Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Mihail G. Tkachenko
On topologies of free groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 4, 541-551

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101980

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

ON TOPOLOGIES OF FREE GROUPS

M. G. TKAČENKO, Balakovo (Received September 10, 1982

All spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff.

Let X be a topological space, F(X) the free algebraic group over a set X. Then $F_M(X)$, the free topological group over X in the sense of A. A. Markov, is the set F(X) equipped with the topology having the following properties:

- 1) X is a subspace of $F_M(X)$;
- 2) each continuous mapping from X to an arbitrary topological group G extends to a continuous homomorphism from $F_M(X)$ to G.

Indeed, this is a very nice short characterization of the topology of $F_M(X)$ using category terms. Unfortunately, this characterization says nothing about the constructive form of open sets in $F_M(X)$. Consequently, one cannot answer many questions on the topological properties of the group $F_M(X)$. So we need an intrinsic description of the topology of free Markov group over X. This will be done in the first part of the paper.

In the second part, we define a new group topology ϱ on F(X), resulting to a topological group $F_{\varrho}(X)$, and investigate its properties. The group $F_{\varrho}(X)$ is rather similar to $F_{M}(X)$, and may be characterized categorically replacing 2) above by

2') each continuous mapping f from X to an arbitrary topological group G such that the image f[X] is thin in G, extends to a continuous homomorphism from $F_o(X)$ to G.

We shall show among others that for a pseudocompact space X, $F_M(X) = F_\varrho(X)$, and use this result to estimate the Souslin number of $F_M(X)$. Further, we shall prove that the group $F_\varrho(X)$ is Weil-complete iff X is Dieudonné-complete.

1. THE TOPOLOGY OF THE GROUP $F_{M}(X)$

Let N^+ be the set of all positive integers. Let $^{-1}$ be some homeomorphism of the space X onto its copy X^{-1} , denote \widetilde{X} the topological sum $X \oplus X^{-1}$. For every $n \in N^+$ let $i_n : \widetilde{X}^n \to F_n(X)$ be the natural map of \widetilde{X}^n onto the set $F_n(X)$ consisting of all

words in the alphabet \widetilde{X} of length $\leq n$. Next, let $j_n \widetilde{X}^{2n} \to F_{2n}(X)$ be defined by the rule $j_n(x, y) = i_n(x) \cdot (i_n(y))^{-1}$ for every $x, y \in \widetilde{X}^n$.

For each $n \in N^+$, denote by \mathcal{U}_n the universal (i.e. the finest inducing the same topology) uniformity on the topological space \widetilde{X}^n . Let \mathscr{R} be the family of all sequences $E = \{U_n : n \in N^+\}$ such that $U_n \in \mathscr{U}_n$ whenever $n \in N^+$.

If $E \in \mathcal{R}$, $E = \{U_n : n \in N^+\}$ and if $n \in N^+$, let us define $V_n(E) = \bigcup \{j_{\pi(1)}[U_{\pi(1)}] \dots j_{\pi(n)}[U_{\pi(n)}] : \pi \in S_n\}$, where S_n is the permutation group of the set $\{1, ..., n\}$. Finally, put $V(E) = \bigcup_{n \in N^+} V_n(E)$.

Theorem 1.1. The family $\Sigma^* = \{V(E): E \in \mathcal{R}\}$ is a neighborhood base of the unity in the group $F_M(X)$.

Our proof of this theorem heavily depends on the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.1. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $U \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}$. Then there exists a $V_U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $\mathbf{j}_n(V_U) \subseteq \mathbf{j}_{n+m}(U)$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $g \in F_m(X)$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}$. Then there exists a $V_U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $g : j_n(V_U) : g^{-1} \subseteq j_{n+m}(U)$.

It is easily seen that Lemma 1.1 is a partial case of Lemma 1.2. As for the proof, since $g \in F_m(X)$, $g = i_m(x)$ for some $x \in \widetilde{X}^m$. Define $V_U = \{(v, w) \in \widetilde{X}^n \times \widetilde{X}^n : ((v, x), (w, x)) \in U\}$. We shall omit the straightforward verification that this works.

Lemma 1.3. Let H be a group with unity e and let $\{V_n: n \in N\}$ be a sequence of subsets of H such that $e \in V_n$ and $V_{n+1}^3 \subseteq V_n$ for each $n \in N$. Let $k \in N$, $p, k_1, ..., k_p \in N^+$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^p 2^{-k_i} < 2^{-k}$. Then $V_{k_1}, ..., V_{k_p} \subseteq V_k$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof will be divided into two sections. First we shall show that Σ^* generates some group topology \mathcal{F}^* on F(X) whose trace on X coincides with the original topology of X. Second we prove that \mathcal{F}^* is finer than the topology of $F_M(X)$. This implies that \mathcal{F}^* is indeed the Markov topology, because the Markov topology is the finest group topology for F(X) extending the topology of X.

- I. The system Σ^* has the following properties:
- (a) $\{e\} = \bigcap \Sigma^*$;
- (b) for every pair $U, V \in \Sigma^*$ there is some $W \in \Sigma^*$ such that $W \subseteq U \cap V$;
- (c) for every $U \in \Sigma^*$ there is some $V \in \Sigma^*$ with $V \cdot V^{-1} \subseteq U$;
- (d) for every $U \in \Sigma^*$ and $g \in U$ there is some $V \in \Sigma^*$ with $V \cdot g \subseteq U$;
- (e) for every $U \in \Sigma^*$ and $g \in F(X)$ there is some $V \in \Sigma^*$ with $g^{-1} \cdot V \cdot g \subseteq U$.

We shall show (c), (d) and (e), since (a) and (b) are trivial.

Let $U \in \Sigma^*$, U = V(E), $E = \{U_n : n \in N^+\}$. We may and shall assume that each U_n is symmetrical. Using Lemma 1.1, choose symmetrical $W_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ satisfying $j_n(W_n) \subseteq$

 $\subseteq j_{2n+1}(U_{2n+1}) \cap j_{2n}(U_{2n}) \text{ for each } n \in N^+; \text{ denote } \widetilde{E} = \{W_n : n \in N^+\}. \text{ Since each } W_n \text{ is symmetrical, } V(\widetilde{E})^{-1} = V(\widetilde{E}), \text{ moreover, } V(\widetilde{E}) \cdot V(\widetilde{E}) \subseteq V(E). \text{ Indeed, let } \pi, \varrho \in S_n, \text{ let } \sigma \in S_{2n} \text{ be defined by } \sigma(i) = 2\pi(i) \text{ for } i \leq n, \ \sigma(i) = 2\varrho(i-n) - 1 \text{ for } n+1 \leq i \leq 2n. \text{ Then } j_{\pi(1)}(W_{\pi(1)}) \cdot \ldots \cdot j_{\pi(n)}(W_{\pi(n)}) \cdot j_{\varrho(1)}(W_{\varrho(1)}) \cdot \ldots \cdot j_{\varrho(n)}(W_{\varrho(n)}) \subseteq j_{\sigma(1)}(U_{\sigma(1)}) \cdot \ldots \cdot j_{\sigma(2n)}(U_{\sigma(2n)}) \subseteq V_{2n}(E). \text{ Now since } \pi \text{ and } \varrho \text{ were arbitrary, we have } V_n(\widetilde{E}) \cdot V_n(\widetilde{E}) \subseteq V_{2n}(E), \text{ therefore } V(\widetilde{E}) \cdot V(\widetilde{E})^{-1} \subseteq V(E), \text{ which shows (c).}$

To show (d), let $E = \{U_n : n \in N^+\} \in \mathcal{R}, g \in V(E)$. Then for some $k \in N^+$ and $\pi \in S_k, g \in j_{\pi(1)}(U_{\pi(1)}) \cdot \dots \cdot j_{\pi(k)}(U_{\pi(k)})$. With help of Lemma 1.1 find a sequence $\vec{E} = \{V_n : n \in N^+\}$ with $j_n(V_n) \subseteq j_{n+k}(U_{n+k})$. Then $V(\vec{E}) \cdot g \subseteq V(E)$: Let $m \in N^+$, $\sigma \in S_m$. Define $\varrho \in S_{m+k}$ by $\varrho(i) = \sigma(i) + k$ for $i \leq m, \varrho(i) = \pi(i-m)$ for $m < i \leq m + k$. We have: $j_{\sigma(1)}(V_{\sigma(1)}) \cdot \dots \cdot j_{\sigma(m)}(V_{\sigma(m)}) \cdot g \subseteq j_{\sigma(1)}(V_{\sigma(1)}) \cdot \dots \cdot j_{\sigma(m)}(V_{\sigma(m)}) \cdot ... \cdot j_{\pi(k)}(U_{\pi(1)}) \cdot \dots \cdot j_{\pi(k)}(U_{\pi(k)}) \subseteq V(E)$.

The proof of (e) is similar. Let $E = \{U_n : n \in N^+\} \in \mathcal{R}, g \in V(E)$. Then $g \in F_k(X)$ for some $k \in N^+$. For each $n \in N^+$, there is some symmetrical $V_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ with g^{-1} . $j_n(V_n) \cdot g \subseteq j_{n+k}(U_{n+k})$ by Lemma 1.2. Let $\widetilde{E} = \{V_n : n \in N^+\}$. Then $g^{-1} \cdot V(\widetilde{E}) \cdot g \subseteq V(E)$: If $n \in N^+$ and $\sigma \in S_n$, then $g^{-1} \cdot j_{\sigma(1)}(V_{\sigma(1)}) \cdot \dots \cdot j_{\sigma(n)}(V_{\sigma(n)}) \cdot g \subseteq g^{-1}$. $j_{\sigma(1)}(V_{\sigma(1)}) \cdot g \cdot g^{-1} \cdot j_{\sigma(2)}(V_{\sigma(2)}) \cdot g \cdot \dots \cdot g^{-1} \cdot j_{\sigma(n)}(V_{\sigma(n)}) \cdot g \subseteq j_{\sigma(1)+k}(U_{\sigma(1)+k}) \cdot \dots \cdot j_{\sigma(n)+k}(U_{\sigma(n)+k}) \subseteq j_{\sigma(1)+k}(U_{\sigma(1)+k}) \cdot \dots \cdot j_{\sigma(n)+k}(U_{\sigma(n)+k}) \subseteq j_{\sigma(1)+k}(U_{\sigma(1)+k}) \cdot \dots \cdot j_{\sigma(n)+k}(U_{\sigma(n)+k}) \cdot j_1(U_1) \cdot \dots \cdot j_k(U_k) \subseteq V(E)$. It is well-known that the validity of (a)—(e) is equivalent to the existence of an admissible group topology \mathscr{T}^* for F(X).

Let $V \in \mathcal{T}^*$, $O = X \cap V$, $x \in O$. Then there is some $E \in \mathcal{R}$ and $g \in F(X)$ with $x \in V(E)$. $g \subseteq V$. Hence for some $\widetilde{E} = \{U_n \colon n \in N^+\}$, we have $V(\widetilde{E}) \cdot x \subseteq V(E) \cdot g$. Put $W(x) = \{y \in X \colon (y, x) \in U_1\}$. Then $x \in W(x) \subseteq X$ and W(x) is a neighbourhood of x in X. Moreover, $W(x) \subseteq j_1(U_1) \cdot x \subseteq V(\widetilde{E}) \cdot x \subseteq V(E) \cdot g$. Thus $X \cap V$ is open in X, hence the restriction of \mathcal{T}^* to X coincides with the original topology of X.

II. \mathscr{T}^* is finer than the topology of $F_M(X)$. Let V be an open neighborhood of unity in $F_M(X)$, put $V_0 = V$ and let $\{V_n : n \in N^+\}$ be a sequence of neighborhoods of unity in $F_M(X)$ satisfying $V_n^3 \subseteq V_{n-1}$ for each $n \in N^+$.

Put $U_n = \{(x, y): x, y \in \widetilde{X}^n \text{ and } i_n(x) \cdot (i_n(y))^{-1} \subseteq V_n\}$. Since every i_n is continuous, we have $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$. Now clearly the sequence $E = \{U_n: n \in N^+\}$ belongs to \mathcal{R} and $V_n(E) \subseteq V_0 = V$ for each $n \in N^+$ by Lemma 1.3. Thus $V(E) \subseteq V$, too.

Theorem 1.2. Let X be a closed subspace of a space Y and suppose that Y^n is paracompact for each $n \in N^+$. Then $F_M(X)$ embeds into $F_M(Y)$ as a closed topological subgroup.

Sketch of the proof. We have to show that the natural isomorphism φ between $F_M(X)$ and the subgroup $A(X) \subseteq F_M(Y)$ algebraically generated by X, is a homeomorphism. The continuity of φ is obvious. In order to show the continuity of φ^{-1} we need the following: For each $E \in \mathcal{R}_X$ there is an $\vec{E} \in \mathcal{R}_Y$ with $V(\vec{E}) \cap A(X) \subseteq \varphi(V(E))$, the meaning of \mathcal{R}_X and \mathcal{R}_Y is clear. The last statement can be proved using the forthcoming two well-known assertions.

Assertion 1.1. Let Z be paracompact. Then the family of all open neighborhoods of the diagonal in Z^2 is a base for the universal uniformity \mathcal{U}_Z of Z.

Assertion 1.2. Let T be a closed subspace of a collectionwise normal (in particular, paracompact) space Z. Then (T, \mathcal{U}_T) is a uniform subspace of (Z, \mathcal{U}_Z) .

2. THE NEW GROUP TOPOLOGY ϱ ON F(X) AND ITS RELATION TO MARKOV FREE TOPOLOGY

In this part, we equip the free algebraic group F(X) over a topological space X by a group topology ϱ , which is coarser than the free Markov topology, but still similar to. The resulting space will be denoted by $F_{\varrho}(X)$.

Let d be an arbitrary continuous pseudometric on X, let G be the set of all elements of F(X) which have even length. For $g \in G$, we shall define a real number $\|g\|_d$ as follows. $\|e\|_d = 0$ for the unity e of F(X). $\|x \cdot y^{-1}\|_d = \|x^{-1} \cdot y\|_d = d(x, y)$ and $\|x \cdot y\|_d = \|x^{-1} \cdot y^{-1}\|_d = 1$ for each $x, y \in X$. Thus we have defined $\|g\|_d$ for every $g \in G$ of length 2. Let $n \in N^+$ and suppose $\|g\|_d$ has been defined for each $g \in G$ of length $\leq 2n$. For every $g \in G$, $g = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{2n+2}$ with $x_1, \ldots, x_{2n+2} \in \widetilde{X} = X \oplus X^{-1}$, let $\|g\|_d$ be the minimum of the numbers $\|x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{2i}\|_d + \|x_{2i+1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{2n+2}\|_d$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\|x_1 \cdot x_{2n+2}\|_d + 2\|x_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{2n+1}\|_d$.

Let $\mathscr D$ be the set of all continuous pseudometrics on X. For every $d \in \mathscr D$ let $V_d = \{g \in G \colon \|g\|_d < 1\}$. Clearly, $\|\cdot\|_d$ is a pseudonorm on G for each $d \in \mathscr D$, i.e. the following is valid:

- 1) $||e||_d = 0$,
- 2) $\|g^{-1}\|_d = \|g\|_d$ for each $g \in G$,
- 3) $\|g \cdot h\|_d \le \|g\|_d + \|h\|_d$ for each $g, h \in G$.

Theorem 2.1. The family $\{V_d: d \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is a neighborhood base of unity in some group topology ϱ on F(X). The topology ϱ induces the original topology on X. Moreover, the set $F_n(X)$ is closed in $F_\varrho(X)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and

(*) for each V with $e \in V \in \varrho$ there is some W with $e \in W \in \varrho$ such that $x \cdot W \cdot x^{-1} \subseteq V$ for each $x \in X$.

The proof of this theorem is very similar to the part I of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Therefore we shall verify only that (*) holds. Fix a continuous pseudometric d on X such that $e \in V_d \subseteq V$. Then by the definition of $\|\cdot\|_d$, $\|x \cdot g \cdot x^{-1}\|_d \le 2\|g\|_d$ for each $g \in G$ and $x \in X$. Hence it suffices to put $\tilde{d} = 2d$ in order to obtain $x \cdot V_d$. $x^{-1} \subseteq V_d$.

Recall that a topological group H has a quasi-invariant basis iff for every open neighborhood V of the unity there is a countable family γ consisting of open neighborhoods of unity such that for each $g \in H$ there is some $W \in \gamma$ with $g : W : g^{-1} \subseteq V$. By (*), the group $F_o(X)$ has a quasi-invariant basis. Thus $F_o(X)$ is embeddable as

By (*), the group $F_{\varrho}(X)$ has a quasi-invariant basis. Thus $F_{\varrho}(X)$ is embeddable as a subgroup into some product of metrizable groups [2]. So we have the following

Proposition 2.1. For each space X the group $F_{\varrho}(X)$ is topologically isomorphic to a subgroup of a product of metrizable groups.

Now let Y be a subspace of X and let \mathcal{U}_Y , \mathcal{U}_X be the universal uniformities on Y and X respectively.

Proposition 2.2. Let Y be a subspace of X. Then (Y, \mathcal{U}_Y) is a uniform subspace of (X, \mathcal{U}_X) if and only if $F_{\varrho}(Y)$ is naturally embeddable into $F_{\varrho}(X)$.

Proof. The natural monomorphism $\varphi \colon F_\varrho(Y) \to F_\varrho(X)$ is continuous. To prove the continuity of φ^{-1} , fix any continuous pseudometric d on Y and let V_d be the corresponding open neighborhood of unity, $V_d \subseteq F_\varrho(Y)$. We may suppose that $d(x,y) \le 1$ for each $x,y \in Y$. Since (Y,\mathcal{U}_Y) is a uniform subspace of (X,\mathcal{U}_X) , there is a continuous pseudometric \tilde{d} on X extending d. One can easily check that $\varphi^{-1}[V_d \cap \varphi[F_\varrho(Y)]] = V_d$, which shows that φ^{-1} is continuous.

Now suppose $F_\varrho(Y)$ naturally embeds into $F_\varrho(X)$ Let d be an arbitrary continuous pseudometric on $Y, U_d \in \mathcal{U}_Y, U_d = \{(x,y) \in Y^2 \colon d(x,y) < 1\}$. Then $V_d = \{g \colon \|g\|_d < 1\}$ is a neighborhood of unity in $F_\varrho(Y)$. Since $F_\varrho(Y) \subseteq F_\varrho(X)$, there is some continuous pseudometric \tilde{d} on X with $V_d \cap F_\varrho(Y) \subseteq V_d$. Now the set $U_d = \{(x,y) \in X^2 \colon \tilde{d}(x,y) < 1\}$ belongs to \mathcal{U}_X and $U_d \cap Y^2 \subseteq U_d$. Thus (Y,\mathcal{U}_Y) is a uniform subspace of (X,\mathcal{U}_X) .

Corollary 2.1. Let Y be a closed subspace of a paracompact space X. Then $F_{\varrho}(Y)$ is naturally embeddable into $F_{\varrho}(X)$ as a closed topological subgroup.

The importance of the next definition will be exhibited in Theorems 2.2, 2.3.

Definition 2.1. A subset X of a topological group H with the unity e is called thin in H provided that for each V open in H with $e \in V$ there is an open $W \subseteq H$ such that $e \in W$ and $x \cdot W \cdot x^{-1} \subseteq V$ for every $x \in X$.

Let us note that by (*) in Theorem 2.1, X is thin in $F_{\varrho}(X)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let X be a topological space and let \mathcal{F} be any group topology on F(X) which extends the topology of X. If X is thin in $F_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$, then the topology ϱ is finer than \mathcal{F} .

Before giving the proof of this main result, we need the following

Definition 2.2. An element $g \in G$ is decomposable with respect to a pseudometric d on X provided that there exist elements $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$ such that

- $1) g = g_1 \ldots g_n,$
- 2) $\|g\|_d = \sum_{i=1}^n \|g_i\|_d$,
- 3) $l(g_i) < l(g)$ for each i = 1, ..., n,

where l(g) denote the length of an element $g \in F(X)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $V \in \mathcal{T}$ be an arbitrary neighborhood of e. We need to find a continuous pseudometric \tilde{d} on X such that $V_{\tilde{d}} \subseteq V$.

Let $V_0 = V$. There exists a sequence $\xi = \{V_n : n \in N^+\}$ such that for each $n \in N^+$, $e \in V_n$, $V_n^{-1} = V_n$, $V_n^3 \subseteq V_{n-1}$ (for \mathscr{T} is a group topology on F(X)) and for each $x \in \widetilde{X}$, $x \cdot V_n \cdot x^{-1} \subseteq V_{n-1}$ (for \widetilde{X} as well as X is thin in $F_{\mathscr{T}}(X)$). For each $n \in N^+$, put $U_n = \{(x, y) \in X^2 : x^{-1} \cdot y \in V_{2n}\}$. Then U_n is an open entourage of the diagonal in $X \times X$ and $U_{n+1} \circ U_{n+1} \circ U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n$. Thus there is a continuous pseudometric d on X such that $\{(x, y) \in X^2 : d(x, y) < 2^{-n}\} \subseteq U_n$. Put $\widetilde{d} = 4d$. We claim that $V_d \subseteq V$.

It suffices to show the following: If $g \in G$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $||g||_d < 2^{-n}$, then $g \in V_{2n-2}$. Induction on the length of g:

If $g = x \cdot y^{-1}$, then $d(x, y) < 2^{-n}$, hence $x \cdot y^{-1} \in V_{2n}$. Suppose $g = x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{2n}$ with p > 1.

If g is not decomposable, then for some $g_1 \in G$ and $x, y \in X$, $g = x \cdot g_1 \cdot y$ and $\|g\|_d = \|x \cdot y\|_d + 2\|g_1\|_d$. Since $\|g\|_d < 2^{-n}$ and $n \in N^+$, we have $\|x \cdot y\|_d < 1$, thus either $g = x \cdot g_1 \cdot z^{-1}$ where $x, z \in X$ or $g = t^{-1} \cdot g_1 \cdot y$ where $t, y \in X$. Assume the first possibility. Clearly $\|g_1\|_d < 2^{-n-1}$ (otherwise $\|g\|_d \ge 2^{-n}$), thus by the inductive assumption, $g_1 \in V_{2n}$. Further, $x \cdot z^{-1} \in V_{2n}$ for $\|x \cdot z^{-1}\|_d < 2^{-n}$. Thus $x \cdot g_1 \cdot x^{-1} \in V_{2n-1}$ and $x \cdot g_1 \cdot z^{-1} = x \cdot g_1 \cdot x^{-1} \cdot x \cdot z^{-1} \in V_{2n-1} \cdot V_{2n} \subseteq V_{2n-2}$. If g is decomposable, then $g = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k$ for some $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$ with $l(g_j) < l(g)$ and $\|g\|_d = \|g_1\|_d + \dots + \|g_k\|_d < 2^{-n}$. We may and shall assume that no g_j is decomposable. Pick integers i_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) in such a way that $2^{-i_j-1} \le \|g_j\|_d < 2^{-i_j}$. Then by the inductive assumption, each g_j belongs to V_{2i_j-2} and $\sum_{j=1}^k 2^{-i_j} < 2^{-i_j}$.

Assume first $i_j \ge n+1$ for all j=1,...,k. Then $\sum_{j=1}^k 2^{-2i_j+2} \le \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{-i_j-n+1} < 2^{-2n+2}$. According to Lemma 1.3, $g \in V_{2n-2}$.

If $i_m = n$ for some $m \in N^+$, $1 \le m \le k$, then $\|g_1 \dots g_{m-1}\|_d < 2^{-n-1}$, $\|g_{m+1} \dots g_k\|_d < 2^{-n-1}$. By the inductive assumption, $g_1 \dots g_{m-1} \in V_{2n}$, $g_{m+1} \dots g_k \in V_{2n}$ and $g_m \in V_{2n-1} \cdot V_{2n}$ since g_m is not decomposable. Thus $g \in V_{2n} \cdot V_{2n-1} \cdot V_{2n} \subseteq V_{2n-1} \cdot V_{2n-1} \subseteq V_{2n-2}$.

The next result is closely related to Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f: X \to H$ be a continuous mapping from a topological space X to a topological group H. Suppose f[X] to be thin in H. Then there exists a continuous homomorphism $\tilde{f}: F_o(X) \to H$ which extends f.

Proof. Let \tilde{f} be the algebraical extension of f with the domain F(X). Set $\mathcal{B} = \{U \cap f^{-1}[V]: U \text{ is open in } F_{\varrho}(X), V \text{ open in } H\}$. Then \mathcal{B} is a base for some group topology \mathcal{F} on F(X), which is obviously finer than ϱ and which coincides

with ϱ on X. Since X is thin in $F_{\varrho}(X)$ and f[X] is thin in H, X is thin in $F_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$, too. By Theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{F} = \varrho$, which was to be proved.

Corollary 2.1. Let X, Y be topological spaces, $f: X \to Y$ continuous. Then there is a continuous homomorphism $\varrho f: F_{\varrho}(X) \to F_{\varrho}(Y)$ extending f.

This corollary may be proved independently of Theorem 2.3. Indeed, let ϱf be an algebraic extension of f. Let V_d be an open neighborhood of unity in $F_\varrho(Y)$ corresponding to some continuous pseudometric d on Y. If we define for $x, y \in X$, $\tilde{d}(x,y)=d(f(x),f(y))$, then $\varrho f(V_d)\subseteq V_d$, as can be easily checked. Thus ϱf is continuous.

Theorem 2.4. The group $F_{\varrho}(X)$ is Weil-complete if and only if the space X is Dieudonné-complete.

Sketch of the proof. The "only if" part follows by the fact that the left uniformity \mathcal{U}_l of the group $F_\varrho(X)$ induces the universal uniformity \mathcal{U}_X of X and X is closed in $F_\varrho(X)$.

The "if" part is more difficult. First we note that the closed subspace $F_1(X) = X \cup X^{-1} \subseteq F_\varrho(X)$ is homeomorphic to the topological sum $X \oplus X^{-1}$, hence the space $F_1(X)$ is Dieudonné-complete. Since the universal uniformity $\mathscr{U}_{F_1(X)}$ of the space $F_1(X)$ is induced by the left uniformity \mathscr{U}_I of $F_\varrho(X)$, the space $(F_1(X), \mathscr{U}_{F_1(X)})$ is a complete subspace of the uniform space $(F_\varrho(X), \mathscr{U}_I)$. Further, proceeding by induction, we obtain that $(F_n(X), \mathscr{V}_n)$ is complete for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$; here \mathscr{V}_n denotes the uniformity on $F_n(X)$ induced by \mathscr{U}_I .

This fact together with the property (*) implies the completeness of the uniform space $(F_{\varrho}(X), \mathcal{U}_{l})$, i.e. Weil-completeness of $F_{\varrho}(X)$. For showing this we need a modified Graev's construction ([5], Theorem 6).

The next result follows from Theorem 2.4 using the continuity of the maps $i_n : \tilde{X}^n \to F_n(X)$.

Corollary 2.2. Let X be a Dieudonné-complete space. Then the uniform space $(F_n(X), \mathcal{W}_n)$ is complete for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. (Here \mathcal{W}_n is the uniformity on $F_n(X)$ induced by the left uniformity of the group $F_M(X)$.)

Problem 2.1. Suppose the space X to be Dieudonné-complete. Is then the group $F_M(X)$ Weil-complete? Raikov-complete?

Let us denote $\operatorname{Top}_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ the category of all Tychonoff spaces and their continuous mappings. Let Hom be the category of all topological groups and their continuous homomorphisms. We define the functor $\varrho \colon \operatorname{Top}_{3\frac{1}{2}} \to \operatorname{Hom}$ by $\varrho(X) = F_{\varrho}(X)$ for objects, $\varrho(f) = \varrho f$ for morphisms. It is easily seen that ϱ is already a functor.

Let μ : Top_{3½} \rightarrow Top_{3½} be the functor of Dieudonné-completion, and R: Hom \rightarrow Hom the functor of Raikov-completion (as for the definition, see [4], 8.5.8, 8.5.13 and [6]).

Theorem 2.5. The functors $\varrho \circ \mu$ and $R \circ \varrho$ are naturally equivalent.

Proof. First we show the equality $\varrho \circ \mu = R \circ \varrho$ for objects.

Let X be a topological space. The group $RF_\varrho(X)$ is complete in its two-sided uniformity $\mathscr V$. The group $F_\varrho(\mu X)$ is Weil-complete because the space μX is Dieudonné-complete; hence the group $F_\varrho(\mu X)$ is complete in its two-sided uniformity $\mathscr U$. Let i be the embedding of X into μX and let φ be its extension to a topological monomorphism, $\varphi\colon F_\varrho(X)\to F_\varrho(\mu X)$ (see Prop. 2.2). Let $\mathscr W_1$ be the two-sided uniformity of the group $F_\varrho(X)$. Since $(F_\varrho(X),\mathscr W_1)$ is a uniform subspace of $(RF_\varrho(X),\mathscr V)$ and since $F_\varrho(X)$ is dense in $RF_\varrho(X)$, the uniform continuity of φ and the completeness of $(F_\varrho(\mu X),\mathscr W)$ imply that φ can be extended to a continuous mapping $\psi_1\colon RF_\varrho(X)\to F_\varrho(\mu X)$.

Let \mathcal{W}_2 be the uniformity of the group $\varphi(F_\varrho(X)) \subseteq F_\varrho(\mu X)$ induced by \mathscr{U} . Then the map $\varphi^{-1} \colon (\varphi(F_\varrho(X)), \mathscr{W}_2) \to (R F_\varrho(X), \mathscr{V})$ is uniformly continuous. The completeness of the space $(RF_\varrho(X), \mathscr{V})$ implies that there exists a continuous extension $\psi_2 \colon F_\varrho(\mu X) \to RF_\varrho(X)$ of φ^{-1} . Obviously $\psi_1 \circ \psi_2$ maps $\varphi(F_\varrho(X))$ identically onto itself and $\psi_2 \circ \psi_1$ is an identity on $F_\varrho(X)$. But $F_\varrho(X)$ is dense in $RF_\varrho(X)$ and $\varphi(F_\varrho(X))$ is dense in $F_\varrho(\mu X)$; hence $\psi_1 \circ \psi_2$ is an identity mapping from $F_\varrho(\mu X)$ onto itself, $\psi_2 \circ \psi_1$ is an identity mapping from $RF_\varrho(X)$ onto itself. Therefore ψ_1 is a topological isomorphism between $RF_\varrho(X)$ and $F_\varrho(\mu X)$.

Now let $f: X \to Y$ be a continuous mapping. Then the equality $R(\varrho f) = \varrho(\mu f)$ follows by the equality $RF_{\varrho}(X) = F_{\varrho}(\mu X)$ which has just been proved and by the fact that $\varrho(\mu f)$ and $R(\varrho f)$ agree on a dense subset $F_{\varrho}(X)$.

Let X be a subspace of a topological group H and let $\mathscr V$ be the uniformity on X induced by the right uniformity $\mathscr U_r$ of the group H.

Lemma 2.1. If the uniform space (X, \mathcal{V}) is totally bounded then X is thin in H. The routine proof may be left to the reader.

It is well-known that every pseudocompact space X is totally bounded in its universal uniformity \mathcal{U}_X . Thus Theorem 2.2 together with Lemma 2.1 give us immediately

Theorem 2.6. $F_{\varrho}(X) = F_{M}(X)$ for every pseudocompact space X.

The remaining part of the paper gives bounds for the Souslin number of topological groups. We shall start with $F_M(X)$ for a pseudocompact space X.

Theorem 2.7. Let X be a pseudocompact space. Then the Souslin number of the group $F_M(X)$ is countable.

For the proof, we shall need one combinatorial fact.

Lemma 2.2. Let X be a set, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and let $\{(x_{i,1}, ..., x_{i,m}, \gamma_i): i \in I\}$ be an infinite family of ordered (m+1)-tuples such that $x_{i,1}, ..., x_{i,m} \in X$ and γ_i is a cover of X with $|\gamma_i| \leq n$ for each $i \in I$.

Then there exists an infinite $J \subseteq I$ such that for each $i, j \in J$, if $i \neq j$, then $St(x_{i,k}, \gamma_j) \cap St(x_{j,k}, \gamma_i) \neq \emptyset$ for k = 1, ..., m.

The lemma follows easily by m-tuple application of Ramsey theorem $\omega \to (\omega)_n^2$.

Proof of Theorem 2.7. Let $\{O_{\alpha}: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a family of open non-empty subsets of $F_M(X)$. We have to find distinct $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ with $O_{\alpha} \cap O_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$.

For each $\alpha < \omega_1$ choose a point $g_\alpha \in O_\alpha$. By Theorem 2.6, there is a continuous pseudometric d_α on X such that $g_\alpha \cdot V_{d_\alpha} \subseteq O_\alpha$ and $V_{d_\alpha} \cdot g_\alpha \subseteq O_\alpha$. Since each g_α is of finite length, we may assume $l(g_\alpha) = m$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$. Each element g_α can be written in the form $g_\alpha = x_{\alpha,1}^{\varepsilon_{\alpha,1}^2} \cdot x_{\alpha,2}^{\varepsilon_{\alpha,2}^2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\alpha,m}^{\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}}$ with $x_{\alpha,1}, \ldots, x_{\alpha,m} \in X$ and $x_{\alpha,1}, \ldots, x_{\alpha,m} \in \{-1, +1\}$. Again we may and shall assume that for one particular x_α -tuple $x_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha \cdot$

Put $\varrho_{\alpha}=2^{m+1}$. d_{α} for $\alpha<\omega_{1}$. Since X is pseudocompact, for every $\alpha<\omega_{1}$ there is a finite subset $K_{\alpha}\subseteq X$ such that $X\subseteq\bigcup\{x\ .\ V_{\varrho_{\alpha}}:\ x\in K_{\alpha}\}$. Denote $\gamma_{\alpha}=\{X\cap x\ .\ V_{\varrho_{\alpha}}:\ x\in K_{\alpha}\}$. Then γ_{α} is a finite cover of X and we may and shall for the last time assume that for some $n\in N^{+}$, $|\gamma_{\alpha}|=n$ for all $\alpha<\omega_{1}$. Consider the family $\{(x_{\alpha,1},\ldots,x_{\alpha,m},\gamma_{\alpha}):\ \alpha<\omega_{1}\}$. By Lemma 2.2 there are distinct α , β such that $\mathrm{St}(x_{\alpha,k},\gamma_{\beta})\cap\mathrm{St}(x_{\beta,k},\gamma_{\alpha})\neq \emptyset$ for $k=1,2,\ldots,m$. We claim that $O_{\alpha}\cap O_{\beta}$ is non-void for these particular α , β . It suffices to show that g_{α} . $V_{d_{\alpha}}\cap V_{d_{\beta}}$. $g_{\beta}\neq \emptyset$. To this end, pick $a_{k}\in\mathrm{St}(x_{\alpha,k},\gamma_{\beta})\cap\mathrm{St}(x_{\beta,k},\gamma_{\alpha})$ and let $a=a_{m}^{-\epsilon_{m}},\ldots,a_{1}^{-\epsilon_{1}}$. Then g_{α} . $a.g_{\beta}\in g_{\alpha}$. $V_{d_{\alpha}}\cap V_{d_{\beta}}$. g_{β} , i.e. $\|a.g_{\beta}\|_{d_{\alpha}}<1$ and $\|g_{\alpha}$. $a\|_{d_{\beta}}<1$. We shall show the first inequality only. By the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{d_{\alpha}}$, $\|a.g_{\beta}\|_{d_{\alpha}}\leq\sum_{k=1}^{m}2^{m-k}$. $d_{\alpha}(a_{k},x_{\beta,k})$. Since $a_{k}\in\mathrm{St}(x_{\beta,k},\gamma_{\alpha})$ for every $k\leq m$, there is a point $x_{k}\in K_{\alpha}$ such that $\{a_{k},x_{\beta,k}\}\subseteq x_{k}$. $V_{\varrho_{\alpha}}$. Therefore $\varrho_{\alpha}(x_{k},a_{k})<1$ as well as $\varrho_{\alpha}(x_{k},x_{\beta,k})<1$, consequently $\varrho_{\alpha}(a_{k},x_{\beta,k})<2$. Thus $d_{\alpha}(a_{k},x_{\beta,k})=2^{-m-1}$. $\varrho_{\alpha}(a_{k},x_{\beta,k})<2^{-m}$. Since the last inequality holds for all $k\leq m$, we have $\|a.g_{\beta}\|_{d_{\alpha}}<<2^{-m}$. $\sum_{k=1}^{m}2^{m-k}<1$.

Remark. A stronger version of Lemma 2.2 can be used to improve Theorem 2.7 as follows:

If τ is a regular uncountable cardinal and γ is a family of open non-void subsets of $F_M(X)$, $|\gamma| \ge \tau$, then there exists a subfamily $\mu \subseteq \gamma$ of cardinality τ such that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for each $U, V \in \mu$ (X is assumed to be pseudocompact).

Problem 2.2. Can one choose a subfamily $\mu \subseteq \gamma$ of cardinality τ to be centered?

Corollary 2.3. If a topological group H is algebraically generated by its pseudocompact subspace, then $c(H) \leq \aleph_0$.

Proof. Denote by X the pseudocompact subspace, let $\varphi: F_M(X) \to H$ continuously extend the identity $i: X \to X$. Apply Theorem 2.7.

The following definition is due to I. Guran.

Definition 2.3. Let τ be a cardinal number. A topological group H is τ -bounded if for every open neighborhood V of the unity there exists a subset $K \subseteq H$ such that $|K| \leq \tau$ and $H = K \cdot V$.

It is known [7] that any group with a dense Lindelöf subspace must be \aleph_0 -bounded as well as any group H with $c(H) \leq \aleph_0$.

Theorem 2.8. Let τ be an infinite cardinal, let H be a τ -bounded group. Then $c(H) \leq 2^{\tau}$.

We shall need the following lemma, which may be compared with Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. Let H be a set, τ an infinite cardinal, $\lambda = (2^{\tau})^+$ and let $\{(x_{\alpha}, \gamma_{\alpha}) : \alpha < \lambda\}$ be a family of pairs such that $x_{\alpha} \in H$, γ_{α} is a cover of H, $|\gamma_{\alpha}| \leq \tau$ for each $\alpha < \lambda$. Then there exist distinct $\alpha, \beta < \lambda$ such that $St(x_{\alpha}, \gamma_{\beta}) \cap St(x_{\beta}, \gamma_{\alpha}) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Enumerate $\gamma_{\alpha} = \{A_{\alpha,k} : k < \tau\}$ for $\alpha < \lambda$. For $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in [\lambda]^2$ with $\alpha < \beta$ choose a pair $(k, m) \in \tau \times \tau$ with $x_{\alpha} \in A_{\beta,k}$ and $x_{\beta} \in A_{\alpha,m}$; this defines a mapping $\varphi : [\lambda]^2 \to \tau \times \tau$. By Erdös-Radó theorem $(2^{\mathfrak{r}})^+ \to (\tau^+)^2_{\tau}$ there is a pair $(k, m) \in \tau \times \tau$ and $I \subseteq \lambda$ with $|I| = \tau^+$ such that $\varphi(\{\alpha, \beta\}) = (k, m)$ for each $\alpha < \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in I$.

Let $\alpha < \delta < \beta$, α , δ , $\beta \in I$. Then $x_{\alpha} \in A_{\beta,k}$ and $x_{\beta} \in A_{\alpha,m}$ for $\varphi(\{\alpha, \beta\}) = (k, m)$, similarly $x_{\alpha} \in A_{\delta,k}$ and $x_{\delta} \in A_{\alpha,m}$, $x_{\delta} \in A_{\beta,k}$ and $x_{\beta} \in A_{\delta,m}$.

Thus $\operatorname{St}(x_{\alpha}, \gamma_{\beta}) \cap \operatorname{St}(x_{\beta}, \gamma_{\alpha})$ is non-void, because $x_{\delta} \in A_{\beta,k} \cap A_{\alpha,m} \subseteq \operatorname{St}(x_{\alpha}, \gamma_{\beta}) \cap \operatorname{St}(x_{\beta}, \gamma_{\alpha})$.

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Let $\{O_\alpha\colon \alpha<\lambda\}$ be an arbitrary family of open non-empty subsets of H, $\lambda=(2^{\mathfrak{r}})^+$. For each $\alpha<\lambda$ choose a point $x_\alpha\in O_\alpha$ and an open neighborhood U_α of the unity such that x_α . $U_\alpha\subseteq O_\alpha$ and U_α . $x_\alpha\subseteq O_\alpha$. Let V_α be an open neighborhood of unity satisfying $V_\alpha^2\subseteq U_\alpha$, $V_\alpha^{-1}=V_\alpha$. By τ -boundedness of H, there is a subset $K_\alpha\subseteq H$ such that $|K_\alpha|\leqq \tau$ and T_α . Set $T_\alpha=T_\alpha$. Set $T_\alpha=T_\alpha$. Then T_α and T_α be the inequality T_α and T_α and T_α and T_α and T_α and T_α be an analysis of T_α and T_α and T_α and T_α be an analysis of T_α and T_α and T_α and T_α be an analysis of T_α be an arbitrary family of open non-empty subsets of T_α be an arbitrary family of open non-empty subsets of T_α be an arbitrary family of open non-empty subsets of T_α by T_α by T

Let τ be an infinite cardinal. Recall that a space X is said to be *pseudo-\tau-compact*, if each open family of cardinality $\geq \tau$ has a cluster point.

The following notion was introduced by I. I. Guran.

Definition 2.4. A uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is τ -bounded provided that for each member $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there is a subset $K \subseteq X$ such that $|K| \leq \tau$ and $X = \bigcup_{x \in K} B(x, U)$, where $B(x, U) = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in U\}$.

A. V. Archangelskij noted that a space X with the universal uniformity \mathscr{U}_X is τ -bounded iff X is pseudo- τ ⁺-compact. It is known [8] that the group $F_M(X)$ is τ -bounded iff the uniform space (X,\mathscr{U}_X) is τ -bounded. Combining Theorem 2.8 with the facts just mentioned we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.4. If X is pseudo- τ^+ -compact, then the Souslin number of the group $F_M(X)$ does not exceed 2^{τ} .

Example. There is a Lindelöf group H with $c(H) > \aleph_0$.

Let T be an uncountable set, let $X = T \cup \{*\}$ be a one-point Lindelöfication of T, i.e. each point $t \in T$ is isolated and the family $\{\{*\} \cup (T-K): |K| \leq \aleph_0\}$ is an open base of *. Then X is a Lindelöf P-space, i.e. the intersection of any countable family of open sets is open. Moreover, X^n is Lindelöf for each $n \in N^+$, hence $F_M(X)$ is Lindelöf, too. Further, $F_M(X)$ is a P-space. Obviously the pseudocharacter of X is uncountable, and the same holds for $F_M(X)$. Summarizing, $F_M(X)$ is a regular P-space of an uncountable pseudocharacter, which in turn implies $c(F_M(X)) > \aleph_0$.

Problem 2.3. Is it true that $c(H) \leq \aleph_1$ for every Lindelöf (\aleph_0 -bounded, resp.) group H?

Added in proof. Problem 2.1 was recently partially solved by V. Uspenskij. He proved the following.

Suppose X to be an \aleph_0 -bounded (or, equivalently, pseudo- \aleph_1 -compact) Dieudonné complete space. Then the free topological group $F_M(X)$ is complete.

We have proved in [11] that there exists an \aleph_0 -bounded group H with $c(H) = 2^{\aleph_0}$. This is a partial answer to Problem 2.3. The group H in question is not Lindelöf.

References

- [1] Понтрягин Л. С.: Непрерывные группы. Москва, 1973.
- [2] Кау Г. И.: Изоморфное отображение топологических групп в прямое произведение групп, удовлетворяющих первой аксиоме счетности, УМН, 1953, т. 8, № 6, 107—113.
- [3] Isbell J. R.: On finite-dimensional uniform spaces. Pacific J. Math., 1959, v. 9, 107-121.
- [4] Engelking R.: General Topology. PWN Warszawa, 1977.
- [5] Граев М. И.: Свободные топологические группы. Известия АН СССР, сер. матем., т. 12, 1948, 279—324.
- [6] Граев М. И.: Теория топологических групп. УМН, 1950, т. 5, № 2, 3—56.
- [7] Гуран И. И.: О топологических группах, близких к финально компактным. ДАН СССР, 1981, т. 256, № 6.
- [8] Гуран И. И.: Топологические группы и свойства их подпространств. Кандидатская диссертация, Москва 1981.
- [9] *Пестов В. Г.*: Некоторые свойства свободных топологических групп. Вестник Москов. Унив, 1982, № 1, 35—37.
- [10] Nummella E. C.: Uniform free topological groups and Samuel compactifications. Topology and its Appl., 1982, 1, 77–83.
- [11] Успенский В.: Топологическая группа, порожденная Линделефовымпространством, обладает свойством Суслина. Докл. АН СССР 1982, 265, 823—826.
- [12] Ткаченко М. Г.: О полноте свободных абелевых топологических групп. Докл. АН СССР 1983, 269, 299—303.

Author's address: г. Балаково, Саратовской обл., ул. Красноармейская д. 21, кв. 66, 413800 СССР.