Ladislav Nebeský Embedding *m*-quasistars into *n*-cubes

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 38 (1988), No. 4, 705-712

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102266

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

## EMBEDDING *m*-QUASISTARS INTO *n*-CUBES

LADISLAV NEBESKÝ, Praha

(Received November 13, 1986)

In the present paper the letters i, j, k, m, n and p denote integers. By a graph we mean a graph in the sense of [1]; V(G) and E(G) denote the vertex set and the edge set of a graph G, respectively. We shall say that graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are vertex-disjoint if  $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \emptyset$ .

A graph which is homeomorphic to the star K(1, m), where  $m \ge 3$ , will be referred to as an *m*-quasistar. We say that an *m*-quasistar *T* of order *p* is balanced if *p* is even and there exists a 2-coloring of *T* with p/2 blue vertices and p/2 yellow ones. I. Havel [2] conjectured that

if  $3 \le m \le n$ , then every balanced *m*-quasistar of order  $2^n$  can be embedded into the *n*-cube.

The conjecture has been proved for m = 3 by Havel [2], for m = 4 and 5 by the present author [4], and for m = 6 by N. B. Limaye [3]. In the present paper the conjecture will be proved for every  $m \ge 5$ .

Let P be a nontrivial path. Then P is a graph homeomorphic to  $K_2$ . If u is a vertex of degree one in P, then we say that P is a u-path. If P is a u-path, then the only vertex of degree one in P hich is different from u will be denoted by  $\varepsilon(P, u)$ .

Let G be an n-cube,  $n \ge 1$ . If  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are adjacent vertices in G,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are vertex-disjoint nontrivial paths in G such that  $P_1$  is a  $u_1$ -path and  $P_2$  is a  $u_2$ -path, then we denote by  $P_1 + u_1u_2 + P_2$  the path in G induced by  $E(P_1) \cup \{u_1u_2\} \cup U(P_2)$ . Since G is an n-cube, where  $n \ge 1$ , it is clear that there exist vertex-disjoint (n-1)-cubes G' and G'' such that  $V(G') \cup V(G'') = V(G)$  and  $E(G') \cup E(G'') \subseteq E(G)$ ; the set  $\{G', G''\}$  will be referred to as a canonical partition of G. If  $\{G', G''\}$ is a canonical partition of G and  $u \in V(G')$ , then the only vertex of G'' which is adjacent to u in G will be denoted by u/G''.

The proof of Havel's conjecture (for  $m \ge 5$ ) will be divided into two lemmas and two theorems.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $m \ge 1$ , let G be an m-cube, let  $u \in V(G)$ , and let  $W \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $|W| \le m - 1$ . Then there exists a hamiltonian u-path P in G such that  $\varepsilon(P, u) \notin W$ .

Proof. Obviously, there exists a 2-coloring of G with  $2^{m-1}$  blue vertices and  $2^{m-1}$ 

yellow ones. Without loss of generality, let u be blue. Havel [2] has shown that for each yellow vertex v of G, there exists a hamiltonian path P in G such that  $\varepsilon(P,u) = v$ . Since  $m - 1 < 2^{m-1}$ , the assertion of the lemma follows.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $m \ge 2$ , let G be an m-cube, let  $u, v_1, v_2$  be distinct vertices of G such that  $v_1v_2 \in E(G)$ , and let  $W \subseteq V(G - v_1 - v_2)$  such that  $|W| \le m - 2$ . Then there exists a hamiltonian u-path P in  $G - v_1 - v_2$  such that  $\varepsilon(P, u) \notin W$ .

Proof. We proceed by induction on m. The case when m = 2, 3 is obvious. Let  $m \ge 4$ . Assume that the lemma is proved for m - 1. It is clear that there exists a canonical partition  $\{G', G''\}$  of G such that

$$|W \cap V(G'')| \leq m - 3$$
 and  $v_1, v_2 \in V(G'')$ .

We distinguish two cases.

1. Let  $u \in V(G')$ . Recall that  $m-1 \ge 3$ . According to Lemma 1 there exists a hamiltonian *u*-path *P'* in *G'* such that  $\varepsilon(P', u) \notin \{v_1/G', v_2/G'\}$ . Denote  $u' = \varepsilon(P', u)$  and u'' = u'/G''. According to the induction hypothesis, there exists a hamiltonian *u''*-path *P''* in *G'' - v\_1 - v\_2* such that  $\varepsilon(P'', u'') \notin W \cap V(G'')$ . Clearly,

(1) P' + u'u'' + P'' is a hamiltonian u-path in  $G - v_1 - v_2$  such that  $\varepsilon(P' + u'u'' + P'', u) \notin W$ .

2. Let  $u \in V(G'')$ . According to the induction hypothesis, there exists a hamiltonian u-path P'' in  $G'' - v_1 - v_2$ . Denote  $u'' = \varepsilon(P'', u)$  and u' = u''/G'. According to Lemma 1, there exists a hamiltonian u'-path P' in G' such that  $\varepsilon(P', u') \notin W \cap V(G')$ . Clearly, (1). Thus the proof is complete.

The following theorem is the main step in our proof of Havel's conjecture.

**Theorem 1.** Let k and m be integers such that

$$1 \leq k \leq m \quad if \quad 1 \leq m \leq 3 \quad and$$
$$1 \leq k < m \quad if \quad m \geq 4.$$

Then Q(k, m), where Q(k, m) is the statement as follows:

for any  $G, u_1, ..., u_k, a_1, ..., a_k, W_1, ..., W_k$  such that

- (2) G is an m-cube,
- (3)  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  are distinct vertices of G,
- (4)  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  are positive even integers with  $a_1 + \ldots + a_k = 2^m$ ,
- (5)  $W_1, ..., W_k$  are subsets of V(G) fulfilling  $|W_1| \leq m k, ..., |W_k| \leq m k,$

there exist vertex-disjoint paths  $P_{(1)}, \ldots, P_{(k)}$  in G such that

(6)  $P_{(i)}$  is a  $u_i$ -path of order  $a_i$  such that  $\varepsilon(P_{(i)}, u_i) \notin W_i$ , for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Proof. It is easy to prove Q(1, 1), Q(2, 2) and Q(3, 3) by an immediate inspection. Thus, we shall prove that if  $m \ge 2$  then Q(k, m), for each  $k, 1 \le k \le m - 1$ . We

proceed by induction on m. The case m = 2 is obvious. Let  $m \ge 3$ . Assume that we have proved  $Q(k^*, m-1)$  for each  $k^*, 1 \le k^* \le m-2$ .

Let  $1 \le k \le m - 1$ . Consider G,  $u_1, \ldots, u_k, a_1, \ldots, a_k, W_1, \ldots, W_k$  such that (2)-(5). For any canonical partition  $\{G_1, G_2\}$  of G and any  $f \in \{1, 2\}$ , we define

$$I(G_f) = \{i; 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } u_i \in V(G_f)\}$$
  

$$k(G_f) = |I(G_f)|,$$
  

$$U(G_f) = \{u_i; i \in I(G_f)\}, \text{ and}$$
  

$$A(G_f) = \sum_{i \in I(G_f)} a_i.$$

We distinguish several cases and subcases.

1. Assume that there exists a canonical partition  $\{G_1, G_2\}$  of G such that  $A(G_1) = A(G_2)$ .

Consider  $f \in \{1, 2\}$ . Obviously,  $A(G_f) = 2^{m-1}$  and  $1 \leq k(G_f) \leq k - 1 < m - 1$ . Denote

$$I_f = I(G_f),$$

 $u_{if} = u_i, a_{if} = a_i$  and  $W_{if} = W_i \cap V(G_f)$  for each  $i \in I_f$ . It is clear that

 $(7)_f$ 

 $u_{if}$   $(i \in I_f)$  are distinct vertices of  $G_f$ ,

and

(8)<sub>f</sub>  $a_{if}$   $(i \in I_f)$  are even positive integers such that  $\sum_{i \in I_f} a_{if} = 2^{m-1}$ .

Obviously,  $|W_{if}| \leq |W_i| \leq m-k$  for  $i \in I_f$ . Since  $m-k \leq (m-1)-|I_f|$ ,

(9)<sub>f</sub>  $|W_{if}| \leq (m-1) - |I_f|$ , for each  $i \in I_f$ .

According to  $Q(k(G_f), m-1)$ , there exists a set of  $|I_f|$  vertex-disjoint paths  $P_{if}$   $(i \in I_f)$  in  $G_f$  such that

(10)<sub>f</sub>  $P_{if}$  is a  $u_{if}$ -path of order  $a_{if}$  with the property that  $\varepsilon(P_{if}, u_{if}) \notin W_{if}$  for each  $i \in I_f$ .

Denote

$$P_{(i)} = P_{i1}$$
 if  $i \in I_1$ , and  $P_{(i)} = P_{i2}$  if  $i \in I_2$ .

Clearly,  $P_{(1)}, \ldots, P_{(k)}$  are vertex-disjoint paths in G such that (6).

2. Assume that  $A(G^*) \neq A(G^{**})$  for any canonical partition  $\{G^*, G^{**}\}$  of G.

2.1. Let k = 1. Then  $a_1 = 2^m$ . Lemma 1 implies that there exists a path  $P_{(1)}$  in G such that (6).

2.2. Let k = 2. Clearly,  $a_1 \neq a_2$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $a_1 > a_2$ .

2.2.1. Let  $a_2 = 2$ . Since  $|W_2| \leq m-2$ , there exists  $u_2^* \in V(G) - (\{u_1\} \cup W_2)$  such that  $u_2u_2^* \in E(G)$ . We denote by  $P_{(2)}$  the path in G induced by  $\{u_2u_2^*\}$ . Since  $|W_1| \leq m-2$ , it follows from Lemma 2 that there exists a hamiltonian  $u_1$ -path  $P_{(1)}$ 

in  $G - u_2 - u_2^*$  such that  $\varepsilon(P_{(1)}, u_1) \notin W_1$ . Hence,  $P_{(1)}$  and  $P_{(2)}$  are vertex-disjoint paths in G such that (6).

2.2.2. Let  $a_2 \ge 4$ . Since  $a_1 > a_2$ ,  $m \ge 4$ . Clearly, there exists a canonical partition  $\{G_1, G_2\}$  of G such that

(11) 
$$|W_1 \cap V(G_f)| \leq m-3 \text{ for } f=1 \text{ and } 2.$$

Without loss of generality we assume that  $u_1 \in V(G_1)$ 

22.2.1. Let  $u_2 \in V(G_1)$  and  $W_2 \cap V(G_1) = \emptyset$ . Denote

$$I_1 = \{1, 2\}, \quad u_{11} = u_1, \quad u_{21} = u_2, \quad a_{11} = 2^{n-1} - a_2,$$
$$a_{21} = a_2, \quad W_{11} = \emptyset = W_{21}.$$

It is clear that  $(7)_1 - (9)_1$ . According to Q(2, m - 1), there exist vertex-disjoint paths  $P_{11}$  and  $P_{21}$  in  $G_1$  such that  $(10)_1$ . Denote  $v = \varepsilon(P_{11}, u_{11})$  and  $u_{12} = v/G_2$ . As follows from (11) and Lemma 1, there exists a hamiltonian  $u_{12}$ -path  $P_{12}$  in  $G_2$ such that  $\varepsilon(P_{12}, u_{12}) \notin W_1 \cap V(G_2)$ . Define  $P_{(1)} = P_{11} + vu_{12} + P_{12}$  and  $P_{(2)} =$  $= P_{21}$ . Obviously,  $P_{(1)}$  and  $P_{(2)}$  are vertex-disjoint paths in G such that (6).

2.2.2.2. Let  $u_2 \in V(G_1)$  and  $W_2 \cap V(G_1) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence,

(12) 
$$|W_2 \cap V(G_2)| \leq m-3.$$

Denote

$$I_1 = \{1, 2\}, \quad u_{11} = u_1, \quad u_{21} = u_2, \quad a_{11} = 2^{m-1} - 2, \quad a_{21} = 2,$$
  
 $W_{11} = \emptyset = W_{21}.$ 

It is clear that  $(7)_1 - (9)_1$ . According to Q(2, m - 1), there exist vertex-disjoint paths  $P_{11}$  and  $P_{21}$  in  $G_1$  such that  $(10)_1$ . Denote

$$\begin{split} I_2 &= \{1,2\}, \quad v_1 = \varepsilon \big( P_{11}, u_{11} \big), \quad v_2 = \varepsilon \big( P_{21}, u_{21} \big), \quad u_{12} = v_1 \big/ G_2, \\ u_{22} &= v_2 \big/ G_2, \quad a_{12} = a_1 + 2 - 2^{m-1}, \quad a_{22} = a_2 - 2, \\ W_{12} &= W_1 \cap V \big( G_2 \big), \quad W_{22} = W_2 \cap V \big( G_2 \big). \end{split}$$

It is clear that  $(7)_2$  and  $(8)_2$ . It follows from (11) and (12) that  $(9)_2$ . According to Q(2, m - 1), there exist vertex-disjoint paths  $P_{12}$  and  $P_{22}$  in  $G_2$  such that  $(10)_2$ . Define  $P_{(1)} = P_{11} + v_1u_{12} + P_{12}$  and  $P_{(2)} = P_{21} + v_2u_{22} + P_{21}$ . Obviously,  $P_{(1)}$  and  $P_{(2)}$  are vertex-disjoint paths in G such that (6).

2.2.2.3. Let  $u_2 \in V(G_2)$  and  $W_2 \cap V(G_2) = \emptyset$ . According to Lemma 1 there exists a hamiltonian  $u_1$ -path  $P_{11}$  in  $G_1$  such that  $\varepsilon(P_{11}, u_1) \neq u_2/G_1$ . Denote

$$v_1 = \varepsilon (P_{11}, u_1), \quad I_2 = \{1, 2\}, \quad u_{12} = v_1 / G_2, \quad u_{22} = u_2,$$
  
$$a_{12} = a_1 - 2^{m-1}, \quad a_{22} = a_2, \quad W_{12} = W_1 \cap V(G_2), \quad W_{22} = W_2 \cap V(G_2).$$

It is clear that  $(7)_2 - (9)_2$ . According to Q(2, m - 1), there exist vertex-disjoint paths  $P_{12}$  and  $P_{22}$  in  $G_2$  such that  $(10)_2$ . Define  $P_{(1)} = P_{11} + v_1u_{12} + P_{12}$  and  $P_{(2)} = P_{22}$ . Obviously,  $P_{(1)}$  and  $P_{(2)}$  are vertex-disjoint paths in G such that (6).

2.2.2.4. Let  $u_2 \in V(G_2)$  and  $V(G_2) \cap W_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Hence,

$$(13) |W_2 \cap V(G_1)| \leq m-3$$

70,8

There exists  $v_2 \in V(G_2 - u_2)$  such that  $v_2$  is adjacent to  $u_2$  in  $G_2$  and  $v_2 \neq u_1/G_2$ . We denote by  $P_{22}$  the path in  $G_2$  induced by  $\{u_2v_2\}$ . Denote

$$I_1 = \{1, 2\}, \quad u_{11} = u_1, \quad u_{21} = v_2/G_1, \quad a_{11} = 2^{n-1} + 2 - a_2, \\ a_{21} = a_2 - 2, \quad W_{11} = \{u_2/G_1\} \text{ and } \quad W_{21} = W_2 \cap V(G_1).$$

It is clear that  $(7)_1$  and  $(8)_1$ . Since  $m-1 \ge 3$ , (13) implies that  $(9)_1$ . As follows from Q(2, m-1), there exist vertex-disjoint paths  $P_{11}$  and  $P_{21}$  such that  $(10)_1$ . Denote  $v_1 = \varepsilon(P_{11}, u_{11})$  and  $u_{12} = v_1/G_2$ . It is easy to see that  $u_{12} \notin \{u_2, v_2\}$ . It follows from Lemma 2 and (11) that there exists a hamiltonian  $u_{12}$ -path  $P_{12}$  in  $G_2 - u_2 - v_2$  such that  $\varepsilon(P_{12}, u_{12}) \notin W_1 \cap V(G_2)$ . Define  $P_{(1)} = P_{11} + v_1u_{12} + P_{12}$ and  $P_{(2)} = P_{22} + v_2u_{21} + P_{21}$ . Obviously,  $P_{(1)}$  and  $P_{(2)}$  are vertex-disjoint paths in G such that (6).

2.3. Let  $k \ge 3$ . Then  $m \ge 4$ . Recall that  $A(G^*) \ne A(G^{**})$  for any canonical partition  $\{G^*, G^{**}\}$  of G. We first prove that

(14) there exists a canonical parition  $\{G_1, G_2\}$  of G such that  $A(G_1) > A(G_2)$  and  $1 \le k(G_2) \le k - 2$ .

To the contrary, let us assume that

(14) for any canonical partition  $\{G^*, G^{**}\}$  of G, if  $A(G^*) > A(G^{**})$  and  $1 \le \le k(G^{**})$ , then  $k(G^{**}) = k - 1$ .

Since  $k \ge 3$ , there exists a canonical partition  $\{G_{11}, G_{12}\}$  of G such that  $A(G_{11}) > A(G_{12})$  and  $k(G_{12}) \ge 1$ . According to  $(\overline{14})$ ,  $k(G_{12}) = k - 1$ , and therefore  $k(G_{11}) = 1$ . Obviously, there exists  $i, 1 \le i \le k$ , such that  $U(G_{11}) = \{u_i\}$ . Since  $A(G_{11}) > A(G_{12})$ ,  $a_i > 2^{m-1}$ .

Since  $k(G_{12}) = k - 1 \ge 2$ , there exists a canonical partition  $\{G_{21}, G_{22}\}$  of G such that

$$U(G_{12}) \cap V(G_{21}) \neq \emptyset \neq U(G_{12}) \cap V(G_{22}).$$

Without loss of generality we assume that  $A(G_{21}) > A(G_{22})$ . Since  $U(G_{12}) \cap V(G_{22}) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $k(G_{22}) \ge 1$ . According to  $(\overline{14})$ ,  $k(G_{22}) = k - 1$ , and therefore  $k(G_{21}) = 1$ . There exists  $j, 1 \le j \le k$ , such that  $U(G_{21}) = \{u_j\}$ . Since  $A(G_{21}) > A(G_{22})$ ,  $a_j > 2^{m-1}$ . Since  $U(G_{12}) \cap V(G_{21}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $U(G_{21}) = \{u_j\}$ , we can see that  $u_j \in V(G_{12})$ . Hence  $i \ne j$ . As follows from (4),  $a_i + a_j < 2^m$ , which is a contradiction. Thus, we have proved (14).

Denote

$$a = \min_{i \in I(G_1)} a_i.$$

We shall prove that

(15)

$$a \leq 2^{m-1} - 2(k(G_1) - 1)$$

To the contrary, let

$$a > 2^{m-1} - 2(k(G_1) - 1)$$

7	0	9
	v	-

Since a is even, we have that

(15) 
$$a \ge 2^{m-1} - 2(k(G_1) - 2)$$

Since  $k(G_2) \ge 1$ ,  $A(G_2) \ge 2$ . Hence,

(16) 
$$a \leq \frac{2^m - 2}{k(G_1)}$$

If  $k(G_1) = 2$ , then - combining (15) and (16) - we get that  $2^{m-1} - 1 \ge 2^{m-1}$ , which is a contradiction. Let  $k(G_1) \ge 3$ . Obviously,  $m - 2 \ge k(G_1)$ . Thus - according to (15) and (16) - we get that

$$\frac{2^m-2}{3} \ge \frac{2^m-2}{k(G_1)} \ge 2^{m-1} - 2(k(G_1)-2) \ge 2^{m-1} - 2(m-4).$$

Hence,  $6m - 26 \ge 2^{m-1}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus, we have proved (15).

Denote  $I_1 = I(G_1)$ . It follows from (15) that there exist disjoint nonempty subsets  $I^*$  and  $I^{\flat}$  of  $I_1$  and even positive integers  $a_{1i}$  (for each  $i \in I_1$ ) satisfying

$$\begin{split} I_2 &= I^* \cup I^{\flat} ,\\ a_{i1} &= a_i , \quad \text{if} \quad i \in I^* ,\\ a_{i1} &\leq a_i - 2 , \quad \text{if} \quad i \in I^{\flat} , \quad \text{and} \\ \sum_{i \in I_1} a_{i1} &= 2^{m-1} . \end{split}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i1} &= u_i \quad \text{if} \quad i \in I_1 ,\\ W_{j1} &= W_j \cap V(G_1) \quad \text{if} \quad j \in I^{\sharp} , \text{ and}\\ W_{j1} &= \{v; v/G_2 \in I(G_2)\} \quad \text{if} \quad j \in I^{\flat} . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $k(G_2) = k - k(G_1) \leq (m-1) - k(G_1)$ , we can see that  $(9)_1$ . According to  $Q(k(G_1), m-1)$ , there exists a set of  $|I_1|$  vertex-disjoint paths  $P_{i1}$   $(i \in I_1)$  in  $G_1$  such that  $(10)_1$ . Denote

 $v_j = \varepsilon(P_j, u_{j1})$  for each  $j \in I^{\flat}$ .

Moreover, denote

$$I_{2} = I^{\flat} \cup I(G_{2}),$$
  

$$u_{i2} = u_{i} \text{ if } i \in I(G_{2}), \quad u_{i2} = v_{i}/G_{2} \text{ if } i \in I^{\flat},$$
  

$$a_{i2} = a_{i} \text{ if } i \in I(G_{2}),$$
  

$$a_{i2} = a_{i} - a_{i1} \text{ if } i \in I^{\flat}, \text{ and}$$
  

$$W_{i2} = W_{i} \cap V(G_{2}) \text{ if } j \in I_{2}.$$

It is clear that  $(7)_2 - (9)_2$ . As follows from  $Q(|I_2|, m-1)$ , there exists a set of  $|I_2|$  vertex-disjoint paths  $P_{i2}$   $(i \in I_2)$  such that  $(10)_2$ .

Define

$$\begin{aligned} P_{(i)} &= P_{i1} & \text{if } i \in I^{*} ,\\ P_{(i)} &= P_{i1} + v_{i}u_{i2} + P_{i2} & \text{if } i \in I^{\flat} , \text{ and} \\ P_{(i)} &= P_{i2} & \text{if } i \in I(G_{2}) . \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that  $P_{(1)}, \ldots, P_{(k)}$  are vertex disjoint paths in G such that (6).

Thus, the proof of the theorem is complete.

Remark 1. Let  $k \ge m \ge 4$ . Consider G,  $u_1, ..., u_k$ ,  $a_1, ..., a_k$ ,  $W_1, ..., W_k$  such that (2)-(5),  $a_1 \ge 4$ , ...,  $a_k \ge 4$ , and  $u_1u, ..., u_ku \in E(G)$ , where u is a vertex of G. Then (6) holds for no set of k vertex-disjoint paths  $P_{(1)}, ..., P_{(k)}$  of G. This means that for  $k \ge m \ge 4$ , Q(k, m) does not hold. (It is also clear that Q(k, m) does not hold for  $m \le 3$  and k > m.)

Remark 2. Let  $2 \le k < m$ . Consider G,  $u_1, ..., u_k, a_1, ..., a_k, W_1, ..., W_k$  such that  $(2)-(4), a_1 = 2$ , and

$$\left|W_{1}\right| \geq m - k + 1.$$

Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  be chosen so that there exist m - k + 1 vertices of  $W_1$ , say vertices  $w_1, \ldots, w_{m-k+1}$ , such that  $u_1w_1, \ldots, u_1w_{m-k+1} \in E(G)$ ,  $u_1u_2, \ldots, u_1u_k \in E(G)$ , and  $\{u_2, \ldots, u_k\} \cap \{w_1, \ldots, w_{m-k+1}\} = \emptyset$ .

Hence, no set of k vertex-disjoint paths  $P_{(1)}, ..., P_{(k)}$  in G satisfies (6). Let  $j \ge 1$ . We can see that in Theorem 1 the inequalities

$$|W_1| \leq m - k, \dots, |W_k| \leq m - k$$

cannot be replaced by the inequalities

$$|W_1| \leq m-k+j, \dots, |W_k| \leq m-k+j.$$

We are now prepared to show that Havel's conjecture is true.

**Theorem 2.** If  $3 \leq m \leq n$ , then every balanced m-quasistar of order  $2^n$  can be embedded into the n-cube.

Proof. We proceed by induction on m. In our proof we make use of the fact that the case m = 3 has been proved in [2] and the case m = 4 has been proved in [4]. Let  $m \ge 5$ . Assume that we have proved that for any j,  $m - 1 \le j$ , every balanced (m - 1)-quasistar of order  $2^j$  can be embedded into the *j*-cube.

Let T be a balanced m-quasistar of order  $2^n$ . Then T contains exactly one vertex of degree m, say a vertex s, and exactly m vertices of degree one, say vertices  $t_1, \ldots, t_m$ . We denote by  $b_i$  the distance between s and  $t_i$  in T for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $b_1 \geq \ldots \geq b_m$ . Clearly,  $b_1 + \ldots + b_m = 2^n - 1$ . Since T is balanced, it is easy to see that there exists exactly one  $h, 1 \leq h \leq m$ , such that  $b_h$  is odd.

We shall first prove that

(17) 
$$b_1 + \ldots + b_{m-2} \ge 2^{n-1} + 2(m-4) + 1$$
.

To the contrary, let

(17) 
$$b_1 + \ldots + b_{m-2} \leq 2^{n-1} + 2(m-4)$$

Since  $b_1 + \ldots + b_m = 2^n - 1$  and  $b_1 \ge \ldots \ge b_m$ , it follows from (17) that

2.  $2^{n-1} - 1 = 2^n - 1 \leq m(2^{n-1} + 2m - 8)/(m - 2)$ ,

and thus

$$2(m-2) \cdot 2^{n-1} - (m-2) \leq m 2^{n-1} + 2m^2 - 8m$$

Since  $m \leq n$ , we get that

$$(m-4) 2^{m-1} \leq 2m^2 - 7m - 2.$$

Hence  $m \leq 4$ , which is a contradiction. Thus, we have proved (17).

This means that there exist  $I \subseteq \{1, ..., m-2\}$ , even positive integers  $a_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , and exactly one  $f \in I$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_f &= b_f ,\\ a_i &< b_i \quad \text{for each} \quad i \in I - \{f\} , \quad \text{and} \\ \sum_{i \in I} a_i &= 2^{n-1} . \end{aligned}$$

For each  $i \in I$  we denote by  $v_i$  and  $w_i$  the vertices which belong to the path connecting s and  $t_i$  in T and such that the distance between s and  $v_i$  equals  $b_i - a_i$ , and the distance between s and  $w_i$  equals  $b_i - a_i + 1$ . Obviously, the vertices  $v_i$   $(i \in I)$  are mutually distinct, and  $v_f = s$ . Denote

$$C = \{v_i w_i; i \in I\}.$$

Moreover, we denote by T' the component of T - C which contains the vertex s. It is clear that T' is a balanced (m - 1)-quasistar of order  $2^{m-1}$ .

Let G be an *n*-cube, and let  $\{G', G''\}$  be a canonical partition of G. According to the induction hypothesis, T' can be embedded into G'. Thus, we can assume that T' is a subgraph of G'. Denote

$$u_i = v_i / G''$$
 for  $i \in I$ .

It follows from Theorem 1 that there exists a set of |I| vertex disjoint paths  $P_{(i)}$   $(i \in I)$  in G'' such that  $P_{(i)}$  is a  $u_i$ -path of order  $a_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . The subgraph of G induced by

$$E(T') \cup \{v_i u_i; i \in I\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} E(P_{(i)})$$

is isomorphic to T, which completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank I. Havel and P. Liebl for their stimulating interest.

## References

- [1] M. Behzad, G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak-Foster: Graphs & Digraphs. Prindle, Weber & Schmidt. Boston 1979.
- [2] I. Havel: On hamiltonian circuits and spanning trees of hypercubes. Čas. pěst. mat. 109 (1984), 135-152.
- [3] N. B. Limaye: 6-Quasistars and n-cubes. Rapport de Recherche nº 563. Institut IMAG, Saint Martin d'Hères, France.
- [4] L. Nebeský: On quasistars in n-cubes. Čas. pěst. mat. 109 (1984), 153-156.

Author's address: 116 38 Praha 1, nám. Krasnoarmějců 2, Czechoslovakia (Filozofická fakulta Univerzity Karlovy).