$.
(iii) Assume that $f=\Phi \mid \Psi$ is an expression of $f$ in some neighbourhood $U(q)$ of $q \in P_{f}$ satisfying the condition 5.1C. Then $P_{f} \cap U(q)=Z_{\Psi}$ and $\left(Z_{f}\right)_{3} \cap U(q)=$ $=\left(Z_{\Phi}\right)_{3}$, where $Z_{f}=\bigcup_{\alpha}\left(Z_{f}\right)_{\alpha}$ and $Z_{\Phi}=\bigcup_{\alpha}\left(Z_{\Phi}\right)_{\alpha}$ are the splittings of $Z_{f}$ ana $Z_{\Phi}$ by dimension.
Proof. By Proposition 5.1B there exist meromorphic functions $f_{\alpha}$ such that $f=\sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$ in $H$. We can extend $f_{\alpha}$ to be holomorphic in $B \backslash P_{f_{\alpha}}$. Set $H_{f}=B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha} P_{S_{\alpha}}$. Then we can extend $f$ to be a left regular function in $H_{f}$ (we use the identity theorem for the holomorphic mapping D $f$ ). Clearly $B \backslash H_{f}=\bigcup_{\alpha} P_{f_{\alpha}}=P_{f}$. As $\operatorname{dim} P_{f_{\alpha}} \equiv 3$ for the meromorphic function $f_{\alpha}$, it follows at once that $\operatorname{dim} P_{f} \equiv 3$. To prove (iii) we use the fact that $\operatorname{dim}\left(Z_{\Phi} \cap Z_{\Psi} \cap U(p)\right)<3$.

In what follows we want to show that the description of poles of $F^{-}$meromorphic functions is in many ways similar to the description of $F^{-}$zero sets.

Definition 5.3. Let $\left(H_{f}, f\right)$ be an $F^{-}$meromorphic function in $B \subset C H$, let $q \in P_{f}$ and let $f=\Phi / \Psi$ be an expression of $f$ satisfying the condition 5.1C in a neighbourhood $U(q)$. A point $q \in P_{f}$ is a bad point iff $\operatorname{dim}\left(Z_{\Psi_{q^{*}}} \cap Z_{\Psi_{q^{*}}}\right)=3$, where $\Phi_{q}^{*}$, $\Psi_{q}^{*}$ are the initial polynomials of $\Phi, \Psi$ at the point $q$.

Remark 5.4. The bad points are thin in $P_{f}$ as $Z_{f} \cap P_{f}$ is thin in $P_{f}$.
Proposition 5.5. Let $\left(H_{f}, f\right)$ be an $F^{-}$meromorphic function in B and assume that $q \in P_{f}$ is not a bad point.
(i) Then there exists an $F^{-}$meromorphic function $\left(H_{g}, g\right)$ in CH such that $C\left(P_{f}, q\right)=P_{g}$ and $g$ is the quotient of two homogeneous polynomials.
(ii) If $q \in P_{f}^{-}$then $C\left(P_{f}, q\right)^{\perp} \subset N$ and $C\left(P_{f}, q\right)_{\omega}^{\perp} \subset N$.

Proof. Following Proposition 5.2(iii) we have, in some neighbourhood of $U(q)$, $f=\Phi_{/} \Psi, P_{f}=Z_{\Psi}$ and $C\left(P_{f}, q\right)=\left\{z \in C H ; \Psi_{q}^{*}(z)=0\right\}$. If we use the regularity condition on $f$ we obtain $0=\mathrm{D}(\Phi / \Psi)=\mathrm{D}((1 / \Psi) \Phi)=((\mathrm{D} \Phi) \Psi-(\mathrm{D} \Psi) \Phi) / \Psi^{2}=$ $=\left(\left(\mathrm{D} \Phi_{q}^{*}\right) \Psi_{q}^{*}-\left(\mathrm{D} \Psi_{q}^{*}\right) \Phi_{q}^{*}+\right.$ powers at the point $q$ of degrees higher than st $\Phi_{q}^{*}+$ + st $\left.\Psi_{q}^{*}-1\right) / \Psi^{2}$. As $\Psi \neq 0$ in a neighbourhood of $q$ we can multiply the last equality by $\Psi^{2}: 0=\left(\left(\mathrm{D} \Phi_{q}^{*}\right) \Psi_{q}^{*}-\left(\mathrm{D} \Psi_{q}^{*}\right) \Phi_{q}^{*}+\right.$ powers of degrees higher than st $\Phi_{q}^{*}+$ st $\left.\Psi_{q}^{*}-1\right)=F$. Using the last equality only for the initial polynomial on the right hand side we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=F_{q}^{*}=\left(\mathrm{D} \Phi_{q}^{*}\right) \Psi_{q}^{*}-\left(\mathrm{D} \Psi_{q}^{*}\right) \Phi_{q}^{*} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $g=\Phi_{q}^{*} / \Psi_{q}^{*}$. Then (1) is the regularity condition for $g$ and $g$ is an $F^{-}$meromorphic function. As $q$ is not a bad point, the expresion $\Phi_{q}^{*} / \Psi_{q}^{*}$ satisfies the condition 5.1 C , and by $5.2(\mathrm{iii})$ we have proved (i).

Consider now $q \in P_{f}^{-}$. Denote by $\omega$ the characteristic function of $P_{f}$ at the point $q$. Then $C\left(P_{f}, q\right)=\left\{z, \omega^{*}(z)=\sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}=0\right\}$. We must verify, as in the proof of Proposition 4.2(ii), that $\mathrm{D} \omega^{*}=\sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \in N$. By $5.2(\mathrm{iii})$ we have $f=\Phi \mid \Psi, \quad \Psi=\omega^{l} \delta$, where $\delta \mid P_{f} \neq 0$. Write $\Psi^{*}=\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{l} \delta^{*}=\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{l+k} \delta^{\prime}$, where $\delta^{\prime} \mid C\left(P_{f}, q\right) \neq 0$. Using (1) we obtain

$$
0 \equiv\left(D \Phi_{q}^{*}\right)\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{l+k} \delta^{\prime}-(l+k)\left(\mathrm{D} \omega^{*}\right)\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{l+k-1} \delta^{\prime} \Phi_{q}^{*}-\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{l+k}\left(\mathrm{D} \delta^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{q}^{*}
$$

If $l+k+(-1)>0$ then we can divide the equation by $\left(\omega^{*}\right)^{l+k-1}$ :

$$
0=\left(\mathrm{D} \Phi_{q}^{*}\right) \omega^{*} \delta^{\prime}-(l+k)\left(\mathrm{D} \omega^{*}\right) \delta^{\prime} \Phi_{q}^{*}-\omega^{*}\left(\mathrm{D} \delta^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{q}^{*}
$$

On $C\left(P_{f}, q\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \equiv(l+k)\left(\mathrm{D} \omega^{*}\right) \delta^{\prime} \Phi_{q}^{*} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q$ is not a bad point and $\delta^{\prime} \mid C\left(P_{f}, 0\right) \neq 0$ we can choose $z \in C\left(P_{f}, q\right)$ such that $\delta^{\prime}(z) \Phi^{*}\left(z j \neq 0\right.$. If we apply (2) at such a point $z$ we obtain that $\left(\mathrm{D} \omega^{*}\right) \in N$ and the proposition is proved.

Theorem 5.6. Let $\left(H_{f}, f\right)$ be an $F^{-}$meromorphic function in $B$ and $P_{f}$ its set of poles. Assume that $q \in P_{f}$ and $q$ is not a bad point. Then $C\left(P_{f}, q\right)$ is an $N$-orthogonal and an $N$ - $\omega$-orthogonal cone.

Proof. The proof is quite similar to that of Theorem 4.3. By Proposition 5.5(i) there exists an $F^{-}$meromorphic function $g$ such that $C\left(P_{f}, g\right)=P_{g}$. Denote by $S_{g}$ the set of bad points of $g$. Using Proposition $5.5(\mathrm{ii})$ and Remark 5.4 we have $C\left(P_{f}, q\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} \bigcup_{z \in P_{g^{-}}} C\left(P_{g}, z\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{clos} \bigcup_{z \in P_{g}-\backslash S_{g}} C\left(P_{g}, z\right)^{\perp} \subset N$. The proof is complete.

Example 5.7. Suppose that $a \in N$ and $B \subset N_{a}$ (see 4.6), and let $B$ be a finite set. Denote $Q=\bigcup_{b \in B}\{c b ; c \in C\} \subset N_{a} \subset N$ and $f_{a, B}(z)=a / \prod_{b \in B}\left(b_{\alpha} z_{\alpha}\right)^{n_{b}}$, where $n_{b}>0$ are arbitrary integers. Then $f_{a, B}$ is an $F^{-}$meromorphic function and $P_{f_{a, b}}=Q_{\omega}^{\perp}=$ $=\bigcup_{b \in B}\left\{z ; \sum z_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}=0\right\}$.

Example 5.8. Suppose that $Q \subset N$ is an irreducible analytic cone, $\operatorname{dim} Q=3$. Then $Q=N$ (as $N$ is an irreducible analytic cone) and $Q_{\omega}^{\perp}=Q^{\perp}=N$ (see 4.4). Set $f_{N}=z^{+} /(N(z))^{2}$. Then $f_{N}$ is an $F^{-}$meromorphic function and $P_{f_{N}}=N$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{D} f_{N}=\sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\left(z^{+} /(N(z))^{2}\right)= \\
=\left(\sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial z^{+}}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\right) /(N(z))^{2}\right)-\left(2 \sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha} 2 z_{\alpha}\right) N(z) z^{+} /(N(z))^{4}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 5.9. Set $Q=N \cap\left\{z ; z_{0}=z_{3}=0\right\}=\left\{z ; z_{0}=z_{3}=0, z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}=0\right\}$. Clearly $Q \nsubseteq N_{a}$ for any $a \in N$ and $Q$ is the union of two lines. Then $Q_{\omega}^{\perp}=\{z \in C H$, $\left.z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}=0\right\}$ as $\left(Q_{\omega}^{\perp}\right)_{\omega}^{\perp}=Q$ by Theorem 3.10. Set

$$
f=\frac{-\mathrm{i}_{1} z_{1}-\mathrm{i}_{2} z_{2}}{z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}}
$$

Then $f$ is an $F^{-}$meromorphic function and $P_{f}=Q_{\omega}^{\perp}$.
Proof.

$$
\mathrm{D} f=\sum_{\alpha} i_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{-\mathrm{i}_{1} z_{1}-\mathrm{i}_{2} z_{2}}{z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}}\right)=\sum_{\alpha=1,2} i_{\alpha} \frac{-\mathrm{i}_{\alpha}\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)-2 z_{\alpha}\left(-\mathrm{i}_{1} z_{1}-\mathrm{i}_{2} z_{2}\right)}{\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}=0 .
$$
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